

104<sup>TH</sup> CONGRESS  
1<sup>ST</sup> SESSION

# H. CON. RES. 66

Setting forth the congressional budget for the United States Government  
for the fiscal years 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, and 2002.

---

## IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

MAY 11, 1995

Mr. NEUMANN (for himself, Mr. SOLOMON, Mr. CHRYSLER, Mr. TIAHRT, Mrs. CUBIN, Mr. SOUDER, Mr. COBURN, Mr. DREIER, Mr. GOSS, Mr. ZELIFF, Mr. UPTON, Mr. BARTLETT of Maryland, Mr. GRAHAM, Mr. ROTH, Mr. HILLEARY, Mr. FRISA, Mrs. SMITH of Washington, Mr. STOCKMAN, Mr. COOLEY, Mr. BARTON of Texas, Mr. METCALF, Mr. SCARBOROUGH, Mr. BROWNBACK, Mr. DORNAN, Mr. SMITH of Michigan, Mr. CHRISTENSEN, Mr. SAM JOHNSON of Texas, Mr. GILCHREST, Mr. HOSTETTLER, Mr. COMBEST, and Mr. HORN) submitted the following concurrent resolution; which was referred to the Committee on the Budget

---

## CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

Setting forth the congressional budget for the United States  
Government for the fiscal years 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999,  
2000, 2001, and 2002.

1        *Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate*  
2        *concurring),*

3        **SECTION 1. CONCURRENT RESOLUTION ON THE BUDGET**  
4        **FOR FISCAL YEAR 1996.**

5        The Congress determines and declares that this reso-  
6        lution is the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal

1 year 1996, including the appropriate budgetary levels for  
 2 fiscal years 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, and 2002, as  
 3 required by section 301 of the Congressional Budget Act  
 4 of 1974.

5 **SEC. 2. RECOMMENDED LEVELS AND AMOUNTS.**

6 The following budgetary levels are appropriate for the  
 7 fiscal years beginning on October 1, 1995, October 1,  
 8 1996, October 1, 1997, October 1, 1998, October 1, 1999,  
 9 October 1, 2000, and October 1, 2001:

10 (1) The recommended levels of Federal revenues are  
 11 as follows:

12 Fiscal year 1996: \$1,056,600,000,000.

13 Fiscal year 1997: \$1,057,400,000,000.

14 Fiscal year 1998: \$1,096,300,000,000.

15 Fiscal year 1999: \$1,138,900,000,000.

16 Fiscal year 2000: \$1,187,200,000,000.

17 Fiscal year 2001: \$1,240,700,000,000.

18 Fiscal year 2002: \$1,300,500,000,000.

19 and the amounts by which the aggregate levels of Federal  
 20 revenues should be increased are as follows:

21 Fiscal year 1996: \$13,600,000,000.

22 Fiscal year 1997: – \$26,600,000,000.

23 Fiscal year 1998: – \$38,700,000,000.

24 Fiscal year 1999: – \$48,100,000,000.

25 Fiscal year 2000: – \$57,800,000,000.

1 Fiscal year 2001: – \$70,300,000,000.

2 Fiscal year 2002: – \$80,500,000,000.

3 and the amounts for Federal Insurance Contributions Act  
4 revenues for hospital insurance within the recommended  
5 levels of Federal revenues are as follows:

6 Fiscal year 1996: \$101,900,000,000.

7 Fiscal year 1997: \$105,900,000,000.

8 Fiscal year 1998: \$110,500,000,000.

9 Fiscal year 1999: \$115,600,000,000.

10 Fiscal year 2000: \$120,700,000,000.

11 Fiscal year 2001: \$125,900,000,000.

12 Fiscal year 2002: \$130,900,000,000.

13 (2) The appropriate levels of total new budget author-  
14 ity are as follows:

15 Fiscal year 1996: \$1,219,700,000,000.

16 Fiscal year 1997: \$1,236,000,000,000.

17 Fiscal year 1998: \$1,251,900,000,000.

18 Fiscal year 1999: \$1,253,800,000,000.

19 Fiscal year 2000: \$1,275,300,000,000.

20 Fiscal year 2001: \$1,312,600,000,000.

21 Fiscal year 2002: \$1,359,600,000,000.

22 (3) The appropriate levels of total budget outlays are  
23 as follows:

24 Fiscal year 1996: \$1,238,700,000,000.

25 Fiscal year 1997: \$1,245,700,000,000.

1 Fiscal year 1998: \$1,251,200,000,000.

2 Fiscal year 1999: \$1,233,400,000,000.

3 Fiscal year 2000: \$1,260,700,000,000.

4 Fiscal year 2001: \$1,302,800,000,000.

5 Fiscal year 2002: \$1,352,400,000,000.

6 (4) The amounts of the deficits are as follows:

7 Fiscal year 1996: \$182,100,000,000.

8 Fiscal year 1997: \$188,300,000,000.

9 Fiscal year 1998: \$154,900,000,000.

10 Fiscal year 1999: \$94,500,000,000.

11 Fiscal year 2000: \$73,500,000,000.

12 Fiscal year 2001: \$62,100,000,000.

13 Fiscal year 2002: \$51,900,000,000.

14 (5) The appropriate levels of the public debt are as  
15 follows:

16 Fiscal year 1996: \$5,214,000,000,000.

17 Fiscal year 1997: \$5,470,000,000,000.

18 Fiscal year 1998: \$5,697,000,000,000.

19 Fiscal year 1999: \$5,896,000,000,000.

20 Fiscal year 2000: \$6,081,000,000,000.

21 Fiscal year 2001: \$6,157,000,000,000.

22 Fiscal year 2002: \$6,216,000,000,000.

23 (6) The appropriate levels of total Federal credit ac-  
24 tivity for the fiscal years beginning on October 1, 1995,  
25 October 1, 1996, October 1, 1997, October 1, 1998, Octo-

ber 1, 1999, October 1, 2000, and October 1, 2001 are  
as follows:

Fiscal year 1996:

(A) New direct loan obligations,  
\$18,200,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$170,600,000,000.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New direct loan obligations,  
\$17,200,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$167,800,000,000.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New direct loan obligations,  
\$16,200,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$165,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New direct loan obligations,  
\$15,200,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$162,200,000,000.

Fiscal year 2000:

(A) New direct loan obligations,  
\$14,200,000,000.

1 (B) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
2 ments, \$159,400,000,000.

3 Fiscal year 2001:

4 (A) New direct loan obligations,  
5 \$14,200,000,000.

6 (B) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
7 ments, \$159,400,000,000.

8 Fiscal year 2002:

9 (A) New direct loan obligations,  
10 \$14,200,000,000.

11 (B) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
12 ments, \$159,400,000,000.

