DEPARTMENTS OF TRANSPORTATION, AND HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS FOR 2009

HEARINGS

BEFORE A

SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE

COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

ONE HUNDRED TENTH CONGRESS

SECOND SESSION

SUBCOMMITTEE ON THE DEPARTMENTS OF TRANSPORTATION, AND HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS

JOHN W. OLVER, Massachusetts, *Chairman*

ED PASTOR, Arizona
CIRO RODRIGUEZ, Texas
MARCY KAPTUR, Ohio
DAVID E. PRICE, North Carolina
ROBERT E. "BUD" CRAMER, JR., Alabama
LUCILLE ROYBAL-ALLARD, California
MARION BERRY, Arkansas

JOE KNOLLENBERG, Michigan
FRANK R. WOLF, Virginia
ROBERT B. ADERHOLT, Alabama
JAMES T. WALSH, New York
VIRGIL H. GOODE, JR., Virginia

NOTE: Under Committee Rules, Mr. Obey, as Chairman of the Full Committee, and Mr. Lewis, as Ranking Minority Member of the Full Committee, are authorized to sit as Members of all Subcommittees.

KATE HALLAHAN, DAVID NAPOLIELLO,
LAURA HOGSHEAD, LISA PEÑA, and ALEXANDER GILLEN,
Subcommittee Staff

PART 6

	Page
Department of Housing and Urban Development	**1**
Housing Needs of Special Populations [Elderly; Disabled; Homeless; HOPWA]	**597**
Challenges of the Project-Based Section 8 Program	**663**
Status of the Project-Based Section 8 Program	**837**

Printed for the use of the Committee on Appropriations

PART 6—TRANSPORTATION, AND HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT, AND RELATED AGENCIES FOR 2009

DEPARTMENTS OF TRANSPORTATION, AND HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS FOR 2009

HEARINGS

BEFORE A

SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE

COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

ONE HUNDRED TENTH CONGRESS

SECOND SESSION

SUBCOMMITTEE ON THE DEPARTMENTS OF TRANSPORTATION, AND HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS

JOHN W. OLVER, Massachusetts, *Chairman*

ED PASTOR, Arizona
CIRO RODRIGUEZ, Texas
MARCY KAPTUR, Ohio
DAVID E. PRICE, North Carolina
ROBERT E. "BUD" CRAMER, JR., Alabama
LUCILLE ROYBAL-ALLARD, California
MARION BERRY, Arkansas

JOE KNOLLENBERG, Michigan
FRANK R. WOLF, Virginia
ROBERT B. ADERHOLT, Alabama
JAMES T. WALSH, New York
VIRGIL H. GOODE, JR., Virginia

NOTE: Under Committee Rules, Mr. Obey, as Chairman of the Full Committee, and Mr. Lewis, as Ranking Minority Member of the Full Committee, are authorized to sit as Members of all Subcommittees.

KATE HALLAHAN, DAVID NAPOLIELLO,
LAURA HOGSHEAD, LISA PEÑA, and ALEXANDER GILLEN,
Subcommittee Staff

PART 6

	Page
Department of Housing and Urban Development	**1**
Housing Needs of Special Populations [Elderly; Disabled; Homeless; HOPWA]	**597**
Challenges of the Project-Based Section 8 Program	**663**
Status of the Project-Based Section 8 Program	**837**

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

43–801 WASHINGTON : 2008

COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS

DAVID R. OBEY, Wisconsin, *Chairman*

JOHN P. MURTHA, Pennsylvania
NORMAN D. DICKS, Washington
ALAN B. MOLLOHAN, West Virginia
MARCY KAPTUR, Ohio
PETER J. VISCLOSKY, Indiana
NITA M. LOWEY, New York
JOSÉ E. SERRANO, New York
ROSA L. DELAURO, Connecticut
JAMES P. MORAN, Virginia
JOHN W. OLVER, Massachusetts
ED PASTOR, Arizona
DAVID E. PRICE, North Carolina
CHET EDWARDS, Texas
ROBERT E. "BUD" CRAMER, JR., Alabama
PATRICK J. KENNEDY, Rhode Island
MAURICE D. HINCHEY, New York
LUCILLE ROYBAL-ALLARD, California
SAM FARR, California
JESSE L. JACKSON, JR., Illinois
CAROLYN C. KILPATRICK, Michigan
ALLEN BOYD, Florida
CHAKA FATTAH, Pennsylvania
STEVEN R. ROTHMAN, New Jersey
SANFORD D. BISHOP, JR., Georgia
MARION BERRY, Arkansas
BARBARA LEE, California
TOM UDALL, New Mexico
ADAM SCHIFF, California
MICHAEL HONDA, California
BETTY MCCOLLUM, Minnesota
STEVE ISRAEL, New York
TIM RYAN, Ohio
C.A. "DUTCH" RUPPERSBERGER, Maryland
BEN CHANDLER, Kentucky
DEBBIE WASSERMAN SCHULTZ, Florida
CIRO RODRIGUEZ, Texas

JERRY LEWIS, California
C. W. BILL YOUNG, Florida
RALPH REGULA, Ohio
HAROLD ROGERS, Kentucky
FRANK R. WOLF, Virginia
JAMES T. WALSH, New York
DAVID L. HOBSON, Ohio
JOE KNOLLENBERG, Michigan
JACK KINGSTON, Georgia
RODNEY P. FRELINGHUYSEN, New Jersey
TODD TIAHRT, Kansas
ZACH WAMP, Tennessee
TOM LATHAM, Iowa
ROBERT B. ADERHOLT, Alabama
JO ANN EMERSON, Missouri
KAY GRANGER, Texas
JOHN E. PETERSON, Pennsylvania
VIRGIL H. GOODE, JR., Virginia
RAY LAHOOD, Illinois
DAVE WELDON, Florida
MICHAEL K. SIMPSON, Idaho
JOHN ABNEY CULBERSON, Texas
MARK STEVEN KIRK, Illinois
ANDER CRENSHAW, Florida
DENNIS R. REHBERG, Montana
JOHN R. CARTER, Texas
RODNEY ALEXANDER, Louisiana
KEN CALVERT, California
JO BONNER, Alabama

ROB NABORS, *Clerk and Staff Director*

DEPARTMENTS OF TRANSPORTATION, AND HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS FOR 2009

WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 13, 2008.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

WITNESS

HON. SECRETARY ALPHONSO JACKSON, SECRETARY OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

CHAIRMAN'S REMARKS

Mr. OLVER. The Committee will come to order. Let me welcome the Secretary of the Department of Housing and Urban Development, Alphonso Jackson, back to the Subcommittee.

Mr. Secretary, thank you for being with us today to discuss the Administration's Fiscal Year 2009 Budget request for housing and urban development.

If we had enacted the budget submitted by the Administration last year for housing, it would have been disastrous for low-income families, seniors, and disabled persons served by HUD's programs. The same can be said about this year's request. Unfortunately, it is quite similar to last year's request.

We must better promote and expand affordable housing, in my view.

The Joint Center for Housing Studies at Harvard University has documented that from 1993 to 2003 alone, we lost 1.2 million affordable housing units. In addition, approximately three-quarters of American households, which, by household income, are eligible for HUD assistance, received none, yet the Administration's request for Fiscal Year 2009 is strikingly similar to the previous budgets and cuts many vital, affordable housing and community development programs.

For the Section 8, Tenant-Based program, the Center on Budget and Policy Priorities estimates that $15½ billion will be required to renew vouchers in 2009. Your budget provides only about $14.3 billion for contract renewals, which is $400 million less than last year's level and $1.2 billion below the amount needed to ensure no one that has a voucher will lose that voucher.

Our own internal calculations of the Subcommittee estimate that the Administration's budget would force 55,000 tenant-based vouchers currently in use to be lost.

The Center on Budget and Policy Priorities believes that upwards of 100,000 vouchers in use by low-income families would be lost at that number.

Public housing does not fare much better in the Administration's budget. Public housing is home to 2.6 million people, more than half of whom are seniors and persons with disabilities.

In 2005, the median income of families in the public housing program was just under $11,000, only 23 percent of the national median household income of about $46,000. The budget provides $6.3 billion in total resources for public housing, which is about $300 million below what we provided in Fiscal Year 2008.

In 1998, a HUD-sponsored study estimated the annual accrual of capital needs in public housing at $2 billion. Our best estimates today put that number at $2.54 billion in Fiscal Year 2009, and the total backlog is somewhere in the range of $18–20 billion.

Under the President's budget, the Public Housing Capital Fund would receive a $515 million cut, and this backlog would not be addressed at all.

The assault on public housing does not end there. The HOPE VI program for severely distressed public housing would also be zeroed-out in the Administration budget.

I have heard from mayors and community leaders across the country on how HOPE VI grants have transformed and revitalized communities. To my knowledge, HUD received 28 applications for funds in Fiscal Year 2008 but will fund 5 of those proposals. Clearly, there is still a great demand for HOPE VI grants.

One of the largest cuts in the President's budget is for the Community Development Block Grant, which is used in communities, largely large communities, to rehabilitate and construct affordable housing and public facilities, as well as to generate economic development and job creation. The block grant program would be cut by $866 million below last year's enacted, which is over a 20 percent cut, but very similar to the previous year's proposed cut.

And, similar to last year, Housing for Persons With Disabilities would take a severe cut, along with Housing for the Elderly, which would be cut again, as it was proposed last year.

What is the justification for cutting programs specifically targeted to the disabled and elderly, given the great need for affordable housing in both communities?

There are a couple of bright spots here. I am pleased that your budget acknowledges the major funding shortfall in the Project-Based Section 8 account. We worked hard to increase funding by over $600 million for Project-Based Section 8 last year to try to put a down payment on the funding gap created and instill more confidence in the program.

I was heartened that the Administration recognizes the challenges we face in this program, but, unfortunately, the budget request does not go far enough to solve the problem. The Administration has proposed $7 billion, which is over $600 million more than provided last year, a substantial increase, as well as an advanced appropriation of $400 million to help extend contracts into the new fiscal year. With the estimated funding gap of as much as $2.8 billion, we still have a long way to go to fix the problem.

Finally, I also want to commend you for matching the $75 million we put into the 2008 bill for housing vouchers and supportive services for veterans. The problem is that these modest increases have been offset by other cuts in the budget that I have already described.

So I am looking forward to your testimony, but before we do that, I would like to recognize my distinguished colleague, the Ranking Member from Michigan, Mr. Knollenberg, for any comments that he would like to make.

RANKING MEMBER'S REMARKS

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Mr. Chairman, thank you very much. Welcome, Mr. Secretary. I believe this is the fourth time you have appeared before this Committee to defend the President's budget proposals. That has been a pretty difficult task in the past, and it appears that it is going to be a very daunting one today.

As I will have to leave shortly for another commitment, before we get too far into this, I will hold my opening remarks down to a minimum.

In many ways, the $338.5 billion request for 2009 is similar in policy content to the proposals in previous years. Funds for the Community Development Block Grants, elderly and disabled facilities, and modernization of public housing have been reduced, and, quite frankly, as you know very well, I have always had support restoring those funds, the Chairman and myself, and, even though we both—certainly, I do—support reduced spending. I will not speak for the Chairman. Obviously, he has got his own views on that.

On the other hand, I am pleased that the Department continues to seek savings by terminating the duplicative programs that are funded in other agencies that are so small that they cannot possibly have a national benefit. It is beyond me, to some extent, for example, why we continue to fund a $15 million rural economic development program in HUD when the USDA is proposing a total of $15 billion in grants, loans, and related assistance for rural housing, community development, and business development programs.

I have, in the past, and will continue in the future, supported proposals to eliminate these kinds of programs, particularly where there is duplication. There are, however, some serious deviations from past budgets that we need to explore today, even if they are not entirely of HUD's making.

Subprime mortgages. Not a new item exactly; it has been all over the last several months. The State of Michigan has been particularly hard hit by previous reset base and will be hit hard again in the near future, as the next reset base hits.

This year, the Committee provided—I think it was almost $200 million, or was it $180 million?—to the Neighborhood Works program to get professional counsels to help families deal with their personal crises. We need to better understand why it has happened and to whom it has happened. We also need to better understand how to prevent its reoccurrence in the future, and I hope you will provide us with the benefit of what you have learned thus far.

Second is the apparent collapse of FHA receipts. We went through this, I know, last year. We have been critical of this Subcommittee's ability to find the kinds of increases we have seen in HUD over the past 4 years. What had been receipts as high as $2 billion just a few years ago have now disappeared, literally disappeared. If this were due to the fact that the private sector offers a better deal, then I could understand, and even agree, we should not be in the business of competing with or undermining the private sector, but that is not the case.

The FHA is enduring huge losses resulting from a class of seller-assisted loans. Mr. Secretary, correct me if I am wrong, but, under the current program, FHA will actually operate in the red, to the tune of $1.4 billion, in 2009. Your budget conveniently assumes that reform legislation will pass, and all of these problems will be fixed, but the impact of the current program losses on this Subcommittee's ability to meet growing needs in HUD and elsewhere is very real. Every attempt to stop seller-assisted loans has been met with stiff resistance.

I would like to explore today how you are going to deal with this issue. This has profound implications for this Subcommittee as we move forward.

Third, I see that you are requesting funds to keep assisting elderly and disabled housing after the first-of-March expiration of FEMA/HUD Disaster Housing Assistance program.

I am wondering if this is just the tip of the iceberg for this Subcommittee. We keep reading about problems and delays and about the expiration of funds and snags in the redevelopment areas in Louisiana and Mississippi that were struck by Katrina and by Rita. I would like to take on where we are, at least, with respect to HUD's responsibilities, and what you think this Committee will face down the road in additional expenses.

Finally, the whole question of implementing your negotiated rule and guidelines for administering the operating and modernization subsidies to public housing authorities seems to never, never get settled.

I was recently approached by someone in the public housing business at a luggage carousel complaining about HUD's heavy-handed implementation, and I would guess that your own treatment has been far worse than that.

I note that the legislation is being sought by the public housing industry to overturn the compromise that this Committee tried to work out, in good faith, in the 2008 budget. I would like to explore briefly what you think might be done to end this standoff with the industry groups.

Finally, the budget proposes to eliminate all of the earmarks included in the 2008 enacted appropriations bill, but it intends to do that, I understand, next year. I am not sure what happens in between now and then and want to explore just what is going on here.

Mr. Chairman, I promised to take as little time as possible, and so I will stop here. I have many other areas that I would like to explore, but I will submit questions for the record instead.

I want to thank you, Mr. Chairman, and, Mr. Secretary, thanks for being here, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. OLVER. Thank you, Mr. Knollenberg.

With that, the floor is yours, Mr. Secretary. Your full statement will be printed in the record. If you can keep somewhere between the time used by myself and my Ranking Member, at 5 to 10 minutes, that would be fine.

SECRETARY JACKSON'S OPENING REMARKS

Mr. JACKSON. Thank you very much, Chairman Olver, and I want to thank the Ranking Member, Mr. Knollenberg, and the Members of the Subcommittee for the opportunity to appear here today.

Mr. Chairman, the proposed Fiscal Year 2009 HUD Budget represents, in my mind, an investment in the American people by the American people. This investment is measured in more than dollars; it is measured in the lives we touch, whether creating or protecting sustainable home ownership, producing affordable rental housing, revitalizing our cities, or helping the homeless.

I believe we have a good budget. It is physically sound and adequately supports our legislative mission and fits in well with the overall vision of the president's entire request. It is a historical investment of $38.5 billion for the programs at HUD. This is an increase of more than $3 billion, or over 9 percent, of last year's proposal. The budget is almost $1 billion more than our current budget authority. This funding is timeless.

HOUSING COUNSELING

We have confronted a housing crisis. America needs the President's request for $65 million in this budget for housing counseling. Those funds, in addition to Neighborhood Works' $180 million, provide greater service to those most in need.

Today, many Americans are facing foreclosure and would have greater benefit from housing counseling. This Fund would help partially address today's crisis and prevent such situations in the future.

We also need to support the efforts to maintain the current ownership and to stimulate new purchases.

FHA SECURE

Mr. Chairman, last year, the President and I introduced FHA Secure to help more Americans facing foreclosure by refinancing in a safe and more secure FHA loan. We did this using current regulations.

There has been a notable increase in the number of closings. Two months ago, there were 2,500 closings a month at FHA. Now, there are 4,500 closings a week at FHA. But we could do so much more with legislation to modernize FHA.

I would hope that Congress would act quickly to send a bill to the president's desk that will strengthen FHA's ability to offer safe alternatives to high-risk loans.

RESPA REFORM

The Administration has also taken steps to ensure it is easy for homeowners to read the fine print when they do sign on the dotted

line. That is why we are committed to RESPA reform. We hope to publish the new Real Estate Settlement Procedure Act rules in early March. We hope that it will bring much needed transparency to the home-buying process.

AFFORDABLE RENTAL HOUSING

As in the past, Mr. Chairman, the largest part of our budget is affordable rental housing. Combined, this budget seeks more than $29 billion for our rental assistance program, which we expect to help more than 4.8 million households. We are mindful of the continuing need for more affordable rental housing, especially low- and middle-income workers who still find themselves priced out of the real estate market in many of our cities. We need to maintain the units currently available and expand the number. This budget will help us do that.

ASSET MANAGEMENT

While I am on the subject of public housing, I want to bring to the Subcommittee's attention a particularly harmful bill that will be considered by the House later today. HUD is strongly committed to a successful transition to asset management for Public Housing Agencies.

The bill the House will vote on, H.R. 3521, would severely undermine PHAs long awaited conversion to asset management and adoption of conventional business practices. It will eliminate any restrictions or limitations on the amount of management and related fees that PHAs could charge through January 2011, and it would exempt 88 percent of the PHAs from asset management altogether.

HOMELESS

For these reasons, the Administration strongly opposes this legislation. Finally, Mr. Chairman, the homeless must not be forgotten. We are making strides to cut the number of chronic homeless with our continuing appeal approach. For the first time ever, we saw a decrease in the number of chronic homeless last year drop to 12 percent.

We must continue the progress. Our budget once again seeks to increase the homeless programs to continue this good work. Mr. Chairman, I know you are mindful of the need to help our nation's homeless veterans. Americans are deeply and profoundly grateful for the service and sacrifice of our nation's veterans.

In this proposal there is a request, as stated by the Ranking Member, of $75 million for our Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing Program. Prior to 2008, this program had not been funded since 1993. Working with the Veterans Administration, we will create an additional 9,800 vouchers for fiscal year 2009.

This will bring the total approximately to 20,000 homeless veterans being served through housing and social services. That is double the number of available housing vouchers. Overall, this is a good budget for the Department, balanced, reasonable, appropriate and workable. It will allow us to operate within the frame-

work of cooperation, partnership with related Federal agencies and other levels of government and nonprofit initiatives.

Mr. Chairman, as we proceed through this budget, I look forward to working with you and the Ranking Members and the other Members of this Subcommittee. I thank you and the Subcommittee for your consideration of this budget.

[The information follows:]

WRITTEN STATEMENT OF
SECRETARY ALPHONSO JACKSON
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

HEARING ON

HUD'S FISCAL YEAR 2009 BUDGET

BEFORE THE

HOUSE APPROPRIATIONS SUBCOMMITTEE ON
TRANSPORTATION, HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT,
AND RELATED AGENCIES

U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

FEBRUARY 13, 2008

Introduction
Thank you, Chairman Olver, Ranking Member Knollenberg, and the members of the subcommittee for this opportunity to appear today.

Mr. Chairman, the budget for the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) represents an investment in the American people by the American people. This investment is measured in more than dollars. It is measured in the lives we touch, whether in creating and protecting sustainable homeownership, preserving affordable rental housing, helping the homeless, or revitalizing our cities.

The budget reflects America's compassion and commitment. The President's budget will ensure housing assistance for those in need, preserve and promote homeownership by addressing subprime mortgages, strengthen communities by sustaining homeownership gains, make further progress towards ending chronic homelessness, and continue the trend of improving HUD's management and performance.

Almost every American is touched by our programs, directly or indirectly. And there are few things more personal or cherished as the house or apartment where we live, watch our children grow up, and where we grow old. Our budget is about promoting new homeownership and making the American dream possible. The budget is about protecting families already in homes. It is about expanding affordable rental housing. It extends funding and services to those in need, including the disabled, veterans, the homeless, people with HIV/AIDS, and elderly and disabled people affected by hurricanes Katrina and Rita. Further, it continues to support and encourage community growth and revitalization.

I believe we have a good budget. It is fiscally sound, supports our mission, and fits in well with the overall vision for the President's entire fiscal year request. My department would receive an historic investment, $38.5 billion. This is an increase of more than $3 billion, or nine percent, over last year's proposal. The budget is almost $1 billion more than our current budget authority.

Let me break this down in more detail.

Ensuring Housing Assistance
I am pleased that the budget strongly ensures housing assistance for those in need. As in the past, Mr. Chairman, the largest part of our budget is for affordable rental housing. Combined, this budget seeks more than $29 billion for our rental assistance programs which we expect will help more than 4.8 million households. We are mindful of the continued need for more affordable rental housing, especially as some low-and-middle-income workers find themselves priced out of the real estate market in many cities. We need to maintain the units currently available and this budget will help us do that.

The budget increases primary housing programs by providing $7 billion to renew all project-based rental contracts and $400 million for an advance appropriation to bridge

renewal funding into 2010. This will help provide housing assistance for nearly 1.3 million low-income tenants.

We also increase housing choice vouchers, reaching over two million low-income families, while removing the cap on the number of housing units that Public Housing Authorities may assist.

The budget also supports public housing operations with a request for $4.3 billion, the highest proposed funding level in history. This will cover the necessary operating expenses for 1.2 million public housing units.

The proposed budget also seeks $300 million for persons living with HIV/AIDS. This funding would provide housing and care for 70,500 people.

The proposed budget also contains $3 billion in Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funding for states and local governments. We have once again asked Congress to revise the outdated funding formula for this program. With appropriate revisions, we can distribute resources more efficiently and fairly, making this funding more effective and helpful.

Mr. Chairman, let me also add some comments about the recovery effort from Hurricanes Katrina, Rita, and Wilma. The disaster was unprecedented. Recovery will take many years. We have been deeply involved in these recovery efforts.

You should know that HUD has funds available of nearly $20 billion throughout the Gulf Coast region to assist in recovery. States have spent approximately $8.5 billion to date. So far, more than 110,000 homeowners in Louisiana and Mississippi have received financial assistance from HUD. We know that there is more to do – much more. We have learned much and worked through some enormous difficulties. But progress is noticeable.

The American people should be proud of their investment and their compassion. If anyone wants to see America's heart, they should go to the Gulf Coast, where so many people have given generously of their time, their love, their patience, and their courage.

The Gulf Coast is coming back, and one important reason is a fundamentally sound approach to recovery.

When Hurricanes Katrina, Rita, and Wilma devastated the Gulf Coast, many of our most vulnerable citizens lost the only homes they had known. We recognized last year that some of those families affected by the storm needed additional time to recover, which is why the Administration transferred the responsibility for housing these families from Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) to HUD under the Disaster Housing Assistance Program (DHAP) and extended government housing assistance another 18 months to 30,000 families.

The President is also requesting $39 million to ensure that the elderly and disabled families displaced by the 2005 Gulf Coast Hurricanes remain protected at the conclusion of DHAP. These Disaster Displacement Assistance vouchers will provide permanent affordable housing to eligible elderly and disabled families, while the remaining storm victims who are not on fixed incomes continue on the path to self-sufficiency.

The Department will administer these vouchers as part of the Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program. We will make rental assistance payments on behalf of these families, whether they have relocated or returned home.

I want to bring the subcommittee's attention to a particularly harmful bill that will be considered by the House later today. HUD is strongly committed to the successful transition to asset management for Public Housing Agencies (PHAs). The bill the House will vote on H.R. 3521, would severely undermine PHAs' long-awaited conversion to asset management and the adoption of conventional business practices. It would eliminate any restriction or limitation on the amount of management and related fees that a PHA could charge through January 2011. And it would also exempt 88 percent of PHAs from asset management altogether. For these reasons, the Administration strongly opposes this legislation.

Preserving and Promoting Homeownership

Promoting homeownership remains one of the central goals of this Administration. We have to get the housing market back on track. We know that homeownership is good for families, the community, the nation, and the world. Homeownership equals empowerment, wealth creation, independence, and fulfillment of the American Dream. It gives the family a stake in the community. Homeownership is a source of pride. It is particularly important for America's minority communities, which historically have lower rates of homeownership.

Clearly, the housing crisis is a powerful challenge. After the unprecedented, historic gains in homeownership between the start of the decade and 2005, there has been a downward trend in homeownership. The troubling rates of foreclosure and other housing indices reveal more than a statistical drop or figurative decline. They tell us of families losing their homes, of people losing their investments, and of dreams stolen away.

The causes are many. But the subprime situation is often the reason. But not all subprime loans are bad. Subprime loans broadened the availability of credit and led to housing investment for those who previously had less than perfect credit. And the majority of subprime loans are still being paid on time. About 20 percent of subprime loans are problematic. This means that many families cannot afford their subprime loans. Some families are on the edge of a financial abyss. The rapid rate of foreclosure threatens to continue unless appropriate actions are taken.

This budget will help HUD in its efforts to address the housing crisis. It will give us the tools we need to continue our work. We must reverse the downward trend in housing indices and homeownership. We must help homeowners retain their homes. We must

also look to the future because we must increase the number of families who own their own homes. And we must retain the sizable increase in minority homeownership. As you may recall, in 2002, the President challenged the nation to create 5.5 million new minority homeowners by the end of this decade. And we have made substantial progress: 3 million more minority families have become homeowners since 2002. We must build on that progress.

Of course, the President's stimulus package will help. I'm grateful Congress has given this package its support. By temporarily increasing FHA loan limits, we can back more safe, sound mortgages in high-cost states and help homeowners trapped in exotic subprime loans to hold on to their houses.

We also need the President's request for $65 million in this budget for housing counseling. Why? Well, we have learned that housing counseling makes a powerful difference in homeownership and foreclosure avoidance. You see, many of the failed loans were a surprise because the homeowner didn't read the fine print and didn't understand the contract. Housing counselors could have helped the homeowner gain a better perspective about affordability and balanced expectations. Families must buy homes they can afford. They must understand the contracts – have an especially clear idea of the features of financing and the ramifications of resets, and the terms and the timelines. Prospective homeowners must have a prudent mortgage, not a "suicide loan." We must remove the mystery, confusion, and vagueness from the process. There must be full disclosure, understandable information, and a transparent process.

That's why we need housing counselors to be fully engaged in the process. Housing counselors are an important line of defense against foreclosure. They can enlighten homeowners and help prospective owners determine the affordability and appropriateness of a mortgage. They can explain the contract and answer questions.

The President has been a strong proponent of funding for housing counseling, and has worked with you to more than double the funding for housing counselors since the start of this Administration. Now, given the magnitude of the crisis we face, it is important to expand funding for housing counseling. The President's request in this area is paramount to prevent future foreclosures.

These funds, in addition to the President's request of $180 million for the Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation, provide great services to those in need. And we now know that spending in this area is a sound investment, saving the nation from expenses related to foreclosures, lost revenues, slowdowns in business spending and new housing construction, and declining home values.

The Administration is also taking steps to ensure it isn't as hard for homeowners to read the fine print when they do sign on the dotted line. That's why we are committed to reform of the *Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act* (RESPA). We hope to publish a new RESPA rule in the next 30 days. Our goal is to bring much needed transparency to the home-buying process.

Strengthening Communities by Sustaining Homeownership Gains
The President has also requested a substantial increase of $263 million for our HOME program. This would bring the funding level up to nearly $2 billion for the nation's largest block grant program specifically designed to produce affordable housing. This request includes $50 million for the American Dream Downpayment Initiative, which provides flexible housing assistance, and increases affordable housing and minority homeownership. Since the inception of the HOME program 16 years ago, almost 812,000 units of affordable housing have been created.

We also need to support other efforts to maintain current homeownership and stimulate new purchases. In August 2007, the President and I introduced an effort, *FHASecure*, to help more Americans facing foreclosure refinance into a safer, more secure Federal Housing Administration (FHA) loan. We did this using current regulatory and I am pleased to report that the program is helping many families avoid foreclosure. There has been a noticeable increase in the number of closings with FHA. Two months ago, there were 2,500 closings a month with FHA. Now, there are 4,500 closings a week! By year's end, we expect FHA will be able to help more than 300,000 families refinance into affordable FHA-insured mortgages.

Mr. Chairman, you should also know that FHA has mailed letters to hundreds of thousands of at-risk homeowners to urge them to refinance with safer, more affordable FHA-backed mortgages. These letters are being sent to homeowners who already have or soon will confront the first reset of their adjustable rate mortgage, and are currently living in locations subject to FHA loan limits. We will be sending these letters out to about 850,000 at-risk homeowners.

But we could do so much more with legislation to modernize the FHA. Congress needs to quickly complete work on a bill that will immediately give us authority to expand FHA's ability to serve the very type of borrowers who were lured into high-cost, high-risk loans. We need to make the minimum down payment more flexible, create a fairer insurance premium structure, and permanently increase FHA's loan limits. This will allow more families to use FHA, perhaps hundreds of thousands of families. We need FHA modernization as soon as possible. Every day of delay places qualifying homeowners at unnecessary risk. Our estimates indicate that FHA modernization could help as many as 250,000 more families by the end of 2008.

We asked for this bill two years ago to help us avoid the mortgage crisis. But now we need it to help address the crisis.

I am also pleased that the mortgage industry has stepped forward to help. Treasury Secretary Paulson and I have worked closely with the mortgage industry to address the housing crisis in another way: enlist proactive industry cooperation. The industry worked with the Administration to develop a program called the *HOPE NOW Alliance* to help homeowners at risk of foreclosure. The *Alliance* has implemented a plan that could help up to 1.2 million homeowners avoid foreclosure over the next two years by

providing systematic relief that includes modify or refinancing existing loans, moving borrowers into *FHASecure* loans, and implementing a five-year freeze on interest rate resets for subprime loans. The industry has already assisted 370,000 homeowners. HOPE NOW has contacted more than half a million borrowers in the second half of 2007.

There are other actions that will help. So, you'll see the budget has a sharp increase for our Self-Help Homeownership Opportunity Program (SHOP) that works with organizations like Habitat for Humanity and others to build housing through sweat equity.

Fair housing practices are an important aspect of homeownership. This year marks the 40th anniversary of passage of the *Fair Housing Act*. Our budget provides $51 million to protect the right of all Americans to be free from housing discrimination based on race, religion, gender, sexual orientation, family status, or disability. This is an increase of $1 million over the current appropriated level.

I also hope you will notice our new Fair Lending Division. This office will examine questionable mortgage practices and investment complaints from homebuyers. It is an important addition – a new way to directly address unfair practices.

This new division has already made an impact. Recently, HUD awarded grants totaling approximately $1 million for the development of strategies to address lending discrimination. These grants were awarded to state agencies in Ohio, Massachusetts, Colorado, and Pennsylvania, states with some of the highest rates of foreclosure in the nation. The agencies in these four states are developing "best practices" for intake procedures, investigation techniques, and education and outreach activities for their mortgage lending enforcement programs. These "best practices" will be made available to all state and local agencies in the Fair Housing Assistance Program (FHAP).

Ending Chronic Homelessness

And the homeless must not be forgotten. We are making strides in reducing chronic homelessness with our "continuum of care" approach. We are working to provide assistance across the entire spectrum of homelessness. This continuum of care is vital because homelessness is a complex, difficult, multi-dimensional problem, both for those who are homeless and for those who are working to meet the needs of the homeless.

Our national effort to end homelessness has been steadfast, with strong commitment and investment. Since 2001, HUD has awarded approximately $10 billion in funding to support the housing and service needs of the homeless.

We are working especially hard to stop the revolving door for the chronically homeless. Early on in this Administration, President Bush set a goal to end chronic homelessness in

America. If we are to be successful, we must help break a cycle of circumstances and behaviors that consistently place the chronically homeless on the streets.

And there is evidence that we are making progress. The investment by HUD and local communities is working. In November, HUD announced that, across the country, local communities saw a nearly 12 percent drop in the number of individuals who literally call the streets their home, nearly 20,000 fewer persons living on our streets. This was good news. It shows that the hard work of thousands of people is paying off, that our efforts can make a powerful, positive difference.

Of course, we still have a long way to go before ending chronic homelessness. There are still people living on the streets, many of them are mentally ill, addicted to alcohol and/or drugs, or physically disabled. These are the most vulnerable among us, the hardest-to-house and the hardest-to-serve. The chronically homeless are people who are homeless for more than a year or who continue to cycle back into homelessness. They are people who need serious, sustained assistance to overcome their homelessness.

Mr. Chairman, I know you are mindful of the need to help our nation's homeless veterans. Americans are deeply, profoundly grateful for the service and sacrifice of our nation's veterans. In the proposed budget, there is a request for $75 million for our Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing Program (VASH). Prior to Fiscal Year 2008, this program had not been funded since 1993. Working with the Veterans Administration, we will create an additional 9,800 vouchers for FY09, bringing the total to approximately 20,000 homeless veterans being served through housing and social services, double the number of available housing vouchers.

