

105TH CONGRESS
1ST SESSION

H. R. 807

To repeal the requirement relating to specific statutory authorization for increases in judicial salaries, to provide for automatic annual increases for judicial salaries, and for other purposes.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

FEBRUARY 13, 1997

Mr. WICKER (for himself, Mr. SHAYS, Mr. DAVIS of Virginia, Ms. MOLINARI, Mr. GEJDENSON, Mr. BORSKI, Mr. LAHOOD, Mr. KING, Mrs. CLAYTON, Mr. SCHIFF, Mrs. MEEK of Florida, Ms. LOFGREN, Mr. HYDE, Mr. ENGLISH of Pennsylvania, Mr. GEKAS, Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts, Mr. SKEEN, Mr. ACKERMAN, Mr. MINGE, Mr. BRYANT, and Mr. GRAHAM) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary

A BILL

To repeal the requirement relating to specific statutory authorization for increases in judicial salaries, to provide for automatic annual increases for judicial salaries, and for other purposes.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

1 **SECTION 1. JUDICIAL COST-OF-LIVING INCREASES.**

2 (a) REPEAL OF STATUTORY REQUIREMENT RELAT-
3 ING TO JUDICIAL SALARIES.—Section 140 of the resolu-
4 tion entitled “A Joint Resolution making further continu-
5 ing appropriations for the fiscal year 1982, and for other
6 purposes.”, approved December 15, 1981 (Public Law 97–
7 92; 95 Stat. 1200; 28 U.S.C. 461 note) is repealed.

8 (b) AUTOMATIC ANNUAL INCREASES.—Section
9 461(a) of title 28, United States Code, is amended to read
10 as follows:

11 “(a) Effective on the first day of the first applicable
12 pay period beginning on or after January 1 of each cal-
13 endar year, each salary rate which is subject to adjust-
14 ment under this section shall be adjusted by an amount,
15 rounded to the nearest multiple of \$100 (or if midway be-
16 tween multiples of \$100, to the next higher multiple of
17 \$100) equal to the percentage of such salary rate which
18 corresponds to the most recent percentage change in the
19 Employment Cost Index, as determined under section
20 704(a)(1) of the Ethics Reform Act of 1989.”.

○