13 **SEC. 3. MAJOR FUNCTIONAL CATEGORIES.**

14 The Congress determines and declares that the ap-  
15 propriate levels of new budget authority, budget outlays,  
16 new direct loan obligations, new primary loan guarantee  
17 commitments, and new secondary loan guarantee commit-  
18 ments for fiscal years 1996 through 2002 for each major  
19 functional category are:

20 (1) National Defense (050):

21 Fiscal year 1996:

22 (A) New budget authority,  
23 \$261,200,000,000.

24 (B) Outlays, \$260,000,000,000.

25 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

1 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
2 ments, \$0.

3 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
4 mitments, \$0.

5 Fiscal year 1997:

6 (A) New budget authority,  
7 \$260,000,000,000.

8 (B) Outlays, \$260,000,000,000.

9 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

10 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
11 ments, \$0.

12 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
13 mitments, \$0.

14 Fiscal year 1998:

15 (A) New budget authority,  
16 \$260,000,000,000.

17 (B) Outlays, \$260,000,000,000.

18 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

19 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
20 ments, \$0.

21 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
22 mitments, \$0.

23 Fiscal year 1999:

24 (A) New budget authority,  
25 \$260,000,000,000.

1 (B) Outlays, \$260,000,000,000.

2 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

3 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
4 ments, \$0.

5 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
6 mitments, \$0.

7 Fiscal year 2000:

8 (A) New budget authority,  
9 \$260,000,000,000.

10 (B) Outlays, \$260,000,000,000.

11 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

12 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
13 ments, \$0.

14 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
15 mitments, \$0.

16 Fiscal year 2001:

17 (A) New budget authority,  
18 \$265,000,000,000.

19 (B) Outlays, \$263,000,000,000.

20 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

21 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
22 ments, \$0.

23 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
24 mitments, \$0.

25 Fiscal year 2002:

1 (A) New budget authority,  
2 \$270,000,000,000.

3 (B) Outlays, \$270,000,000,000.

4 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

5 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
6 ments, \$0.

7 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
8 mitments, \$0.

9 (2) International Affairs (150):

10 Fiscal year 1996:

11 (A) New budget authority,  
12 \$10,900,000,000.

13 (B) Outlays, \$13,400,000,000.

14 (C) New direct loan obligations,  
15 \$4,800,000,000.

16 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
17 ments, \$15,200,000,000.

18 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
19 mitments, \$0.

20 Fiscal year 1997:

21 (A) New budget authority,  
22 \$9,400,000,000.

23 (B) Outlays, \$14,000,000,000.

24 (C) New direct loan obligations,  
25 \$3,800,000,000.

1 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
2 ments, \$12,400,000,000.

3 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
4 mitments, \$0.

5 Fiscal year 1998:

6 (A) New budget authority,  
7 \$8,000,000,000.

8 (B) Outlays, \$11,500,000,000.

9 (C) New direct loan obligations,  
10 \$2,800,000,000.

11 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
12 ments, \$9,600,000,000.

13 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
14 mitments, \$0.

15 Fiscal year 1999:

16 (A) New budget authority,  
17 \$6,800,000,000.

18 (B) Outlays, \$8,000,000,000.

19 (C) New direct loan obligations,  
20 \$1,800,000,000.

21 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
22 ments, \$6,800,000,000.

23 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
24 mitments, \$0.

25 Fiscal year 2000:

1 (A) New budget authority,  
2 \$8,000,000,000.

3 (B) Outlays, \$8,000,000,000.

4 (C) New direct loan obligations,  
5 \$800,000,000.

6 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
7 ments, \$4,000,000,000.

8 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
9 mitments, \$0.

10 Fiscal year 2001:

11 (A) New budget authority,  
12 \$8,000,000,000.

13 (B) Outlays, \$8,000,000,000.

14 (C) New direct loan obligations,  
15 \$800,000,000.

16 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
17 ments, \$4,000,000,000.

18 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
19 mitments, \$0.

20 Fiscal year 2002:

21 (A) New budget authority,  
22 \$8,000,000,000.

23 (B) Outlays, \$8,000,000,000.

24 (C) New direct loan obligations,  
25 \$800,000,000.

1 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
2 ments, \$4,000,000,000.

3 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
4 mitments, \$0.

5 (3) General Science, Space, and Technology (250):  
6 Fiscal year 1996:

7 (A) New budget authority,  
8 \$14,100,000,000.

9 (B) Outlays, \$15,000,000,000.

10 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

11 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
12 ments, \$0.

13 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
14 mitments, \$0.

15 Fiscal year 1997:

16 (A) New budget authority,  
17 \$14,100,000,000.

18 (B) Outlays, \$14,400,000,000.

19 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

20 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
21 ments, \$0.

22 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
23 mitments, \$0.

24 Fiscal year 1998:

1 (A) New budget authority,  
2 \$14,500,000,000.

3 (B) Outlays, \$14,500,000,000.

4 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

5 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
6 ments, \$0.

7 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
8 mitments, \$0.

9 Fiscal year 1999:

10 (A) New budget authority,  
11 \$14,500,000,000.

12 (B) Outlays, \$14,500,000,000.

13 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

14 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
15 ments, \$0.

16 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
17 mitments, \$0.

18 Fiscal year 2000:

19 (A) New budget authority,  
20 \$14,500,000,000.

21 (B) Outlays, \$14,500,000,000.

22 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

23 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
24 ments, \$0.

1 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
2 mitments, \$0.

3 Fiscal year 2001:

4 (A) New budget authority,  
5 \$14,500,000,000.

6 (B) Outlays, \$14,500,000,000.

7 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

8 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
9 ments, \$0.

10 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
11 mitments, \$0.

12 Fiscal year 2002:

13 (A) New budget authority,  
14 \$14,500,000,000.

15 (B) Outlays, \$14,500,000,000.

16 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

17 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
18 ments, \$0.

19 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
20 mitments, \$0.

21 (4) Energy (270):

22 Fiscal year 1996:

23 (A) New budget authority,  
24 \$3,900,000,000.

25 (B) Outlays, \$3,500,000,000.

1 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

2 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
3 ments, \$0.

4 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
5 mitments, \$0.

6 Fiscal year 1997:

7 (A) New budget authority,  
8 \$3,200,000,000.

9 (B) Outlays, \$2,200,000,000.

10 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

11 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
12 ments, \$0.

13 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
14 mitments, \$0.

15 Fiscal year 1998:

16 (A) New budget authority,  
17 \$2,600,000,000.

18 (B) Outlays, \$1,900,000,000.

19 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

20 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
21 ments, \$0.

22 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
23 mitments, \$0.

24 Fiscal year 1999:

1 (A) New budget authority,  
2 \$2,300,000,000.

3 (B) Outlays, \$1,300,000,000.

4 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

5 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
6 ments, \$0.

7 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
8 mitments, \$0.

9 Fiscal year 2000:

10 (A) New budget authority,  
11 \$1,900,000,000.

12 (B) Outlays, \$1,300,000,000.