Continuing HUD's Improved Management and Performance

Finally, I would like to discuss the management of the Department. For the first time since 1994, the Government Accountability Office (GAO) removed HUD's single-family housing mortgage insurance and rental housing assistance programs from the list of High-Risk federal programs. I am very proud of that fact.

I am also very pleased that HUD achieved a clean opinion in its 2007 financial statements, continuing a multi-year trend.

We need to build upon this progress. So, Mr. Chairman, I also want to mention that the $313 million included in the request for our Working Capital Fund will enable the Department to make critical upgrades to our aging information technology (IT) systems. If we want to improve the delivery and control of the Department's significant program resources for the benefit of the people and communities we serve, then it is imperative that we have sufficient funding for IT systems modernization efforts. The $65 million reduction of our 2008 request for IT funding was devastating. That reduction has stopped practically all HUD systems modernization efforts.

Mr. Chairman, this subcommittee should know that without sufficient funding, we will be unable to modernize FHA's 25 year old mainframe systems to effectively support FHA

program reforms. We will be unable to improve the automation of the Section 8 Project-Based Assistance contract renewal and payment processes. We will be unable to effectively implement asset management improvements over the public housing stock. We will continue to manage our $16 billion a year Housing Choice Voucher Program through a cumbersome spreadsheet process rather than an automated database that can provide timely information for HUD and Congressional oversight. HUD has demonstrated the ability to successfully use its limited IT funding. I urge you to support the budget request for IT funding.

Conclusion

Overall, this is a good budget for the Department…balanced, reasonable, appropriate, and workable. It allows us to operate within a framework of cooperation and partnership with other federal agencies, state and local governments, and non-profit initiatives. The American people count on HUD…count on us for direct assistance, grants, professional administration, and high-quality public service. With this budget we meet those expectations. With this budget we can get the job done.

I also want to thank the employees at HUD for their extraordinary service during a very trying and difficult period. Mr. Chairman and members of the subcommittee, I am sure that you would be extremely impressed by the day-to-day work product of our employees. I am very proud of my colleagues at HUD.

Mr. Chairman, as we proceed through the budget process, I look forward to working with you. I thank you and the subcommittee for your consideration of this budget request.

####

Mr. OLVER. Thank you very much, Mr. Secretary, for your comments. I know that my Ranking Member needs to leave somewhat early, I know you have concurrent time constraints, so I think I should get quickly onto the questioning.

As is usual with this Subcommittee, I and the Ranking Member take up to 10 minutes in this first round and then after that, we go back and forth in 5-minute sequences, so we will get right down to it.

PROJECT-BASED SECTION 8

Mr. Secretary, your budget acknowledges that the Project-Based Section 8 account is in serious need of additional funding to deal with a shortfall, which variously we characterized it somewhere between $1.5 billion and $2.8 billion, that has evolved, has been created, over a period of time by the practices of OMB and HUD in that account.

In the past 12 months, this Subcommittee has invested $1.5 billion in this account by an increase of over $900 million in the 2007 bill and then an increase of somewhat over $600 million in the 2008 bill to try to correct this, but we still have a significant way, a long way, to go to get back to where we are doing 12-month contract renewals as they come up for renewal.

You have added, again, $623 million to the Project-Based account, and that is by far your largest increase. I thank you for that because I think we do have to, given the problem, if we can once get a clear handle on it.

I wanted to ask you, what does your proposed increase of $623 million in that Project-Based account actually buy in terms of months toward this goal of getting us to where we can contract for full 12-month contracts when these contracts come up for renewal?

Mr. JACKSON. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. Because I think that is a very in-depth question and to give you a very in-depth answer, I would ask Assistant Secretary Brian Montgomery, to come up and speak to you regarding that matter.

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Thank you, Mr. Secretary and Mr. Chairman. The issue has to do with the fact that these contracts do not coincide with our fiscal year. They are 12-month contracts, but very few of them match perfectly the fiscal year. Some go June to June. That cuts right in the middle of a fiscal year.

So historically what has happened is we have used funds from the previous fiscal year to get us into the first few months of the new fiscal year, and then those funds pick up that contract to carry it through as that contract goes into the next fiscal year. Now, this process, which has been called several things, short funding, incremental funding, actually began back in the Clinton Administration and carried forward for many, many years.

Recently our appropriations attorney ruled that we could no longer continue that practice and it has resulted in, as you know, a lot of unhappy Project-Based Section 8 owners. The good news is that in working together with our CFO's office, we came up with new contracts that the owners agreed to, and now we are doing essentially the same process but now within the bounds of new contracts.

I would also say the $600 million is a substantial number, but it is also $1.2 billion more than our request from last year and some of that was born of our contract-by-contract review that we will have up on the Hill, by the way, within the next 2 weeks before the 60-day deadline. So I know that it is difficult, but I ask that you please understand that it has more to do with the fact that those contracts split fiscal years than probably anything else.

SECTION 8 CONTRACT RENEWALS

Mr. OLVER. Okay. I guess I do understand that, but is it not an appropriate goal to have, particularly in the housing market that we are dealing with?

If we have contracts being renewed for 2, or 4, or just to the end of the fiscal, wherever, how close those things happen to fit, if those are being renewed only, does not that cause a considerable instability within the market for the developers, the contractors, in that instance and whether they feel confident that this is going to be an ongoing continuity?

You may be correct that when it started it was a small amount. It has been allowed to grow, and grow and grow until it has become a big amount that meant we were in a position where we were contracting for very short periods of time and only until the end of the fiscal year and leaving these contracts running with considerable uncertainty.

With what is happening in the housing market in general, is not this contributing to that, to the housing market problem?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Yes, Mr. Chairman, you are correct. I would be less than honest if I said it was not a burden on the owners. Absolutely, it is. But again, this process has been going on for many, many years.

12-MONTH FUNDING CONTRACTS

Mr. OLVER. So would it not be appropriate to get that back to the point where since they have originally 12-month contracts, it is our business to make certain that we have appropriated enough money and that that problem be brought to us so that we understand what the true nature of it is so that we can have these contracts all go when they are being renewed to the 12-month series?

Or else, we are contributing to the loss of affordable housing. Some of the contractors will take that view and have problems with their lenders and so on and the instability of that simply contributes to people taking their projects out of the public sector.

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Absolutely, Mr. Chairman. It should be our goal to ultimately be able to fully fund those contracts for the full term of their, well, for the full term I should say. I have to say, though, this is a huge step with the $1.2 billion increase from our request last year to get us to that goal.

We will fully fund those contracts for this fiscal year, and for the extent that the 12-month cycle falls within fiscal year 2009 they will be fully funded.

SECTION 8 FUNDING SHORTFALL

Mr. OLVER. Well, of course, yes. We have added $1.2 billion to the total. This Subcommittee added the $600 million over your request in the 2008 budget in and now you have added another $600 million.

Just within the last few weeks, your Chief Financial Officer, Mr. John Cox, in a letter to Chairman Maxine Waters of the Financial Services Committee, and I am going to quote from that letter, "to resume a full 12-month funding at the time of contract renewal in fiscal year 2008 HUD estimated that it would require $1.5 billion to complete funding on fiscal year 2007 projects, contract renewals, that were incrementally funded into fiscal year 2008 and an additional $6.6 billion to fully fund for 12 months all HAP contract renewals that come due during fiscal year 2008."

Now, this seems to me to leave a shortfall of about $1.9 billion to fund the 12-month contract terms in fiscal year 2008 based on HUD's estimated $8.1 billion need and the $6.14 billion that is provided in fiscal year 2008 appropriations.

The $8.1 billion would be the $6.6 billion plus the $1.5 billion estimated in this letter that would be required to fund the renewals that were incrementally funded into fiscal year 2008. Am I confusing you in this? Do those numbers get together?

Mr. JACKSON. We will let our CFO, Mr. Chairman, answer that.

Mr. OLVER. Mr. Cox is here?

Mr. JACKSON. Yes.

Mr. COX. Yes, sir.

Mr. OLVER. Would you like to explain what your letter——

Mr. COX. Mr. Chairman, good morning, John Cox, the CFO. Yes, that was our letter, and obviously we worked closely with Commissioner Montgomery's staff. The $1.9 billion, you are absolutely correct. That is the number it would take to fully fund all contract renewals coming into fiscal year 2008——

Mr. OLVER. For 12 months.

Mr. COX [continuing]. For a full 12 months, and in addition to that, to fully fund any renewal that would come due in fiscal year 2008 for a full 12 months. So it is a combination of both fiscal years for a full 12 months.

Mr. OLVER. So the $623 million, which I am enormously grateful that you have added, funds part of that. I was asking originally the question how far into the year does that fund the part? It still leaves us some short.

Mr. JACKSON. It really does not leave us short. As Commissioner Montgomery said, Mr. Chairman, they do not all come due at the same time. That is why I wanted him to specifically illustrate that proposal. Some are due in June, some are due in July, some are due in August.

Mr. OLVER. That is still going to leave us in the position of not being able to fund those that come due within 2008 for a full next 12 months. We are going to have to do some additional work on this. This is a road map that is extremely complex, and I will leave it at that for the moment.

Mr. JACKSON. Okay. Thank you so much.

Mr. OLVER. Thank you very much. Mr. Knollenberg.

FORECLOSURES

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Mr. Chairman, thank you very much. I am going to toss some questions out on the FHA and subprime lending which has been a huge problem, particularly in my state of Michigan, and other states in the area and around the country, I might add, as well. I know that the expectation of these reset dates approaching could get worse.

Mr. JACKSON. That is correct.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. I hoped you would say something else, but I understand——

Mr. JACKSON. No, that is correct.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. What can you tell us about the severity of the issue over the next year and what the FHA or HUD programs can do and should be doing to ease the burden. With respect to your answer I would like to have you indicate how many mortgages or what percentages of the subprime loans are at risk over the next year.

Mr. JACKSON. We have projected, that is Secretary Paulson and myself, to the President that we are facing somewhere between 1.8 to 2 million possible foreclosures from the year——

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. You said possible foreclosures?

Mr. JACKSON. Yes, possible foreclosures, from those loans that were made in 2005 and 2006. We believe that with the FHA modernization legislation we can forestall many of those. Secondly, we have refinanced almost 75,000 just FHA Secure.

So we believe that clearly with the program that Secretary Paulson and I talked about yesterday, the Hope Now Allowance, that we can forestall probably 1.5 million to 1.8 million of those foreclosures.

FHA SECURE

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Now, if I could interrupt, what extent is FHA offering to take over these loans, or to convert these loans, or even to go so far as to FHA taking on a risk beyond what they are now?

Mr. JACKSON. I will let Brian speak in depth to where we are because we did very well this last 2 months. We have received an enormous amount of applications for FHA to refinance many of these loans, over 200,000, and we have adequately addressed 75,000 since FHA Secure.

FHA STANDARDS

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. My real key question here is the following, is FHA weakening its standards, its underwriting standards in any way?

Mr. JACKSON. No.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Not at all?

Mr. JACKSON. No.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. None?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. No, sir, we are not. Just one slight correction. Those are loans that could possibly go to default, and, you know, I guess we are both right. They could end up in foreclosure, but it is more default at this point, that 2.2 million figure. Between September, early September when the President and Secretary Jack-

son made the announcement about FHA Secure, through the end of December, FHA helped pump $32 billion worth of liquidity into the mortgage market.

Compare that timeframe to the previous year it was about $17 billion, so we almost doubled the amount of liquidity that we pumped into the mortgage market.

HOPE NOW ALLIANCE

Now, I have to say within that 2.2 million possible default and/or foreclosure number, there are a good number of investors and speculative buyers, people who used subprime loans to buy a second home, but when you peel it all back I think the potential universe that we could help between us, the Hope Now Alliance and others is somewhere on the order of, you know, maybe a million.

I think by the end of this calendar year we will have refinanced close to 300,000. The Hope Now Alliance, which is doing wonderful things, is also remodifying and doing a lot of other things as well.

SUBPRIME MORTGAGES

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Go back in the early 1990s, we had no such thing as subprime mortgages. Then about 1993 or so they grew on us. Again, they grow, and they have grown steadily. From 1993 to 2005, subprime originations grew to $625 billion. That growth was largely focused on racial and ethnic minorities, first-time home buyers included as well, and low-income households that could not pass the normal underwriting standards.

It is a simple fact that this boom was encouraged at intense cheerleading from and was ballyhooed as the great American success story by both the Clinton and the Bush Administrations. So my concern, my question, is quite simply do you think this active support of subprime loans as a way of achieving record minority home ownership makes the government in any way responsible even though it did actually insure these loans itself?

Mr. JACKSON. Ranking Member Knollenberg, I really do not think the government is responsible. I think that it was greed. In many cases the mortgage insurers and mortgage brokers did not use the same standards that many of our banks use.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. The question really is is the government in any way responsible in your judgment?

Mr. JACKSON. I really do not. I think that somewhere people must take individual responsibility. I think many of the people who went to the subprime market were lured into the subprime market. They could have qualified for the prime market, but they did not.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Was this within the framework of HUD we are talking about here or are you talking about generally?

Mr. JACKSON. Generally. Not within the framework of HUD because for some strange reason until Commissioner Montgomery came we were not really instrumental in trying to change the antiquated system that we had at FHA to entice more people to deal with us. That is why we lost such a large market share.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Looking back, in your own judgment do you believe that subprime lending is a solid, modern innovation needed to expand home ownership and if the underlying problems of this

boom is in how the mortgages are fixed? Answer the first part of the question.

Mr. JACKSON. I think it is because 80 percent of the subprime market, subprime loans are still very rarely physically responsible. I think we had so many people enter the market that 20 percent of them is a problem. There is no question about it. I do not want to throw a fishing rod out and say that everybody who fishes in the Pacific Ocean is a bad fishing person. They are not.

Only about 20 percent of those loans that we are dealing with today should not have been made. Some were made over the internet, some were made by falsifying income, and it was a very lucrative market. Congressman Knollenberg, these loans were packages, securitized, and everybody was making money. So they really did not pay great attention to the process.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. But when you say they, you mean whom?

Mr. JACKSON. The insurers, banks, investment houses. I mean, to date, if we had to look at where our loans are, I am not sure we would be able to find it because we sold the securities to China, to India, to Brazil.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Here is my point. Only 20 percent of the subprime loans were made by banks and thrifts. Eighty percent were made by something else.

Mr. JACKSON. Right. But the 80 percent basically was made by the banks. Let me say this to you because it is very important to understand that when you and I go into a bank, no matter we will not call the huge banks, we know when they give us a decision as to whether they will finance a loan and say it is a 30 year mortgage, we know it is a 30 year mortgage.

If not, we have recourse through federal regulations. With many of the mortgage insurers, the mortgage brokers, that was not the case. They would tell the people that they have a five year ARM. It looks that way at the first two pages, but if you look at page 99 you realize you only had a year ARM and they change.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. I know what is interesting, only about 20 percent of these being with the banks and the thrifts, and both of those groups have very arduous standards.

Mr. JACKSON. That is correct.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. They are not experiencing a problem, so it must be somewhere where they do have a lax way of——

Mr. JACKSON. I agree with you, but I am saying those are the mortgage——

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. My question is is it HUD that may be involved in some of this? We could talk about a number of other instances. I know that some of the brokers were rotten, if you want to know the truth, and I know you know the truth.

Mr. JACKSON. Right.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. And some of them are gone. The bad apples have drifted away. The dilemma remains, the problem remains. Of these 80 percent that were done by other than banks and thrifts I think there is a concern here about the future with respect to the nonthrift, nonbanking arena and where the 80 percent is the problem, and maybe some were pushed into subprime when they should not have been.

That is something that I would hope that the banking industry, the thrift industry, would do something about making sure it does not repeat.

On the FHA front I am greatly disturbed, also, by reports from HUD officials that much of the decline in receipts is due to losses associated with the increase in seller funded down payments and is a direct result of the involvement of national and local nonprofit organizations, like the Nehemiah Group, for example.

SELLER FUNDED DOWNPAYMENTS

Frankly, on the surface it seems that a nonprofit helping a family buy a house by contributing to the down payment, that should be a good thing, should it not, not a bad thing? It should be a good thing.

Mr. JACKSON. Well, let me say this. It should be a good thing, but it is not necessarily so.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Why?

Mr. JACKSON. Because the amount that they are charging necessarily does not mean that is what the property should have sold for, and so they undervalue the property from the very beginning.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Undervalued?

Mr. JACKSON. Undervalue. Many of the people do not realize that when they go to sell they really cannot get what they paid for the property. So it looks good, again, on the surface, but it is not necessarily all good. I think that we should ask for some specific amount for down payment and make sure that the person will be able to support the mortgage.

In many cases, many of those companies do not necessarily do that. Now, let me say one other thing to you with respect to the question you asked about the loans. I am very pleased that the FBI has decided to begin to investigate many of these mortgage insurers and mortgage brokers, who made these loans. That is a positive step, and I think that is the way we are going to curb to make sure it does not happen again.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. What is your projected cost to the fund in 2009 if this activity is continued?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Sir, I just want to quickly say, as you know, we proposed a rule to do away with the seller funded down payment organizations. The IRS had a revenue ruling in May of 2006. Yes, almost 2 years old. They were taking their time, and I will not comment beyond that. We were enjoined from implementing the rule by a Federal District Court here in Washington. The Judge has told us that he will have his decision by the end of February.

I will say that from an actuarial standpoint, they are running us out of business. The claim rate, the cumulative claim rate on loans that have that sort of assistance are two and a half times more likely to fail than those that do not have that type of assistance. The IRS touched on two things, sir, quickly, that you cannot have a circular financial arrangement between a buyer, a seller and a nonprofit.

The other thing the IRS said is they violate what is called the detached and disinterested generosity clause. It essentially means that, sir, if you give $500 to the Girl Scouts of America, you do not call them up the next day and say where are my free cookies?

Clearly in this instance there is an expectation on the part of all parties.

The IRS honed in on that, they are 100 percent right, but us trying to fix this has been arduous at best, sir. The bottom line is they are driving us out of business, and that is a pure and simple fact.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. And you are going to try to stop them obviously.

Mr. MONTGOMERY. We have tried, sir, and the irony of this is we are in record level in terms of refinanced transactions, we are in record levels of recent with purchase contracts as well, but as long as we have that percentage of these loans and that form of assistance we will carry that risk in perpetuity.

We could be the largest mortgage insurance company in the world, but as long as we have that level of risk, sir, it is unsustainable. That is what the 2009 budget states very clearly.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. My time has expired. I do appreciate the responses. Let me just say, Mr. Chairman, thank you very much for allowing my stretching that out maybe for another few seconds or whatever. I do have plenty of other questions obviously, and some of those will have to be for the record, and I will submit those. Thank you.

Mr. OLVER. Thank you. Mr. Rodriguez.

Mr. RODRIGUEZ. Mr. Secretary.

Mr. JACKSON. Good morning.

Mr. RODRIGUEZ. Welcome back.

Mr. JACKSON. Thank you so much.

PROPOSED PROGRAM CUTS

Mr. RODRIGUEZ. I noticed the President's budget cuts $866 million from the CDBG monies, that is approximately a 22.4 percent cut. In addition to that, the request also cuts the EDI, which is I guess the Economic Development Initiative, as well as the Neighborhood Initiatives, or NIs, by over $206 million. That is almost about a $1 billion cut on those very significant programs.

In addition to that, these were programs that help communities in terms of infrastructures and those kind of issues. It also eliminates the HOPE VI Program that has been real good. I also represent a rural community. You also cut the rural housing and economic development, you cut the Housing for Persons With Disabilities and also made some significant cuts based on paying hazards reductions.

Based on those recommendations, Mr. Secretary, it is a pretty significant deterioration in terms of those programs that go out there and address some of our needs. How can you justify making those proposals?

Mr. JACKSON. First of all, Congressman, I appreciate your question. Let me say that rural housing, first, should be handled by the Department of Agriculture because they have a large rural housing program. Secondly, we think that the reduction in the community development program is needed in the sense that many of the cities who are receiving Block Grant funds really do not need Block Grant funds.

We think we should be able to pinpoint those cities. A best example I can give you, a city that has severe problems, like Canton,

Ohio, where they have lost a lot of industry, I would like to zero in for 3, 4 or 5 years, and give them the kind of monies that they need to entice industry to come back.

The way the program is written since 1974, we cannot do that. Palm Beach still gets Community Development Block Grant funds; Dover, Washington, still gets Community Development Block Grant funds; Dallas, Texas, my hometown, still gets Community Development Block Grant funds and usually, in many cases, for building inspectors.

I think that the amount that we have appropriated, if the legislation was passed we would be able to address real serious issues that cities face. Today we cannot really address those issues because the money is so widespread, Congressman, that it is really not doing what you or your colleagues initially wanted it to do in 1974.

I can tell you the first part of it it did do that because it zeroed in on those communities that needed it. Today, that is not the case. So if the legislation is passed I can tell you we can make strides in certain cities like Canton or Lorain, other places that really need injections to change the quality of life and bring businesses back.

HOMELESS

Mr. RODRIGUEZ. There is a difference between eliminating the resources and retargeting in terms of the language that you would be seeking. I would agree with you there is a need for some targeting, but you are also eliminating.

I do want to thank you for looking at adding that $50 million on homeless, but based on the numbers also of homeless and the conservative figures that are out there, that increase amounts to about less than $3 a year which you are basically buying a meal for a homeless person, one meal out of 365 days.

I do want to congratulate you for at least putting new resources there, but we really need a little more than just one meal a year for these people that are homeless.

Mr. JACKSON. Let me say this to you. I would not debate that. I think that we have done a lot, but there is a lot more we need to do. There is just no question about that. We had a reduction for the first time in the history of 12 percent last year of the homeless rate, and we are able now to keep data.

That data will give us better information as to how we should address this problem. So I would agree we have done a lot, but there is still a lot more to do.

Mr. RODRIGUEZ. Thank you very much.

Mr. OLVER. Ms. Kaptur.

HOUSING FOR SPECIAL NEEDS

Ms. KAPTUR. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, very much. Welcome, Mr. Secretary.

Mr. JACKSON. Thank you so much, Congresswoman.

Ms. KAPTUR. Good to have you here. Of course we always focus on the gaps and needs. I have to say yesterday when I was back in my district I attended a ceremony at a place called Bittersweet Farms which is one of the few adult residential facilities for the au-

tistic in the world, and there was a HUD special project going up for adults who cannot function on their own.

It just made me feel really good with the snowflakes falling and the ceremony that we had that all the people at HUD, sometimes if you wonder if your life is worth it and if you are doing what is important, yes, you are. So in one place in America, one place in the world, you made a difference, and it was just good to experience these housing projects for people with special needs and so forth.

Mr. JACKSON. Thank you very much.

FORECLOSURE ASSISTANCE

Ms. KAPTUR. They are not the only ones, but it was just a very special moment, so I wanted to share that with you as I get into the parts of the budget I am not happy about. Let me just say on home foreclosures, Ohio is really terribly affected, and the part of Ohio that I represent more than the rest.

Congresswoman Sutton, if she were here, Sherrod Brown over in the Senate side now, we all share this same problem. There was a story at NPR this morning about Detroit. I think up to 5 percent of their housing stock is in foreclosure.

My question to you, sir, is first, is it my correct understanding that the amount of potential foreclosed units in the country could ring in over $2 million, and then from what you have said this morning as a result of what you are doing $300,000 of that or up to $1 million might be able to be refinanced?

I am trying to understand the whole—what part we are dealing with and what part we are not dealing with. In my own district I can tell you it is my impression that the workouts are being handled as best they can, but I am not sure that in the way you want to target CDBG we are targeting this foreclosure assistance as carefully as we could to the areas that are being hit the hardest.

So could you discuss a little bit the total gap that might exist in the country, and then how many troubled home mortgages you expect to be able to somehow touch and prevent foreclosure, what the gap will be? What are we going to lose, half a million homes?

Then, in places like Toledo and Lorain, Ohio, and Sandusky, Ohio, and you mentioned Canton, my goodness, how these programs are going to target in there right now so that we can move it more quickly, maybe prevent those foreclosures more quickly. How are you targeting the areas of greatest need?

Mr. JACKSON. Sure. Let me say this to you. We have done I think, a very yeoman's job just with FHA Secure, but I think once the legislation, modernization legislation, is reconciled between the House and the Senate and passes we will be able to do a lot more. The other thing that I think is so very important at this point in time is that the stimulus package that you all passed has the ability to let us go up to $729,000 where before we could only go up to $362,000.

So we will be able to help a lot more homeowners in the next 10 months than we would have been able to help previously. I wish that had been forever for FHA because I think it could have been very, very important.

Ms. KAPTUR. So did you agree with my number of $2 million? Potentially, total outfall could be $2 million?

Mr. JACKSON. Yes, I do. Yes.

Ms. KAPTUR. Then, if that additional increment, if we finish the bill over on the Senate side, what percent of that $2 million can be prevented?

Mr. JACKSON. We believe we can easily serve $1 million of it, as the Commissioner said. With the FHA modernization, with everything we put in place, yes. With the ceiling raised, we can really address many of the issues we could not address before.

Ms. KAPTUR. Okay, potentially half. With the bill not through the Senate, what are we doing right now to target communities where the outfall is just phenomenal? The real estate market is basically, if there is anything worse than dead, that is what it is. It is a negative debt.

Mr. JACKSON. That is right. We have been traveling the country. I was in Detroit when 500 families showed up, and we basically saved about 80 of those. I am in Cleveland next week. Because it is very important that people understand that we can stop this process if they would only just talk to their lenders.

One of the things, Congresswoman, that we found is that 50 percent of the people are shameful and they do not want to talk to their lenders. So we are getting the message out through FHA Secure, through Hope Now Allowance. We sent out more than 500,000 pieces of literature to potential homeowners who might be in default or foreclosure and said we have counseling centers at your city at this place.

We have 2,300 counseling centers around the country, plus we have NeighborWorks, which is another counseling center. So we are trying to get the message out. Our biggest problem has been, sadly to say, it is people who do not want to tell anyone that they are in foreclosure.

Ms. KAPTUR. I know my time has expired. Mr. Secretary, when you consider going to Cleveland, think about going 40 minutes west, and then another 60 minutes west and then you would cover the whole northern band of Ohio. We could take you up to Detroit, and you could fly back.

You will be in the bullseye then from Cleveland to Detroit of one of the most troubled regions in the midwest. I would just ask you to consider that because we are all hurting.

Mr. JACKSON. I will consider that. Probably what I will do is I will have my scheduler call your person and see if you are going to be there, and we can travel together.

Ms. KAPTUR. Thank you. Thank you very much.

Mr. JACKSON. Okay.

Mr. OLVER. Thank you. Ms. Roybal-Allard.

Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD. Welcome, Mr. Secretary.

Mr. JACKSON. Good morning.

AFFORDABLE HOUSING LOSS

Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD. I would like to follow up a little bit on what the Chairman's line of questioning was and also express my concern that HUD may face the type of financial crisis that they expe-

rienced at the end of 2007 when owners were faced with long delays in their payments.

One of the concerns that I have is that in California, for example, owners are indicating that they are unlikely to renew their 12-month contracts if HUD cannot guarantee the full 12 months of their contract. Does HUD have a plan to address this potential loss of affordable housing stock if this were to happen?

You indicated that it is not a reality, but even the perception that they are not going to get their money is causing a lot of the property owners to say we are not going to even take a chance, which means there would be a considerable loss of affordable housing. Is there any plan in place to address that if that were to happen?

Mr. JACKSON. Yes. We are trying to assure, Congresswoman, that they understand we are going to make good—to make sure that they stay in the program on their payment. We have gone out of our way to make sure that they understand that. I wish I could tell you that we have some magical wand we could wave and say this is going to correct the problem.

We think we are on the way. I think the Chairman said it a few minutes ago, we added $623 million. I think we are coming very close to resolving this problem, but until that problem is resolved we have made it very clear to the landlords that look, do not worry about it, we are going to make our payments to you.

Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD. And will they be timely?

Mr. JACKSON. They will be timely. They will be timely. There was one period of time that they were not, and I think you know it and I know it, and I made sure that was not going to happen again.

CAPITAL NEEDS ASSESSMENT

Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD. Throughout the country there are public housing authorities, as you know, that have very serious needs. For example, in the City of Los Angeles, there are more than 60 public housing sites totalling 8,000 units, and in Los Angeles County, there are more than 3,600 units and 68 sites. Many of these projects require substantial repairs and modernization, including asbestos removal.

In 1998, I believe, was the last time that HUD actually conducted a capital needs assessment, and at that time it found that it needed an additional $18 billion to $20 billion to bring all public housing properties up to date. Last year HUD announced that it was finally going to conduct a new needs assessment.

Has that assessment been done? What were the findings? If it has not been completed, when will it be completed and what do you expect to find?

Mr. OZDINEC. Congresswoman, we are in the process of conducting that needs assessment right now. We expect to let the contract in April.

Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD. You have not let the contract out yet?

Mr. OZDINEC. No.

Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD. It has not been done?

Mr. OZDINEC. No.

Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD. So right now the funding of these capital funds are just based on, what, 10-, 12-year information? How do you determine that when you do not even have accurate information about what the need is?

Mr. JACKSON. Well, let me say this to you, Congresswoman. Since 1990, when we had the Commission on Severely Distressed Public Housing, we said that there was 88,000 severely distressed public housing units in this country that needed to be removed and rebuilt. As of to date, we have removed 150,000.

I believe clearly that there is still enough money in each one of these housing authority's budgets. I was in Los Angeles before the untimely death with Congresswoman McDonald. We visited two of the public housing developments. I believe that they have the capital funds to do this. Many of them are not using the capital funds the way that I think it should be used in that process.

Now, when we make the assessment if we really believe that they need more funds I believe whoever comes behind me will make sure that is done. As of today, think about it, we have removed 150,000 units out of many of the public housing stock. That has freed up a great deal of money for them to do capital improvement on those buildings that are left.

I still believe that they can be done. Secondly, they have reserves that they can use. Let me say this to you, because some people will say well, how do you know, Alphonso, that this has occurred, I have the dubious distinction of being the only Secretary that has ever ran a housing authority.

I ran three major housing authorities in this country: St. Louis, Washington, D.C., and Dallas. So I know how to use resources when it is necessary. I think many of the professionals in this business will do it, too, but they will not do it unless they in many cases know that the money is not coming.

I think we are allocating enough capital funds for them to do their job.

Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD. Okay. I see that my time is up, but I just want to address the point that you made about the reserves. My understanding, at least in California, is that many of these housing authorities do not have reserves or have very little reserve money to address these problems, and maybe we can follow-up on this a little bit.

Mr. JACKSON. I would be happy to because I can tell you that I am well aware a lot of them have reserves. Now, if you are talking about the very small ones, I would totally agree with you, but the major housing authorities in this country have reserve. Thanks.

Mr. OLVER. Mr. Berry.

Mr. BERRY. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you for being here, Mr. Secretary.

Mr. JACKSON. Thank you, Congressman.

SUBPRIME LENDING

Mr. BERRY. I believe that you said a few minutes ago that we had lenders that had not followed the Federal law or the Federal regulations. Why? I mean, did they just choose to ignore the law or are they some way or other outside the jurisdiction of the Federal government in this matter?

Mr. JACKSON. Well, Congressman, they did not follow, I mean, the regulations as banks would follow or thrifts would follow. They made promises to people, they lied to people, they enticed people to take these loans knowing that they were not financially capable of fulfilling these loans, and many of these people are being prosecuted today.

As I just said to the Chairman, I am very pleased that the FBI has gotten involved at this point in time to close down a number of these insurers. We have taken several steps to make sure that we do everything in our power to make sure that they do not continue to run this process. Thirdly, many of them have gone into bankruptcy.

Middle America is in bankruptcy at this point. So, yes, we had a lot of people. This was a very lucrative situation because nobody really held a mortgage in many cases. What they did is securitized it. They made the loan, sold it to the bank, the bank sold it to Germany, sold it to France, sold it to India, China.

I mean, it was a moneymaking venture in this process. I would be happy to give you a diagram of how this system worked. It was phenomenal. And so over the last 8 years it has just been a moneymaker for people, and they did not do any of the things they should. People were making loans over internet, people were falsifying their information to make a loan and no one was verifying this information.

That is why we are in this situation today. They did not want to verify it because they said if we can make the loan, it is fine.

Mr. BERRY. Well, I probably do not fully understand how that happened, but I reserve the right to be a poor dirt farmer, but I can add and subtract. I guess my real question to you is, do we need more laws or is it just a matter of enforcing the laws that we have?

Mr. JACKSON. Well, I will say this, that your colleague, Chairman Barney Frank, and Chairman Dodd on the Senate side, have talked about ways to look at how we can address this issue. So if you all choose to address the issue we will enforce any regulation or laws that you put in place.

Mr. BERRY. Basically, we have got laws that were ignored by the people that were violating them and they were not enforced either.

Mr. JACKSON. Well, they are being enforced now.

Mr. BERRY. Right.