13 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

14 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
15 ments, \$0.

16 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
17 mitments, \$0.

18 Fiscal year 2001:

19 (A) New budget authority,  
20 \$2,000,000,000.

21 (B) Outlays, \$2,000,000,000.

22 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

23 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
24 ments, \$0.

1 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
2 mitments, \$0.

3 Fiscal year 2002:

4 (A) New budget authority,  
5 \$2,500,000,000.

6 (B) Outlays, \$2,500,000,000.

7 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

8 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
9 ments, \$0.

10 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
11 mitments, \$0.

12 (5) Natural Resources and Environment (300):

13 Fiscal year 1996:

14 (A) New budget authority,  
15 \$18,600,000,000.

16 (B) Outlays, \$20,700,000,000.

17 (C) New direct loan obligations,  
18 \$20,000,000.

19 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
20 ments, \$0.

21 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
22 mitments, \$0.

23 Fiscal year 1997:

24 (A) New budget authority,  
25 \$17,600,000,000.

1 (B) Outlays, \$19,300,000,000.

2 (C) New direct loan obligations,  
3 \$20,000,000.

4 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
5 ments, \$0.

6 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
7 mitments, \$0.

8 Fiscal year 1998:

9 (A) New budget authority,  
10 \$17,600,000,000.

11 (B) Outlays, \$17,900,000,000.

12 (C) New direct loan obligations,  
13 \$20,000,000.

14 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
15 ments, \$0.

16 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
17 mitments, \$0.

18 Fiscal year 1999:

19 (A) New budget authority,  
20 \$17,600,000,000.

21 (B) Outlays, \$16,300,000,000.

22 (C) New direct loan obligations,  
23 \$20,000,000.

24 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
25 ments, \$0.

1 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
2 mitments, \$0.

3 Fiscal year 2000:

4 (A) New budget authority,  
5 \$17,200,000,000.

6 (B) Outlays, \$16,500,000,000.

7 (C) New direct loan obligations,  
8 \$20,000,000.

9 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
10 ments, \$0.

11 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
12 mitments, \$0.

13 Fiscal year 2001:

14 (A) New budget authority,  
15 \$17,500,000,000.

16 (B) Outlays, \$16,500,000,000.

17 (C) New direct loan obligations,  
18 \$20,000,000.

19 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
20 ments, \$0.

21 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
22 mitments, \$0.

23 Fiscal year 2002:

24 (A) New budget authority,  
25 \$17,500,000,000.

1 (B) Outlays, \$16,500,000,000.

2 (C) New direct loan obligations,  
3 \$20,000,000.

4 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
5 ments, \$0.

6 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
7 mitments, \$0.

8 (6) Agriculture (350):

9 Fiscal year 1996:

10 (A) New budget authority,  
11 \$12,800,000,000.

12 (B) Outlays, \$11,500,000,000.

13 (C) New direct loan obligations,  
14 \$11,500,000,000.

15 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
16 ments, \$2,700,000,000.

17 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
18 mitments, \$0.

19 Fiscal year 1997:

20 (A) New budget authority,  
21 \$11,000,000,000.

22 (B) Outlays, \$10,400,000,000.

23 (C) New direct loan obligations,  
24 \$11,500,000,000.

1 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
2 ments, \$2,700,000,000.

3 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
4 mitments, \$0.

5 Fiscal year 1998:

6 (A) New budget authority,  
7 \$9,500,000,000.

8 (B) Outlays, \$9,200,000,000.

9 (C) New direct loan obligations,  
10 \$11,500,000,000.

11 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
12 ments, \$2,700,000,000.

13 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
14 mitments, \$0.

15 Fiscal year 1999:

16 (A) New budget authority,  
17 \$8,200,000,000.

18 (B) Outlays, \$7,000,000,000.

19 (C) New direct loan obligations,  
20 \$11,500,000,000.

21 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
22 ments, \$2,700,000,000.

23 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
24 mitments, \$0.

25 Fiscal year 2000:

1 (A) New budget authority,  
2 \$7,000,000,000.

3 (B) Outlays, \$7,000,000,000.

4 (C) New direct loan obligations,  
5 \$11,500,000,000.

6 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
7 ments, \$2,700,000,000.

8 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
9 mitments, \$0.

10 Fiscal year 2001:

11 (A) New budget authority,  
12 \$8,500,000,000.

13 (B) Outlays, \$8,000,000,000.

14 (C) New direct loan obligations,  
15 \$11,500,000,000.

16 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
17 ments, \$2,700,000,000.

18 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
19 mitments, \$0.

20 Fiscal year 2002:

21 (A) New budget authority,  
22 \$8,500,000,000.

23 (B) Outlays, \$8,500,000,000.

24 (C) New direct loan obligations,  
25 \$11,500,000,000.

1 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
2 ments, \$2,700,000,000.

3 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
4 mitments, \$0.

5 (7) Commerce and Housing Credit (370):

6 Fiscal year 1996:

7 (A) New budget authority,  
8 \$2,000,000,000.

9 (B) Outlays, – \$7,600,000,000.

10 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

11 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
12 ments, \$97,500,000,000.

13 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
14 mitments, \$110,000,000,000.

15 Fiscal year 1997:

16 (A) New budget authority, \$900,000,000.

17 (B) Outlays, – \$6,000,000,000.

18 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

19 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
20 ments, \$97,500,000,000.

21 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
22 mitments, \$80,000,000,000.

23 Fiscal year 1998:

24 (A) New budget authority,  
25 – \$1,400,000,000.

1 (B) Outlays, – \$9,000,000,000.

2 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

3 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
4 ments, \$97,500,000,000.

5 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
6 mitments, \$50,000,000,000.

7 Fiscal year 1999:

8 (A) New budget authority,  
9 \$ – 2,100,000,000.

10 (B) Outlays, – \$9,400,000,000.

11 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

12 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
13 ments, \$97,500,000,000.

14 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
15 mitments, \$25,000,000,000.

16 Fiscal year 2000:

17 (A) New budget authority,  
18 – \$3,700,000,000.

19 (B) Outlays, – \$9,700,000,000.

20 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

21 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
22 ments, \$97,500,000,000.

23 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
24 mitments, \$0.

25 Fiscal year 2001:

1 (A) New budget authority,  
2 – \$3,700,000,000.

3 (B) Outlays, – \$9,700,000,000.

4 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

5 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
6 ments, \$97,500,000,000.

7 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
8 mitments, \$0.

9 Fiscal year 2002:

10 (A) New budget authority,  
11 – \$3,700,000,000.

12 (B) Outlays, – \$9,700,000,000.

13 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

14 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
15 ments, \$97,500,000,000.

16 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
17 mitments, \$0.

18 (8) Transportation (400):

19 Fiscal year 1996:

20 (A) New budget authority,  
21 \$29,600,000,000.

22 (B) Outlays, \$36,100,000,000.