Mr. JACKSON. I just think it was so lucrative nobody cared until we had this problem. That is why 2 years ago we brought the FHA modernization bill, because I foresaw that we were going to have a serious problem with these subprime loans.

Mr. BERRY. Thank you.

Mr. OLVER. Mr. Pastor.

Mr. PASTOR. Good morning, Mr. Secretary.

Mr. JACKSON. Good morning.

HOPE VI

Mr. PASTOR. First, let me apologize for being late and not being here at the very beginning, but this is the appropriations season and Subcommittees are having hearings about the same time. It is

getting harder to recall a conversation I had with you last year that dealt with the HOPE VI.

At the time, I had told you that we had just completed one in Phoenix. I think you had just seen it—The Harmon Project.

Mr. JACKSON. Yes.

Mr. PASTOR. And that we were interested in doing another one. I think you zeroed out the program last year. However, you said there was enough money in the program to continue new programs, and that the program was slow and was not moving forward as you had projected, and a number of proposals had been awarded but had not been completed or started. What is the status today?

Mr. JACKSON. To date, Congressman, we have completed 75 HOPE VI out of 290 that have been awarded since 1990. We still have $1.4 billion outstanding.

What I would like to see in all honesty, and I said this to the Financial Service Committee, is the ability to recapture that money and send it to places, like Phoenix, to Atlanta, to Charlotte, I want to even send my hometown, Dallas, but where people have really utilized the money to revitalize and integrate communities, both socially and economically, so we have zeroed it out.

I still believe that there is no better program than the two, that is first, Community Development Block Grant, it is a phenomenal program. Secondly, I think that the HOPE VI for those that have been developed are absolutely phenomenal. I had the distinction of serving on the Commission on Severely Distressed Public Housing. We wrote the law.

I personally gave the name to HOPE, which is Housing Opportunity for People Everywhere. I believe in the program, it is just the program has been stalled and it is not working very well. And so I would ask again to Chairman Olver and you all the ability to recapture that money and send it to cities.

If we could recapture that $1.4 billion and send it to the right cities—when I say the right cities, the cities who have demonstrated this. Of course they are going to have to compete, but knowing that they have done very well—I mean, when you look at Atlanta, you look at Charlotte, they have done very well with their HOPE VIs. I think that is the way to do it.

Then once that money is expended, I will go to the mat for anybody to tell people the HOPE VI Program is wonderful.

HOPE VI REFORM

Mr. PASTOR. As I recall, you said that your hands were tied last year and again this year because of lack of reform and inability to recapture. As you well know, Chairwoman Maxine Waters has been very active and HOPE VI is one she would like to continue.

You have testified before the Financial Services, and I imagine before Maxine Waters.

Mr. JACKSON. Surely.

Mr. PASTOR. Have you proposed or asked for the reforms you need so that we can recoup some billion dollars so we can continue? You talk about 150,000, some people say it is only 100,000, severe distressed stock that has been rehabbed, and there is probably about another 100,000 that still needs to be redone, and so there is still a need to do that, if we have that money available.

Have you suggested to her what would be some of the reforms that would help to do that?

Mr. JACKSON. Yes, I have. I have suggested not only to her, to the Senate side and to both the House side, the Financial Services Committee. I think that if they would permit us to go back 5 years we could probably get $500 million very quickly, or go back 10 years, we could get more than that, and allocate it for reauthorization to those people who qualify.

I believe that is the approach that we take. I can give you the name of cities, I would be happy to have that delivered to you, that has had HOPE VIs since 1992, and nothing has occurred. I mean, nothing has occurred. One example is Detroit. Detroit is a city that has had a HOPE VI for a long time.

We just had one other city, and it has had one since 1996. That is 12 years ago, and the money is still sitting there. So I think that if we could recapture some of that money, reallocate it through the competitive process, we could make a tremendous difference. I said to Congresswoman McDonald when I visited her and two public housing developments there, they are ripe candidates for the HOPE VI Program.

I mean, if we had the money they would be great candidates because they sit in the heart of Los Angeles, and they have not been renovated since they have been built. So if a good application comes in, Milan Ozdinec is responsible for that, I think that clearly they would entertain all of those.

Mr. PASTOR. Mr. Chairman, my time is up, but since the Secretary was willing to send the list is it possible to request the list, and that way members can find out who is and maybe approach Chairwoman Waters?

Mr. JACKSON. Sure.

Mr. OLVER. We will make a formal request.

Mr. PASTOR. Thank you.

Mr. OLVER. Mr. Secretary, we have another matter pending. It is only one vote. We are going to need to break, so we are going to stand in recess for about 10 minutes.

Mr. JACKSON. Thank you, sir.

[Recess.]

Mr. OLVER. Thank you, Mr. Secretary.

Mr. JACKSON. Thank you.

CDBG FORMULA

Mr. OLVER. We are in a conundrum in the House, and so in about 20 minutes, we will have to go again. I think we will probably try to adjourn this about the time that you need to be trying to get to an airplane. I have commanded Mr. Goode to be for a few minutes the Ranking Member until Mr. Aderholt comes back.

Let me just try to clarify a couple of things in my mind that came up from some things that some of my colleagues had raised. In the question of the CDBG formula that you have suggested needs to be changed, you have proposed that several times, really, the formula change ought to be a matter for authorization.

I am wondering whether you, the Department or OMB, however these things go for actual legislative change, whether those

changes that you have been offering have been submitted to the Financial Services Committee, for their consideration.

Mr. JACKSON. They have been. I am sorry.

Mr. OLVER. Go ahead.

Mr. JACKSON. They have been. We resubmitted them this year.

Mr. OLVER. You submitted them this year.

Mr. JACKSON. Resubmitted them last year, also.

PUBLIC HOUSING RESERVES

Mr. OLVER. Last year? Okay. I have some sympathy to what you are saying, I have to admit, though I am not so sure exactly how you would then operate in Canton, Ohio.

If we knew exactly how community development would operate in the Canton with money that you might get from the Palm Beache, that is a different question. I really do not know how authorizing would deal with that, but that is where it might go.

Secondly, on the question of the reserves which Ms. Roybal-Allard raised, as you know, under the reauthorization bill for SEVRA, the Financial Services Committee and we, the House, have passed legislation that would reduce that number from that allowed reserve from 12 percent to nine and then to five.

We last year with the agreement of the Financial Services Committee chose to reduce the reserves to seven and a half in between the nine and five.

Now, let me ask you then, specifically, the $600 million that you are proposing should be reduced from reserves, where would that bring us in terms of the percentage below seven and a half, which is what we had last year? It has to bring it down so much. Where would that bring it?

Now, you are saying that the reserves are available only in big places, not in small places, but I think that SEVRA is saying the same thing. How far down would that $600 million bring us?

Mr. JACKSON. Let me ask, this is his specialty, Milan Ozdinec.

Mr. OZDINEC. Mr. Chairman, good afternoon, I am Milan Ozdinec from Public Housing and Voucher Programs. I think I would like to be clear about which reserve you are talking about. Are you talking about the public housing reserve or the Section 8 reserve?

Mr. OLVER. The public housing reserve.

Mr. OZDINEC. Okay. I am Section 8, net restricted assets.

Mr. OLVER. Wait a minute. The way you are taking the $600 is from the Section 8 public housing, the reserve, project account.

Mr. OZDINEC. I thought so. Okay. As we sit here, Mr. Chairman, the net restricted assets, which is the account that you are describing, sits at about $2.1 billion. That is previously appropriated and approved funds that have been awarded to housing authorities that currently sit in their bank accounts.

The Department has taken a position historically that was their money. That, much like the public housing program when those funds were awarded to those authorities, they would then utilize to the greatest degree possible that money for HAP and administration.

We have advocated in the last three budgets that the caps on the utilization of those funds be removed so that housing authorities, to the extent that they are able to utilize efficiently their funds by

reducing HAP, increasing negotiations with landlords to improve the efficiencies of their programs, can actually go above their authorized limits.

Mr. OLVER. They would say that would take their flexibility severely away. There must be some that is useable reserves versus what is unusable reserves.

Mr. OZDINEC. That is correct.

Mr. OLVER. We will have to clarify that. My time is up here again. We want to have a couple of rounds of questions from my colleagues before we have to go vote and let you go, okay?

Mr. JACKSON. Okay.

Mr. OLVER. Mr. Aderholt.

MANUFACTURED HOUSING

Mr. ADERHOLT. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Secretary, thank you for being here. I apologize for being late as well. It has sort of been a crazy day on the Hill with different hearings and procedural votes that are going on. It is that time of year with the appropriations season in full swing. Let me just take just a brief moment and say thank you for meeting with us yesterday.

I had the opportunity to meet with the Secretary and some leaders from the manufactured housing industry yesterday in his office. I want to thank you for taking the time to meet with us and to sit down to discuss those issues.

The manufactured housing industry is going through a very difficult time, as you know, over the last several years and trying to find some ways to help everyone find affordable housing, I think is very important. Just as important is your commitment to getting a group together in your office to work on that and your personal follow-up on that means quite a bit.

Mr. JACKSON. Thank you very much.

FHA REFORMS

Mr. ADERHOLT. So thank you for your commitment to do that. We look forward to working with you. I know Mr. Montgomery is here today. He was, I think, out of town yesterday and was not able to be with us, but I know his commitment to this issue as well, and it is certainly something that is very big on his portfolio.

I just want to talk a little bit about the priorities that you have at the Housing and Urban Development Agency and what your priorities with FHA reforms are. If you could just tell us a little bit about it, and what you foresee as something that you think is of the highest priority in that regard.

Mr. JACKSON. I must say that FHA reform, RESPA, are two of the highest priorities, especially FHA, because we think we can do a lot of good for low and moderate income people. We would love to see within the next week or so that we could have that legislation on the President's desk so it can get signed.

That would be a positive step for us because that would put us in a position to help a lot more Americans who are facing foreclosure today. I must appreciate the Congress for passing the stimulus package because it means that we can for the next 10 months help people at the higher level, up to $729,000, refinance their homes.

I think that is a very positive step, but at the same time I think that we need the modernization legislation because we are effectively closed out of a lot of communities from Virginia all the way back to the Atlantic Ocean, from Utah all the way back to the Pacific Ocean. We cannot finance any refinance or finance any homes basically within that sphere of this country.

So I think it is very important that this legislation pass. Secondly, I think that, too, with FHA Secure that the President talked about with the Hope Now Allowance, all of these are very important pieces of the puzzle. We were asked yesterday when we had Project Lifeline by a reporter what other steps we can take.

There is a whole lot of steps we can take to try to make sure that people stay in their homes. One of the major steps is FHA modernization. That is long-term, has a very positive effect. Now, I think it was Chairman Olver who asked about some of the payer outs such as Nehemiah.

I cannot say very much about it because basically we are in litigation, but I think that is not the best approach to take by setting up a system where they will give you your down payment and not really check your records so well. So we will see, but we think it is very important that we pass this modernization legislation.

IT MODERNIZATION

Mr. ADERHOLT. Of course, as far as what you need for computer servers, what would you need for modernization?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. We certainly need to upgrade our IT systems not just for single family but multifamily as well, but I would say single family is near the top of the list. The average age of our systems is a little less than 18 years old and our oldest Legacy system is about 29 years old. You can imagine how difficult it is to operate with systems that old.

Mr. JACKSON. Congressman, let me say this. When we walked in, that is when now Senator Martinez was Secretary Martinez, we had a little over 300 systems operating at HUD. We have cut that substantially. The IT funds, this and the 2009 budget, whoever comes behind me is not adequate enough to address the issues that we want to do.

We have gotten ourselves off the high risk list. We want to stay off the high risk list, but we are going to have to address certain issues with our information technology system. There is just no question about it. I am not sure how we do it if the sum told in this case is not increased. We will do the best that we can and continue to cut down.

I think we have now 180 systems out of about 325 when we came there. We would like to cut that down substantially, and I think we can do it if we have the right allocation.

Mr. ADERHOLT. Thank you.

Mr. OLVER. Thank you. Mr. Goode.

FHA MODERNIZATION

Mr. GOODE. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Secretary, you mentioned FHA modernization. Could you give me your top two changes that you think need to occur to help that in specifics, not generalized?

Mr. JACKSON. That is why I brought Commissioner Montgomery.

Mr. OLVER. By the way, we have a vote on the floor in the next three or four minutes.

Mr. JACKSON. He knows how to be succinct.

Mr. GOODE. That will be my only question then, Mr. Chairman.

FHA LOAN LIMITS

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Loan limits which are deemed higher loan limits which floats all the boats, in particular in the high cost areas but also in the lower cost areas as well——

Mr. GOODE. The high cost ares.

Mr. MONTGOMERY. There are 11 high cost areas, five of them are in California.

Mr. GOODE. Are there any in Virginia?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. We are right in the middle of one right here. This is a high cost area, northern Virginia. Washington, D.C., and Maryland, are high cost areas.

Mr. GOODE. Okay.

Mr. MONTGOMERY. So float all the boats of high loan limits, some flexibility in the down payment. We have moved away from a zero down. The Senate bill has a one and a half percent. The House bill allows a zero down, but we think the borrower under the present mortgage market should have some skin in the game, so to speak——

Mr. GOODE. I agree.

Mr. MONTGOMERY [continuing]. And I would say some flexibility in how we price our premiums in light of the fact that we are an insurance company and need to stay solvent and not have to ask for an appropriation to stay in business, particularly in these unstable times in the mortgage market.

Mr. GOODE. Thank you.

Mr. OLVER. Thank you. Let me talk for 1 minute before we go and let you go. On the FHA, as you know, the FHA reauthorization passed the House with those amendments and goes to the Senate.

Mr. JACKSON. That is correct.

Mr. OLVER. If you have problems with that one, then you have work to do over there on the question of what is important; if they have not been taken care of on our side, we have got work to do there. I do note that you had assumed in your fiscal plan for this year in your budget an amount of money which traditionally FHA receives and distributes to HUD for FHA operations, and those have allowed you to do other things. In this case, we have none left unless the reauthorization passes the Senate clearly.

Mr. JACKSON. That is correct. That is correct.

Mr. OLVER. And you have assumed the passage of what I understand to be the Senate bill, and I am not sure exactly what the differences are, but you assumed that, and I am wondering whether that is something good, whether you should be assuming in your budget document that possible passage of the Senate bill. Do you want to comment on that?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Well, in the 2009 budget, OMB has in there, an across-the-board premium increase as sort of the fallback position that if we do not get this, we do not get that. We raise pre-

miums on everybody, and that is something we do not want to do. We would rather price according to the rest.

Mr. OLVER. Okay. Thank you very much—Mr. Secretary—we will have other questions for the record.

Mr. JACKSON. Thank you so much.

Mr. OLVER. Thank you very much.

QUESTIONS FOR THE RECORD
HUD BUDGET HEARING—FEBRUARY 13, 2008
CONGRESSMAN OLVER
1ST ROUND

Staffing

Question: Please provide a listing showing the number of SES employees currently on board.

Answer: See Chart Below.

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT (Current Senior Executive Service (SES) On-Board as of May 2008)			
OFFICE	**SES CAREER ON-BOARD**	**SES NON-CAREER ON-BOARD**	**SES LIMITED ON-BOARD**
Office of the Secretary	2	4	-
Administration	6	1	-
Chief Financial Officer	6	-	-
Chief Information Officer	3	-	
Chief Procurement Officer	3	-	-
Community Planning and Development	7	1	-
Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations	-	1	-
Center for Faith-Based and Community Initiatives	-	1	-
Office of Departmental and Equal Employment Opportunity	1	-	-
Office of Departmental Operations and Coordination	2	-	-
Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity	4	-	-
Office of General Counsel	12	2	-
Government National Mortgage Association	5	-	-
Healthy Homes and Lead Hazard Control	1	-	-
Housing	12	1	-
Office of Inspector General	10	-	-
Policy Development and Research	2	1	-
Public Affairs	1	1	-
Public and Indian Housing	10	-	1
Field Policy and Management	4	6	-
TOTAL (111)	**91**	**19**	**1**

Staffing

Question: Please list the number of political appointees, grade level, and current salary and compare this over the last two years.

Answer: See Chart Below.

2006				
Schedule C				
Organization	Position	Grade	Salary	Total
Office of the Secretary	Assistant to the Secretary White House Liaison	15	$111,104	1
	Special Assistant	13	$77,353	1
	Staff Assistant	11	$54,272	2
	Staff Assistant	8	$40,612	1
Office of the Deputy Secretary	Special Assistant	15	$118,272	1
	Special Assistant	14	$91,407	1
	Staff Assistant	8	$40,612	1
Office of Administration	Director, Office of Executive Scheduling and Operations	14	$91,407	1
	Advance Coordinator	12	$67,216	1
	Staff Assistant	11	$59,701	1
	Staff Assistant	11	$54,272	1
	Staff Assistant	8	$41,965	1
Center for Faith-Based and Community Initiatives	Director, Center Faith-Based and Community Initiatives	15	$139,774	1
	Staff Assistant	9	$44,856	1
Office of Community Planning and Development	Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs	15	$139,774	1
	Deputy Assistant Secretary for Economic Development	15	$125,439	1
	Special Policy Advisor	15	$107,521	1
	Special Assistant	15	$114,688	1
	Staff Assistant	11	$54,272	1
	Staff Assistant	10	$49,397	1
	Staff Assistant	8	$41,965	1

Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations	Deputy Assistant Secretary for Intergovernmental Affairs	15	$111,104	1
	Deputy Assistant Secretary for Congressional Relations	15	$114,688	1
	Legislative Officer	14	$94,454	1
	Congressional Relations Officer	14	$91,407	1
	Special Assistant	13	$77,353	2
	Intergovernmental Relations Specialist	13	$69,384	1
	Congressional Relations Specialist	13	$77,353	1
	Legislative Assistant	12	$65,048	2
	Congressional Relations Assistant	12	$65,048	1
	Staff Assistant	8	$40,612	1
Office of General Counsel	Special Assistant	13	$77,353	2
	Staff Assistant	11	$54,272	1
				1
Office of Housing	Deputy Assistant Secretary for Regulatory Affairs and Manufactured Housing	15	$139,774	1
	Special Policy Advisor	15	$139,774	1
	Staff Assistant	8	$41,965	1
				1
Government National Mortgage Association	Staff Assistant	9	$44,856	1
				1
Office of Policy Development and Research	Deputy Assistant Secretary for International Affairs	15	$139,774	1
	Special Assistant	15	$129,023	1
	Special Policy Advisor	14	$94,454	1
Office of Public and Indian Housing	Special Policy Advisor	15	$139,774	1
	Special Assistant	14	$100,547	1
	Special Assistant	14	$94,454	1
	Staff Assistant	10	$51,043	1
Office of Public Affairs	Media Outreach Specialist	13	$77,353	1
	Speechwriter	12	$65,048	1
	Staff Assistant	9	$44,856	1
	Staff Assistant	8	$40,612	2

Office of Housing	Deputy Assistant Secretary for Regulatory Affairs and Manufactured Housing	15	$149,000	1
	Special Policy Advisor	15	$149,000	1
	Staff Assistant	12	$69,764	1
	Staff Assistant	9	$48,108	1
Office of Policy Development and Research	Special Assistant	15	$138,383	1
Office of Public and Indian Housing	Special Policy Advisor	15	$149,000	1
	Director, Office of Receivership Oversight	15	$119,161	1
	Special Assistant	14	$107,836	1
Office of Public Affairs	Speechwriter	15	$115,317	1
	Media Outreach Specialist	14	$98,033	1
	Speechwriter	12	$69,764	1
	Staff Assistant	8	$43,557	1
Office of Field Policy and Management	Regional Director (Boston)	15	$124,654	1
	Regional Director (Philadelphia)	15	$137,524	1
	Regional Director (Kansas City)	15	$125,958	1
	Region Seattle (Seattle)	15	$140,886	1
	Special Projects Officer (New York)	15	$140,355	1
	Special Projects Coordinator (Atlanta)	15	$111,892	1
	Special Assistant to the Regional Director (Fort Worth)	14	$109,128	1
	Special Projects Officer (Philadelphia)	14	$110,415	1
	Special Assistant (Headquarters)	14	$98,033	1
	Staff Assistant	8	$45,009	1

Office of Field Policy and Management	Regional Director (Boston)	15	$113,459	1
	Regional Director (Kansas City)	15	$116,691	1
	Regional Director (Seattle)	15	$129,495	1
	Special Project Officer (New York)	15	$130,419	1
	Special Project Coordinator (Atlanta)	14	$89,540	1
	Special Assistant (Philadelphia)	14	$101,009	1
	Special Assistant to the Regional Director (Fort Worth)	14	$102,615	1
	Special Assistant to the Regional Director (Greensboro)	13	$76,543	1
	Staff Assistant (Headquarters)	8	$41,965	1

2006				
SES Noncareer				
Office of the Secretary	Chief of Staff	0	$165,200	1
	Deputy Chief of Staff	0	$165,200	1
	Senior Advisor to the Secretary	0	$130,000	1
Office of the Deputy Secretary	Senior Advisor to the Deputy Secretary	0	$154,367	1
Office of Administration	General Deputy Assistant Secretary for Administration	0	$119,000	1
Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations	General Deputy Assistant Secretary for Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations	0	$157,134	1
Office of General Counsel	Senior Counsel	0	$165,200	1
Office of Housing	Deputy Assistant Secretary for Multifamily Housing	0	$149,221	1
	Senior Advisor to the Assistant Secretary	0	$150,000	1
Office of Field Policy and Management	Assistant Deputy Secretary for Field Policy and Management	0	$158,050	1
	Regional Director (Fort Worth)	0	$158,500	1
	Regional Director (Chicago)	0	$159,664	1
	Regional Director (San Francisco)	0	$158,937	1
	Regional Director (New York)	0	$148,941	1
	Regional Director (Atlanta)	0	$136,250	1
	Regional Director (Denver)	0	$150,215	1
	Regional Director (Philadelphia)	0	$130,000	1

2006				
Presidential Appointees				
Organization	Position	Level	Salary	Total
Office of the Secretary	Secretary	EX-I	$183,500	1
Office of the Deputy Secretary	Deputy Secretary	EX-II	$165,200	1
Office of Administration	Assistant Secretary for Administration	EX-IV	$143,000	1
Office of the Chief Financial Officer	Chief Financial Officer	EX-IV	$143,000	1
Office of Community Planning and Development	Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development	EX-IV	$143,000	1
Office of Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity	Assistant Secretary for Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity	EX-IV	$143,000	1
Office of Federal Housing Enterprise Oversight	Director, Office of Federal Housing Enterprise Oversight	EX-II	$165,200	1
Office of General Counsel	General Counsel	EX-IV	$143,000	1
Government National Mortgage Association	President, Government National Mortgage Association	EX-IV	$143,000	1
Office of Housing	Assistant Secretary for Housing-Federal Housing Commissioner	EX-IV	$143,000	1
Office of Inspector General	Inspector General	EX-IV	$143,000	1
Office of Policy Development and Research	Assistant Secretary for Policy Development and Research	EX-IV	$144,971	1
Office of Public and Indian Housing	Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing	EX-IV	$143,000	1

2007				
Schedule C				
Organization	Position	Grade	Salary	Total
Office of the Secretary	Assistant to the Secretary and White House Liaison	15	$117,721	1
	Special Assistant	14	$93,822	1
	Staff Assistant	12	$66,767	2
Office of the Deputy Secretary	Special Assistant	15	$121,399	1
Office of Administration	Advance Coordinator	13	$79,397	1
	Staff Assistant	12	$66,767	1
	Advance Coordinator	9	$46,041	1
	Staff Assistant	8	$41,686	1
Center for Faith-Based and Community Initiatives	Deputy Director	15	$121,399	1
	Staff Assistant	11	$55,706	1
Office of Community Planning and Development	Deputy Assistant Secretary for Economic Development	15	$114,042	1
	Special Policy Advisor	15	$143,471	1
	Special Assistant	15	$121,399	1
	Staff Assistant	12	$66,767	1
	Staff Assistant	11	$55,706	1
	Staff Assistant	9	$46,041	1
	Staff Assistant	8	$41,686	2
Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations	Deputy Assistant for Congressional Relations	15	$121,399	1
	Deputy Assistant Secretary for Legislative	15	$110,363	1
	Congressional Relations Officer	14	$96,950	
	Intergovernmental Relations Specialist	13	$82,044	1
	Legislative Assistant	13	$79,397	1
	Legislative Specialist	13	$79,397	1
	Congressional Relations Specialist	13	$82,044	1
	Congressional Relations Officer	13	$79,397	1
	Congressional Relations Assistant	9	$46,041	1

Office of General Counsel	Special Assistant	14	$93,822	2
	Staff Assistant	12	$66,767	1
Government National Mortgage Association	Staff Assistant	11	$55,706	1
Office of Housing	Deputy Assistant Secretary for Regulatory Affairs and Manufactured Housing	15	$143,471	1
	Special Policy Advisor	15	$143,471	1
	Staff Assistant	9	$46,041	1
Office of Policy Development and Research	Deputy Assistant Secretary for International Affairs	15	$143,471	1
	Special Assistant	15	$132,435	1
	Special Policy Advisor	14	$100,077	1
Office of Public and Indian Housing	Director, Office of Receivership Oversight	15	$110,363	1
	Special Policy Advisor	15	$143,471	1
	Special Assistant	14	$103,204	1
	Staff Assistant	11	$55,706	1
Office of Public Affairs	Speechwriter	15	$110,363	1
	Media Outreach Specialist	14	$93,822	1
	Staff Assistant	11	$55,706	1
	Staff Assistant	9	$46,041	1
	Staff Assistant	8	$41,686	1
Office of Field Policy and Management	Regional Director (Boston)	15	$120,083	1
	Regional Director (Philadelphia)	15	$132,726	1
	Regional Director (Kansas City)	15	$122,297	1
	Regional Director (Seattle)	15	$136,103	1
	Special Projects Officer (New York)	15	$132,779	1
	Special Projects Coordinator (Atlanta)	15	$107,851	1
	Special Assistant, Mid-Atlantic	14	$106,564	1

	(Philadelphia)			
	Special Assistant to the Regional Director	14	$105,211	1
	(Fort Worth)			
	Staff Assistant	8	$41,686	1
	(Headquarters)			
2007				
SES Noncareer				
Organization	Position	Level	Salary	Total
Office of the Secretary	Chief of Staff	0	$168,000	1
Office of the Deputy Secretary	Senior Advisor to the Deputy Secretary	0	$168,000	1
Office of Administration	General Deputy Assistant Secretary for Administration	0	$127,330	1
Center for Faith-Based and Community Initiatives	Director, Center for Faith-Based and Community Initiatives	0	$152,000	1
Office of General Counsel	General Deputy General Counsel	0	$165,200	1
	Deputy Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Operations	0	$139,100	1
	Senior Counsel	0	$168,000	1
Office of Housing	Senior Advisor to the Assistant Secretary	0	$160,500	1
Office of Public Affairs	General Deputy Assistant Secretary for Public Affairs	0	$165,200	1
Office of Field Policy and Management	Regional Director	0	$159,367	1
	(New York)			
	Regional Director	0	$148,513	1
	(Atlanta)			
	Regional Director	0	$165,200	1
	(Chicago)			
	Regional Director	0	$165,200	1
	(Fort Worth)			
	Regional Director	0	$163,735	1
	(Denver)			
	Regional Director	0	$165,200	1
	(San Francisco)			

2007				
Presidential Appointees				
Organization	Position	Level	Salary	Total
Office of the Secretary	Secretary	EX-I	$186,600	1
Office of the Deputy Secretary	Deputy Secretary	EX-II	$168,000	1
Office of Administration	Assistant Secretary for Administration	EX-IV	$145,400	1
Office of the Chief Financial Officer	Chief Financial Officer	EX-IV	$145,400	1
Office of Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity	Assistant Secretary for Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity	EX-IV	$145,400	1
Office of Fair Housing Enterprise Oversight	Director, Office of Fair Housing Enterprise Oversight	EX-II	$168,000	1
Office of General Counsel	General Counsel	EX-IV	$145,400	1
Office of Housing	Assistant Secretary for Housing-Federal Housing Commissioner	EX-IV	$145,400	1
Office of Inspector General	Inspector General	EX-IV	$145,400	1
Office of Policy Development and Research	Assistant Secretary for Policy Development and Research	EX-IV	$152,167	1
Office of Public and Indian Housing	Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing	EX-IV	$145,400	1

2008				
Schedule C				
Organization	Position	Grade	Salary	Total
Office of the Secretary	Staff Assistant	9	$48,108	1
	Staff Assistant	8	$43,557	2
Office of the Deputy Secretary	Special Assistant	15	$130,694	1
Office of Administration	Advance Coordinator	14	$98,033	1
	Staff Assistant	12	$69,764	1
	Advance Coordinator	11	$58,206	1
	Staff Assistant	8	$43,557	2
	Advance Coordinator	7	$39,330	1
Center for Faith-Based and Community Initiatives	Deputy Director	15	$126,850	1
Office of Community Planning and Development	Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs	15	$115,317	1
	Deputy Assistant Secretary for Economic Development	15	$119,161	1
	Special Policy Advisor	15	$149,000	1
	Special Assistant	15	$126,850	1
	Staff Assistant	12	$69,764	2
	Staff Assistant	9	$49,712	1
	Staff Assistant	8	$43,557	1
Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations	Deputy Assistant Secretary for Legislation	15	$119,161	1
	Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary for Congressional Relations	14	$98,033	1
	Congressional Relations Officer	14	$104,569	1
	Congressional Relations Officer	13	$85,727	1
	Legislative Specialist	13	$85,727	1
	Legislative Assistant	13	$82,961	1
	Congressional Relations Assistant	12	$72,090	1
	Staff Assistant	11	$58,206	1
	Congressional Relations Assistant	9	$48,108	1
Office of General Counsel	Special Assistant	14	$98,033	1
	Staff Assistant	12	$72,090	1

	(Headquarters)			

2008				
SES Noncareer				
Organization	Position	Level	Salary	Total
Office of the Secretary	Chief of Staff	0	$172,200	1
	Deputy Chief of Staff	0	$152,079	1
	Assistant to the Secretary White House Liaison	0	$124,784	1
Office of the Deputy Secretary	Senior Advisor to the Deputy Secretary	0	$172,200	1
Office of Administration	General Deputy Assistant Secretary for Administration	0	$137,516	1
Office of Community Planning and Development	Senior Counsel	0	$172,200	1
Center of Faith Based and Community Initiatives	Director, Center for Faith-Based and Community Initiatives	0	$152,000	1
Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations	General Deputy Assistant Secretary for Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations	0	$128,682	1
Office of General Counsel	General Deputy General Counsel	0	$172,200	1
	Deputy Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Operations	0	$153,010	1
Office of Housing	Deputy Assistant Secretary for Multifamily Housing	0	$172,200	1
Office of Policy Development and Research	Deputy Assistant Secretary for International Affairs	0	$152,079	1
Office of Public Affairs	General Deputy Assistant Secretary for Public Affairs	0	$172,200	1
Office of Field Policy and Management	Assistant Deputy Secretary for Field Policy and Management	0	$163,364	1
	Regional Director (Fort Worth)	0	$172,200	1
	Regional Director (Chicago)	0	$172,200	1
	Regional Director (San Francisco)	0	$172,200	1
	Regional Director (New York)	0	$170,523	1

	Regional Director (Denver)	0	$172,200	1

2008				
Presidential Appointees				
Organization	Position	Level	Salary	Total
Office of the Deputy Secretary	Deputy Secretary	EX-II	$172,200	1
Office of Administration	Assistant Secretary for Administration	EX-IV	$149,000	1
Office of the Chief Financial Officer	Chief Financial Officer	EX-IV	$149,000	1
Office of Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity	Assistant Secretary for Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity	EX-IV	$149,000	1
Office of Federal Housing Enterprise Oversight	Director, Office of Federal Housing Enterprise Oversight	EX-II	$172,200	1
Office of General Counsel	General Counsel	EX-IV	$149,000	1
Office of Housing	Assistant Secretary for Housing-Federal Housing Commissioner	EX-IV	$149,000	1
Office of the Inspector General	Inspector General	EX-IV	$149,000	1
Office of Policy Development and Research	Assistant Secretary for Policy Development and Research	EX-IV	$165,862	1

Staffing

Question: Please provide a list of all vacant political appointee positions?

Answer: Below is a list of all vacant political appointee positions.

Vacant Presidential Appointee Positions

Office of the Secretary

Secretary, EX-301-I

Office of Community Planning and Development

Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development, EX-301-IV

Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations

Assistant Secretary for Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, EX-301-IV

Government National Mortgage Association

President, Government National Mortgage Association, EX-301-IV

Office of Public Affairs

Assistant Secretary for Public Affairs, EX-301-IV

Office of Public and Indian Housing

Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing, EX-301-IV

Vacant Senior Executive Service (SES) Noncareer Appointee Positions

The Department does not maintain lists of vacant SES Noncareer appointee positions. SES Noncareer appointment authorities must be approved by the Office of Personnel Management (OPM). Once SES Noncareer positions are vacated, the authority for the position is revoked, and the authority reverts to OPM. See Title 5, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 317, Subpart F, section 317.601(b) (copy attached).

Vacant Schedule C Positions

The Department does not maintain lists of vacant Schedule C positions. Schedule C appointment authorities must be approved by OPM. Once Schedule C positions are vacated, the authority for the position is revoked, and the authority reverts to OPM. See Title 5, CFR, Part 213, Subpart C, section 213.3301(c) (copy attached).

Staffing

Question: Please provide the number of FTE's for each of the last five years, both for the Department as a whole and for each program office.

	FTE Usage				
Program Area	**FY 03**	**FY 04**	**FY 05**	**FY 06**	**FY 07**
Housing	3,245.9	3,296.2	3,210.3	3,111.4	3,119.7
PIH	1,676.6	1,593.5	1,582.0	1,510.8	1,485.6
GNMA	71.0	65.5	66.9	65.7	65.0
CPD	946.5	885.7	810.5	802.6	804.0
PD&R	150.7	138.6	140.7	145.3	144.2
FHEO	770.2	710.0	624.2	597.5	579.0
ODEEO	3.0	24.9	23.9	25.6	24.3
DM	100.6	83.9	81.2	78.9	84.1
ODOC	114.1	109.4	104.1	97.2	89.5
HHLHC	38.1	43.3	42.3	43.6	48.9
CFO	218.0	225.1	225.0	214.1	206.3
OGC	698.4	661.7	660.4	671.6	639.7
ADMIN	727.5	683.5	679.4	576.8	517.6
FPM	512.5	513.9	483.4	455.2	431.0
CPO	...	...	...	49.9	107.2
CFBCI	5.7	6.9	7.6	7.8	7.8
TOTAL, S&E	9,278.8	9,042.1	8,741.9	8,454.2	8,353.6
Working Capital Fund:					
ADMIN	371.1	361.7	332.0	31.6	1.3
CIO	...	...	...	260.5	276.3
CPO	...	...	...	5.7	12.2
CFO	11.8	11.1	11.2	9.8	8.6
TOTAL, WCF	382.9	372.8	343.2	307.6	298.4

Staffing

Question: Please provide, in chart form, the Salaries and Expenses for each program office within the Department.

Answer: See chart below.

Fiscal Year 2009 Salaries and Expenses
(Dollars in Millions)

Program Area	BA FY 2009
Administration, Operations and Management (AOM)	$546
Housing/Federal Housing and Administration (FHA)	354
Public and Indian Housing (PIH)	190
Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA)	9
Community and Planning Development (CPD)	95
Policy Development and Research (PD&R)	20
Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity (FHEO)	68
Healthy Homes and Lead Hazard Control (HHLHC)	8
Total	**1,290**

Staffing

Question: Further, please provide, in chart form, the Salaries and Expenses for the following offices: Office of the Secretary, Office of the Deputy Secretary, Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, Office of Small and Disadvantaged Business Utilization, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Public Affairs, Office of Hearings and Appeals, Office of Departmental Operations and Coordination.

Answer: See Chart Below.

Fiscal Year 2009 Salaries and Expenses
(Dollars in Thousands)

Program Area	BA FY 2009
Departmental Management (OM):	
Immediate Office of the Secretary/Deputy /Secretary (OS)	$4,170
Office of Hearings and Appeals (OHA)	1,695
Office of Small and Disadvantaged Business Utilization (OSDBU)	525
Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations (CIR)	2,754
Public Affairs (PA)	2,675
Total	**11,819**

Working Capital Fund

Question: Please provide a chart showing each account from which funds were transferred for the Working Capital Fund in FY07 and FY08, including the amounts transferred.

Answer: See chart below.

Transfers to the Working Capital Fund in FY 2007 and FY 2008
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2007	FY 2008
Tenant-Based Rental Assistance	$5841	$6,494
Project-Based Rental Assistance	1,386	3,960
Public Housing Capital Fund	10,890	16,847
Community Development Fund	1,584	1,570
Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA)		1,485
HOME Investment Partnerships Program	990	3,465
Homeless Assistance Grants	990	2,475
FHA MMI	18,098	25,550
FHA GI/SRI	10,692	15,692
Disabled Housing	396	600
Elderly Housing	396	1,400
Salaries & Expense	1,940	...
	51,263	79,538

Working Capital Fund

Question: Please provide a chart showing each account from which funding is proposed to be transferred in the FY09 budget request for the Working Capital Fund.

Answer: See Chart Below.

Proposed Transfers to the Working Capital Fund in FY 2009
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2009
Tenant-Based	$7,929
Project-Based	5,000
Public Housing Capital Fund	14,577
Community Development Fund	3,175
Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA)	1,750
HOME Investment Partnerships Program	4,200
Homeless Assistance Grants	2,675
FHA MMI	46,794
FHA GI/SRI	...
Disabled Housing	1,600
Elderly Housing	1,600
Salaries & Expense	...
	89,300

Funding

Question: In table format, please provide a 10-year funding history of HUD.

Answer: The Discretionary budget authority (in millions) is as follows (1):

1999: 25,221

2000: 20,317

2001: 28,418

2002: 31,549

2003: 30,093

2004: 32,034

2005: 33,503

2006: 51,147

2007: 36,069

2008: 40,413

(1) Includes supplemental funding and rescissions.

Tenant Protection Vouchers

Question: How many vouchers does HUD estimate will be needed in FY09 for relocation and replacement of public or privately-owned assisted housing units under lease that were demolished or disposed of or converted?

Answer: The Department estimates that 20,568 units will be needed.

Question: What does HUD estimate will be the average cost of these vouchers?

Answer: The Department estimates $7,293 average cost per unit.

Tenant Protection Vouchers

Question: How many of these vouchers are for units from Gulf Coast areas severely affected by the 2005 hurricanes?

Answer: The estimate is about 6,500 units for Louisiana and Mississippi.

Tenant Protection Vouchers

Question: How many additional vouchers does HUD estimate would be needed in FY09 for relocation and replacement of all public or privately-owned assisted housing units that were demolished or disposed of or converted, that do not qualify as "under lease"?

Answer: The Department estimates there will be 14,000 approvals for public housing conversion/demo/disposition actions in 2009. The President's 2009 budget provides for approximately 5,000 Tenant Protection Vouchers for public housing units that would qualify as under lease. This leaves approximately 9,000 units that would not qualify as "under lease". However, this is a demand-driven program. The number of public housing units removed from inventory, and the number of Tenant Protection Vouchers issued are subject to vary significantly from year to year. Furthermore, the length of time a Tenant Protection Voucher is needed depends on when in the calendar year the public housing removal action takes place. For instance, demos that occur in November may only require a Tenant Protection Voucher for the last 2 months of the calendar year. After that point, the vouchers would be renewed through the Contract Renewal set-aside.

The Department does not have any estimates for 2009 units that would not qualify as "under lease" for Housing Multifamily program conversion actions. However, the majority of Multifamily units have very low vacancy rates at the time of opt-out or prepayment. It is likely that only a small percentage of the Multifamily units would not qualify as "under lease".

Question: What does HUD estimate would be the average cost of these vouchers?

Answer: The Department estimates that the average per unit cost for a Tenant Protection Voucher issued for former Public Housing units in 2009 will be approximately $7,635. This is based on CY 2008 estimates inflated by 3.5 percent.

Tenant Protection Vouchers

Question: How many of these vouchers are for units from Gulf Coast areas severely affected by the 2005 hurricanes?

Answer: Of the 14,000 vouchers that the Department estimates it will need in FY 2009 for relocation and replacement of all public or privately-owned assisted housing units that were demolished or disposed of or converted, 1,059 units are currently for the Gulf Coast areas.

Tenant Protection Vouchers

Question: What is the number of Tenant Protection Vouchers funded, issued and leased for fiscal years 2001-2007?

Answer: The number of tenant protection vouchers funded and issued are:

Section 8 Rental Assistance (Tenant Protection Vouchers)

	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Vouchers Budgeted	41,965	25,683	19,265	20,363	23,813	25,781	20,922
Vouchers Issued*	36,500	22,839	26,787	29,296	24,303	23,099	25,292

* Includes vouchers funded with carryover, and vouchers issued by the HOPE VI program.

The Department does not track leasing at the tenant protection level, leasing information is tracked for the overall voucher program.

Tenant Protection Vouchers

Question: How many Tenant Protection Vouchers have been funded for the Office of Housing's opt-outs, terminations, etc. versus the actual number of units that have dropped out for fiscal years 2003-2007

Answer:

Fiscal Year	Tenant Protection Vouchers funded for the Office of Housing	Terminated and/or Opt-Out Multifamily Units		
		Section 8	Non-Section 8	Total
2007	12,718 *	8,180	7,372	15,552
2006	16,061	6,481	7,225	13,706
2005	14,508	11,277	8,927	20,204
2004	17,463	11,084	12,246	23,330
2003	19,618	10,038	9,502	19,540

* In 2007 and a portion of 2006, vouchers were not provided for 100 percent of the units covered by a conversion action, but just for families eligible for rental assistance under the local PHA payment standard; hence, the number of vouchers provided may not equal the number of Project-based Section 8 units impacted by and opt-out and/or termination.

This table reflects all Multifamily Units lost between 2003 and 2007 due to opt-outs, terminations, pre-payments, etc. A portion of these units received Project-Based Section 8 assistance. The table also shows the annual number of Tenant Protection Vouchers allocated for Housing's needs. Unfortunately, there is no one-for-one relationship between terminated Section 8 units and Tenant Protection Vouchers. Although every Section 8 family in a terminated multifamily unit may be eligible for a Tenant Protection Vouchers, local Public Housing Authorities may determine some families ineligible—such as for previously unreported income. Furthermore, HUD provides Tenant Protection Vouchers for families living in projects assisted under Sec 236 and Sec 221 (d)(3).

Disaster Voucher Program

Question: From January 2006 through December 2007 (or the most recent month for which HUD has available data), how much has HUD allocated to PHAs for the Disaster Voucher Program?

Answer: The entire $390 million of DVP funds provided to HUD was fully allocated by September 30, 2007.

Disaster Voucher Program

Question: In December 2007 (or the most recent month for which HUD has available data), how many households are assisted through DVP?

Answer: As of May 12, 2008, there are 2,945 active leases.

Disaster Voucher Program

Question: How many DVP vouchers have been issued and not yet leased?

Answer: The Department is aware of approximately 4,900 families that registered with a PHA, but are not receiving assistance. Registered means the families met with a PHA and discussed assistance options. Under the Disaster Voucher Program (DVP), PHAs are not required to report issued vouchers or other types of assistance offered to the families. At this point in time, PIH does not have the number of vouchers issued in the DVP program. PHAs are not required to report issued vouchers in the Section 8 program as well.

Section 901

Question: Which housing agencies has HUD authorized to combine assistance under Section 901 of P.L. 109-148 (H.R. 2863)?

Answer: The following nine housing authorities submitted plans and were approved:

1. Mississippi Regional Housing Authority No. VIII, (MS040)
2. Mississippi Regional Housing Authority No. V, (MS030)
3. Mississippi Regional Housing Authority No. VII, (MS057)
4. Biloxi Housing Authority, (MS005)
5. Long Beach Housing Authority, (MS109)
6. Housing Authority of New Orleans, (LA001)
7. Lake Charles Housing Authority, (LA004)
8. Jefferson Parish Housing Authority, (LA013)
9. Mississippi Regional Housing Authority No. VI, (MS058)

Section 901

Question: For each housing agency that HUD has authorized to combine assistance under Section 901 please provide:

a. the amount of funding from each source that the housing authority plans to combine under Section 901;

b. the purposes for which the housing authority plans to use funds combined under Section 901; and

c. the remaining unfunded cost, if any, of repairing or replacing hurricane-damaged public housing units.

Answer: For PHAs authorized to combine assistance under Section 901, the remaining unfunded amounts depend on FEMA reimbursements, proceeds from final insurance settlements, as well as, CDBG funds that may be made available through the state. Of the housing agencies authorized to combine assistance:

1. Mississippi Regional Housing Authority No. VIII, (MS040) was authorized $4,000,000 in 2007; $24,061,224 in 2006 (HCV HAP to Capital Fund Program uses) and $6,616,000 in 2007 (HCV HAP to HCV Admin uses). In 2007, funds were authorized for renovations at nine housing developments, pre-development costs for new construction, and redevelopment of units to be project-based under HCV. In 2006 funds were authorized for public housing upgrades, roofing, renovations, repairs, site improvements, insurance, construction contract administration, and contingencies.
2. Mississippi Regional Housing Authority No. V, (MS030) was authorized $205,966 in 2007; $1,275,008 in 2006 (HCV HAP to HCV Admin uses). Each year funds were authorized for the purposes of restructuring an elderly development under the Housing Choice Voucher Project-Based Voucher program to make affordable units available to families previously receiving assistance who were displaced by hurricanes.
3. Mississippi Regional Housing Authority No. VII, (MS057) was authorized $794,069 in 2006: $586,368 (HCV HAP to HCV Admin uses); $207,701 (HCV HAP to Capital Fund uses) for the purposes of purchasing and fireproofing an office building to administer the voucher program and the related purchase of vehicles for multi-jurisdictional voucher program. The PHA did not submit a plan in 2007.
4. Biloxi Housing Authority, (MS005) was authorized $ 850,000 in 2007: $195,895 (HCV HAP to Operating Fund uses): $654,105 (HCV HAP to HCV Admin uses), and $1,093,865 in 2006 (HCV HAP to Operating Fund uses). In 2007, funds were authorized for public housing new development and construction related staff positions, and construction, acquisition, and rehabilitation of project-based voucher program units. 2006 funds were authorized for the purposes of unanticipated fees to protect and restore nearly completed public housing developments, repairs, construction-related fees and costs, financing, and insurance.

5. Long Beach Housing Authority, (MS109) was authorized $30,669 in 2007; $95,954 in 2006 (HCV HAP to Capital Fund uses) for the purposes of construction materials and labor to repair and renovate public housing units.

6. Housing Authority of New Orleans (LA001) was authorized $51,954,448 in 2007 (HCV HAP to Capital Fund Program uses); $97,917,863 in 2006: $81,723,867 (HCV HAP to Capital Fund Program uses) and $16,193,996 (Operating Fund uses to Capital Fund Program uses) for the purposes of building construction costs, site improvements, related Capital Fund-eligible expenses including mixed-financed development costs, and all costs associated with HANO's overall redevelopment plan.

7. Lake Charles Housing Authority, (LA004) was authorized $3,000,000 in 2007 (HCV HAP to Capital Fund Program uses); $6,355,549 in 2006: $1,308,549 (HCV HAP to HCV Admin Fee uses) and $5,047,000 (HCF HAP to Capital Fund uses) for the purposes of making affordable units available to families displaced by hurricanes through acquisitions of apartments and provision of subsidies for apartments using Project-Based voucher program; site acquisition; public housing architectural and engineering fees; soffit replacement, development; contingency; off-street parking; operations (security); replace two trucks; audit/accounting/reporting; new elevator; computer labs; and security cameras (elderly).

8. Jefferson Parish Housing Authority, (LA013) was authorized $1,420,377 in 2007 (HCV HAP to HCV Admin Fee uses); $12,427,003 in 2006: $6,837,853 (HCV HAP to HCV Admin Fee uses) and $5,589,150 (HCV HAP to Capital Fund uses) for the purposes of homeownership construction; homeownership counseling; coordination with federal and state recovery; expanded landlord outreach; energy efficiency programs; improvements to administrative offices/community center; FSS services; connective website; preparation/coordination of plan; project accounting services; and re-occupancy assistance.

9. Mississippi Regional Housing Authority No. VI, (MS058) was authorized $2,000,000 in 2007 (HCV HAP to HCV Admin Fee uses) for the purposes constructing 16 detached-single family dwellings to be made available to voucher families as project-based rental units.

Section 8 Tenant-Based Vouchers

Question: If the President's request of $16.039 billion is provided by Congress, with no funds for inflation, how many vouchers currently in use will be lost?

Answer: The Housing Choice Voucher program was changed from a unit-based program to a budget-based program by Congress for calendar year 2005 and thereafter. The President's budget seeks to eliminate the limitation on the caps imposed on Public Housing Agencies (PHAs) and also proposes to adjust future budgetary allocations by the amounts of prior year unspent funds allocated to PHAs. If both of these provisions are adopted by Congress, the Department does not foresee any losses of vouchers and we may actually see an increase in the number of vouchers used given that approximately 200,000 vouchers were not utilized as a result of the caps.

Section 8 Tenant-Based Vouchers

Question: Part of the justification HUD has given for a small increase to the Tenant-Based account is the "lifting of the caps." Please provide a list of the PHAs that are actually at their cap, as of December 31, 2007

Answer: As of December 31, 2007, 110 PHAs were actually leasing at their cap (baseline number of units). A list of these PHAs is as follows:

AL013	HA TARRANT
AL061	HA OPELIKA
AL138	HA GORDO
AL152	HA NORTHPORT
AR010	NORTHWEST REGIONAL HOUSING AUTHORITY
AR238	SEVIER COUNTY PUBLIC HOUSING AGENCY
AR267	GREENE COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY
AZ028	CITY OF CHANDLER
CA006	CITY OF FRESNO HSG AUTH
CA028	COUNTY OF FRESNO HSG AUTH
CA043	COUNTY OF BUTTE HSG AUTH
CA066	SUISUN CITY HOUSING AUTHORITY
CA069	CITY OF MADERA HOUSING AUTHORITY
CA085	COUNTY OF SONOMA
CA128	CITY OF ROSEVILLE
CA141	SAN JUAN BAUTISTA
CO016	BOULDER CITY HSG AUTH
CO028	COLORADO SPRINGS HOUSING AUTHORITY
CO049	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF LAKEWOOD
FL045	HA STUART
GA023	ALBANY HOUSING AUTHORITY
GA078	HA OF THE CITY OF EAST POINT
GQ901	GUAM HSG AND URBAN RENEWAL AUTH
IA022	CITY OF IOWA CITY
IA023	COUNCIL BLUFFS MUNICIPAL HOUSING AGENCY
ID013	BOISE CITY HOUSING AUTHORITY
ID021	ADA COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY
IL024	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF JOLIET
IL051	HA BLOOMINGTON
IL083	WINNEBAGO COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY
IL117	MCLEAN COUNTY HA
IN026	HOUSING AUTHORITY CITY OF ELKHART
IN058	HA COLUMBUS
KS162	JOHNSON COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY
KY011	HOPKINSVILLE HOUSING AUTHORITY
KY022	LEBANON HOUSING AUTHORITY
KY130	LEXINGTON-FAYETTE COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY
KY140	PARIS BOURBON COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY

KY150	BARBOURVILLE HOUSING AUTHORITY
KY169	LAUREL COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY
LA182	EVANGELINE PH. POLICE JURY, SEC.8 HSG.AGENCY
MA041	SHREWSBURY HOUSING AUTHORITY
MA096	GREENFIELD HSG AUTHORITY
MA098	CONCORD HOUSING AUTHORITY
ME004	PRESQUE ISLE HOUSING AUTHORITY
ME018	OLD TOWN HOUSING AUTHORITY
ME019	BATH HOUSING AUTHORITY
MN085	AUSTIN HRA
MN152	BLOOMINGTON HRA
MN170	PLYMOUTH HRA
MN212	WASHINGTON COUNTY HRA
MO188	JOPLIN HOUSING AUTHORITY
MO197	ST. CLAIR CO. HSG. AUTHORITY
MS004	MERIDIAN HA
MS107	HSG AUTH CITY OF GREENWOOD MS
MT033	MISSOULA HOUSING AUTHORITY
NC013	HA DURHAM
NC018	HA OF THE TOWN OF LAURINBURG
NC059	HA GRAHAM
NC072	STATESVILLE HOUSING AUTHORITY
NC141	COASTAL COMMUNITY ACTION, INC.
ND009	TOWNER COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY
ND014	FARGO HOUSING AND REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY
NH005	CONCORD HOUSING AUTHORITY
NJ037	IRVINGTON HOUSING AUTHORITY
NJ054	LAKEWOOD HOUSING AUTHORITY
NJ214	LAKEWOOD RAP HOUSING AUTHORITY
NY087	HA OF HARRIETSTOWN
NY424	TOWN OF WILTON
NY435	TOWN OF STAMFORD
NY440	TOWN OF MIDDLETOWN
OH008	TRUMBULL MHA
OH018	STARK METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTH.
OH024	CHILLICOTHE MET HA
OH029	ASHTABULA MHA
OH033	CAMBRIDGE METROPOLITAN HSG. AUTH.
OH041	ATHENS MET HA
OH044	ALLEN MHA 160001003 A/C #
OH066	MORGAN MET HA
OR003	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF DOUGLAS COUNTY
OR006	HA & COMMUNITY SERVICES AGENCY OF LANE COUNTY
OR027	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF MALHEUR COUNTY
OR028	NORTHWEST OREGON HOUSING AGENCY
PA088	CENTRE COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY

SC007	HA AIKEN
SC034	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF MYRTLE BEACH
TN062	HA DAYTON
TN066	BRISTOL, TN HOUSING & REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY
TX007	BROWNSVILLE HSG AUTHORITY
TX011	LAREDO HOUSING AUTHORITY
TX264	GEORGETOWN HOUSING AUTHORITY
TX272	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF ALTO
TX313	ARANSAS PASS HOUSING AUTHORITY
TX322	ROUND ROCK HOUSING AUTHORITY
TX343	NEW BRAUNFELS HOUSING AUTHORITY
TX434	GRAND PRAIRIE HSNG & COMM DEV.
TX441	HARRIS COUNTY HSG AND COMMUNITY DEV. AGENCY
TX448	LA JOYA HOUSING AUTHORITY
TX497	HIDALGO COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY
UT006	BEAVER CITY HOUSING AUTHORITY
VA010	DANVILLE REDEVELOPMENT AND H/A
VA011	ROANOKE REDEVELOPMENT & H/A
VA042	PEOPLE INCORPORATED OF SOUTHWEST VIRGINIA
VT003	RUTLAND HOUSING AUTHORITY
WA011	HA OF THE CITY OF RENTON
WA014	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF GRANT COUNTY
WA039	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF SNOHOMISH COUNTY
WI006	HA OF THE CITY OF LACROSSE
WV005	PARKERSBURG HOUSING AUTHORITY
WY003	ROCK SPRINGS HOUSING AUTHORITY

Section 8 Tenant-Based Vouchers

Question: Please provide the total amount in the Net Restricted Assets accounts at PHAs, as of December 31, 2007. Please provide the amount in both "usable" and "unusable" reserves.

Answer:

Total NRA:	$2,458,123,066
Usable NRA:	$1,017,668,680
Unusable NRA:	$1,440,454,386 *

- $723 million of this amount has already been offset in CY 2008 funding.

Section 8 Tenant-Based Vouchers

Question: The President's request also proposes offsetting part of the renewal account with amounts from the "unusable" Net Restricted Assets accounts held by PHAs. Please define the dollar amount that HUD anticipates offsetting through this method and the proposed method of implementing this rescission.

Answer: HUD anticipates offsetting $600 million from the unusable NRA remaining after Calendar Year 2008. This money will be offset against renewal funding provided to PHAs across the calendar year.

HOPE VI

Question: The budget proposes no funding for HOPE VI, citing the remaining balance held by grantees. What is the amount, as of March 31, 2008, of remaining funds held by PHAs that have not yet completed their redevelopment projects?

Answer: As of March 31, 2008, approximately $993 million has been obligated but not expended. HUD continues to work closely with the grantees, who still have unexpended funds, to implement the grants in a timely manner and to positively affect the affected communities

HOPE VI

Question: What amount of the funds that HUD defines as "remaining" are actually for on-going community service activities and should not be counted in the "remaining" dollar figure cited by HUD officials?

Answer: Approximately $88 million of the remaining HOPE VI funds are budgeted for community and supportive services.

HOPE VI

Question: What steps will the Department take to encourage these grantees to spend these funds? Will the Department take situations outside the grantees' control, such as lawsuits, into account?

Answer: HUD has placed an emphasis on project readiness in more recent Notices of Funding Availability (NOFA). NOFAs award points to PHAs that have already, for example, procured a development partner, completed a site plan, relocated residents, and/or demolished the site. In addition, regarding grantee capacity, HUD reviews an applicant's track record on prior HOPE VI grants and deducts points for poor performance. The Department does take into account factors beyond a grantees' control when determining whether to place a grant in default.

HUD is working closely with the 2002 and 2003 grantees to ensure that they expend their HOPE VI funds in a timely manner. The FY 2002 grantees must spend all of their HOPE VI funds by September 30, 2008; all FY 2003 grantees must spend all of their HOPE VI funds by September 30, 2009 and so on. Any funds unexpended will be returned to the Treasury. These deadlines provide additional incentive for grantees to implement and expend funds in a timely manner.

HOPE VI

Question: How does the current HOPE VI program reward grantees that employ green building techniques in the design and construction of new facilities?

Answer: The FY 2007 Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) includes a rating factor dedicated to Energy Star as well as program requirements and recommendations for energy efficiency and sustainable building practices (e.g., compliance with Model Building Code, use of Partnership for Advancing Technology in Housing, Energy Star, geothermal heating, etc.). Previous NOFAs (FY 2005 to FY 2006) also included such criteria.

Every PHA who was awarded a HOPE VI grant in FY 2005 and FY 2006 committed in their application to use Energy Star-labeled products, promote Energy Star design of replacement units, and include Energy Star in homeownership counseling. In addition, these PHAs must follow the energy efficiency program requirements and recommendations as described in their application. Prior to FY 2005, energy efficiency was addressed as program requirements and recommendations in the NOFAs.

The grant agreements signed at the time of the awards incorporated commitments made in the applications and restated program requirements and recommendations related to energy efficiency that are to be implemented during the HOPE VI grant. All HOPE VI activities that include construction, rehabilitation, lead-based paint removal, and related activities will meet or exceed local building codes. New construction will comply with the latest HUD-adopted Model Energy Code issued by the Council of American Building Officials.

HOPE VI

Question: What is the average time it takes to complete a HOPE VI project?

Answer: Among grants that have reached 100 percent construction completion, it has taken 7.75 years.

Rescission

Question: Please provide a chart showing how the FY06 and FY07 rescissions were accomplished – show the accounts from which funds were recaptured.

Answer: See chart below.

FY 2006 Rescission Sources	2006 Recaptures*	Other Prior Year Sources	Total
Section 8 (TBRA)	$32,540,221	$1,374,437,034	$1,406,977,255
Section 8 (HCF)	605,437,057	-	605,437,057
PH Capital Fund	-	19,089,984	19,089,984
Housing for the Elderly	6,082,074	3,863,592	9,945,666
Housing for Persons w/ Disabilities	-	5,342,402	5,342,402
Drug Elimination Grants	3,207,636	-	3,207,636
TOTAL	**647,266,988**	**1,402,733,012**	**2,050,000,000**

* Does not include recaptured funds that were not used for the rescission.

FY 2007 Rescission Sources	2007 Recaptures*	Other Prior Year Sources	Total
Section 8 (TBRA)	$39,628,309	$705,426	$40,333,735
Section 8 (HCF)	823,819,644	431,458,593	1,255,278,237
PH Capital Fund	7,387,175	197,348	7,584,523
Brownfields	-	3,812,870	3,812,870
CDBG	-	116,304	116,304
HOME	-	95,553	95,553
Homeless Assistance Grants	-	7,196,774	7,196,774
HOPE	279,874	903,799	1,183,673
HOPE VI	2,191,649	342,545	2,534,194
HOPWA	-	500	500
Lead	277,845	-	277,845
PATH	-	598,036	598,036
Section 236	-	330,987,756	330,987,756
TOTAL	**873,584,496**	**776,415,504**	**1,650,000,000**

* Does not include recaptured funds that were not used for the rescission.

Contracting

Question: What is the total amount spent on outside contracts by HUD annually from 2002 to 2007?

Answer: Attached is a spreadsheet which shows the total number of contract actions and orders with total dollars obligated for the requested period. The data do not include interagency agreements and the data for FY 2002 through FY 2006 reflect updated FPDS-NG information. The spreadsheet first shows total actions and dollars for each year and then a specific breakdown by new contract awards, orders placed under existing contracts, and simplified acquisitions.

HQFR_2002-2007_Summary.pdf

FPDS competition codes.doc

KEY TO FPDS-NG CODES

A	Full and Open Competition	Available to everyone for competition
B	Not Available for Competition	Available only for groups such as disabled persons, prisoners, and regulated utilities.
C	Not Competed	Not competed for an allowable reason. This includes authorized actions not covered in the other categories such as: • Only one responsible source • Unusual and compelling urgency • Industrial mobilization; engineering, developmental, or research capability; or expert services • International agreement • *Authorized or required by statute. This includes directed 8(a) contracts with a value of $3.5 million or less. HUD conducts many procurements under this authority.* • National security Public interest
D	Full and Open Competition After Exclusion of Sources	Competition within a limited pool. This includes set-asides authorized by statute such as: • Small businesses • 8(a) certified firms • HUBZone small business concerns • Service-disabled veteran-owned small business concerns.
E	Follow On to Competed Action	Actions necessary to continue existing competitive contracts for continuity (until the next one could be competed).
F	Competed Under SAT	Competitive actions under the simplified acquisition threshold
G	Not Competed under SAT	Non-competitive actions under the simplified acquisition threshold
CDO	Competitive Delivery Order	(1) Competitive orders for products or services placed under GSA Federal Supply Schedule contracts and (2) orders placed under multiple award contracts providing each contract holder a fair opportunity to be considered.
NDO	Non-Competitive Delivery Order	Orders placed non-competitively for reasons other than those listed above.
No data		Extent of competition is not identified. Will address FY2007 actions.