23 (C) New direct loan obligations,  
24 \$200,000,000.

1 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
2 ments, \$0.

3 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
4 mitments, \$0.

5 Fiscal year 1997:

6 (A) New budget authority,  
7 \$32,000,000,000.

8 (B) Outlays, \$33,500,000,000.

9 (C) New direct loan obligations,  
10 \$200,000,000.

11 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
12 ments, \$0.

13 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
14 mitments, \$0.

15 Fiscal year 1998:

16 (A) New budget authority,  
17 \$31,500,000,000.

18 (B) Outlays, \$32,500,000,000.

19 (C) New direct loan obligations,  
20 \$200,000,000.

21 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
22 ments, \$0.

23 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
24 mitments, \$0.

25 Fiscal year 1999:

1 (A) New budget authority,  
2 \$31,500,000,000.

3 (B) Outlays, \$27,800,000,000.

4 (C) New direct loan obligations,  
5 \$200,000,000.

6 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
7 ments, \$0.

8 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
9 mitments, \$0.

10 Fiscal year 2000:

11 (A) New budget authority,  
12 \$30,000,000,000.

13 (B) Outlays, \$29,000,000,000.

14 (C) New direct loan obligations,  
15 \$200,000,000.

16 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
17 ments, \$0.

18 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
19 mitments, \$0.

20 Fiscal year 2001:

21 (A) New budget authority,  
22 \$31,000,000,000.

23 (B) Outlays, \$31,000,000,000.

24 (C) New direct loan obligations,  
25 \$200,000,000.

1 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
2 ments, \$0.

3 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
4 mitments, \$0.

5 Fiscal year 2002:

6 (A) New budget authority,  
7 \$32,000,000,000.

8 (B) Outlays, \$32,000,000,000.

9 (C) New direct loan obligations,  
10 \$200,000,000.

11 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
12 ments, \$0.

13 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
14 mitments, \$0.

15 (9) Community and Regional Development (450):

16 Fiscal year 1996:

17 (A) New budget authority,  
18 \$6,600,000,000.

19 (B) Outlays, \$10,200,000,000.

20 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

21 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
22 ments, \$0.

23 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
24 mitments, \$0.

25 Fiscal year 1997:

1 (A) New budget authority,  
2 \$6,600,000,000.

3 (B) Outlays, \$8,100,000,000.

4 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

5 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
6 ments, \$0.

7 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
8 mitments, \$0.

9 Fiscal year 1998:

10 (A) New budget authority,  
11 \$6,400,000,000.

12 (B) Outlays, \$6,900,000,000.

13 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

14 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
15 ments, \$0.

16 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
17 mitments, \$0.

18 Fiscal year 1999:

19 (A) New budget authority,  
20 \$6,400,000,000.

21 (B) Outlays, \$6,000,000,000.

22 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

23 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
24 ments, \$0.

1 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
2 mitments, \$0.

3 Fiscal year 2000:

4 (A) New budget authority,  
5 \$6,200,000,000.

6 (B) Outlays, \$6,000,000,000.

7 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

8 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
9 ments, \$0.

10 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
11 mitments, \$0.

12 Fiscal year 2001:

13 (A) New budget authority,  
14 \$7,000,000,000.

15 (B) Outlays, \$7,000,000,000.

16 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

17 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
18 ments, \$0.

19 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
20 mitments, \$0.

21 Fiscal year 2002:

22 (A) New budget authority,  
23 \$7,500,000,000.

24 (B) Outlays, \$7,500,000,000.

25 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

1 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
2 ments, \$0.

3 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
4 mitments, \$0.

5 (10) Education, Training, Employment, and Social  
6 Services (500):

7 Fiscal year 1996:

8 (A) New budget authority,  
9 \$43,300,000,000.

10 (B) Outlays, \$51,000,000,000.

11 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

12 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
13 ments, \$27,400,000,000.

14 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
15 mitments, \$0.

16 Fiscal year 1997:

17 (A) New budget authority,  
18 \$40,600,000,000.

19 (B) Outlays, \$42,300,000,000.

20 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

21 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
22 ments, \$27,400,000,000.

23 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
24 mitments, \$0.

25 Fiscal year 1998:

1 (A) New budget authority,  
2 \$40,800,000,000.

3 (B) Outlays, \$40,400,000,000.

4 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

5 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
6 ments, \$27,400,000,000.

7 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
8 mitments, \$0.

9 Fiscal year 1999:

10 (A) New budget authority,  
11 \$41,500,000,000.

12 (B) Outlays, \$39,500,000,000.

13 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

14 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
15 ments, \$27,400,000,000.

16 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
17 mitments, \$0.

18 Fiscal year 2000:

19 (A) New budget authority,  
20 \$41,500,000,000.

21 (B) Outlays, \$40,500,000,000.

22 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

23 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
24 ments, \$27,400,000,000.

1 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
2 mitments, \$0.

3 Fiscal year 2001:

4 (A) New budget authority,  
5 \$42,100,000,000.

6 (B) Outlays, \$42,000,000,000.

7 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

8 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
9 ments, \$27,400,000,000.

10 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
11 mitments, \$0.

12 Fiscal year 2002:

13 (A) New budget authority,  
14 \$44,000,000,000.

15 (B) Outlays, \$44,000,000,000.

16 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

17 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
18 ments, \$27,400,000,000.

19 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
20 mitments, \$0.

21 (11) Health (550):

22 Fiscal year 1996:

23 (A) New budget authority,  
24 \$118,000,000,000.

25 (B) Outlays, \$116,000,000,000.

1 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

2 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
3 ments, \$400,000,000.

4 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
5 mitments, \$0.

6 Fiscal year 1997:

7 (A) New budget authority,  
8 \$120,000,000,000.

9 (B) Outlays, \$119,000,000,000.

10 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

11 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
12 ments, \$400,000,000.

13 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
14 mitments, \$0.

15 Fiscal year 1998:

16 (A) New budget authority,  
17 \$123,000,000,000.

18 (B) Outlays, \$122,000,000,000.

19 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

20 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
21 ments, \$400,000,000.

22 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
23 mitments, \$0.

24 Fiscal year 1999:

1 (A) New budget authority,  
2 \$127,000,000,000.

3 (B) Outlays, \$124,000,000,000.

4 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

5 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
6 ments, \$400,000,000.

7 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
8 mitments, \$0.

9 Fiscal year 2000:

10 (A) New budget authority,  
11 \$131,000,000,000.

12 (B) Outlays, \$130,000,000,000.

13 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

14 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
15 ments, \$400,000,000.

16 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
17 mitments, \$0.

18 Fiscal year 2001:

19 (A) New budget authority,  
20 \$133,000,000,000.

21 (B) Outlays, \$133,000,000,000.

22 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

23 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
24 ments, \$400,000,000.

1 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
2 mitments, \$0.