2002 Summary		
Action Type	Action Count	Action Obligation
Contracts	1,938	$ 608,799,746
Orders	1,851	$ 345,851,142
Simp. Acq.	207	$ 21,435,826
Total	3,996	$ 976,086,714

2003 Summary		
Action Type	Action Count	Action Obligation
Contracts	1,258	$ 576,681,762
Orders	791	$ 324,542,152
Simp. Acq.	344	$ 16,452,078
Total	2,393	$ 917,675,992

2004 Summary		
Action Type	Action Count	Action Obligation
Contracts	1,273	$ 864,282,125
Orders	1,304	$ 227,592,419
Simp. Acq.	217	$ 14,471,506
Total	2,794	$ 1,106,346,050

2005 Summary		
Action Type	Action Count	Action Obligation
Contracts	1,678	$ 883,236,382
Orders	1,668	$ 167,027,189
Simp. Acq.	625	$ 13,983,288
Total	3,971	$ 1,064,246,859

2006 Summary		
Action Type	Action Count	Action Obligation
Contracts	1,549	$ 889,902,055
Orders	1,194	$ 154,487,331
Simp. Acq.	1,160	$ 17,930,118
Total	3,903	$ 1,062,319,504

2007 Summary		
Action Type	Action Count	Action Obligation
Contracts	1,378	$ 655,023,560
Orders	1,103	$ 152,318,898
Simp. Acq.	1,166	$ 12,752,124
Total	3,647	$ 820,094,582

Competition Summary		
Contracts		
A	1,614	$ 404,649,187
B	209	$ 55,178,292
C	0	$ -
D	115	$ 148,972,267
E	0	$ -
F	0	$ -
G	0	$ -
CDO	0	$ -
NDO	0	$ -
- No Data	0	$ -
Total - Con.	1,938	$ 608,799,746
Orders		
A	1,414	$ 335,888,312
B	410	$ 5,210,914
C	11	$ 2,817,472
D	16	$ 1,934,444
E	0	$ -
F	0	$ -
G	0	$ -
CDO	0	$ -
NDO	0	$ -
- No Data	0	$ -
Total - Ords.	1,851	$ 345,851,142
Simplified Acquistions (SA)		
A	86	$ 9,032,861
B	64	$ 5,708,915
C	3	$ 125,580
D	27	$ 2,028,387
E	0	$ -
F	0	$ -
G	0	$ -
CDO	0	$ -
NDO	0	$ -
- No Data	27	$ 4,540,083
Total - SA	207	$ 21,435,826

Competition Summary		
Contracts		
A	1,037	$ 386,286,329
B	142	$ 42,058,209
C	0	$ -
D	79	$ 148,337,224
E	0	$ -
F	0	$ -
G	0	$ -
CDO	0	$ -
NDO	0	$ -
- No Data	0	$ -
Total - Con.	1,258	$ 576,681,762
Orders		
A	579	$ 318,685,776
B	204	$ 2,779,678
C	3	$ 975,903
D	5	$ 2,100,795
E	0	$ -
F	0	$ -
G	0	$ -
CDO	0	$ -
NDO	0	$ -
- No Data	0	$ -
Total - Ords	791	$ 324,542,152
Simplified Acquistions (SA)		
A	109	$ 5,139,460
B	81	$ 4,627,862
C	0	$ -
D	91	$ 4,460,451
E	0	$ -
F	0	$ -
G	0	$ -
CDO	0	$ -
NDO	0	$ -
- No Data	63	$ 2,224,305
Total - SA	344	$ 16,452,076

Competition Summary		
Contracts		
A	542	$ 517,326,559
B	515	$ 153,550,547
C	96	$ 95,868,385
D	80	$ 74,188,207
E	6	$ 508,383
F	0	$ -
G	0	$ -
CDO	0	$ -
NDO	0	$ -
- No Data	34	$ 22,840,044
Total - Con.	1,273	$ 864,282,125
Orders		
A	632	$ 133,221,492
B	336	$ 21,831,426
C	29	$ 17,656,093
D	137	$ 19,901,171
E	36	$ 6,792,306
F	0	$ -
G	0	$ -
CDO	36	$ 9,998,876
NDO	6	$ 487,306
- No Data	92	$ 17,703,749
Total - Ords	1,304	$ 227,592,419
Simplified Acquistions (SA)		
A	91	$ 6,520,931
B	52	$ 2,961,790
C	56	$ 4,211,888
D	10	$ 360,331
E	0	$ -
F	2	$ 132,591
G	0	$ -
CDO	3	$ 174,075
NDO	0	$ -
- No Data	1	$ 109,901
Total - SA	217	$ 14,471,506

Competition Summary		
Contracts		
A	646	$ 429,628,659
B	527	$ 115,769,783
C	104	$ 115,464,346
D	249	$ 179,850,875
E	30	$ 11,624,459
F	8	$ 10,619,539
G	0	$ -
CDO	0	$ -
NDO	0	$ -
- No Data	114	$ 20,278,721
Total - Con.	1,678	$ 883,236,382
Orders		
A	309	$ 18,904,940
B	487	$ 21,004,701
C	78	$ 21,954,450
D	448	$ 19,013,208
E	39	$ 10,470,259
F	2	$ 8,100
G	0	$ -
CDO	32	$ 14,639,385
NDO	10	$ 591,711
- No Data	263	$ 60,440,435
Total - Ords	1,668	$ 167,027,189
Simplified Acquistions (SA)		
A	98	$ 1,762,755
B	38	$ 1,234,451
C	135	$ 3,885,769
D	188	$ 3,180,812
E	11	$ 461,174
F	37	$ 529,380
G	61	$ 1,246,247
CDO	4	$ 62,160
NDO	1	$ 41,002
- No Data	52	$ 1,579,537
Total - SA	625	$ 13,983,288

Competition Summary		
Contracts		
A	454	$ 490,893,760
B	542	$ 100,038,997
C	98	$ 78,205,400
D	348	$ 171,778,995
E	29	$ 6,234,823
F	22	$ 20,629,064
G	0	$ -
CDO	0	$ -
NDO	0	$ -
- No Data	58	$ 22,121,016
Total - Con.	1,549	$ 889,902,055
Orders		
A	215	$ 11,560,364
B	194	$ 9,517,356
C	83	$ 22,811,863
D	359	$ 13,804,984
E	19	$ 9,064,648
F	0	$ -
G	0	$ -
CDO	76	$ 21,661,586
NDO	36	$ 12,180,443
- No Data	212	$ 53,886,287
Total - Ords	1,194	$ 154,487,331
Simplified Acquistions (SA)		
A	79	$ 221,703
B	103	$ 2,081,126
C	298	$ 5,296,763
D	283	$ 2,457,115
E	7	$ 104,558
F	111	$ 1,878,861
G	48	$ 421,888
CDO	28	$ 921,417
NDO	13	$ 351,486
- No Data	190	$ 4,195,202
Total - SA	1,160	$ 17,930,118

Competition Summary		
Contracts		
A	351	$ 352,880,731
B	537	$ 100,748,068
C	106	$ 8,635,874
D	311	$ 156,434,681
E	26	$ 12,558,236
F	8	$ 15,065,606
G	0	$ -
CDO	1	$ 822,065
NDO	0	$ -
- No Data	38	$ 7,878,299
Total - Con.	1,378	$ 655,023,560
Orders		
A	218	$ 6,110,950
B	220	$ 14,764,365
C	39	$ 7,696,442
D	138	$ 6,949,431
E	18	$ 4,422,404
F	0	$ -
G	0	$ -
CDO	195	$ 39,559,695
NDO	81	$ 23,437,019
- No Data	194	$ 49,378,592
Total - Ords	1,103	$ 152,318,898
Simplified Acquistions (SA)		
A	76	$ 99,331
B	76	$ 1,276,154
C	249	$ 2,398,714
D	332	$ 2,857,124
E	19	$ 386,674
F	146	$ 1,247,743
G	30	$ 285,603
CDO	43	$ 1,291,936
NDO	16	$ 341,689
- No Data	179	$ 2,567,155
Total - SA	1,166	$ 12,752,124

A - Full and Open Competition
B - Not Available for Competition
C - Not Competed
D - Full and Open Competition after
E - Follow On to Competed Action
F - Competed under SAT
G - Not Competed under SAT
CDO - Competitive Delivery Order
NDO - Non-Competitive Delivery Order

Contracting

Question: If spending on outside contracts has increased over this period of time, what is HUD's justification for the increase? What accounts or activities are responsible for the largest share of the increase?

Answer: HUD's obligation increased 26.6 percent from FY 2003 to FY 2004. In that year, HUD awarded some of its largest, 5-year, mission-related contracts. Such obligation increases are typical over such 5-year time periods for the award of HUD's on-going programmatic initiatives--e.g., the Department's Single Family Management and Marketing (M&M) property management initiative for physical maintenance of homes which have been turned over to HUD as a result of mortgage foreclosures under the Agency's program for mortgage insurance and resale of such homes. Prime contract awards are made to a group of contractors nationwide for the work to be accomplished over the 5-year award period during which contract obligations are made for task orders to accomplish this on-going programmatic mission. HUD's predecessor M&M contract ran from February 1999 through July 2004. The current M&M contract began in August 2004 and runs for 5 years through August 2009, during which time, steady obligations under these contracts are expected. Also during this timeframe HUD accomplished a recompetition of its HUD Information Technology Services (HITS) contract which provides Departmentwide IT support services. Increases between other years are small. In FY 2005 obligations declined by 15.6 percent, and from FY 2006 to FY 2007, contract obligations declined by 6.7 percent. All HUD acquisitions are categorized by Product Service Codes in HUD's procurement systems. They represent a cross section of HUD contractual obligations in any given fiscal year. The listing below shows all of the PSCs for HUD's obligations for the study period.

Product Service Codes (PSCs):

Each fiscal year, HUD contract awards are typically made within any of the following Product Service Codes (PSCs). The total dollar obligations usually peak in the years in which major, mission-related awards are made. Therefore, each category may, or may not, be used in another fiscal year since acquisition requirements for all such services change from year-to-year:

<u>HUD's PSC Codes used in FY 2002 - FY 2007</u>:

AD26 – Services (Management/Support)

AK16 – Housing (Management/Support)

B545 – Study/Housing and Community Development

D301 – ADP Facility Management

D302 – ADP Systems Development Services

D304 – ADP Services/Telecommunications & Transmission

D306 -- ADP Systems Analysis Services

D307 – Automated Information System Services

D308 – Programming Services

D399 -- Other ADP & Telecommunications Services

H999 – Miscellaneous Test & Inspection Services

M161 – Operation of Govt. Family Housing

R402 – Real Estate Brokerage Services

R403 – Real Estate Brokerage Services

R405 – Operations Research & Quantitative Analysis

R408 – Program Management/Support Services

R409 – Program Review/Development Services

R411 – Professional Services/Real Property Appraisals

R420 – Certification and Accreditation

R421 – Technical Assistance

R425 – Engineering and Technical Services

R499 – Other Professional Services

R605 – Library Services

R699 – Other Administrative Support Services

R702 – Data Collection Services

R703 – Accounting Services

R710 -- Financial Services

R799 – Other Management Support Services

S206 – Guard Services

9999 – Miscellaneous Items

Contracting

Question: How much has HUD relied on contracts that were not fully and openly competed? Please provide a list of these contracts for the years 2002 through 2007.

Answer: For most of its awards, HUD adheres to the principle of "full and open competition" in soliciting offers and awarding its contracts, with certain limited regulatory exceptions in accordance with Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) Part 6, Competition Requirements (and pertinent statutes – 10 U.S.C. 2304 and 41 U.S.C. 253). However, HUD supports the Small Business Administration's (SBA's) 8(a) Program by making sole source awards under $3.5 million, in accordance with FAR Part 19.8. HUD is in the forefront of the Federal acquisition community in working with the SBA in support of SBA's socioeconomic development programs for purposes of awarding contracts to businesses that are categorized as small, small disadvantaged, service disabled veteran-owned, women-owned, and HUBZone, ensuring that they are treated fairly and have an opportunity to compete for Federal government contract awards in accordance with the FAR Part 19, Small Business Programs.

The spreadsheet provided in response to Question No. 1, HQFR_2002-2007_Summary, breaks out actions by the extent of competition. The attached key to the FPDS-NG codes helps explain the actions conducted under other than full and open competition procedures.

The attached spreadsheet, "HUD Non-Competitive 2002-2007," lists the HUD contract actions which had been coded as not having been competed during the study period. The spreadsheet titled "HQFR Orders Not Competed 2002-2007" lists individual task orders awarded noncompetitively. Note that most of these orders were placed under base contracts which were originally awarded under competitive procedures. The last column on each spreadsheet is entitled, "Reason Not Comp". This column identifies the reason why each of the actions was not competed, as allowed by the FAR, using codes from the FPDS-NG system. For example, in FYs 2002- 2004, the Department experienced delays in placing competitive awards for several Management & Marketing (M&M) contracts in the face of protests. HUD needed to continue existing contracts on an urgent basis to avoid a disruption in vital agency services prior to moving to the new competitive awards. In order to maintain and ensure the health and safety of properties, HUD made emergency M&M awards.

HUD Non-Competitive 200

HQFR Orders Not Competed 2002-2007

FPDS competition codes.doc

Contract Employees

Question: How many contract employees with HUD now work in space with regular civil service employees?

Answer: There are 784 contract employees working in HUD space with regular civil service employees in HUD Headquarters and its Satellite office space in the Washington, DC area, and 83 in its field offices.

Question: How has that number changed over the past eight years?

Answer: The trend information is not available at this time.

Staffing—Contract and Civil Service Employees

Question: Please provide the Committee with a list of how many contract and civil service employees now work in each major location (more than 250 total employees) maintained by HUD?

Answer: The offices that have more than 250 employees are listed below. Please note that these numbers **do not** include the OIG staff.

Region	Number of Contractors
New York	22
Philadelphia	17
Atlanta	87
Chicago	25
Fort Worth	156
Denver	16

Region	Number of Civilians
New York	259
Philadelphia	270
Atlanta	375
Chicago	357
Fort Worth	329
Denver	276

Capital Fund

Question: What is the average annual capital accrual need of the public housing inventory needs for the past six years?

Answer: Based on the capital needs study performed in 1998, the Department estimates the annual capital accrual need to be $2 billion. The Department is currently undertaking a new capital needs study in order to provide more updated figures.

Capital Fund

Question: HUD has requested only $2.024 billion for the Public Housing Capital Fund for 2009. This is the same amount as HUD's request last year, with $1.96 billion to cover annual accrual needs. Since HUD apparently has not adjusted this amount for inflation, what evidence does the Department have to show this will fully cover the annual accrual needs of the public housing in 2009?

Answer: PIH has been relying on estimates of modernization need from the 1998 study that assessed need. (Note: in April of 2008, the Department awarded a contract to complete a new study of public housing modernization need.) The 1998 study concluded that the annual accrual of modernization need was approximately $2 billion. Since the time of the study, PHAs have demolished or disposed of much of the worst stock (and presumably most expensive to modernize stock) and the HOPE VI program has partially replaced such stock with brand new stock that should result in a net decrease in the modernization need. There has been a small net decrease in the total number of units in the public housing program since PIH undertook the study as demolitions have somewhat exceeded the development of replacement units. Based on the information that the Department has, it believes that it is requesting sufficient funding to address the annual accrual of modernization need.

Capital Fund

Question: Last year in its budget submission, the Department said it would conduct a national modernization needs study and develop and test a modernization assessment protocol. A year has now passed. This year, once again, HUD says it will conduct a capital needs study of public housing. Has this study actually begun? If the study is underway, what is the status?

Answer: In April 2008, the Department awarded a contract to complete a new study of public housing modernization need. The Department formed a review panel which completed a comprehensive statement of work in January 2008. Currently, the contractor has begun work on designing the study. HUD staff meets with the contractor on a weekly basis to monitor progress, answer questions, and provide necessary data.

Capital Fund

Question: In recent years, many housing authorities have begun to look to other sources of funding to redevelop their housing stock. The deals that PHAs have structured have helped them stretch their limited capital funds further. Given that low funding levels make it increasingly important for PHAs to seek private partners, what is the Department doing to make it easier for PHAs to carry out such deals and to encourage private partners to enter into such deals?

Answer: The Department is working with PHAs to encourage leveraging their funds through the Capital Fund Financing Program (permits PHAs to borrow funds) and through the mixed finance program (permits PHAs to leverage tax credit and other sources of funds). In addition, the Department has disseminated information about these programs by publishing formal regulations and guidance and hosting numerous conferences and training sessions. These opportunities have educated PHA staff about the Department's leveraging programs in order to obtain additional funding to meet the capital needs of public housing.

Question: Has the Department considered a system – such as safe harbor provisions – that would allow housing authorities that meet certain standards to have deals automatically approved?

Answer: The Department is in the process of reducing the burden of PHAs applying for approvals under the Capital Fund Financing Program or the mixed finance program and has drafted related regulations and guidance materials. The Department has recently taken further steps to streamline the approval of the mixed finance program submissions in response to input from public housing industry groups and plans to reduce the requirement for PHAs to file proposal materials in relation to Capital Fund Financing Program transactions. In addition, the Department convened a working group to consider areas in which it can further streamline the approval process for the two programs.

Operating Fund

Question: The operating fund proration is set at 81%--the lowest in history. This proration is really even lower when the fact that some expenses, such as utilities, cannot be prorated, since agencies must pay utility companies 100% of their bills. Can the program continue to function at these levels?

Answer: PHAs have approximately $2.7 billion in operating reserves. Additionally, PHAs earn around $300 million annually in "Other Income" that is not recognized under the Formula. PHAs have used the flexibilities provided them in the Quality Work and Housing Responsibilities Act (QWHRA) to gain better control over their rental revenue and as a result, a recent study showed that over the past 12 years, PHA rental revenue has increased almost twice as fast as the cost of living. Under the Operating Fund Regulation, PHAs have been able to freeze the amount of rent collected at 2004 levels through 2009 for purposes of calculating their operating subsidy requirements.

Operating Fund

Question: For 2009, the HUD Public Housing Operating Fund budget request would provide housing authorities only 81 percent of the funding they need to operate their housing. This low proration continues the trend of dramatically underfunding the Operating Fund. In fact, PHAs have not received full funding in seven years. This has resulted in many housing authorities facing operating deficits with few, if any, reserves to cushion the lack of funding. At 81 percent funding, this is equivalent to saying housing authorities must shut down 19% of their operations or units. 17% of all public housing is approximately 227,000 units. In reality, this low proration leads to reduced operations, staff and services to residents, and insufficient resources to maintain existing units properly leading to units becoming severely distressed. How does the Department justify this low funding level for the Operating Fund?

Answer: Low proration levels over the past several years has not affected public housing operating reserves, which remain at about $2.7 billion. Additionally, the quality of the housing provided, as measured by the annual physical inspections has not shown a measurable decrease in the quality of housing provided.

Question: Why doesn't the budget propose funding the Public Housing Operating Fund at 100% of the operating requirement?

Answer: Funding levels for public housing are influenced by governmentwide priorities and competing domestic priorities.

Asset Management

Question: Have PHAs been issued all the guidance and direction they need to successfully transition to an asset-based management structure?

Answer: The Department has substantially provided the guidance necessary to transition to asset management. Although PHAs began with project-based budgeting and accounting in 2007 and 2008, full conversion to asset management is not required until 2011. About two-thirds of the agencies that have been assessed for conversion to asset management (stop-loss) have successfully passed. In 2008, the Congress appropriated $5.9 million in technical assistance to PHAs to assist with the transition to asset management, which will be awarded to a contractor to administer by the end of the current fiscal year.

Asset Management

Question: As a result of inadequate funding and legitimate questions about HUD's capacity to develop reasonable guidance to implement asset management, Congress confirmed that PHAs have the flexibility to use capital funds for central office costs. These budget justifications, however, say that HUD will restrict this flexibility based on its determination of what expenses are "reasonable". Yet it was this very concern about whether HUD's definition of "reasonable" was accurate that led Congress to enact section 226 in the first place. Can you tell us whether HUD plans to flout Congress's intent when it passed this law?

Answer: The Department has no intention to restrict the flexibility of PHAs to use Capital Funds to support central office costs, as provided in the 2008 appropriations bill (see Public and Indian Housing (PIH) Notice 2008-16, which provides implementation guidance). As with any Federal grant funds, PHAs must comply with OMB Circular A-87 which requires that all costs "... be necessary and reasonable for proper and efficient performance and administration of Federal awards". Also as with essentially all PIH funds, there is no requirement for prior HUD approval to spend funds under section 226.

Fair Housing

Question: What is the Department's rationale for cutting funding for the one program at HUD aimed at educating consumers and the housing industry and enforcing fair housing laws?

Answer: Answer: In FY 2009, the Department requested $26 million for the Fair Housing Initiatives Program (FHIP). Twenty million dollars will be used for enforcement of the Fair Housing Act (the Act) and education of the public regarding their rights and responsibilities under the Act.

The remaining $6 million will be used to fund HDS 2010, a decennial study to measure the level of housing discrimination. The Act requires the Secretary of HUD to conduct studies on the nature and extent of housing discrimination in the country. In accordance with that directive, the Department has conducted national studies on the extent of housing discrimination in 1977, 1989, and 2000.

HDS 2010 will replicate HDS 2000, by focusing on the treatment of African Americans, Hispanics, and Asian and Pacific Islanders when they seek to rent or buy a home. The study will allow HUD to assess any changes in the levels of discrimination in the past 10 years.

HDS data helps HUD to understand the nature of housing discrimination, the extent of the problem, and to identify the groups that are more impacted by acts of housing discrimination. This data helps the Department and fair housing advocates determine the most effective strategies in meeting its fair housing mission. HUD has used the results of the housing discrimination studies to design new education and outreach initiatives and to assist HUD in making fair housing policy and funding decisions, e.g. HDS 2000's study of discrimination against Asian and Pacific Islanders informed the creation of the Asian and Pacific Islander Education and Outreach Component of the FHIP.

While it may appear to decrease funding to fair housing organizations, this study will enlist private fair housing organizations to conduct the proposed research. In the study conducted in 2000, private fair housing groups received approximately 68 percent (approximately $14 million) of the $21 million of funding set aside for the study. The Departments expects a similar allocation in the 2010 study. Therefore, HUD estimates that the 2010 HDS would provide an estimated $4.8 million to fair housing groups nationwide, in addition to the $19.2 million directly allocated to the groups.

FHIP is but one of many programs in the Department aimed at educating consumers and the housing industry and enforcing fair housing laws. Through the Fair Housing Assistance Program (FHAP), state and local agencies enforce fair housing laws and educate the public about their rights. These agencies handle approximately 75 percent of the complaints filed in the United States.

For FY 2009, HUD has requested $25 million to support fair housing investigations and education by its 109 state and local partners under FHAP. This funding is tied largely to the complaints these groups receive each year. As complaints to these agencies have increased, the Department has increased the amount budgeted for these organizations in order to keep pace.

In addition, the Department investigates complaints of housing discrimination and conducts nationwide education and outreach to inform the public and housing providers of their rights and responsibilities. In FY 2007, HUD and state and local FHAP agencies received more than 10,000 complaints under the Fair Housing Act or a substantially equivalent state or local law. In almost one-third of the complaints, whether at HUD, or at one of the 108 state and local agencies, the agency obtains a positive result for the complainant, either through a finding of discrimination or resolution between the parties. In 2007, conciliation agreements and settlements provided more than $4.76 million in monetary relief to victims of discrimination. This is an addition to other relief that agencies obtain for the complainants, such as providing the victim with the desired unit or accommodation, a reduction in the amount of rent or in the interest rate on loans, and retrofits that make properties accessible to persons with disabilities.

Further, the Department has stepped up its use of Secretary-initiated enforcement in its efforts to proactively address and eliminate housing discrimination. This means if only a small share of the public is filing complaints, the Department is not waiting to receive formal housing discrimination complaints but is vigorously pursuing cases where there is reason to believe that a person or entity has committed a discriminatory act. In FY 2007, HUD filed 16 Secretary-initiated investigations or complaints. These addressed a variety of issues including widespread race discrimination in the New York rental market; housing providers who excluded families with children; discrimination against African American and Hispanic mortgage applicants; and religious discrimination among real estate agents. The Department has filed five Secretary-initiated complaints or investigations thus far in FY 2008.

To further the Department's mission of ensuring fair housing, HUD has taken a number of strategic initiatives to enhance fair housing enforcement including creating a lending division to conduct fair lending investigations. The Division initiates investigations when lending patterns or other information suggests discrimination by a lender, but no individual has come forward to file a complaint. In addition, the Department has reassigned to the Division HUD's fair lending oversight of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to ensure their underwriting policies and practices comply with fair lending laws. The Department is pursuing five nationwide Secretary-investigations into independent mortgage companies for possible discrimination based on race or national origin in the origination of loans, the pricing of loans, or for policies that have a discriminatory effect.

Furthermore, HUD has approximately 2,300 approved housing counseling agencies that provide housing counseling services nationally to homebuyers, homeowners, low-to-moderate-income renters, and the homeless. Counselors provide guidance and advice to help families and individuals improve their housing conditions and meet their responsibilities of tenancy and homeownership. Counselors also help borrowers avoid inflated appraisals, unreasonably high interest rates, unaffordable repayment terms, and other conditions that can result in loss of equity, increased debt, default, and eventually foreclosure. In addition, counselors provide guidance on the fair lending requirements of the Fair Housing Act and on Home Equity Conversion Mortgage (HECM) counseling to elderly homeowners who seek to convert equity in their homes into income that can be used to pay for home improvements, medical costs, living expenses, or other expenses.

Fair Housing

Question: What is the justification for spending $6 million on a study out of the Fair Housing account?

Answer: As required in the Section 808 (e)(1) and (2) of the Fair Housing Act "the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development shall:

(1) make studies with respect to the nature and extent of discriminatory housing practices in representative communities, urban, suburban, and rural, throughout the United States;
(2) publish and disseminate reports, recommendations, and information derived from such studies, including an annual report to the Congress..."

To date, HUD has issued three decennial housing discrimination studies. The first two, in 1977 and 1989 were funded through Research and Technology account in HUD's Salaries and Expenses budget. Those studies provided national snapshots of discrimination against African Americans in 1977 and African Americans and Hispanics in 1989. Beginning in FY 1999, Congress dramatically expanded the scope of the studies to focus on metropolitan estimates and more protected groups. To fund this larger effort, Congress authorized HUD to use the Fair Housing Initiatives Program (FHIP) budget to support its housing discrimination studies.

A Housing Discrimination Study (HDS) is a critical tool that HUD uses to help make or change fair housing policy by looking at housing discrimination in America through a comprehensive research approach which includes using standard testing methods. HDS data helps HUD to understand the nature of housing discrimination, the extent of the problem, and to identify the groups that are most impacted by acts of housing discrimination. This data helps HUD in determining the most effective strategies in meeting its fair housing mission.

HDS 2000 study was funded by Congress through FHIP. Congress appropriated $7.5 million, $6.0 million and $7.5 million in FHIP's FY 1999, 2000 and 2001 budgets, respectively. (Phase I Study dealt with African Americans and Hispanic, released in 2001; Phase II Study dealt with Asian and Pacific Island Americans, released in 2002; Phase III Study dealt with Native Americans released 2003; Phase IV dealt with persons with disabilities, released in 2005). Consistent with the 2000 study, in FY 2009 HUD continued to use this funding methodology to request funds for the 2010 HDS study.

HUD has used the results of its housing discrimination studies to design new education and outreach initiatives and to assist HUD in making decisions for fair housing funding and policies. For example, in response to HDS 2000 findings that Hispanics experience discrimination in 25 percent of rental transactions, a rate that remained virtually unchanged between 1989 and 2000, HUD created the Hispanic Fair Housing Awareness Component in the Fair Housing Initiatives Program. Specifically, in FY 2003, $400,000 were awarded to four organizations; and in FY 2004, another $400,000 were awarded to five organizations to conduct education and outreach activities and programs to the Hispanic community.

Also, in FY 2004, HUD awarded $1.7 million to six Fair Housing Assistance Program (FHAP) agencies located in areas with a large or rapidly growing Hispanic population. FHAP agencies in California, Florida, Illinois, North Carolina, Pennsylvania, and Texas received this funding to conduct enforcement, education, and outreach activities targeted to local Hispanic communities.

As a result of this effort, HUD noted that in FY 2004, national origin complaints experienced a notable increase, largely due to a surge in complaints filed by Hispanics. Hispanic national origin complaints increased by 30.1 percent (from 701 to 916) while the total number of national origin complaints increased by 21.6 percent (from 1,043 to 1,268).

Further, in response to the HDS 2000 findings that Asian and Pacific Island Americans (APIs) experienced adverse treatment in approximately one in five encounters with rental agents, HUD created API Awareness Component in the FY 2005 FHIP NOFA. Four organizations received a total of $400,000 to provide education and outreach activities to this targeted community.

Finally, the HDS 2000 (Phase IV) examined the Chicago-area rental market and found that persons with hearing-impairments experienced consistent adverse treatment 49.5 percent of the time when using a telephone relay to search for rental housing. Persons with mobility impairments using wheelchairs experienced consistent adverse treatment 32.3 percent of the time when they visited rental properties. In response to this study, HUD in FY 2007, awarded a $120,000 contract to conduct enforcement testing to detect discrimination against persons with disabilities. HUD is reviewing these tests, and where there is evidence of discrimination HUD will file Secretary-initiated complaints.

Section 8 Tenant-Based Rental Assistance

Question: The budget proposes leaving housing authorities with no operating reserve by using $600 million from net restricted assets as an offset. With all of the variables involved in running the Section 8 program, including whether or not voucher holders find a unit, how long it takes them, their income level, the cost of the apartment, its size, and turnover rates, what is the impact on the ability of agencies to lease up to their authorized level when they have no reserve?

Answer: The President's proposal is to offset the unusable portion of the PHA's reserves due to Congress' continued direction that the unit caps remain in this program. The President is not proposing to reduce any reserves held by a PHA which could be used to assist families.

Section 8 Tenant-Based Rental Assistance

Question: HUD proposes to allow housing authorities to use $600 million from unusable amounts in their net restricted assets account to pay for the cost of voucher renewals in FY2009. In HUD's budget justification, it says a housing authority's FY2009 renewal funding "shall be reduced by any amount in such agency's unusable net restricted assets account." Please clarify how additional funding will be available from the net restricted assets account, and explain how the administration determined the amount?

Answer: The Administration used the same methodology that Congress directed HUD to use in FY 2008. We used the most recent Voucher Management System (VMS) data available at the time of budget formulation—data from FY 2007. We then calculated how much of the unusable net restricted asset accounts would be left after the FY 2008 offset mandated by Congress. The remainder was approximately $600 million. This amount is subject to change as new VMS data is collected and verified throughout the year.

Section8 Tenant-Based Rental Assistance

Question: HUD's tenant based voucher renewal request in FY2009 falls nearly $500 million short of the estimated cost to renew current vouchers in use. It has been estimated that the department's renewal request will fail to fund about 100,000 vouchers in FY2009. Does the administration really intend to make 100,000 families homeless next year?

Answer: The President's budget will fund every voucher in use as of December 2007 adjusted for inflation and the cost of new vouchers placed in service during 2008. No families have been left homeless as a result of the Housing Choice Vouchers (HCV) program funding during this administration. Unfortunately, the current appropriation legislation prohibits Public Housing Agencies (PHAs) from using their HCV allocation or unused balances to serve more families than those authorized by their Annual Contribution Contract with HUD. The President's budget seeks to eliminate this limitation (cap) imposed on PHAs and also proposes to adjust future budgetary allocations by the amounts of prior year unspent funds allocated to PHAs. If both of these provisions are adopted by Congress, the Department does not foresee any losses of vouchers and we may actually see an increase in the number of vouchers used given that approximately 200,000 vouchers were not utilized as a result of the caps.

CDBG

Question: HUD justifies the massive cut to CDBG by stating that it will submit legislation to "reform" the program. Is this the same legislation that HUD put forward last year? If not, what changes are in this year's proposal?

Answer: Yes, this is the same proposal the Administration sent to Congress on June 5, 2007. The CDBG Reform Act includes a revision to the existing CDBG distribution formula, improved ability for HUD to hold grantee's accountable for performance and a challenge grant component to provide an incentive for grantees to target their CDBG funds to the neighborhoods that have the greatest level of need.

CDBG Funds

Question: What percentage of CDBG funds benefit low- and moderate-income individuals?

Answer: A more detailed discussion of these percentages can be found in the Annual Performance and Accountability Report (PAR) for FY 2007 in Indicators C.2.3 and C.2.4. In FY 2007, the share of CDBG entitlement funds that benefited low- and moderate income persons was 94.8 percent while the comparable State CDBG program percentage was 96.4 percent.

Reforming the CDBG Program

Question: What is the impetus for reforming the CDBG program?

Answer: The Administration's CDBG reform proposal focuses primarily upon improving the targeting of CDBG funds to community development need. The CDBG formula has been essentially untouched since the 1970's. Over the past decade there has been steady erosion in the ability of the CDBG formula to effectively target CDBG funding to need. Demographic changes, development patterns, and other factors have created significant distortions in the distribution of CDBG funds.

These facts are discussed at length in a report entitled "CDBG Formula Targeting to Community Development Need." This study was issued in February 2005 by HUD's Office of Policy Development and Research and is available online at www.huduser.org. The study identified several problems with the current formula. First, some low need grantees are receiving very high per capita grants due to influences such as rehabilitated older housing stock and the presence of large college student populations. Second, some high need grantees are receiving low per capita grants due to formula factors that ignore or minimize the grantee's true level of community development need. Third, grantees having relatively equal need profiles receive significantly different per capita grant amounts due to the existing dual formula approach and outdated formula factors.

The goal of the CDBG formula reform proposal is to improve the targeting of funds to community development needs. The proposed formula is effective in addressing the issues and significantly improves targeting of CDBG funds to higher need grantees.

CDBG Fund

Question: If your forthcoming legislative proposal on CDBG seeks to steer CDBG funds away from affluent communities, how is HUD defining "affluent?"

Answer: HUD does not define the term "affluent community" and there is no provision for any such terminology in determining CDBG allocations under either the existing or proposed formulas.

The Administration's CDBG reform proposal seeks to revise the CDBG formula to ensure that funds are directed to communities with the greatest level of need. The four factors included in the revised formula are:

- Persons living in poverty, excluding college students;
- Female-headed households with children under age of 18;
- Extent of housing overcrowding; and
- Housing units 50 years or older occupied by a poverty household.

Two of the four factors directly utilize poverty measures while a third (female-headed households) has a strong correlation with poverty. To the extent that a grantee has significant populations that are defined by these criteria, it would be rewarded by the revised formula. If a grantee's population does not reflect these characteristics, it is likely to experience a decrease in annual CDBG funding. In this context, the reform proposal does not intentionally steer funds away from any particular grantee. It does, however, reward those communities having greater community development need as the funding will follow that need in a significantly improved manner when compared to the existing formula.

Rural Housing and Economic Development

Question: The FY09 budget proposes zero funding for the Rural Housing and Economic Development (RHED) program. What is the rationale behind this proposed elimination of funds?

Answer: The Rural Housing and Economic Development (RHED) program was originally legislated to encourage new and innovative approaches to serving the housing and economic development needs of the nation's rural communities. The Department believes these efforts can best be continued through the CDBG and HOME programs. Moreover, the U.S. Department of Agriculture manages a portfolio of rural housing and economic development grant programs that vastly exceed HUD's RHED program.

Lead Abatement

Question: HUD is proposing a $29 million cut in the lead abatement program for FY08. What is the rationale behind this cut?

Answer: The FY 2009 request for the Office of Healthy Homes and Lead Hazard Control is the same as the Department's FY 2008 request. For FY 2008, Congress added $29 million for Lead Demonstration Grant Program, which would cover making about 2,600 housing units lead safe. The reduction is a result of HUD's not asking for Lead Demonstration Grant Program funding, which was not included in either the FY 2008 or FY 2009 requests. This reduction reflects the trend of declining demand for the Demonstration Grant Program and the Department's view that the Lead Hazard Grant Control Program with fewer eligibility restrictions and lower matching requirements is the better vehicle for reducing lead hazards in housing.

The Nation has made significant progress in decreasing the number of lead-poisoned children in the country from 890,000 in the early 1990s to less than 300,000 today, according to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. The efforts of the Department have played a major role in this progress, in particular, through our making homes lead safe under our lead hazard control grant programs and through our lead safety regulatory enforcement activities.

In FY 2008, we are increasing our regulatory enforcement efforts, which will supplement the number of housing units made lead safe through our grant programs. We will further increase this enforcement effort in FY 2009.

HOPE VI

Question: According to Congressional Research Service (CRS), as of June 2004, HOPE VI grantees plan to demolish 134,572 units of public housing, and rebuild or renovate 94,725 units of new housing of which 48,325 would be subsidized. Does HUD have updated data?

Answer: Yes. As of March 31, 2008, HOPE VI Revitalization grantees plan to demolish 94,101 public housing units, rebuild or renovate 107,059 units of new housing of which 58,974 would be subsidized by public housing funds. The 134,572 figure for demolition from 2004 also includes units funded through HOPE VI Demolition only grants. Those grants funded the demolition of 56,755 public housing units. Of this amount, approximately 15,000 units are at sites that also have a Revitalization grant and are thus included in the 94,101. Therefore, the total number of units funded for demolition through the HOPE VI program is approximately 136,000.

Mr. Olver #28

HOPE VI

Question: For each year 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, and 2007, how much did HUD set aside for technical assistance? If money was set aside, what was it used for?

Answer: Each year, the Department used the funds to pay for TA providers (for community and supportive services as well as development assistance), training, travel, and the contract for the HOPE VI Quarterly Reporting System. Listed below are the appropriated amounts post-rescission.

HOPE VI TA Summary

FY	Description	Amount
FY2003	HOPE VI Data Collection & Quarterly Reporting; New HOPE VI Construction Inspections Corps of Engineers; Community & Supportive Services (CSS) TA; Travel & Training for HOPE VI staff	$6,209,000
FY2004	HOPE VI Data Collection & Quarterly Reporting; New HOPE VI Construction Inspections Corps of Engineers; Travel & Training for HOPE VI staff	$3,976,000
FY2005	HOPE VI Data Collection & Quarterly Reporting; Community & Supportive Services (CSS) TA; Travel & Training for HOPE VI staff	$3,952,000
FY2006	HOPE VI Data Collection & Quarterly Reporting; Travel & Training for HOPE VI staff	$1,980,000
FY2007	HOPE VI Data Collection & Quarterly Reporting; Travel & Training for HOPE VI staff	$1,980,000

HOPE VI

Question: Is it still the policy of HUD not to give technical assistance to PHAs undertaking HOPE VI projects unless the PHA is high risk?

Answer: No. Each grantee has a Grant Manager assigned to work with them on a regular basis, whether or not the PHA is high risk. In addition, HUD staff conducts regular site visits to ensure timely progress. Those PHAs that are having trouble implementing their HOPE VI Revitalization Plans do tend to receive more assistance than those not having problems. Also, HUD currently has a contract to provide technical assistance to grantees whose programs are lagging and where the PHA has low capacity.

HOPE VI

Question: Does HUD still provide expediters (experts in finance and real estate) to grantees? According to a 2003 GAO report, HUD stopped using them in FY 2001, citing costs. Is this still the case?

Answer: Although, the Department no longer uses the term "expediters," the Department does provide contract expertise to grantees. The Department has consistently utilized contracts with technical assistance providers to ensure that grantees have a well-run community and supportive services program for residents. In addition, the Department currently has a technical assistance contractor working with HOPE VI Revitalization grantees to provide development assistance.

HOPE VI

Question: For the fourth year in a row, the Department proposes canceling the highly successful HOPE VI program. The Department continues to propose a budget that underfunds the Public Housing Capital Fund, which provides monies for modernization. Without HOPE VI—coupled with continued underfunding of the capital account—what program does HUD propose to use for the future revitalization of severely distressed public housing?

Answer: PHAs have many more financing tools available to them today than when the HOPE VI program began. For example, through mixed-finance development and the Capital Fund Financing Program (CFFP), PHAs can leverage other funds to address their modernization and redevelopment needs. Also, through asset management, the Department believes that PHAs will operate developments more efficiently, which will help maintain the quality of the housing stock.

HOPE VI

Question: The House recently passed a reauthorization and expansion of the HOPE VI program. How can HUD continue to maintain that the program is no longer needed, asserting HOPE VI has fulfilled its mission, when it is clear there are still thousands of public housing units in need of demolition and replacement or modernization, and the House has clearly agreed with the passage of this reauthorization bill?

Answer: As the Administration stated in its Statement of Administration Policy dated January 16, 2008, the Administration is strongly committed to providing safe, decent, and affordable public housing to those citizens least able to care for themselves and recognizes the contribution made by the HOPE VI program toward the revitalization of public housing. However, because the program has proven over time to be less cost-effective and efficient than other public housing programs, the Administration strongly opposes H.R. 3524, the HOPE VI Improvement and Reauthorization Act of 2007.

HUD has awarded $5.9 billion in HOPE VI Revitalization funds to Public Housing Agencies to date. While the majority of the funds have been used to promote neighborhood revitalization, $993 million remains unspent. The program's complex planning and redevelopment process has resulted in significant delays in the execution and completion of projects, with the average HOPE VI project taking 7.75 years to complete. Additionally, some public housing authorities lack the capacity to properly manage their redevelopment projects.

The Administration also strongly opposes provisions of H.R. 3524 that mandate one-for-one replacement of any public housing unit that is demolished or disposed of under the HOPE VI program. It is not feasible in many communities to provide mixed-use development, including one-for-one replacement of public housing units, on the location of the demolished public housing project. Further, acquisition of additional land in the surrounding neighborhood for use in implementing a one-for-one replacement strategy may not be possible. Even if such land were available, costs to acquire and develop it would be expected to increase the cost of each HOPE VI unit.

HOPE VI

Question: Given the current economic conditions and the likelihood of a looming recession, much of it a result of the collapse of the housing market, why would the administration choose to not fund construction and rehabilitation of affordable housing through HOPE VI, which would surely provide a critical injection of stimulus to local economies in precisely the areas where they need the most support?

Answer: The Administration is committed to seeking constructive solutions--such as legislation to modernize the Federal Housing Administration-- to address the disruptions in the housing markets and strengthen the U.S. economy. The President also signed into law the Economic Stimulus Act, an economic growth package that is broad-based and is having an immediate positive impact on the economy. The Administration does not believe that additional funding for HOPE VI, with its historically slow spend-down rate, would meet the criteria of immediately stimulating the economy.

HOPE VI

Question: Of the needs cited in the 1990 National Commission on Severely Distressed Public Housing, how many cities have reached their original goals? Which ones? Which cities have not met their original goals?

Answer: The Commission's Final Report addressed the status of public housing nationwide and did not establish goals for individual cities.

Moving to Work

Question: HUD is currently drawing up one general contract that MTW agencies would be required to sign in order to stay in the program for another ten years. For some agencies, this new contract would disallow provisions within their current contracts (provisions which these agencies depend on to support their innovative programs). If HUD claims to support the innovation and flexibility of MTW, why is the Department seeking to limit the scope of the program compared to the current contracts? Would HUD consider extending the current contracts while Congress is considering MTW legislation?

Answer: The Department has made the commitment to the current MTW agencies that they will be able to retain the flexibilities they have under their existing agreement, provided those flexibilities are legal and can be accommodated as part of the MTW authorizing statute. The Department anticipates that special provisions will be negotiated as well to govern the particular MTW program of each public housing agency.

Over the past 2 years, the Department has been working to develop a revised, standardized Moving to Work (MTW) Agreement. A newly revised MTW Agreement was ultimately finalized and issued by HUD to public housing agencies in January 2008. All Public Housing Agencies which seek to enter into an MTW Agreement on or after January 1, 2008, are required to execute the core standardized terms in the new form of MTW Agreement.

The revisions made to the Agreement serve a number of administrative objectives. The basic terms of the new Agreement are now standardized to simplify administration of the program. In addition, the new Agreement requires participating public housing agencies to identify the goals of their MTW program with greater specificity to enable both the public housing agency and the Department to assess or measure how successfully the particular agency's MTW program is meeting its goals. The new Agreement also provides for more detailed and comprehensive reports by participating public housing agencies, including project-based (rather than authoritywide) accounting to allow both the public housing agency and HUD to better assess the success of the MTW program in meeting the needs of public housing tenants on a project-by-project basis.

Moving to Work

Question: Please list all current MTW agencies and the years in which they began their agreements, as well as any renewal of agreements.

Answer: Below is a list of all of the current MTW agencies.

MTW Agency and Initial Term	Original Agreement Signed	# Extensions	Current Expiration Date	Comments
Alaska Housing Finance Corporation				New MTW agency per Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2008. Adopting standard agreement on 6/18/08.
Atlanta (7 Years)	25-Sep-03	0	30-Jun-10	
Baltimore (7 Years)	31-Mar-05	0	30-Jun-12	Original agreement determined to be void, although designation as MTW retained in Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2008. Negotiating standard agreement.
Cambridge (5 Years)	9-Apr-99	2	31-Mar-09	First two-year extension by letter in 2001, second by Amendment #3 in 2006
Charlotte (10 years)	21-Dec-07	0	31-Mar-18	Signed standard agreement on 4/22/08
Chicago (10 Years)	6-Feb-00	0	5-Feb-10	
Delaware (5 years)	14-May-99	2	30-Jun-18	First by Amendment #4 for one year in 2004, second for 3 years by Amendment #5 in 2005 Signed standard agreement on 4/7/08
Keene (5 Years)	21-Apr-99	1	31-Dec-18	Amendment #1 in 2003. Signed standard agreement on 4/11/08
King County (7 Years)	8-Sep-03	0	7-Sep-10	
Lawrence - Douglas County (5 years)	30-Mar-99	2	31-Dec-18	Amendment #1 in 2004 for one year, Amendment #2 in 2006 for three years. Signed standard agreement on 4/22/08.
Lincoln (5 Years)	21-May-99	2	31-Mar-18	Amendment #1 in 2004 for one year, Amendment #3 in 2006 for three years. Signed standard agreement on 3/17/08
Louisville (5 Years)	2-Aug-99	1	30-Jun-18	Amendment #1 in 2005, Amendment #3 for 3 years in 2006. Signed standard agreement on 4/15/08
Massachusetts (5 Years)	21-Apr-99	1	30-Jun-09	Amendment # 1 (one year) in 2004, Amendment #2 (3 years) in 2006
Minneapolis (9 Years)	27-Aug-98	0	30-Sep-18	Amendment # 1 (eight months) in 2007, signed the standard agreement on 1/29/08

MTW Agency and Initial Term	Original Agreement Signed	# Extensions	Current Expiration Date	Comments
New Haven (7 Years)	28-Sep-01	0	30-Sep-18	Signed standard agreement on 5/2/08
Oakland (7 Years)	31-Mar-04	0	30-Jun-11	
Philadelphia (7 Years)	28-Feb-02	0	31-Mar-08	Agreement expired March 31, 2008
Pittsburgh (5 Years)	17-Nov-00	1	31-Dec-09	Amendment #2 for 3 years
Portage (5 Years)	19-Mar-99	2	31-Dec-18	Amendment #1 for 1 year in 2004, Amendment #2 for three years in 2006. Signed standard agreement on 5/2/08
Portland (5 Years)	13-Jan-99	2	31-Mar-09	Extension Amendment in 2004, Amendment #2 for 3 years
San Bernardino				New MTW agency per Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2008. Negotiating standard agreement.
Santa Clara (10 Years)	26-Feb-08	1	30-Jun-18	New MTW agency per Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2008. Signed standard agreement on 2/26/08.
San Jose (10 Years)	26-Feb-08	0	30-Jun-18	New MTW agency per Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2008. Signed standard agreement on 2/26/08.
San Mateo (5 Years)	1-May-00	0	30-Jun-18	Amendment #1 for 3 years, signed standard agreement on 4/8/08
Seattle (5 Years)	30-Dec-98	2	30-Sep-09	Extension letter in 2001 to 9/30/06, Amendment #2 for 3-year extension
Tulare County (5 Years)	5-Apr-99	2	30-Jun-09	Amendment #1 for 2 years, Amendment # 2 for 3 years. Signed standard agreement on 2/21/08
Vancouver (5 Years)	21-Apr-99	2	31-Mar-09	Amendment #1 for 1 year in 2004, Amendment #2 for three years in 2006. Signed standard agreement on 3/26/08
Washington, DC (7 Years)	25-Jul-03	0	30-Sep-10	

Moving to Work

Question: How many slots are left for MTW agencies, according to the authorization?

Answer: The Department has determined that all of the original 30 slots have been used, and does not currently have the authority under the original Moving to Work (MTW) statute to add additional agencies to the MTW Demonstration Program. The only way to add additional agencies to the MTW demonstration is through Congressional Action.

As authorized in 1996 by Section 204 of the Appropriations Act, the MTW Demonstration permits up to 30 PHAs to participate in the demonstration program. Nineteen PHAs were selected for participation for the MTW demonstration in response to a HUD Notice published in the Federal Register on December 18, 1996 and five of the 30 slots were filled through the Jobs-Plus Community Revitalization Initiative. An additional two PHAs were specifically named and authorized to join the demonstration in 1999 under the VA, HUD, Independent Appropriations Act of 1999 (Pub L. 105-276, 112 Stat. 2461). A Public and Indian Housing (PIH Notice 2000-52) notice issued December 13, 2000 allowed up to an additional six PHAs to participate in the MTW demonstration. The Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2008 (Pub L. 110-161, 121 Stat. 1844) added four PHAs to the MTW Demonstration Program.

Moving to Work

Question: How many agencies' agreements expire this year?

Answer: The Philadelphia Housing Authority's agreement expired March 31, 2008. No other Moving to Work agreements expire in 2008.

Moving to Work

Question: Does HUD anticipate opening up the competition for new MTW agencies?

Answer: No. The Department has determined that all of the original 30 slots have been used, and does not currently have the authority under the original MTW statute to add additional agencies to the MTW Demonstration Program.

HUD/FTA Working Group

Question: The Fiscal Year 2008 appropriations bill included $500,000 in HUD and $500,000 in FTA to establish an interagency working group. Please describe the specific activities that HUD has undertaken with FTA to encourage affordable housing preservation and construction near transit centers

Answer: Specific activities HUD has undertaken with FTA in FY 2008 to encourage affordable housing preservation and construction near transit are as follows:

Working Group

As directed by Congress, HUD and FTA have established an interagency Working Group to coordinate activities between the two agencies. The Working Group was initially convened in October 2007 by members of both FTA and HUD headquarters offices. HUD's Offices of Community Planning and Development, and Policy Development and Research have been represented on the Working Group. FTA regional and HUD field office representatives will be included in the future.

Report to Congress.

As directed by Congress, HUD and FTA have prepared a report to be submitted to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations on "new ways that the two agencies can better coordinate transportation and housing programs to promote affordable housing near transit." The report is currently in Departmental clearance and is expected to be submitted to Congress shortly.

The report outlines activities that HUD and FTA can take over the next 3 years (FY 2008 – FY 2010) to better coordinate transportation and housing programs to promote affordable housing near transit. These activities address the areas of outreach and capacity building, regulatory barriers, joint regional/ metropolitan planning, policy analysis, research and development, and legislative research and recommendations. The interagency working group will develop performance measures and an associated management information system for assessing the effectiveness of action plan results.

Interagency Agreement

The two agencies are completing an Interagency Agreement (IAA) to fund the activities to be undertaken in support of this effort. HUD will commit $500,000. This will include the development of a Best Practices manual on mixed-income housing and transit-oriented development, to guide local officials on successful strategies for implementing mixed-income housing near transit. This manual will be completed and published in FY 2009. Additional activities to be covered under the IAA are listed in the Table for the next question.

Note that significant work has already been accomplished through the study previously funded by HUD and FTA, "Realizing the Potential: Expanding Housing Opportunities Near Transit."

HUD/FTA Working Group

Question: Please provide a table that delineates how HUD intends to expend this $500,000. Please provide a list of HUD employees that are participating on the interagency working group.

Answer: See table below.

Table of Expenditures

The following table summarizes the actions to be undertaken with $500,000 from HUD and additional FTA funds in FY 2008:

Activity	Deliverables
Disseminate the results and implement the recommendations of the previously-HUD-FTA funded study "Realizing the Potential: Expanding Housing Opportunities Near Transit."	Presentations at up to three conferences/ workshops
Prepare Best Practices Manual describing successful examples of mixed-income housing near transit (including the Best Practices documented in the "Realizing the Potential" report).	Best Practices Manual
Convene an expert roundtable of housing and transportation experts, Metropolitan Planning Organizations, and transit agencies to provide expert input to HUD and FTA.	Two roundtable meetings
Support the development up to two model housing-transportation plans by a Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) in partnership with cities and counties in that region.	Two Model Plans
Prepare White Papers and host workshop on regulatory barriers to Transit Oriented Developments (TODs) and possible incentives for mixed income housing near TODs.	Two White Papers and one workshop.

PD&R is considering a commitment of another $250,000 in FY 2009 R&T funds to assist in the implementation of longer-term actions described in the report being developed by HUD and FTA on better coordination of transportation and housing programs. This report is currently in Departmental clearance and will be submitted to Congress in late June.

Working Group Members

The following HUD employees are participating in the interagency working group.

- Office of Policy Development and Research:

  Michael Freedberg, Director, Division of Affordable Housing Technology Research
  Jon Sperling, Manager, Geographical Information Systems, Office of Research and Evaluation
  Regina Gray, Economist, Affordable Housing Technology Research
  Edwin Stromberg, Policy Analyst, Affordable Housing Technology Research
  Luis Borray, Architect, Division of Affordable Housing Technology Research

- Office of Community Planning and Development

  Manuel Ochoa, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Grant Programs
  Karen Daly, Director, Office of Policy Development and Coordination.
  Sal Sclafani, Office of Policy Development and Coordination
  Diane Shmutzler, Office of Policy Development and Coordination
  Patrick Jordan, Office of Policy Development and Coordination
  James Potter, Office of Energy and Environment

- Field Policy and Management

  Ernest Zupancic, Field Office Director, Manchester, NH

Additional representatives will be added from the Offices of Housing, Public and Indian and Housing, and from two additional HUD field offices.

HUD's Grants in 2006 and 2007

Question: To the degree applicable, what portion of HUD's grants in 2006 and 2007 were made neither on the basis of a legal formula nor on the basis of outside peer review panels? Please provide a listing of such grants and an explanation as to how the Department decides who the grantee should be.

Answer: In 2007 approximately 4 percent of awards were made neither on the basis of formula nor peer review. Please note that All HUD competitive awards require review against criteria published in the NOFA (per HUD Reform Act of 1989). In 2006, also approximately 4 percent, like 2007, were similarly made if supplementals were excluded.

FY		All Awards	Competitive Awards less awards by peer review	Percent awarded neither by formula or peer review
2007	Total Budget avail for Awards	$27,000,000,000		
2007	Supplemental	Not aware		
2007	Earmarks			
2007	SuperNOFA Competitive		$2,,400,000,000	
2007	Continuum (peer review)		(1,486,820,449)	
2007	PD&R (peer review)		(20,952,226)	
2007	202 demo		39,600,000	
2007	Neighborhood Networks		10,000,000	
2007	Brownfields		32,900,000	
2007	Capacity Building		29,590,000	
2007	Hope VI revitalization		94,520,000	
2007	Hope VI main street		2,500,000	
2007	**Totals**	**27,000,000,000**	**1,101,337,325**	**4%**
2006	Total Budget avail for Awards	26,400,000,000		
2006	Supplemental Disaster Relief	16,700,000,000		
2006	Earmarks	307,000,000		
2006	SuperNOFA Competitive		2,200,000,000	
2006	Continuum (peer review)		(1,200,000,000)	
2006	PD&R (peer review)		(22,776,000)	
2006	202 demo		42,178,662	
2006	Hope VI main street		5,000,000	
2006	Hope VI revitalization		77,900,000	
2006	**Totals**	**43,407,000,000**	**1,102,302,662**	**2.50%**

Funds available may represent appropriated amounts plus carry over from previous years. Continuum of Care and University Partnership programs award by peer review. All HUD competitive awards require review against criteria published in the NOFA (per HUD Reform Act of 1989).

Answer: Below is the list of grantees that were awarded in 2006 and 2007.

FY Year of Award	Program in which awards were made neither on the basis of a legal formula nor on the basis of outside peer review panels	Grantees	Basis of Award Please Select from Dropdown List
2006	FHIP	Access Living of Metropolitan Chicago	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	ACORN Associates, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	ACORN Community Land Association of LA	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Advocacy Center	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Aids Legal Referral Panel	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	American Enviromental Justice Project	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	American Institute for Social Justice	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Austin Tenant Council, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Austin Tenants Council, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Bay Area Legal Aid	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	ByDesign Financial Solutions	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	California Rural Legal Assistance, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Central Alabama Fair Housing Center	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Champlain Valley Office of Economic Opportunity	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Chicago Lawyers Committee for Civil Rights	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	City of Billings	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	City of Newton	Criteria published in NOFA

			published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Coalition on Homelessness and Housing in Ohio	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Colorado Coalition for the Homeless	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Community Action Agency	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Community Impact Legal Services	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Consumer Credit Counseling Service of Gtr. Dallas	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Crawford Sebastian Community Development, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Deleware Community Reinvestment Action Council	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Disability Rights Wisconsin	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Fair Housing Center of Southeastern Michigan	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Fair Housing Agency of Albama	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Fair Housing Center of Greater Boston	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Fair Housing Center of Northern Alabama	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Fair Housing Center of South Puget Sound	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Fair Housing Center of the GulfCoast of Mississipi	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Fair Housing Continuum, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Fair Housing Council of Central California	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Fair Housing Council of Montgomery County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Fair Housing Council of New York, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Fair Housing Council of Oregon	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Fair Housing Council of Suburban Philadelphia, Inc	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	FHIP	Fair Housing Counsel of Northern New Jersey	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Fair Housing of Marin	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Fair Housing of the Dakotas	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Fair Housing Opportunities Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Fair Housing Partnership of Greater Pittsburgh	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Fair Housing Resource Center, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Family Housing Advisory Services, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Greater Houston Center, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Greater Napa Fair Housing Center	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Greater New Orleans Fair Housing Action Center	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Greenville County Human Relations Commission	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	High Plains Community Development Corp., Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	HOPE Fair Housing Center	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Housing Advocates, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Housing Counseling Services, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Housing Discrimination Project, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Housing Education and Economic Development	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Housing Opportunities Made Equal of Gtr.CN	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Housing Opportunities Project for Excellence, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Housing Researdh & Advocacy Center	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Idaho Legal Aid Services, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2006	FHIP	Inland Mediation Board	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Interfaith Housing Center of Northern Suburbs	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Jacksonville Area Legal Aid, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	JC Vision and Associates, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	John Marshall Law School	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Kansas Legal Services	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Kitsap County Consolidated Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Legal Aid Foundation of Los Angeles	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Legal Aid Services of Oregon	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Legal Aid Society of Hawaii	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Legal Aid Society of Palm Beach County, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Legal Assistance of Western New York, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Legal Services of Eastern Michigan	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Legal Services of North Florida, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Lexington Fair Housing Council	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Long Island Housing Services, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Mainstreaming Consultants, Inc. dba Program	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Metropolitan Fair Housing Council of Gtr. OK	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Metropolitan Milwaukee Fair Housing Council	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Minneapolis Urban League	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	FHIP	Mississippi Center for Legal Services, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Mobile Fair Housing Center, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Neighborhood Economic Development Advocacy Project	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	New Jersey Citizen Action	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	New Mexico ACORN Fair Housing	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Northwest Fair Housing Alliance	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Office of Human Affairs	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Ogden City Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Orange County Fair Housing Council, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Phoenix Housing and Counseling Non-Profit, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Project Sentinel, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Rhode Island Housing and Mortgage Finance Corp.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	San Antonio Fair Housing Council, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Sentinel Fair Housing	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Silver State Fair Housing Council	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	South Brooklyn Legal Services, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	South Suburban Housing Center	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Southwest Fair Housing Council	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	St. Martin Center, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Texas Workforce Commission	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Three Rivers Center for Independent Living, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2006	FHIP	University of Southern Mississippi	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Urban League of Metropolitan St. Louis	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	West Tennessee Legal Services, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Access Living of Metropolitan Chicago	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	ACORN Housing Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Advocacy Center	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	American Institute for Social Justice	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Arkansas Community Housing Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Austin Tenants Council, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Bay Area Legal Services, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Champlain Valley Office of Economic Opportunity	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Citizen Action of New Jersey	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	City of Billings	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Delaware Community Reinvestment Action Council	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Disability Law Center	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Fair Housing Agency of Alabama	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Fair Housing Center of Metropolitan Detroit	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Fair Housing Center of Southwest Michigan	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Fair Housing Center of West Michigan	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Fair Housing Council of Northern New Jersey	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	FHIP	Fair Housing of Marin	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Fair Housing of the Dakotas	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Fair Housing Partnership of Greater Pittsburgh	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Garland Fair Housing Office	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Greater Napa Fair Housing Center	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Greater New Orleans Fair Housing Action Center	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	HAP, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Housing Advocates, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Housing Council in the Monroe County Area, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Housing Discrimination Project, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Housing Opportunities Made Equal of Grt. Cinn.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Housing Opportunities Made Equal of Virginia, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Housing Opportunities Project for Excellence, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Housing Research & Advocacy Center	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Housing Research & Advocacy Center	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Inland Mediation Board	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Interfaith Housing Center of the Northern Suburbs	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Iowa Civil Rights Commission	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Kentucky Commission on Human Rights	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Legal Aid Services of Oregon	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Legal Aid Society of Minneapolis	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2007	FHIP	Legal Assistance Corporation of Ctr. Massachusetts	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Legal Services of Eastern Michigan	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Legal Services of North Florida, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Memphis Area Legal Services, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Metropolitan Fair Housing Council	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Metropolitan Milwaukee Fair Housing Council, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Metropolitan St. Louis Equal Housing Opportunity	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Miami Valley Fair Housing Center, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Mississippi Center for Legal Services, Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Mobile Fair Housing Center, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	National Community Reinvestment Coalition	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	New Mexico ACORN Fair Housing	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Northern West Virginia Ctr. for Independent Living	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Northwest Fair Housing Alliance	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Oak Park Regional Housing Center	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Orange County Fair Housing Council, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Pacific News Release	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	San Antonio Fair Housing Council, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Silver State Fair Housng Council	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Southwest Fair Housing Council	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	FHIP	Southwestern Pennsylvania Legal Services, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	University of Southern Mississippi	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Urban League of Metropolitan St. Louis, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Waccamaw Regional Council of Governments	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Self-Help Homeownership Opportunity Program	Community Frameworks	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Self-Help Homeownership Opportunity Program	Habitat for Humanity International	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Self-Help Homeownership Opportunity Program	Housing Assistance Council	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Self-Help Homeownership Opportunity Program	Community Frameworks	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Self-Help Homeownership Opportunity Program	Habitat for Humanity International	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Self-Help Homeownership Opportunity Program	Housing Assistance Council	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Self-Help Homeownership Opportunity Program	PPEP Microbusiness & Housing Dev. Corp.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Healthy Homes Demonstration Grant Program	Alameda County Lead Poisoning Prevention Program	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Healthy Homes Demonstration Grant Program	City of Minneapolis Healthy Homes & Lead Hazard Control	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Healthy Homes Demonstration Grant Program	Cook County Department of Public Health	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Healthy Homes Demonstration Grant Program	Cuyahoga County Board of Health Department	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Healthy Homes Technical Studies Grants Program	National Center for Healthy Housing	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Healthy Homes Technical Studies Grants Program	St. Louis University	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Healthy Homes Technical Studies Grants Program	University of Cincinnati	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Healthy Homes Technical Studies Grants Program	University of Minnesota	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Boston	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Charlotte	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Fort Wayne	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Hartford	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Portland	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Somerville	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of South Bend	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of St. Louis	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Warwick	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	Cochise County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	County of Lawrence	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	County of Orange	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	County of Peoria	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	County of Rock	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	County of St. Louis	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	County of Westchester	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	Madison County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	Monroe County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	Onondaga County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	Salt Lake County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	San Bernardino County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	Shelby County	Criteria published in NOFA

			published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	St. Clair County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	State of California	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	State of Connecticut	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	State of Kansas	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	State of Michigan	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	State of North Carolina	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	State of Rhode Island	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program	City of Boston	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program	City of Cleveland	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program	City of Hartford	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program	City of Somerville	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program	City of St. Louis	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program	County of Westchester	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program	State of Connecticut	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Outreach Grants Program	Area Health Education Center of Southern Nevada	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Outreach Grants Program	Board of Regents	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Outreach Grants Program	Indiana Black Expo	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Outreach Grants Program	Le Bonheur Community Outreach	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Outreach Grants Program	National Nursing Centers Consortium	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Outreach Grants Program	Research Foundation of SUNY on behalf of SUNY Potsdam	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	Lead Outreach Grants Program	Saint Francis Hospital & Medical Center	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Outreach Grants Program	West Harlem Environmental Action	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Technical Studies Program	Phoenix Science & Technology	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Technical Studies Program	Research Triangle Institute	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Technical Studies Program	St. Louis University	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Technical Studies Program	University of Cincinnati	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Technical Studies Program	University of Cincinnati College of Medicine	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Technical Studies Program	University of Illinois at Chicago	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Technical Studies Program	University of Illinois Board of Trustees	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Operation Lead Elimination Action Program (LEAP)	Environmental Education Associates	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Operation Lead Elimination Action Program (LEAP)	Mahoning Valley Real Estate Investors Association	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Operation Lead Elimination Action Program (LEAP)	Middle Tennessee State University	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Healthy Homes Demonstration Grant Program	City of San Diego	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Healthy Homes Technical Studies Grants Program	American Lung Association of the Upper Midwest	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Healthy Homes Technical Studies Grants Program	Boston Medical Center Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Healthy Homes Technical Studies Grants Program	Case Western Reserve University	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Healthy Homes Technical Studies Grants Program	Coalition to End Childhood Lead Poisoning, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Healthy Homes Technical Studies Grants Program	National Center for Healthy Housing	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Healthy Homes Technical Studies Grants Program	The Children s Mercy Hospital	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Healthy Homes Technical Studies Grants Program	University of Cincinnati	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Bridgeport	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Burlington	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Chicago	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Cincinnati	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Concord	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Davenport	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Erie	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Greensboro	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Harrisburg	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Kankakee	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Lawrence	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Muskegon	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Nashua	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of New York	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Newark	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Portland	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Rocky Mount	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Springfield	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Syracuse	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Tucson	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Waterloo	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Worcester	Criteria published in NOFA