3 Fiscal year 2002:

4 (A) New budget authority,  
5 \$136,000,000,000.

6 (B) Outlays, \$136,000,000,000.

7 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

8 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
9 ments, \$400,000,000.

10 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
11 mitments, \$0.

12 (12) Medicare (570):

13 Fiscal year 1996:

14 (A) New budget authority,  
15 \$171,700,000,000.

16 (B) Outlays, \$170,100,000,000.

17 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

18 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
19 ments, \$0.

20 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
21 mitments, \$0.

22 Fiscal year 1997:

23 (A) New budget authority,  
24 \$181,400,000,000.

25 (B) Outlays, \$179,600,000,000.

1 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

2 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
3 ments, \$0.

4 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
5 mitments, \$0.

6 Fiscal year 1998:

7 (A) New budget authority,  
8 \$191,500,000,000.

9 (B) Outlays, \$189,700,000,000.

10 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

11 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
12 ments, \$0.

13 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
14 mitments, \$0.

15 Fiscal year 1999:

16 (A) New budget authority,  
17 \$202,200,000,000.

18 (B) Outlays, \$200,000,000,000.

19 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

20 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
21 ments, \$0.

22 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
23 mitments, \$0.

24 Fiscal year 2000:

1 (A) New budget authority,  
2 \$213,600,000,000.

3 (B) Outlays, \$210,000,000,000.

4 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

5 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
6 ments, \$0.

7 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
8 mitments, \$0.

9 Fiscal year 2001:

10 (A) New budget authority,  
11 \$223,000,000,000.

12 (B) Outlays, \$223,000,000,000.

13 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

14 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
15 ments, \$0.

16 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
17 mitments, \$0.

18 Fiscal year 2002:

19 (A) New budget authority,  
20 \$236,000,000,000.

21 (B) Outlays, \$236,000,000,000.

22 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

23 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
24 ments, \$0.

1 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
2 mitments, \$0.

3 (13) Income Security (600):

4 Fiscal year 1996:

5 (A) New budget authority, \$205,000,000.

6 (B) Outlays, \$214,000,000,000.

7 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

8 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
9 ments, \$20,000,000.

10 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
11 mitments, \$0.

12 Fiscal year 1997:

13 (A) New budget authority,  
14 \$208,000,000,000.

15 (B) Outlays, \$216,000,000,000.

16 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

17 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
18 ments, \$20,000,000.

19 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
20 mitments, \$0.

21 Fiscal year 1998:

22 (A) New budget authority,  
23 \$214,000,000,000.

24 (B) Outlays, \$218,000,000,000.

25 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

1 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
2 ments, \$20,000,000.

3 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
4 mitments, \$0.

5 Fiscal year 1999:

6 (A) New budget authority,  
7 \$220,000,000,000.

8 (B) Outlays, \$220,000,000,000.

9 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

10 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
11 ments, \$20,000,000.

12 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
13 mitments, \$0.

14 Fiscal year 2000:

15 (A) New budget authority,  
16 \$229,000,000,000.

17 (B) Outlays, \$229,000,000,000.

18 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

19 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
20 ments, \$20,000,000.

21 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
22 mitments, \$0.

23 Fiscal year 2001:

24 (A) New budget authority,  
25 \$233,000,000,000.

1 (B) Outlays, \$233,000,000,000.

2 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

3 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
4 ments, \$20,000,000.

5 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
6 mitments, \$0.

7 Fiscal year 2002:

8 (A) New budget authority,  
9 \$237,000,000,000.

10 (B) Outlays, \$237,000,000,000.

11 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

12 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
13 ments, \$20,000,000.

14 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
15 mitments, \$0.

16 (14) Social Security (650):

17 Fiscal year 1996:

18 (A) New budget authority,  
19 \$5,400,000,000.

20 (B) Outlays, \$5,400,000,000.

21 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

22 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
23 ments, \$0.

24 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
25 mitments, \$0.

1 Fiscal year 1997:

2 (A) New budget authority,  
3 \$6,200,000,000.

4 (B) Outlays, \$6,200,000,000.

5 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

6 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
7 ments, \$0.

8 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
9 mitments, \$0.

10 Fiscal year 1998:

11 (A) New budget authority,  
12 \$5,600,000,000.

13 (B) Outlays, \$5,600,000,000.

14 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

15 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
16 ments, \$0.

17 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
18 mitments, \$0.

19 Fiscal year 1999:

20 (A) New budget authority,  
21 \$5,300,000,000.

22 (B) Outlays, \$5,300,000,000.

23 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

24 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
25 ments, \$0.

1 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
2 mitments, \$0.

3 Fiscal year 2000:

4 (A) New budget authority,  
5 \$4,900,000,000.

6 (B) Outlays, \$4,900,000,000.

7 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

8 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
9 ments, \$0.

10 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
11 mitments, \$0.

12 Fiscal year 2001:

13 (A) New budget authority,  
14 \$4,700,000,000.

15 (B) Outlays, \$4,700,000,000.

16 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

17 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
18 ments, \$0.

19 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
20 mitments, \$0.

21 Fiscal year 2002:

22 (A) New budget authority,  
23 \$4,900,000,000.

24 (B) Outlays, \$4,900,000,000.

25 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

1 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
2 ments, \$0.

3 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
4 mitments, \$0.

5 (15) Veterans Benefits and Services (700):

6 Fiscal year 1996:

7 (A) New budget authority,  
8 \$36,300,000,000.

9 (B) Outlays, \$35,800,000,000.

10 (C) New direct loan obligations,  
11 \$1,700,000,000.

12 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
13 ments, \$27,400,000,000.

14 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
15 mitments, \$0.

16 Fiscal year 1997:

17 (A) New budget authority,  
18 \$37,200,000,000.

19 (B) Outlays, \$37,000,000,000.

20 (C) New direct loan obligations,  
21 \$1,700,000,000.

22 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
23 ments, \$27,400,000,000.

24 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
25 mitments, \$0.

1 Fiscal year 1998:

2 (A) New budget authority,

3 \$37,500,000,000.

4 (B) Outlays, \$37,400,000,000.

5 (C) New direct loan obligations,

6 \$1,700,000,000.

7 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-

8 ments, \$27,400,000,000.

9 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-

10 mitments, \$0.

11 Fiscal year 1999:

12 (A) New budget authority,

13 \$38,000,000,000.

14 (B) Outlays, \$38,000,000,000.

15 (C) New direct loan obligations,

16 \$1,700,000,000.

17 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-

18 ments, \$27,400,000,000.

19 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-

20 mitments, \$0.

21 Fiscal year 2000:

22 (A) New budget authority,

23 \$40,000,000,000.

24 (B) Outlays, \$40,000,000,000.

1 (C) New direct loan obligations,  
2 \$1,700,000,000.

3 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
4 ments, \$27,400,000,000.

5 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
6 mitments, \$0.