			published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	Cuyahoga County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	Hennepin County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	Mahoning County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	State of Delaware	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	State of Illinois	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	State of Minnesota	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	Vermont Housing & Conservation Board	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program	Charter County of Wayne	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program	City of Baltimore	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program	City of Columbus	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program	City of Houston	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program	City of New York	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program	City of Newark	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program	City of Omaha	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program	City of San Antonio	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program	City of Syracuse	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program	City of Toledo	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program	County of Harris	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program	County of Union	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program	Cuyahoga County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program	Health and Hospital Corporation of Marion County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program	Hennepin County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program	Kansas City	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program	Will County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Outreach Grants Program	Children's Memorial Hospital	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Outreach Grants Program	Esperanza Community Housing Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Outreach Grants Program	Housing Counseling Services, Inc	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Technical Studies Program	Alliance for Healthy Homes	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Technical Studies Program	Battelle Memorial Institute	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Technical Studies Program	National Center for Healthy Housing	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Technical Studies Program	Research Triangle Institute	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Technical Studies Program	Saint Louis University	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Technical Studies Program	Silver Lake Research Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Technical Studies Program	University of Cincinnati	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Technical Studies Program	University of Cincinnati	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	ALABAMA COUNCIL ON HUMAN RELATIONS, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	JEFFERSON COUNTY COMMITTEE FOR ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION PARTNERSHIP OF NORTH ALABAMA, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY OF NORTHWEST ALABAMA, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	FAMILY SERVICES CENTER, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	MOBILE HOUSING BOARD	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2007	Housing Counseling	ORGANIZED COMMUNITY ACTION PROGRAMS, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY SERVICE PROGRAMS OF WEST ALABAMA, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	SOUTH ARKANSAS COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CRAWFORD SEBASTIAN COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	JONESBORO URBAN RENEWAL AND HOUSING AUTHORITY HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT ORGANIZATION (JURHA HCDO)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	UNIVERSAL HOUSING DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY AGENCY OF WASHINGTON COUNTY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	SOUTHEASTERN ARIZONA GOVERNMENTS ORGANIZATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	LABOR'S COMMUNITY SERVICE AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	LABOR'S COMMUNITY SERVICE AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	ADMINISTRATION OF RESOURCES AND CHOICES	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	FAMILY HOUSING RESOURCES	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	ANAHEIM HOUSING AUTHORITY - ANAHEIM HOUSING COUNSELING AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CONSUMER CREDIT COUNSELORS OF KERN AND TULARE COUNTIES	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF FRESNO	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	EDEN COUNCIL FOR HOPE AND OPPORTUNITY (ECHO)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	BYDESIGN FINANCIAL SOLUTIONS, DBA CCCS OF LOS ANGELES	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	LAO FAMILY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	Housing Counseling	NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REAL ESTATE BROKERS-INVESTMENT DIVISION, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	PROJECT SENTINEL	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	PACIFIC COMMUNITY SERVICES, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY HOUSING DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION OF NORTH RICHMOND	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	SPRINGBOARD NON PROFIT CONSUMER CREDIT MANAGEMENT INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	SACRAMENTO NEIGHBORHOOD HOUSING SERVICES, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NEIGHBORHOOD HOUSE ASSOCIATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	SAN DIEGO HOME LOAN COUNSELING AND EDUCATION CENTER	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	ASIAN INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CONSUMER CREDIT COUNSELING SERVICE OF SAN FRANCISCO	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	MISSION ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATION (MEDA)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CONSUMER CREDIT COUNSELING SERVICE OF ORANGE COUNTY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	ORANGE COUNTY FAIR HOUSING COUNCIL, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	INLAND MEDIATION BOARD	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	RURAL COMMUNITY ASSISTANCE CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	BOULDER COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	PARTNERS IN HOUSING, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	ADAMS COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	BROTHERS REDEVELOPMENT, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COLORADO HOUSING ASSISTANCE CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NORTHEAST DENVER HOUSING CENTER	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING SOLUTIONS FOR THE SOUTHWEST	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NEIGHBOR TO NEIGHBOR	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COLORADO RURAL HOUSING DEVELOPMENT CORP.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NEIGHBORHOOD HOUSING SERVICES OF NEW BRITAIN, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOMEFREE - U S A	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING COUNSELING SERVICES, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NATIONAL COUNCIL OF LA RAZA	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NATIONAL CREDIT UNION FOUNDATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NEAR NORTHEAST COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENT CORP.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NEIGHBORHOOD REINVESTMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NATIONAL COUNCIL ON AGRICULTURAL LIFE AND LABOR RESEARCH FUND, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	YWCA DELAWARE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	YWCA DELAWARE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOMES IN PARTNERSHIP, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	MANATEE OPPORTUNITY COUNCIL, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HAVEN ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CENTRAL FLORIDA COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	Housing Counseling	MID-FLORIDA HOUSING PARTNERSHIP, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	JACKSONVILLE AREA LEGAL AID, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	MIAMI-DADE AFFORDABLE HOUSING FOUNDATION, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	OCALA HOUSING AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	OPA-LOCKA COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CCCS OF WEST FL - MAIN OFFICE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	THE HOUSING CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING PARTNERSHIP, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	FAMILY COUNSELING CENTER OF BREVARD, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	THE CENTER FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	GOODWILL INDUSTRIES MANASOTA, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	ST. PETERSBURG NEIGHBORHOOD HOUSING SERVICES, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	TALLAHASSEE LENDERS CONSORTIUM, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	TALLAHASSEE URBAN LEAGUE, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	TAMPA HOUSING AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CREDIT CARD MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CITY OF ALBANY, GEORGIA	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	EAST ATHENS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HANCOCK COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COOPERATIVE RESOURCE CENTER, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	Housing Counseling	GEORGIA HOUSING AND FINANCE AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	LATIN AMERICAN ASSOCIATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	TOTALLY FREE, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA FAMILY AND CONSUMER SCIENCES COOPERATIVE EXTENSION SERVICE (MAIN OFFICE)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	AFFORDABLE HOUSING ENTERPRISES, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NEIGHBORWORKS COLUMBUS (FORMERLY KNOWN AS COLUMBUS HOUSING INITIATIVE, INC.)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CENTER FOR PAN ASIAN COMMUNITY SERVICES, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOME DEVELOPMENT RESOURCES, INC. (FORMERLY GAINESVILLE-HALL COUNTY)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	JCVISION AND ASSOCIATES, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	MACON MIDDLE GEORGIA HOUSING COUNSELING CENTER	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COBB HOUSING, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	APPALACHIAN HOUSING AND REDEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY FOR SAVANNAH CHATHAM COUNTY AREA, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	REDEMPTION MINISTRIES, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	MIDDLE GEORGIA COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	INSTITUTE FOR HUMAN SERVICES, INC. (IHS)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	LEGAL AID SOCIETY OF HAWAII	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	UNITED NEIGHBORS, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOME OPPORTUNITIES MADE EASY, INC. (HOME, INC.)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	Housing Counseling	IOWA CITIZENS FOR COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	IOWA FINANCE AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CENTER FOR SIOUXLAND	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	FAMILY MANAGEMENT CREDIT COUNSELORS, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	IDAHO HOUSING AND FINANCE ASSOCIATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION PARTNERSHIP	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	ACCESS LIVING OF METROPOLITAN CHICAGO	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATION OF COOK COUNTY INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	GREATER SOUTHWEST DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HCP OF ILLINOIS, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	LATIN UNITED COMMUNITY HOUSING ASSOCIATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	ROGERS PARK COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY INVESTMENT CORPORATION OF DECATUR, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CDBG OPERATIONS CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE COUNTY LAKE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	SOUTH SUBURBAN HOUSING CENTER	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	WILL COUNTY CENTER FOR COMMUNITY CONCERNS	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	TSP-HOPE, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	DU PAGE HOMEOWNERSHIP CENTER, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING OPPORTUNITY DEVELOPMENT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING OPPORTUNITY DEVELOPMENT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CITY OF BLOOMINGTON	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	ELKHART HOUSING PARTNERSHIP, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING AUTHORITY, CITY OF ELKHART	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOPE OF EVANSVILLE, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF FORT WAYNE, INDIANA	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF HAMMOND	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	B & D TRAINING SERVICES	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	MOMENTIVE CONSUMER CREDIT COUNSELING SERVICE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	AFFORDABLE HOUSING CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOOSIER UPLANDS ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	MUNCIE HOME OWNERSHIP AND DEVELOPMENT CENTER	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	LINCOLN HILLS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING OPPORTUNITIES, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	SOUTHERN INDIANA HOMEOWNERSHIP INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CONSUMER CREDIT COUNSELING SERVICE, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING AND CREDIT COUNSELING, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING ASSISTANCE AND DEVELOPMENT SERVICES, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CAMPBELLSVILLE HOUSING AND REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	KENTUCKY HOUSING CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	PURCHASE AREA HOUSING CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2007	Housing Counseling	JEFFERSON COMMUNITY ACTION PROGRAM	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CITIZENS' HOUSING AND PLANNING ASSOCIATION, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	GREATER BOSTON LEGAL SERVICES	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING PARTNERSHIP NETWORK	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	BERKSHIRE COUNTY REGIONAL HOUSING AUTHORITY-H	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	PLYMOUTH REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	QUINCY COMMUNITY ACTION PROGRAMS, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY SERVICE NETWORK, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	PRO-HOME, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY COMM	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	ARUNDEL COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SERVICE INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ASSISTANCE NETWORK	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HARFORD COUNTY HOUSING AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HARFORD COUNTY HOUSING AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CECIL COUNTY HOUSING AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	FREDERICK COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	MARYLAND RURAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	MARYLAND RURAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HAGERSTOWN NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT PARTNERSHIP, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	Housing Counseling	WASHINGTON COUNTY COMMUNITY ACTION COUNCIL	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	SOUTHERN MARYLAND TRI-COUNTY COMMUNITY ACTION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING INITIATIVES PARTNERSHIP, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOME PARTNERSHIP, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	GARRETT COUNTY COMMUNITY ACTION COMMITTEE, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NATIONAL FOUNDATION FOR CREDIT COUNSELING, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NATIONAL FOUNDATION FOR CREDIT COUNSELING, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	MAINE STATE HOUSING AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	TEDFORD HOUSING	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	DETROIT NON-PROFIT HOUSING CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	GREATER EAST SIDE COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	MISSION OF PEACE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	GRAND RAPIDS URBAN LEAGUE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOME REPAIR SERVICES OF KENT COUNTY, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	INNER CITY CHRISTIAN FEDERATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	LANSING AFFORDABLE HOMES, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	MICHIGAN STATE HOUSING DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	LIGHTHOUSE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	OAKLAND COUNTY HOUSING COUNSELING	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	OAKLAND LIVINGSTON HUMAN SERVICE AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CHRISTIAN CREDIT COUNSELORS, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NORTHWEST MICHIGAN HUMAN SERVICES AGENCY, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	ANOKA COUNTY COMMUNITY ACTION PROGRAM, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CARVER COUNTY CDA	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION DULUTH	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	DAKOTA COUNTY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION PARTNERSHIP OF SUBURBAN HENNEPIN	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOMEOWNERSHIP PRESERVATION FOUNDATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CATHOLIC CHARITIES DIOCESE OF ST. CLOUD	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	TRI-COUNTY ACTION PROGRAM, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	SAINT PAUL DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND ECONOMIC DEV.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	SAINT PAUL URBAN LEAGUE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	SOUTHERN MINNESOTA REGIONAL LEGAL SERVICES, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	ARROWHEAD ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY AGENCY, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	WEST CENTRAL MISSOURI COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY SERVICES LEAGUE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	LEGAL AID OF WESTERN MISSOURI	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING OPTIONS PROVIDED FOR THE ELDERLY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	JUSTINE PETERSEN HOUSING AND REINVESTMENT COR	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	LEGAL SERVICES OF EASTERN MISSOURI,	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

		INCORPORA	Register
2007	Housing Counseling	YOUTH EDUCATION AND HEALTH IN SOULARD	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING EDUCATION AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	MISSISSIPPI HOME CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	MISSISSIPPI HOMEBUYER EDUCATION CENTER-INITIATIVE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	SACRED HEART SOUTHERN MISSIONS HOUSING CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL OF DISTRICT IX, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	MONTANA BOARD OF HOUSING	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	LAKE COUNTY COMMUNITY HOUSING ORGANIZATION AN	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	AFFORDABLE HOUSING COALITION OF ASHEVILLE AND BUNCOMBE COUNTIES, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CONSUMER CREDIT COUNSELING SERVICE OF WNC, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NORTHWESTERN REGIONAL HOUSING AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NORTHEASTERN COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	SANDHILLS COMMUNITY ACTION PROGRAM, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	DURHAM REGIONAL FINANCIAL CENTER DBA DURHAM REGIONAL COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT GROUP	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	ELIZABETH CITY STATE UNIVERSITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	RIVER CITY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CUMBERLAND COMMUNITY ACTION PROGRAM, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HIGHLAND FAMILY RESOURCE CENTER, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	Housing Counseling	GREENSBORO HOUSING COALITION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	WESTERN PIEDMONT COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF HIGH POINT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	PROSPERITY UNLIMITED, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	OUTER BANKS COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	MONROE-UNION COUNTY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	OLIVE HILL COMMUNITY ECOMONIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	TWIN RIVERS OPPORTUNITIES, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CHOANOKE AREA DEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	ROCKY MOUNT/EDGECOMBE CDC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	JOHNSTON-LEE-HARNETT COMMUNITY ACTION, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	STATESVILLE HOUSING AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	WILSON COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENT ASSOCIATION, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CCCS OF FORSYTH COUNTY, INC. - MAIN OFFICE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NORTH DAKOTA HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	SOUTHEASTERN NORTH DAKOTA COMMUNITY ACTION AG	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HIGH PLAINS COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT, CORP.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CREDIT ADVISORS FOUNDATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	FAMILY HOUSING ADVISORY SERVICES, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NEW HAMPSHIRE HOUSING FINANCE AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	Housing Counseling	CONCORD AREA TRUST FOR COMMUNITY HOUSING (CATCH)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	GRANITE STATE INDEPENDENT LIVING (GSIL)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	LACONIA AREA COMMUNITY LAND TRUST	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NEIGHBORWORKS @ GREATER MANCHESTER	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	THE WAY HOME	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING PARTNERSHIP FOR MORRIS COUNTY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	GARDEN STATE CONSUMER CREDIT COUNSELING, INC./NOVADEBT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	MONMOUTH COUNTY BOARD OF CHOSEN FREEHOLDERS/MONMOUTH COUNTY DIVISION OF SOCIAL SERVICES	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	FAIR HOUSING COUNCIL OF NORTHERN NEW JERSEY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CONSUMER CREDIT AND BUDGET COUNSELING	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	AFFORDABLE HOMES OF MILLVILLE ECUMENICAL	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	AFFORDABLE HOMES OF MILLVILLE ECUMENICAL	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	SENIOR CITIZENS UNITED COMMUNITY SERVICES OF CAMDEN COUNTY, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NEW JERSEY CITIZEN ACTION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	TRI-CITY PEOPLES CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF PATERSON	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	FAITH FELLOWSHIP COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	ISLES, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	BURLINGTON COUNTY COMMUNITY ACTION PROGRAM	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	Housing Counseling	NEW MEXICO MORTGAGE FINANCE AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	TIERRA DEL SOL HOUSING CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CONSUMER CREDIT COUNSELING SERVICE OF SOUTHERN NEVADA	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	WASHOE COUNTY DEPT OF SENIOR SERVICES - SENIOR LAW PROJECT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NY STATE OFFICE OF MENTAL RETARDATION AND DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES (OMRDD)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	ALLEGANY COUNTY COMMUNITY OPPORTUNITIES AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT (ACCORD) CORP.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	TRI-COUNTY HOUSING COUNCIL	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	METRO-INTERFAITH SERVICES, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NEIGHBORS HELPING NEIGHBORS, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	BELMONT SHELTER CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOMEFRONT, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	PUTNAM COUNTY HOUSING CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CORTLAND HOUSING ASSISTANCE COUNCIL, INCORPOR	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CHAUTAUQUA OPPORTUNITIES, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	MARGERT COMMUNITY CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY UNIFIED TODAY, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COUNTY OF NASSAU ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT - OFFICE OF HOUSING & INTERGOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	FRIENDS OF THE NORTH COUNTRY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	RURAL ULSTER PRESERVATION COMPANY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2007	Housing Counseling	SOUTHERN HILLS PRESERVATION CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION IN SELF HELP, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HUMAN DEVELOPMENT SERVICES OF WESTCHESTER, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	RURAL SULLIVAN HOUSING CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION PROGRAM FOR MADISON COUNTY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	ROCKLAND HOUSING ACTION COALITION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	ASIAN AMERICANS FOR EQUALITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NATIONAL URBAN LEAGUE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NEIGHBORHOOD HOUSING SERVICES OF NEW YORK CITY (NHS OF NYC)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	STRUCTURED EMPLOYMENT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CO	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	OPPORTUNITIES FOR CHENANGO, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	OSWEGO HOUSING DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	KEUKA HOUSING COUNCIL	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	BISHOP SHEEN ECUMENICAL HOUSING FOUNDATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING COUNCIL IN MONROE COUNTY, INCORPORATE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	BETTER NEIGHBORHOODS, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	WESTERN CATSKILLS COMMUNITY REVITALIZATION COUNCIL, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NORTHFIELD COMMUNITY LOCAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	TROY REHABILITATION AND IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	Housing Counseling	ALBANY COUNTY RURAL HOUSING ALLIANCE, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	WESTCHESTER RESIDENTIAL OPPORTUNITIES, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	FAIR HOUSING CONTACT SERVICE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	STARK METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	WORKING IN NEIGHBORHOODS	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY HOUSING SOLUTIONS	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	MID-OHIO REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	OHIO HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	WSOS COMMUNITY ACTION COMMISSION, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	FAIR HOUSING RESOURCE CENTER	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION COMMISSION OF BELMONT COUNTY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NORTHWEST OHIO DEVELOPMENT AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CHILDREN'S & FAMILY SERVICE A/K/A FAMILY SERVICE AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CONSUMER CREDIT COUNSELING SERVICE OF CENTRAL OKLAHOMA	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SUPPORT ASSOCIATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF NORMAN	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY OF OKLAHOMA CITY AND OKLAHOMA/CANADIAN COUNTIES, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF SHAWNEE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	KI BOIS COMMUNITY ACTION FOUNDATION, INCORPOR	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	STILLWATER HOUSING AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION PROJECT OF TULSA	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING PARTNERS OF TULSA, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	OPEN DOOR COUNSELING CENTER	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	ACCESS INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	UMPQUA COMMUNITY ACTION NETWORK	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	BLAIR COUNTY COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	BLAIR COUNTY COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION COMMITTEE OF LEHIGH VALLEY, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE COUNTY OF BUTLER	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CHESTER COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENT PROJECT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	GREATER ERIE COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	PENNSYLVANIA HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	MON VALLEY INITIATIVE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	TABOR COMMUNITY SERVICES, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CENTER FOR FAMILY SERVICES, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	THE TREHAB CENTER INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	ACORN HOUSING CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	MT. AIRY, U S A	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	PHILADELPHIA COUNCIL FOR COMMUNITY ADVANCEMENT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	GARFIELD JUBILEE ASSOCIATION, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	SCHUYLKILL COMMUNITY	Criteria published in NOFA

		ACTION	published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	UNITED NEIGHBORHOOD CENTERS OF LACKAWANNA COUNTY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	SOUTHWESTERN PENNYSLVANIA LEGAL SERVICES INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COMMISSION ON ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY OF LUZERNE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING ALLIANCE OF YORK	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CORPORACION MILAGROS DEL AMOR	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CEIBA HOUSING AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	RHODE ISLAND HOUSING AND MORTGAGE FINANCE CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	THE URBAN LEAGUE OF RHODE ISLAND	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CAROLINA REGIONAL LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	GREENVILLE COUNTY HUMAN RELATIONS COMMISSION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	FAMILY SERVICES, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	TRIDENT UNITED WAY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	SOUTH DAKOTA HOUSING DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	OGLALA SIOUX TRIBE PARTNERSHIP FOR HOUSING, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	GAP COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT RESOURCES, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	WEST TENNESSEE LEGAL SERVICES, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	VOLLINTINE EVERGREEN COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION CDC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CITIZENS FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	WOODBINE COMMUNITY ORGANIZATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	AUSTIN TENANTS' COUNCIL	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2007	Housing Counseling	UNITED CEREBRAL PALSY OF GREATER HOUSTON, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION OF BROWNSVILLE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	EL PASO COMMUNITY ACTION PROGRAM, PROJECT BRAVO, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CITY OF FORT WORTH HOUSING DEPARTMENT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CITY OF FORT WORTH HOUSING DEPARTMENT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CITY OF FORT WORTH HOUSING DEPARTMENT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CITY OF FORT WORTH HOUSING DEPARTMENT	Criteria published in NOFA published.in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	AVENUE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	MONEY MANAGEMENT INTERNATIONAL INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	MONEY MANAGEMENT INTERNATIONAL INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CITY OF SAN ANTONIO/COMMUNITY INITIATIVES DEPARTMENT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	TEXAS RIO GRANDE LEGAL AID	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	UTAH STATE UNIVERSITY - FAMILY LIFE CENTER HOUSING AND FINANCIAL COUNSELING SERVICES	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	YOUR COMMUNITY CONNECTION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION SERVICES	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	SALT LAKE COMMUNITY ACTION PROGRAM	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	PEOPLE INCORPORATED OF SOUTHWEST VIRGINIA	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	ACCOMACK-NORTHAMPTON PLANNING DISTRICT COMMISSSION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CATHOLIC CHARITIES USA	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	QUIN RIVERS, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2007	Housing Counseling	PIEDMONT HOUSING ALLIANCE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	TELAMON CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	LYNCHBURG COMMUNITY ACTION GROUP, INCORPORATE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY VIRGINIA COOPERATIVE EXTENSION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NEWPORT NEWS OFFICE OF HUMAN AFFAIRS	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	THE SOUTHEASTERN TIDEWATER OPPORTUNITY PROJEC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NEW RIVER COMMUNITY ACTION, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING OPPORTUNITIES MADE EQUAL, INCORPORATE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	VIRGINIA HOUSING DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	TOTAL ACTION AGAINST POVERTY IN ROANOKE VALLEY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	SKYLINE COMMUNITY ACTION PROGRAM, INCORPORATE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CENTRAL VERMONT COMMUNITY ACTION COUNCIL, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	SOLID GROUND WASHINGTON	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	WASHINGTON STATE HOUSING FINANCE COMMISSION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	SPOKANE NEIGHBORHOOD ACTION PROGRAMS	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	WEST CENTRAL WISCONSIN COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION, INCORPORATED OF ROCK AND WALWORTH COUNTIES	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	SCI-TECH DEVELOPMENT, INC. (SDI)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	KANAWHA INSTITUTE FOR SOCIAL RESEARCH & ACTION, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	SOUTHERN APPALACHIAN LABOR SCHOOL FOUNDATION, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	Housing Counseling	INTERFAITH OF NATRONA COUNTY, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REAL ESTATE BROKERS-INVESTMENT DIVISION, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	RURAL COMMUNITY ASSISTANCE CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOMEFREE - U S A	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	NATIONAL COUNCIL OF LA RAZA	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	NATIONAL CREDIT UNION FOUNDATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	NEIGHBORHOOD REINVESTMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	NATIONAL FOUNDATION FOR CREDIT COUNSELING, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	NATIONAL FOUNDATION FOR CREDIT COUNSELING, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CITIZENS' HOUSING AND PLANNING ASSOCIATION, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	THE HOUSING PARTNERSHIP NETWORK	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	MISSION OF PEACE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	NATIONAL URBAN LEAGUE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	STRUCTURED EMPLOYMENT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CO	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	MON VALLEY INITIATIVE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	ACORN HOUSING CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	WEST TENNESSEE LEGAL SERVICES, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	MONEY MANAGEMENT INTERNATIONAL INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	MONEY MANAGEMENT INTERNATIONAL INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CATHOLIC CHARITIES USA	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	Housing Counseling	ALABAMA COUNCIL ON HUMAN RELATIONS, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	JEFFERSON COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION PARTNERSHIP OF N. AL, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY OF NORTHWEST ALABAMA, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HALE EMPOWERMENT AND REVITALIZATION ORGANIZATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	MOBILE HOUSING BOARD	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	MONTGOMERY COMMUNITY ACTION COMMITTEE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	ORGANIZED COMMUNITY ACTION PROGRAM, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY SERVICE PROGRAMS OF WEST ALABAMA, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CONSUMER CREDIT COUNSELING SERVICE OF ALASKA	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	SOUTHEASTERN ARIZONA GOVERNMENTS ORGANIZATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	LABOR'S COMMUNITY SERVICE AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	ADMINISTRATION OF RESOURCES AND CHOICES	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	FAMILY HOUSING RESOURCES	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	SOUTH ARKANSAS COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CRAWFORD SEBASTIAN COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	UNIVERSAL HOUSING DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	ANAHEIM HOUSING AUTHORITY - ANAHEIM HOUSING COUNSELING AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CONSUMER CREDIT COUNSELORS OF KERN AND TULARE COUNTIES	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (CHIP) - COMM. HSG & CREDIT COUNSELING CTR	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF FRESNO	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	EDEN COUNCIL FOR HOPE AND OPPORTUNITY (ECHO)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	BYDESIGN FINANCIAL SOLUTIONS, DBA CCCS OF LOS ANGELES	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	LAO FAMILY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	PROJECT SENTINEL	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	PACIFIC COMMUNITY SERVICES, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	SPRINGBOARD NON PROFIT CONSUMER CREDIT MANAGEMENT INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HUMAN RIGHTS/FAIR HOUSING COMMISSION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	SACRAMENTO NEIGHBORHOOD HOUSING SERVICES, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	MONTEREY COUNTY HOUSING ALLIANCE (MOCHA)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	NEIGHBORHOOD HOUSE ASSOCIATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	SAN DIEGO HOME LOAN COUNSELING AND EDUCATION CENTER	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	ASIAN INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CONSUMER CREDIT COUNSELING SERVICE OF SAN FRANCISCO	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	MISSION ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATION (MEDA)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	SAN FRANCISCO HOUSING DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CONSUMER CREDIT COUNSELORS OF ORANGE COUNTY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	ORANGE COUNTY FAIR HOUSING COUNCIL, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	INLAND MEDIATION BOARD	Criteria published in NOFA

			published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CITY OF VACAVILLE OFFICE OF HOUSING AND REDEVELOPMENT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CITY OF AURORA COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIVISION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	BOULDER COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	ADAMS COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	BROTHERS REDEVELOPMENT, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COLORADO HOUSING ASSISTANCE CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	NORTHEAST DENVER HOUSING CENTER	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING SOLUTIONS FOR THE SOUTHWEST	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	NEIGHBOR TO NEIGHBOR	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COLORADO RURAL HOUSING DEVELOPMENT CORP.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	BRIDGEPORT NEIGHBORHOOD TRUST	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	NEIGHBORHOOD HOUSING SERVICES OF NEW BRITAIN, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	NATIONAL COUNCIL ON AGRICULTURAL LIFE AND LAB	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	FIRST STATE COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	YWCA OF NEW CASTLE COUNTY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING COUNSELING SERVICES, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	MARSHALL HEIGHTS COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT ORGANIZATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	NEAR NORTHEAST COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENT CORP.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	UNIVERSITY LEGAL SERVICES	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOMES IN PARTNERSHIP, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	Housing Counseling	MANATEE COALITION FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	MANATEE OPPORTUNITY COUNCIL, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	TAMPA BAY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CENTRAL FLORIDA COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	MID-FLORIDA HOUSING PARTNERSHIP, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	DEERFIELD BEACH HOUSING AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	JACKSONVILLE AREA LEGAL AID, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	LIGHTHOUSE CREDIT FOUNDATION, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY HOUSING INITIATIVE, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	MIAMI BEACH COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORP	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	OCALA HOUSING AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CCCS OF WEST FL - MAIN OFFICE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ENTERPRISE INVESTMENTS, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING PARTNERSHIP, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	FAMILY COUNSELING CENTER OF BREVARD, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	GOLDEN RULE HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	THE CENTER FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	GOODWILL INDUSTRIES MANASOTA, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	TALLAHASSEE LENDERS CONSORTIUM, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CENTER FOR AFFORDABLE HOMEOWNERSHIP DBA TAMPA HOUSING AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	Housing Counseling	CREDIT CARD MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CITY OF ALBANY, GEORGIA	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	AREA COMMITTEE TO IMPROVE OPPORTUNITIES NOW, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	EAST ATHENS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING AND ECONOMIC LEADERSHIP PARTNERS, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COOPERATIVE RESOURCE CENTER, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	GEORGIA HOUSING AND FINANCE AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	LATIN AMERICAN ASSOCIATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA'S FAMILY AND CONSUMER SCIENCES COOPERATIVE EXTENSION SERVICE (MAIN OFFICE)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COLUMBUS HOUSING INITIATIVE, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	DEKALB/METRO HOUSING COUNSELING CENTER	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	THE IMPACT GROUP (FORMERLY: GWINNETT HOUSING RESOURCE PARTNERSHIP, INC)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOME DEVELOPMENT RESOURCES, INC. (FORMERLY GAINESVILLE-HALL COUNTY)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	AFFORDABLE HOUSING ENTERPRISES, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	JCVISION AND ASSOCIATES, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COBB HOUSING, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	APPALACHIAN HOUSING AND REDEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY FOR SAVANNAH CHATHAM COUNTY AREA, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	REDEMPTION MINISTRIES, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	Housing Counseling	MIDDLE GEORGIA COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	LEGAL AID SOCIETY OF HAWAII	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	IDAHO HOUSING AND FINANCE ASSOCIATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION PARTNERSHIP	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	ACCESS LIVING OF METROPOLITAN CHICAGO	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	BETHEL NEW LIFE, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATION OF COOK COUNTY INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	GREATER SOUTHWEST DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HCP OF ILLINOIS, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	LATIN UNITED COMMUNITY HOUSING ASSOCIATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	LEGAL ASSISTANCE FOUNDATION OF METROPOLITAN CHICAGO	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	ROGERS PARK COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CENTRAL ILLINOIS DEBT MANAGEMENT & CREDIT EDUCATION, INC. AKA CCCS OF CENTRAL IL	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY INVESTMENT CORPORATION OF DECATUR, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CDBG OPERATIONS CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE COUNTY LAKE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	SOUTH SUBURBAN HOUSING CENTER	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	WILL COUNTY CENTER FOR COMMUNITY CONCERNS	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	METEC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	TSP-HOPE, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CATHOLIC CHARITIES OF THE ARCHDIOCESE OF CHICAGO	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	DU PAGE HOMEOWNERSHIP CENTER, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING OPPORTUNITY DEVELOPMENT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF ANDERSON	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CITY OF BLOOMINGTON	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	ELKHART HOUSING PARTNERSHIP, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING AUTHORITY, CITY OF ELKHART	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION PROGRAM OF EVANSVILLE & VANDERBURGH COUNTY, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOPE OF EVANSVILLE, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF FORT WAYNE, INDIANA	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CCCS OF NORTH WEST IN, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF HAMMOND	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	B & D TRAINING SERVICES	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	INDIANAPOLIS URBAN LEAGUE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	MOMENTIVE CONSUMER CREDIT COUNSELING SERVICE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	AFFORDABLE HOUSING CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOOSIER UPLANDS ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	MUNCIE HOME OWNERSHIP AND DEVELOPMENT CENTER	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION OF ST. JOSEPH COUNTY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	LINCOLN HILLS DEVELOPMENT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

		CORPORATION	Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING OPPORTUNITIES, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	SOUTHERN INDIANA HOMEOWNERSHIP INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	UNITED NEIGHBORS, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOME OPPORTUNITIES MADE EASY, INC. (HOME, INC.)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	IOWA CITIZENS FOR COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	IOWA FINANCE AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	FAMILY MANAGEMENT CREDIT COUNSELORS, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CONSUMER CREDIT COUNSELING SERVICE, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING AND CREDIT COUNSELING, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING ASSISTANCE AND DEVELOPMENT SERVICES, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CAMPBELLSVILLE HOUSING AND REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	KENTUCKY HOUSING CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY REINVESTMENT ALLIANCE OF LEXINGTON	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	REACH, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	PURCHASE AREA HOUSING CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	BRIGHTON CENTER, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	SAINT MARY COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	LAFAYETTE CONSOLIDATED GOVERNMENT NEIGHBORHOOD COUNSELING SERVICES	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	SAINT MARTIN, IBERIA, LAFAYETTE COMMUNITY ACT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	MAINE STATE HOUSING AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COASTAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	PEOPLES REGIONAL OPPORTUNITY PROGRAM	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	PINE TREE LEGAL SERVICES, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COASTAL ENTERPRISES, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY COMM	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	ARUNDEL COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SERVICE INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ASSISTANCE NETWORK	Criteria published in NOFA published,in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HARFORD COUNTY HOUSING AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	FREDERICK COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	MARYLAND RURAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	WASHINGTON COUNTY COMMUNITY ACTION COUNCIL	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	SOUTHERN MARYLAND TRI-COUNTY COMMUNITY ACTION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING INITIATIVES PARTNERSHIP, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOME PARTNERSHIP, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	GARRETT COUNTY COMMUNITY ACTION COMMITTEE, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	GREATER BOSTON LEGAL SERVICES	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	BERKSHIRE COUNTY REGIONAL HOUSING AUTHORITY-H	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	PLYMOUTH REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	QUINCY COMMUNITY ACTION PROGRAMS, INCORPORATE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	SPRINGFIELD PARTNERS FOR COMMUNITY ACTION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY SERVICE NETWORK, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	PRO-HOME, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	SOUTHWEST MICHIGAN COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	DETROIT NON-PROFIT HOUSING CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	PHOENIX HOUSING & COUNSELING NON-PROFIT, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	GREATER EAST SIDE COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	NCCS CENTER FOR NONPROFIT HOUSING	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	GRAND RAPIDS URBAN LEAGUE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOME REPAIR SERVICES OF KENT COUNTY, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	INNER CITY CHRISTIAN FEDERATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	LANSING AFFORDABLE HOMES, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	MICHIGAN STATE HOUSING DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	LIGHTHOUSE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	OAKLAND COUNTY HOUSING COUNSELING	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	OAKLAND LIVINGSTON HUMAN SERVICE AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	NORTHWEST MICHIGAN HUMAN SERVICES AGENCY, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	ANOKA COUNTY COMMUNITY ACTION PROGRAM, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CARVER COUNTY CDA	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION DULUTH	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	DAKOTA COUNTY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION PARTNERSHIP OF SUBURBAN HENNEPIN	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	TRI-COUNTY ACTION PROGRAMS, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	REVERSE MORTGAGE COUNSELORS, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	SAINT PAUL DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND ECONOMIC DEV.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	SAINT PAUL URBAN LEAGUE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	SOUTHERN MINNESOTA REGIONAL LEGAL SERVICES, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	THE UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN MISS (INSTITUTE FOR DISABILITY STUDIES)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	MISSISSIPPI HOME CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	SACRED HEART SOUTHERN MISSIONS HOUSING CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	WEST CENTRAL MISSOURI COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY SERVICES LEAGUE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	LEGAL AID OF WESTERN MISSOURI	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING OPTIONS PROVIDED FOR THE ELDERLY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	JUSTINE PETERSEN HOUSING AND REINVESTMENT COR	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	LEGAL SERVICES OF EASTERN MISSOURI, INCORPORA	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	URBAN LEAGUE OF METROPOLITAN SAINT LOUIS	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	YOUTH EDUCATION AND HEALTH IN SOULARD	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	DISTRICT 7 HUMAN RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL OF DISTRICT IX, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	MONTANA BOARD OF HOUSING	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	Housing Counseling	LAKE COUNTY COMMUNITY HOUSING ORGANIZATION AN	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HIGH PLAINS COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT, CORP.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	LINCOLN ACTION PROGRAM, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CREDIT ADVISORS FOUNDATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	FAMILY HOUSING ADVISORY SERVICES, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CONSUMER CREDIT COUNSELING SERVICE OF SOUTHERN NEVADA	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	WOMEN'S DEVELOPMENT CENTER	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	WASHOE COUNTY DEPT OF SENIOR SERVICES - SENIOR LAW PROJECT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	NEW HAMPSHIRE HOUSING FINANCE AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	LACONIA AREA COMMUNITY LAND TRUST	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	AFFORDABLE HOUSING, EDUCATION AND DEVELOPMENT, INC. (AHEAD)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	MANCHESTER NEIGHBORHOOD HOUSING SERVICES, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	THE WAY HOME	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING PARTNERSHIP FOR MORRIS COUNTY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	AFFORDABLE HOUSING ALLIANCE OF NEW JERSEY (FORMERLY THE MONMOUTH HOUSING ALLIANCE)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	GARDEN STATE CONSUMER CREDIT COUNSELING, INC./NOVADEBT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	MONMOUTH COUNTY BOARD OF CHOSEN FREEHOLDERS/MONMOUTH COUNTY DIVISION OF SOCIAL SERVICES	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CONSUMER CREDIT AND BUDGET COUNSELING	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	Housing Counseling	AFFORDABLE HOMES OF MILLVILLE ECUMENICAL	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	NEW JERSEY CITIZEN ACTION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	ST. JAMES COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	TRI-CITY PEOPLES CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF PATERSON	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	SOMERSET COUNTY COALITION ON AFFORDABLE HOUSING, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	FAITH FELLOWSHIP COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	OCEAN COMMUNITY ECONOMIC ACTION NOW, INC. (O.C.E.A.N.)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	BURLINGTON COUNTY COMMUNITY ACTION PROGRAM	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	NEW MEXICO MORTGAGE FINANCE AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	ALLEGANY COUNTY COMMUNITY OPPORTUNITIES AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT (ACCORD) CORP.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	TRI-COUNTY HOUSING COUNCIL	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	METRO-INTERFAITH SERVICES, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	LONG ISLAND HOUSING SERVICES, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	NEIGHBORS HELPING NEIGHBORS, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	BELMONT SHELTER CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOMEFRONT, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	PUTNAM COUNTY HOUSING CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CORTLAND HOUSING ASSISTANCE COUNCIL, INCORPOR	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CHAUTAUQUA OPPORTUNITIES,	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