7 Fiscal year 2001:

8 (A) New budget authority,  
9 \$41,000,000,000.

10 (B) Outlays, \$41,000,000,000.

11 (C) New direct loan obligations,  
12 \$1,700,000,000.

13 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
14 ments, \$27,400,000,000.

15 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
16 mitments, \$0.

17 Fiscal year 2002:

18 (A) New budget authority,  
19 \$43,000,000,000.

20 (B) Outlays, \$43,000,000,000.

21 (C) New direct loan obligations,  
22 \$1,700,000,000.

23 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
24 ments, \$27,400,000,000.

1 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
2 mitments, \$0.

3 (16) Administration of Justice (750):

4 Fiscal year 1996:

5 (A) New budget authority,  
6 \$16,200,000,000.

7 (B) Outlays, \$16,100,000,000.

8 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

9 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
10 ments, \$0.

11 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
12 mitments, \$0.

13 Fiscal year 1997:

14 (A) New budget authority,  
15 \$16,100,000,000.

16 (B) Outlays, \$15,900,000,000.

17 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

18 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
19 ments, \$0.

20 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
21 mitments, \$0.

22 Fiscal year 1998:

23 (A) New budget authority,  
24 \$16,000,000,000.

25 (B) Outlays, \$15,900,000,000.

1 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

2 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
3 ments, \$0.

4 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
5 mitments, \$0.

6 Fiscal year 1999:

7 (A) New budget authority,  
8 \$16,000,000,000.

9 (B) Outlays, \$15,700,000,000.

10 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

11 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
12 ments, \$0.

13 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
14 mitments, \$0.

15 Fiscal year 2000:

16 (A) New budget authority,  
17 \$16,000,000,000.

18 (B) Outlays, \$15,600,000,000.

19 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

20 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
21 ments, \$0.

22 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
23 mitments, \$0.

24 Fiscal year 2001:

1 (A) New budget authority,  
2 \$16,000,000,000.

3 (B) Outlays, \$16,000,000,000.

4 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

5 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
6 ments, \$0.

7 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
8 mitments, \$0.

9 Fiscal year 2002:

10 (A) New budget authority,  
11 \$16,000,000,000.

12 (B) Outlays, \$16,000,000,000.

13 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

14 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
15 ments, \$0.

16 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
17 mitments, \$0.

18 (17) General Government (800):

19 Fiscal year 1996:

20 (A) New budget authority,  
21 \$12,800,000,000.

22 (B) Outlays, \$13,000,000,000.

23 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

24 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
25 ments, \$0.

1 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
2 mitments, \$0.

3 Fiscal year 1997:

4 (A) New budget authority,  
5 \$12,600,000,000.

6 (B) Outlays, \$12,400,000,000.

7 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

8 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
9 ments, \$0.

10 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
11 mitments, \$0.

12 Fiscal year 1998:

13 (A) New budget authority,  
14 \$12,400,000,000.

15 (B) Outlays, \$12,400,000,000.

16 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

17 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
18 ments, \$0.

19 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
20 mitments, \$0.

21 Fiscal year 1999:

22 (A) New budget authority,  
23 \$12,400,000,000.

24 (B) Outlays, \$12,300,000,000.

25 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

1 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
2 ments, \$0.

3 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
4 mitments, \$0.

5 Fiscal year 2000:

6 (A) New budget authority,  
7 \$12,400,000,000.

8 (B) Outlays, \$12,200,000,000.

9 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

10 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
11 ments, \$0.

12 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
13 mitments, \$0.

14 Fiscal year 2001:

15 (A) New budget authority,  
16 \$12,400,000,000.

17 (B) Outlays, \$12,200,000,000.

18 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

19 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
20 ments, \$0.

21 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
22 mitments, \$0.

23 Fiscal year 2002:

24 (A) New budget authority,  
25 \$12,400,000,000.

1 (B) Outlays, \$12,200,000,000.

2 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

3 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
4 ments, \$0.

5 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
6 mitments, \$0.

7 (18) Net Interest (900):

8 Fiscal year 1996:

9 (A) New budget authority,  
10 \$297,100,000,000.

11 (B) Outlays, \$297,100,000,000.

12 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

13 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
14 ments, \$0.

15 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
16 mitments, \$0.

17 Fiscal year 1997:

18 (A) New budget authority,  
19 \$305,600,000,000.

20 (B) Outlays, \$305,600,000,000.

21 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

22 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
23 ments, \$0.

24 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
25 mitments, \$0.

1 Fiscal year 1998:

2 (A) New budget authority,  
3 \$309,800,000,000.

4 (B) Outlays, \$309,800,000,000.

5 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

6 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
7 ments, \$0.

8 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
9 mitments, \$0.

10 Fiscal year 1999:

11 (A) New budget authority,  
12 \$315,800,000,000.

13 (B) Outlays, \$315,800,000,000.

14 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

15 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
16 ments, \$0.

17 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
18 mitments, \$0.

19 Fiscal year 2000:

20 (A) New budget authority,  
21 \$321,200,000,000.

22 (B) Outlays, \$321,200,000,000.

23 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

24 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
25 ments, \$0.

1 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
2 mitments, \$0.

3 Fiscal year 2001:

4 (A) New budget authority,  
5 \$326,000,000,000.

6 (B) Outlays, \$326,000,000,000.

7 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

8 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
9 ments, \$0.

10 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
11 mitments, \$0.

12 Fiscal year 2002:

13 (A) New budget authority,  
14 \$332,000,000,000.

15 (B) Outlays, \$332,000,000,000.

16 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

17 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
18 ments, \$0.

19 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
20 mitments, \$0.

21 (19) Allowances (920):

22 Fiscal year 1996:

23 (A) New budget authority,  
24 – \$14,500,000,000.

25 (B) Outlays, – \$12,300,000,000.

1 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

2 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
3 ments, \$0.

4 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
5 mitments, \$0.

6 Fiscal year 1997:

7 (A) New budget authority,  
8 – \$15,100,000,000.

9 (B) Outlays, – \$13,100,000,000.

10 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

11 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
12 ments, \$0.

13 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
14 mitments, \$0.

15 Fiscal year 1998:

16 (A) New budget authority,  
17 – \$15,400,000,000.

18 (B) Outlays, – \$13,400,000,000.

19 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

20 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
21 ments, \$0.

22 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
23 mitments, \$0.

24 Fiscal year 1999:

1 (A) New budget authority,  
2 – \$33,900,000,000.

3 (B) Outlays, – \$32,900,000,000.

4 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

5 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
6 ments, \$0.

7 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
8 mitments, \$0.

9 Fiscal year 2000:

10 (A) New budget authority,  
11 – \$38,500,000,000.

12 (B) Outlays, – \$38,500,000,000.

13 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

14 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
15 ments, \$0.

16 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
17 mitments, \$0.

18 Fiscal year 2001:

19 (A) New budget authority,  
20 – \$32,800,000,000.

21 (B) Outlays,

22 (C) New direct loan obligations,  
23 – \$32,800,000,000.

24 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
25 ments, \$0.

1 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
2 mitments, \$0.

3 Fiscal year 2002:

4 (A) New budget authority,  
5 – \$20,800,000,000.

6 (B) Outlays, – \$20,800,000,000.

7 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

8 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
9 ments, \$0.

10 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
11 mitments, \$0.

12 (20) Undistributed Offsetting Receipts (950):

13 Fiscal year 1996:

14 (A) New budget authority,  
15 – \$31,300,000,000.

16 (B) Outlays, – \$31,300,000,000.

17 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

18 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
19 ments, \$0.

20 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
21 mitments, \$0.

22 Fiscal year 1997:

23 (A) New budget authority,  
24 – \$31,200,000,000.

25 (B) Outlays, – \$31,200,000,000.

1 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

2 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
3 ments, \$0.

4 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
5 mitments, \$0.

6 Fiscal year 1998:

7 (A) New budget authority,  
8 – \$31,900,000,000.

9 (B) Outlays, – \$31,900,000,000.

10 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

11 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
12 ments, \$0.

13 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
14 mitments, \$0.

15 Fiscal year 1999:

16 (A) New budget authority,  
17 – \$35,800,000,000.

18 (B) Outlays, – \$35,800,000,000.

19 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

20 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
21 ments, \$0.

22 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
23 mitments, \$0.

24 Fiscal year 2000:

1 (A) New budget authority,  
2 – \$36,800,000,000.

3 (B) Outlays, – \$36,800,000,000.

4 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

5 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
6 ments, \$0.

7 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
8 mitments, \$0.

9 Fiscal year 2001:

10 (A) New budget authority,  
11 – \$35,600,000,000.

12 (B) Outlays, – \$35,600,000,000.

13 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

14 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
15 ments, \$0.

16 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
17 mitments, \$0.

18 Fiscal year 2002:

19 (A) New budget authority,  
20 – \$37,700,000,000.

21 (B) Outlays, – \$37,700,000,000.

22 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

23 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-  
24 ments, \$0.

1 (E) New secondary loan guarantee com-  
2 mitments, \$0.

3 **SEC. 4. RECONCILIATION.**

4 (a) Not later than July 14, 1995, the House commit-  
5 tees named in subsections (b) through (o) of this section  
6 shall submit their recommendations to the House Budget  
7 Committee. After receiving those recommendations, the  
8 House Budget Committee shall report to the House a rec-  
9 onciliation bill or resolution or both carrying out all such  
10 recommendations without any substantive revision.

11 (b) The House Committee on Agriculture shall report  
12 changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct  
13 spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays  
14 as follows: \$6,200,000,000 in budget authority and  
15 \$6,200,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996,  
16 \$11,500,000,000 in budget authority and  
17 \$11,500,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1997,  
18 \$14,400,000,000 in budget authority and  
19 \$14,400,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1998,  
20 \$17,100,000,000 in budget authority and  
21 \$17,100,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1999,  
22 \$19,400,000,000 in budget authority and  
23 \$19,400,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2000,  
24 \$21,100,000,000 in budget authority and  
25 \$21,100,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and

1 \$23,600,000,000 in budget authority and  
2 \$23,600,000,000 in fiscal year 2002.

3 (c) The House Committee on Banking and Financial  
4 Services shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction  
5 that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget  
6 authority and outlays as follows: \$800,000,000 in budget  
7 authority and \$800,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996,  
8 \$800,000,000 in budget authority and \$800,000,000 in  
9 outlays in fiscal year 1997, \$800,000,000 in budget au-  
10 thority and \$800,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1998,  
11 \$800,000,000 in budget authority and \$800,000,000 in  
12 outlays in fiscal year 1999, \$800,000,000 in budget au-  
13 thority and \$800,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2000,  
14 \$800,000,000 in budget authority and \$800,000,000 in  
15 outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$800,000,000 in budget  
16 authority and \$800,000,000 in fiscal year 2002.

17 (d) The House Committee on Commerce shall report  
18 changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct  
19 spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays  
20 as follows: \$19,900,000,000 in budget authority and  
21 \$19,300,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996,  
22 \$36,800,000,000 in budget authority and  
23 \$37,200,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1997,  
24 \$55,900,000,000 in budget authority and  
25 \$56,100,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1998,

1 \$80,300,000,000 in budget authority and  
2 \$79,700,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1999,  
3 \$100,600,000,000 in budget authority and  
4 \$100,800,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2000,  
5 \$124,900,000,000 in budget authority and  
6 \$124,900,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and  
7 \$148,400,000,000 in budget authority and  
8 \$148,400,000,000 in fiscal year 2002.

9 (e) The House Committee on Economic and Edu-  
10 cational Opportunities shall report changes in laws within  
11 its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to  
12 reduce budget authority and outlays as follows:  
13 \$1,600,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,600,000,000  
14 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$2,500,000,000 in budget  
15 authority and \$2,500,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year  
16 1997, \$2,600,000,000 in budget authority and  
17 \$2,600,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1998,  
18 \$2,800,000,000 in budget authority and \$2,800,000,000  
19 in outlays in fiscal year 1999, \$2,900,000,000 in budget  
20 authority and \$2,900,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year  
21 2000, \$3,100,000,000 in budget authority and  
22 \$3,100,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and  
23 \$3,300,000,000 in budget authority and \$3,300,000,000  
24 in fiscal year 2002.

1           (f) The House Committee on Government Reform  
2 and Oversight shall report changes in laws within its juris-  
3 diction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce  
4 budget authority and outlays as follows: \$1,800,000,000  
5 in budget authority and \$1,800,000,000 in outlays in fis-  
6 cal year 1996, \$2,600,000,000 in budget authority and  
7 \$2,600,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1997,  
8 \$2,900,000,000 in budget authority and \$2,900,000,000  
9 in outlays in fiscal year 1998, \$2,900,000,000 in budget  
10 authority and \$2,900,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year  
11 1999, \$2,900,000,000 in budget authority and  
12 \$2,900,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2000,  
13 \$2,900,000,000 in budget authority and \$2,900,000,000  
14 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$2,900,000,000 in  
15 budget authority and \$2,900,000,000 in fiscal year 2002.

16           (g) The House Committee on International Relations  
17 shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that  
18 provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget author-  
19 ity and outlays as follows: \$0 in budget authority and \$0  
20 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$0 in budget authority and  
21 \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1997, \$0 in budget authority  
22 and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1998, \$0 in budget author-  
23 ity and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1999, \$0 in budget  
24 authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 2000, \$0 in

1 budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 2001,  
2 and \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in fiscal year 2002.

3 (h) The House Committee on the Judiciary shall re-  
4 port changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide  
5 direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and  
6 outlays as follows: \$1,000,000,000 in budget authority  
7 and \$750,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996,  
8 \$1,000,000,000 in budget authority and \$800,000,000 in  
9 outlays in fiscal year 1997, \$1,000,000,000 in budget au-  
10 thority and \$900,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1998,  
11 \$1,000,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,000,000,000  
12 in outlays in fiscal year 1999, \$1,000,000,000 in budget  
13 authority and \$1,000,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year  
14 2000, \$1,000,000,000 in budget authority and  
15 \$1,000,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and  
16 \$1,000,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,000,000,000  
17 in fiscal year 2002.

18 (i) The House Committee on National Security shall  
19 report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide  
20 direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and  
21 outlays as follows: \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in out-  
22 lays in fiscal year 1996, \$0 in budget authority and \$0  
23 in outlays in fiscal year 1997, \$0 in budget authority and  
24 \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1998, \$0 in budget authority  
25 and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1999, \$0 in budget author-

1 ity and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 2000, \$0 in budget  
2 authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$0  
3 in budget authority and \$0 in fiscal year 2002.

4 (j) The House Committee on Resources shall report  
5 changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct  
6 spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays  
7 as follows: \$4,200,000,000 in budget authority and  
8 \$4,100,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996,  
9 \$5,800,000,000 in budget authority and \$5,800,000,000  
10 in outlays in fiscal year 1997, \$5,000,000,000 in budget  
11 authority and \$5,000,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year  
12 1998, \$3,900,000,000 in budget authority and  
13 \$3,900,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1999,  
14 \$4,000,000,000 in budget authority and \$4,000,000,000  
15 in outlays in fiscal year 2000, \$3,400,000,000 in budget  
16 authority and \$3,400,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year  
17 2001, and \$3,400,000,000 in budget authority and  
18 \$3,400,000,000 in fiscal year 2002.

19 (k) The House Committee on Science shall report  
20 changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct  
21 spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays  
22 as follows: \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in  
23 fiscal year 1996, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays  
24 in fiscal year 1997, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in out-  
25 lays in fiscal year 1998, \$0 in budget authority and \$0

1 in outlays in fiscal year 1999, \$0 in budget authority and  
2 \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 2000, \$0 in budget authority  
3 and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$0 in budget  
4 authority and \$0 in fiscal year 2002.

5 (l) The House Committee on Small Business shall re-  
6 port changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide  
7 direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and  
8 outlays as follows: \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in out-  
9 lays in fiscal year 1996, \$0 in budget authority and \$0  
10 in outlays in fiscal year 1997, \$0 in budget authority and  
11 \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1998, \$0 in budget authority  
12 and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1999, \$0 in budget author-  
13 ity and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 2000, \$0 in budget  
14 authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$0  
15 in budget authority and \$0 in fiscal year 2002.

16 (m) The House Committee on Transportation and In-  
17 frastructure shall report changes in laws within its juris-  
18 diction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce  
19 budget authority and outlays as follows: \$5,000,000,000  
20 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1996,  
21 \$8,200,000,000 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in  
22 fiscal year 1997, \$8,500,000,000 in budget authority and  
23 \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1998, \$8,800,000,000 in budg-  
24 et authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1999,  
25 \$9,100,000,000 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in

1 fiscal year 2000, \$9,400,000,000 in budget authority and  
2 \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$9,800,000,000 in  
3 budget authority and \$0 in fiscal year 2002.

4 (n) The House Committee on Veterans' Affairs shall  
5 report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide  
6 direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and  
7 outlays as follows: \$1,100,000,000 in budget authority  
8 and \$1,000,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996,  
9 \$1,200,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,200,000,000  
10 in outlays in fiscal year 1997, \$1,300,000,000 in budget  
11 authority and \$1,300,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year  
12 1998, \$1,900,000,000 in budget authority and  
13 \$1,900,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1999,  
14 \$2,100,000,000 in budget authority and \$2,200,000,000  
15 in outlays in fiscal year 2000, \$2,100,000,000 in budget  
16 authority and \$2,300,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year  
17 2001, and \$2,400,000,000 in budget authority and  
18 \$2,600,000,000 in fiscal year 2002.

19 (o) The House Committee on Ways and Means shall  
20 report changes in laws within its jurisdiction sufficient to  
21 reduce the deficit, as follows: \$45,300,000,000 in fiscal  
22 year 1996, \$32,000,000,000 in fiscal year 1997,  
23 \$39,300,000,000 in fiscal year 1998, \$52,000,000,000 in  
24 fiscal year 1999, \$66,700,000,000 in fiscal year 2000,

1 \$82,100,000,000 in fiscal year 2001, and  
2 \$97,400,000,000 in fiscal year 2002.

3 (p) For purposes of this section, the term “direct  
4 spending” has the meaning given to such term in section  
5 250(c)(8) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit  
6 Control Act of 1985 and the term “new budget authority”  
7 has the meaning given to such term in section 3(2) of the  
8 Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of  
9 1974.

10 **SEC. 5. SENSE OF THE HOUSE REGARDING SOCIAL**  
11 **SECURITY.**

12 It is the sense of the House of Representatives that  
13 legislation should be enacted that:

14 (1) Prohibits the use of the surplus funds col-  
15 lected as part of the social security payroll tax from  
16 being used to balance the budget or reduce the defi-  
17 cit.

18 (2) Starting in 1996, sets aside these surplus  
19 funds to preserve and protect the social security sys-  
20 tem.

21 (3) Establishes a bipartisan commission to  
22 oversee the protection of these surplus funds, the  
23 primary purpose of which is to establish a safe and  
24 secure mechanism to preserve these funds.

1           (4) Provides that as the Federal debt is repaid,  
2           the social security funds that are currently part of  
3           the \$4,900,000,000,000 Federal debt as well as in-  
4           terest on these funds shall also be repaid and set  
5           aside under the mechanism established under para-  
6           graphs (2) and (3).

7   **SEC. 6. SENSE OF THE HOUSE REGARDING DEBT REPAY-**  
8                                   **MENT.**

9           It is the sense of the House of Representatives that:

10           (1) The Congress has a basic moral and ethical  
11           responsibility to future generations to repay the  
12           Federal debt. The Congress should enact a plan that  
13           not only balances the budget but also institutes a  
14           regimen for paying off the Federal debt.

15           (2) After the budget is balanced, spending  
16           should be allowed to grow at a rate slower than ex-  
17           pected revenues so that a surplus is created which  
18           can be used to begin paying off the debt.

19           (3) Such a plan should be enacted into law so  
20           that this generation can save our children and  
21           grandchildren from the crushing burdens of the Fed-  
22           eral debt.

○

HCON 66 IH—2

HCON 66 IH—3

HCON 66 IH—4

HCON 66 IH—5

HCON 66 IH—6

HCON 66 IH—7