		INCORPORATED	Register
2006	Housing Counseling	MARGERT COMMUNITY CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY UNIFIED TODAY, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	FAMILY AND CHILDREN'S ASSOCIATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	FRIENDS OF THE NORTH COUNTRY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	RURAL ULSTER PRESERVATION COMPANY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION PROGRAM FOR MADISON COUNTY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	ROCKLAND HOUSING ACTION COALITION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	ASIAN AMERICANS FOR EQUALITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	NEIGHBORHOOD HOUSING SERVICES OF NEW YORK CITY (NHS OF NYC)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	STRYCKER'S BAY NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CENTER CITY NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT CORPORAT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	OPPORTUNITIES FOR CHENANGO, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	OSWEGO HOUSING DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	KEUKA HOUSING COUNCIL	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	BISHOP SHEEN ECUMENICAL HOUSING FOUNDATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING COUNCIL IN MONROE COUNTY, INCORPORATE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	BETTER NEIGHBORHOODS, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	WESTERN CATSKILLS COMMUNITY REVITALIZATION COUNCIL, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	NORTHFIELD COMMUNITY LOCAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	Housing Counseling	TROY REHABILITATION AND IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	ALBANY COUNTY RURAL HOUSING ALLIANCE, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	WESTCHESTER RESIDENTIAL OPPORTUNITIES, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	AFFORDABLE HOUSING COALITION OF ASHEVILLE AND BUNCOMBE COUNTIES, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CONSUMER CREDIT COUNSELING SERVICE OF WNC, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	NORTHWESTERN REGIONAL HOUSING AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	SANDHILLS COMMUNITY ACTION PROGRAM, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	DURHAM REGIONAL FINANCIAL CENTER DBA DURHAM REGIONAL COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT GROUP	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	ELIZABETH CITY STATE UNIVERSITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	RIVER CITY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CUMBERLAND COMMUNITY ACTION PROGRAM, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HIGHLAND FAMILY RESOURCE CENTER, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	GREENSBORO HOUSING COALITION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	WESTERN PIEDMONT COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF HIGH POINT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	PROSPERITY UNLIMITED, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	MONROE-UNION COUNTY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	OLIVE HILL COMMUNITY ECOMONIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	TWIN RIVERS OPPORTUNITIES, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	Housing Counseling	CHOANOKE AREA DEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	JOHNSTON-LEE-HARNETT COMMUNITY ACTION, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	STATESVILLE HOUSING AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	WILMINGTON HOUSING FINANCE AND DEVELOPMENT, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CONSUMER CREDIT COUNSELING SERVICE OF FORSYTH COUNTY, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	NORTH DAKOTA HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	SOUTHEASTERN NORTH DAKOTA COMMUNITY ACTION AG	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	FAIR HOUSING CONTACT SERVICE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	STARK METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	WORKING IN NEIGHBORHOODS	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY HOUSING SOLUTIONS	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	MID-OHIO REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	WSOS COMMUNITY ACTION COMMISSION, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	FAIR HOUSING RESOURCE CENTER	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION COMMISSION OF BELMONT COUNTY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	NORTHWEST OHIO DEVELOPMENT AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CHILDREN'S & FAMILY SERVICE A/K/A FAMILY SERVICE AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CONSUMER CREDIT COUNSELING SERVICE OF CENTRAL OKLAHOMA	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SUPPORT ASSOCIATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF NORMAN	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF SHAWNEE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	KI BOIS COMMUNITY ACTION FOUNDATION, INCORPOR	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	STILLWATER HOUSING AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION PROJECT OF TULSA	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING PARTNERS OF TULSA, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	OPEN DOOR COUNSELING CENTER	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	ACCESS INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	UMPQUA COMMUNITY ACTION NETWORK	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	BLAIR COUNTY COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION COMMITTEE OF LEHIGH VALLEY,	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE COUNTY OF BUTLER	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CHESTER COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENT PROJECT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	GREATER ERIE COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	PENNSYLVANIA HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CENTER FOR FAMILY SERVICES, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	MEDIA FELLOWSHIP HOUSE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	THE TREHAB CENTER INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	LAWRENCE COUNTY SOCIAL SERVICES, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	MT. AIRY, U S A	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	PHILADELPHIA COUNCIL FOR COMMUNITY ADVANCEMENT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	GARFIELD JUBILEE ASSOCIATION,	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

		INCORPORATED	Register
2006	Housing Counseling	SCHUYLKILL COMMUNITY ACTION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	BERKS COMMUNITY ACTION PROGRAM BUDGET COUNSEL	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	UNITED NEIGHBORHOOD CENTERS OF LACKAWANNA COUNTY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	FAYETTE COUNTY COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION SOUTHWEST	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	SOUTHWESTERN PENNYSLVANIA LEGAL SERVICES INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COMMISSION ON ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY OF LUZERNE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	BUCKS COUNTY HOUSING GROUP	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING COUNCIL OF YORK	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CORPORACION MILAGROS DEL AMOR	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	PONCE NEIGHBORHOOD HOUSING SERVICES, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	ONE STOP CAREER CENTER OF PUERTO RICO	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	RHODE ISLAND HOUSING AND MORTGAGE FINANCE CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	THE URBAN LEAGUE OF RHODE ISLAND	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CHARLESTON AREA CDC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	GREENVILLE COUNTY HUMAN RELATIONS COMMISSION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	FAMILY SERVICES, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	TRIDENT UNITED WAY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	SOUTH DAKOTA HOUSING DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	Housing Counseling	OGLALA SIOUX TRIBE PARTNERSHIP FOR HOUSING, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	PARTNERSHIP FOR FAMILIES, CHILDREN AND ADULTS/CCCS OF CHATTANOOGA	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	GAP COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT RESOURCES, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	FINANCIAL COUNSELORS OF AMERICA	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	VOLLINTINE EVERGREEN COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION CDC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	WOODBINE COMMUNITY ORGANIZATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CLINCH-POWELL RESOURCE CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT AREA	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	AUSTIN TENANTS' COUNCIL	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	UNITED CEREBRAL PALSY OF GREATER HOUSTON, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION OF BROWNSVILLE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	EL PASO COMMUNITY ACTION PROGRAM, PROJECT BRAVO, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CITY OF FORT WORTH HOUSING DEPARTMENT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	AVENUE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	GULF COAST COMMUNITY SERVICES ASSOCIATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	AVENIDA GUADALUPE ASSOCIATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CITY OF SAN ANTONIO/COMMUNITY ACTION DIVISION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	WACO COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	TEXAS RIOGRANDE LEGAL AID	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	UTAH STATE UNIVERSITY - FAMILY LIFE CENTER HOUSING AND FINANCIAL COUNSELING SERVICES	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	YOUR COMMUNITY CONNECTION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION SERVICES	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	SALT LAKE COMMUNITY ACTION PROGRAM	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CENTRAL VERMONT COMMUNITY ACTION COUNCIL, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	PEOPLE INCORPORATED OF SOUTHWEST VIRGINIA	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	QUIN RIVERS AGENCY FOR COMMUNITY ACTION, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	PIEDMONT HOUSING ALLIANCE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	TELAMON CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	LYNCHBURG COMMUNITY ACTION GROUP, INCORPORATE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY VIRGINIA COOPERATIVE EXTENSION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	NEWPORT NEWS OFFICE OF HUMAN AFFAIRS	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	THE SOUTHEASTERN TIDEWATER OPPORTUNITY PROJEC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING OPPORTUNITIES MADE EQUAL OF VIRGINIA, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	VIRGINIA HOUSING DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	TOTAL ACTION AGAINST POVERTY IN ROANOKE VALLEY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	SKYLINE COMMUNITY ACTION PROGRAM, INCORPORATE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	FREMONT PUBLIC ASSOCIATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	WASHINGTON STATE HOUSING FINANCE COMMISSION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	KITSAP COUNTY CONSOLIDATED HOUSING AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	SPOKANE NEIGHBORHOOD ACTION PROGRAMS	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	PIERCE COUNTY, DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY SERVICE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY HOUSING RESOURCE CENTER	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	KANAWHA INSTITUTE FOR SOCIAL RESEARCH & ACTION, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	SOUTHERN APPALACHIAN LABOR SCHOOL FOUNDATION, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	WEST CENTRAL WISCONSIN COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION, INCORPORATED OF ROCK AND WALWORTH COUNTIES	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	TENANT RESOURCE CENTER	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	UNITED COMMUNITY CENTER	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	INTERFAITH OF NATRONA COUNTY, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	NORTHERN ARAPAHO TRIBAL HOUSING	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THE SALVATION ARMY-A CALIFORNIA CORP.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BEAN'S CAFE INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ALASKA BOTANICAL GARDEN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BERING STRAITS NATIVE CORPORATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GRANT AK COUNCIL, BOY SCOUTS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TONGASS COAST AQUARIUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ALASKA PACIFIC UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GIRDWOOD, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PEOPLE'S REGIONAL LEARNING CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DILLINGHAM CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NATIONAL CHILDREN'S ADVOCACY CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CHAMBERS COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CLARKE COUNTY COMMISSION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	JEFFERSON STATE COMMUNITY COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF ASHLAND	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF DECATUR	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF FORT PAYNE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF GUNTERSVILLE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF HUNTSVILLE	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MONTEVALLO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF OPELIKA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF ROBERTSDALE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF SHORTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF MOORESVILLE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	UNIVERSITY OF MONTEVALLO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TROY UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH ALABAMA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NEW CENTURIONS, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GREENVILLE FAMILY YMCA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF EVERGREEN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	FAYETTE COUNTY COMMISSION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HAYNEVILLE/LOWNDES COUNTY LIBRARY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	JASPER AREA FAMILY SERVICES CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF TUSKEGEE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ALABAMA INSTITUTE FOR DEAF AND BLIND	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MONTGOMERY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MONTGOMERY YMCA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF GUIN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PHILLIPS COMMUNITY COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF LITTLE ROCK	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	EL DORADO PUBLIC SCHOOLS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NORTH ARKANSAS COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ARKANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY MOUNTAIN HOME	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CENTRAL ARKANSAS RESOURCE CONS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BOYS AND GIRLS CLUB OF OUACHIT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF CONWAY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	AUDUBON ARKANSAS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CHICANOS POR LA CAUSA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CHICANOS POR LA CAUSA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PINAL COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BOYS AND GIRLS CLUB OF SIERRA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF ELOY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF GLOBE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF SCOTTSDALE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DOUGLAS ARTS AND HUMANITIES ASSOCIATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DUNBAR COALITION, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VALLEY OF THE SUN YMCA	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CAMP RONALD MCDONALD FOR GOOD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ESPERANZA COMMUNITY HOUSING CORP.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LOS ANGELES COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MERCED COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MONO COUNTY LIBRARY AUTHORITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SOLANO COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SVDP MANAGEMENT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF LOS ANGELES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ANTELOPE VALLEY BOYS AND GIRLS CLUB	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF LONG BEACH	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BOYS AND GIRLS CLUBS OF EAST COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF ALHAMBRA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF APPLE VALLEY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF BANNING	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF BEAUMONT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF BISHOP	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF CHOWCHILLA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF COLFAX	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF CORONA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF EAST PALO ALTO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF EL MONTE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF GREENFIELD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF HUNTINGTON BEACH	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF HUNTINGTON PARK	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF INGLEWOOD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF LA MIRADA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF LANCASTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MADERA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	COUNTY OF FRESNO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF OAKLAND	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF OCEANSIDE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF OROVILLE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF PICO RIVERA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF PLACERVILLE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF RIVERSIDE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF SAN JACINTO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF SAN LEANDRO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF LOS ANGELES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF THOUSAND OAKS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF TWENTYNINE PALMS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF YUCAIPA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF YUCAIPA	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	COMMUNITY ACTION PARTNERSHIP ORANGE COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF RANCHO CORDOVA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SAN DIEGO COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF DIAMOND BAR	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	EARLE BAUM CENTER OF THE BLIND	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HILLVIEW ACRES CHILDREN'S HOME	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LAKE COUNTY ARTS COUNCIL	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LOMPOC HEALTHCARE DISTRICT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MUSEUM OF LATIN AMERICAN ART	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NATIONAL ORANGE SHOW	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NORTH COUNTY SOLUTIONS FOR CHANGE, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	RIVERSIDE COMMUNITY COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SACRAMENTO FOOD BANK	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SAN DIEGO HOUSING COMMISSION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	FOOD BANK OF SANTA BARBARA COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SKIRBALL CULTURAL CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF REDDING	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TEHACHAPI PERFORMING ARTS CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF YUCCA VALLEY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TULARE VETERANS MEMORIAL DISTRICT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VALLEY ALLIANCE FOR THE ARTS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VISALIA RESCUE MISSION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	YOUTH SCIENCE INSTITUTE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VENTURA COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MERCY HOUSING	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF INGLEWOOD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SAN FRANCISCO MUSEUM AND HISTO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	FRESNO COUNTY ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF OAKLAND	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF REDDING	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HOUSING TRUST OF SANTA CLARA C	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	AGUA CALIENTE CULTURAL MUSEUM	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MONTROSE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF PUEBLO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DENVER RESCUE MISSION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DENVER RESCUE MISSION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DENVER RESCUE MISSION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CARDINAL SHEHAN CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF ANSONIA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	FRIENDSHIP SERVICE CENTER OF N	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HILL-STEAD MUSEUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MATTATUCK MUSEUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MUSIC AND ARTS CENTER FOR THE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NAUGATUCK YMCA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SHERMAN LIBRARY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	STAMFORD CENTER FOR THE ARTS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF STONINGTON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF WILLINGTON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	UNIVERSITY OF HARTFORD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	YERWOOD CENTER, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	YMCA OF GREATER HARTFORD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF HARTFORD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF HARTFORD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF ANSONIA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF WEST HAVEN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	YEARWAOOD CENTER, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NATIONAL AUDUBON SOCIETY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	S.SIDE INST. NEIGHBORHOOD ALLI	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	AFRICAN AMERICAN CIVIL WAR MUS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BEEBE MEDICAL CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WILMINGTON SENIOR CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MINISTRY OF CARING, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ST. MICHAELS SCHOOL AND NURSERY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WILMINGTON SENIOR CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	EASTER SEALS DELAWARE AND MARYLAND'S EASTERN SHORE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WILMINGTON MUSIC SCHOOL	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF LEWES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CROSSWINDS YOUTH SERVICES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GOODWILL OF NORTH FLORIDA, INC	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HUBBS-SEA WORLD RESEARCH INSTITUTE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LAKE COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LITTLE MANATEE HOUSING CORPORATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PINELLAS COUNTY BOARD OF COUNT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF CORAL GABLES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CAMILLUS HOUSE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CENTRAL FLORIDA COMMUNITY COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CENTRO MATER FOUNDATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF ALACHUA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF DUNEDIN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF GULFPORT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF HOLLYWOOD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MIAMI GARDENS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MIAMI	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF SARASOTA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF ST. PETE BEACH	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF TREASURE ISLAND	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF WINTER HAVEN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	COMMUNITY AGING & RETIREMENT SERVICES, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LEE COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	OSCEOLA COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	OSCEOLA COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF BOCA RATON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PINELLAS COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SANTA FE COMMUNITY COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ST. PETERSBURG COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DEBARY ART LEAGUE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	YMCA OF GREATER PENSACOLA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WAKULLA COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ORANGE COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LOWRY PARK ZOOLOGICAL SOCIETY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CENTRAL FLORIDA YMCA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MIAMI DADE COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NOVA SOUTHEASTERN UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF CORAL GABLES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MIAMI DADE COUNTY	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	AMERICAN BEACH PROPERTY OWNERS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF GAINESVILLE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CLARKSTON COMMUNITY CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CLAYTON COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CON-ED, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MOREHOUSE SCHOOL OF MEDICINE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PAULDING COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SOWEGA COUNCIL ON AGING	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF COVINGTON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	COASTAL HERITAGE SOCIETY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF POWDER SPRINGS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GEORGIA 4-H FOUNDATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HOPE HOUSE, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NATIONAL INFANTRY FOUNDATION,	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MARIETTA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MOREHOUSE SCHOOL OF MEDICINE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MOREHOUSE SCHOOL OF MEDICINE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MUSEUM OF AVIATION FOUNDATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MERCER UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	INTERGENERATIONAL RESOURCE CEN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MUSEUM OF AVIATION FOUNDATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MOULTRIE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MOREHOUSE SCHOOL OF MEDICINE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CHILDREN'S JUSTICE CENTER FOUN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	COUNTY OF HAWAII	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BOYS & GIRLS CLUB OF HAWAII	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PA'A PONO MILOLI'L, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CHILDREN'S JUSTICE CENTER FOUN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MAUI ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT BOAR	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	YMCA OF KAUAI	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LANAI YOUTH CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	COUNTY OF HAWAII	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HALE MAHAOLU EHIKU	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SYSTEMS UNLIMITED, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF CEDAR RAPIDS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF DES MOINES	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NATIONAL CATTLE CONGRESS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF WATERLOO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF DES MOINES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF FORT DODGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	IOWA DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	POLK COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HEARTLAND HILL HABITAT FOR HUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF COUNCIL BLUFFS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	FRANKLIN COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF FRANKLIN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF REXBURG	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CLEARWATER ECONOMIC DEVELOPMEN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF POCATELLO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ADA COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CLEARWATER ECONOMIC DEVELOPMEN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BOISE STATE UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	IDAHO MIGRANT COUNCIL	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WESTERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	COLES COUNTY COUNCIL ON AGING	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ILLINOIS COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NORTHEASTERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	OUR CHILDREN'S HOMESTEAD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PIONEER CENTER OF MCHENRY COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	QUINCY UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SEGUIN SERVICES, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BEARDSTOWN HISTORICAL SOCIETY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BRADLEY UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BURPEE/DISCOVERY CENTER MUSEUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LAKEVIEW MUSEUM-ARTS & SCIENCE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ACADEMY-URBAN SCHOOL LEADERSHP	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CHICAGO CHILDREN'S ADVOCACY CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CHICAGO PARK DISTRICT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF EAST MOLINE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VILLAGE OF RIVERDALE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF YORKVILLE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF CREST HILL	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF COLLINSVILLE	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	COUNTY OF DUPAGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DUPAGE CHILDREN'S MUSEUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PLEASURE DRIVEWAY & PARK DISTRICT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HOME OF THE SPARROW, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HORIZON HOUSE OF ILLINOIS VALLEY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THE INNER VOICE, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LINCOLN CHRISTIAN COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MARKLUND CHILDREN'S HOME	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	RAY GRAHAM ASSOCIATION FOR PEOPLE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	RIALTO SQUARE THEATER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SHAWNEETOWN REGIONAL PORT DISTRICT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TIMBER POINTE CHARITABLE FOUNDATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VILLAGE OF HAZEL CREST	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VILLAGE OF ORION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VILLAGE OF SOUTH JACKSONVILLE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LOOKING FOR LINCOLN HERITAGE C	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PEACE AND EDUCATION COALITION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HAYMARKET CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	QUINCY PUBLIC LIBRARY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	COMMUNITY FOUNDATION OF DECATUR	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HEARTLAND COMMUNITY HEALTH CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CHICAGO HISTORICAL SOCIETY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HOME SWEET HOME MINISTRIES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NORTHFIELD PARK DISTRICT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWNSHIP OF NORTH HURRICANE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MARTIN COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MARION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	AFRICAN AMERICAN ACHIEVERS YOU	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MUNCIE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	EASTER SEALS ARC OF NE INDIANA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SOUTH BEND HERITAGE FOUNDATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF SOUTH BEND	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF CEDAR LAKE	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MUNCIE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	INDIANA HUMANITIES COUNCIL	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF FORT WAYNE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SAFEHOME, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF ATCHISON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF FORT SCOTT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF INDEPENDENCE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF WICHITA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF WICHITA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CATHOLIC DIOCESE OF WICHITA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	YWCA OF GREATER KANSAS CITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THE BOYS AND GIRLS CLUBS OF GREATER KANSAS CITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SEDGWICK COUNTY	Congresslonally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF FORT SCOTT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF TOPEKA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MISSION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF FAIRWAY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MILL SPRINGS BATTLEFIELD ASSOCIATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CRITTENDEN COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LARUE COUNTY FISCAL COURT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	POWELL COUNTY FISCAL COURT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	COMMUNITY ECONOMIC EMPOWERMENT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DAY SPRING FOUNDATION, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DREAM FOUNDATION, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	FIRST GETHSEMANE CENTER FOR FAMILY DEVELOPMENT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	FLEMING COUNTY INDUSTRIAL AUTHORITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HARDIN COUNTY FISCAL COURT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LOUISVILLE OLMSTED PARKS CONSERVEANCY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NEW ZION COMMUNITY FOUNDATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF LYNCH	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TEMPLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORP.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MONROE COUNTY FISCAL COURT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VISIONS OF EASTERN KENTUCKY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MCCRACKEN COUNTY FISCAL COURT	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CLINTON COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PARISH OF LIVINGSTON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LOYOLA UNIVERSITY NEW ORLEANS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF COVINGTON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF GRAND ISLE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF OPELOUSAS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SHREVESPORT-BOSSIER COMMUNITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VILLAGE OF SUN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ASCENSION PARISH	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	AUDUBON NATURE INSTITUTE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LAFOURCHE PARISH	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TWIN CITIES COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BOSTON HEALTHCARE FOR THE HOME	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	EDITH WHARTON RESTORATION, INC	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ENDICOTT COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GREENFIELD COMMUNITY COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LAWRENCE COMMUNITY WORKS, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF RANDOLPH	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SOLUTIONS COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF LYNN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MEDFORD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MELROSE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF NEW BEDFORD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF SOMERVILLE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	COMMUNITY ART CENTER, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MAHAIWE PERFORMING ARTS CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MAIN SOUTH COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MASHPEE WAMPANOAG TRIBAL COUNCIL	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MERRIMACK REPERTORY THEATER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NARROWS CENTER FOR THE ARTS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SPRINGFIELD DAY NURSERY, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WESTERN MASSACHUSETTS ENTERPRISE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WHITTIER STREET HEALTH CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF WALPOLE	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF NORTH ADAMS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF HOLYOKE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MEDFORD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MAIN SOUTH COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF LAWRENCE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BIRD STREET COMMUNITY CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	STRAIGHT AHEAD MINISTRIES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GIRLS INCORPORATED OF LYNN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ST. MARY'S COLLEGE OF MARYLAND	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF HYATTSVILLE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF TAKOMA PARK	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HISTORIC SAINT MARY'S CITY COM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MINISTERS ALLIANCE OF CHARLES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	YMCA OF CENTRAL MARYLAND, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MARYLAND FOOD BANK	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	EAST BALTIMORE DEVELOPMENT, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PATTERSON PARK COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GOUCHER COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	AMERICAN VISIONARY ARTS MUSEUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ASSOCIATED CATHOLIC CHARITIES, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BOWDOIN COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF MILO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF BREWER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	FRANCO-AMERICAN HERITAGE CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF BANGOR	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF MILO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF VAN BUREN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WESTERN MAINE COMMUNITY ACTION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	UNIVERSITY OF NEW ENGLAND	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF PORTLAND	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PENOBSCOT MARINE MUSEUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GRAND TRAVERSE COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GRAND VALLEY STATE UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NORTHERN MICHIGAN UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ARAB COMMUNITY CENTER FOR ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL SERVICES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BOYSVILLE OF MICHIGAN, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF DETROIT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF DETROIT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF DETROIT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF EAST LANSING	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PORT HURON CITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DETROIT ZOO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	JEWISH VOCATIONAL SERVICE AND COMMUNITY WORKSHOP	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LABOR MUSEUM AND LEARNING CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LIGHTHOUSE OF OAKLAND COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MICHIGAN JEWISH INSTITUTE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MOTORCITIES NATIONAL HERITAGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF FARMINGTON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF FARMINGTON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NATIONAL CENTER FOR MANUFACTUR	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THE OAKLAND LIVINGSTON HUMAN SERVICES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PRESBYTERIAN VILLAGES OF MICHIGAN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PRESBYTERIAN VILLAGES OF MICHIGAN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	RECORDING FOR THE BLIND AND DYSLEXIC	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SAMARITAN CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	YMCA OF SAGINAW	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WALSH COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THE ENTERPRISE GROUP OF JACKSON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ARAB COMMUNITY CENTER FOR ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL SERVICES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF DETROIT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF SAGINAW	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	STATE OF MICHIGAN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	FOCUS: HOPE IN DETROIT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GOODWILL INDUSTRIES OF N. MICHIGAN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HARBOR HABITAT FOR HUMANITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF ST. PAUL	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MINNEAPOLIS AMERICAN INDIAN CENTER	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MINNESOTA HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NORTHSIDE RESIDENTS REDEVELOPMENT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	RED LAKE BAND OF CHIPPEWA INDIANS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HMONG AMERICAN MUTUAL ASSISTANCE ASSOCIATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	RED LAKE BAND OF CHIPPEWA INDIANS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	COMUNIDADES LATINAS UNIDAS EN SERVICIO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	REDWOOD COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MORA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SOUTHEAST MISSOURI STATE UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	3RD WARD NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BETTER FAMILY LIFE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF JOPLIN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF KANSAS CITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF SPRINGFIELD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF STE. GENEVIEVE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SPRINGFIELD LANDMARKS PREV.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MID-AMERICA RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT FOUNDATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LIBERTY MEMORIAL ASSOCIATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ST. LOUIS BOSNIAN CHAMBER OF COMMERCE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BOYS AND GIRLS CLUBS OF GREATER KANSAS CITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WINSTON CHURCHILL MEMORIAL	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	COVENANT HOUSE MISSOURI	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TRUMAN STATE UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF SPRINGFIELD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ST. CHARLES COUNTY ASSOCIATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SALVATION ARMY NORTHLAND COMMUNITY CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	KANSAS CITY NEIGHBORHOOD ALLIANCE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BETTER LIVING COMMUNITIES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ST. LOUIS HOUSING AUTHORITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MISSOURI SOYBEAN	Congressionally Directed

		ASSOCIATION	
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MARK TWAIN COMMUNITY ALLIANCE, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	STUDENTS IN FREE ENTERPRISE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MEXICO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THE YOUZEUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF KENNETT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MOORHEAD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PANOLA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PONTOTOC COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MERIDIAN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF NATCHEZ	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MISSISSIPPI STATE UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF OXFORD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MADISON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF TCHULA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MISSISSIPPI MUSEUM OF ART	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	JACKSON ZOO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LAFAYETTE COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HINDS COMMUNITY COLLEGE-UTICA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MISSISSIPPI UNIVERSITY FOR WOMEN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SIMPSON COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	JACKSON PUBLIC SCHOOL	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF COLLINS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOUGALOO COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF WESSON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DELTA STATE UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ALCORN STATE UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CHILD AND FAMILY INTERVENTION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MONTANA FOOD BANK NETWORK	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY NORTH	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TRAVELER'S REST PRESERVATION AUTHORITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LIBERTY HOUSE FOUNDATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ROCKY MOUNTAIN DEVELOPMENT COUCIL	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ROCKY MOUNTAIN ELK FOUNDATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ST. VINCENT HEALTHCARE FOUNDATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CHILD AND FAMILY INTERVENTION	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	YELLOWSTONE BOYS AND GIRLS RANCH	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CARTER COUNTY MUSEUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BIG SKY ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MISSOULA AGING SERVICES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ST. VINCENT FOUNDATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DALY MANSION PRESERVATION TRUST	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	COMMUNITYWORKS, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MONTANA TECHNOLOGY ENTERPRISE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DAVIDSON COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DHIC, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GASTON COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NORTHAMPTON COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SPRING CREEK COMMUNITY CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF DURHAM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF FAYETTEVILLE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF LAURINBURG	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MONROE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF RAEFORD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF TROY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GRAVEYARD OF THE ATLANTIC MUSE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	INTER-FAITH COUNCIL FOR SOCIAL	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PIEDMONT ENVIRONMENTAL CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NEW RIVER COMMUNITY PARTNERS, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	FORSYTH COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF DOBBINS HEIGHTS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WESTERN CAROLINA UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	UDI COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CORE SOUND WATERFOWL MUSEUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF KANNAPOLIS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NEW RIVER COMMUNITY PARTNERS, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CATAWBA SCIENCE MUSEUM	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF FAYETTEVILLE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF WILMINGTON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF FAYETTEVILLE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF ASHEVILLE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CUMBERLAND COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DAKOTA BOYS AND GIRLS RANCH	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NORTHWEST VENTURES COMMUNITIES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	UNITED TRIBES TECHNICAL COLLEG	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF KILLDEER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF RUGBY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DAKOTA BOYS AND GIRLS RANCH	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CNTR FOR INNOVATION FOUNDATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BISMARCK-MANDAN DEVELOPMENT AS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TURTLE MOUNTAIN COMMUNITY COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PERU STATE COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BOYS AND GIRLS HOME OF NEBRASK	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF LINCOLN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	FATHER FLANAGAN'S BOYS HOME	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	OMAHA SCHOLL FOUNDATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WILLA CATHER PIONEER MEMORIAL	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THURSTON COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	METROPOLITAN COMMUNITY COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THURSTON COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BOYS AND GIRLS HOME OF NEBRASK	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WILLA CATHER PIONEER MEMORIAL	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CLARKSON COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	JOSLYN ART MUSEUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BETHLEHEM REDEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF CONCORD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF PORTSMOUTH	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NEW HAMPSHIRE COMMUNITY TECHNICAL COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF TEMPLE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	FAMILIES IN TRANSITION	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NEW HAMPSHIRE COMMUNITY TECHNICAL COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GIBSON CENTER FOR SENIOR SERVICES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NEW HAMPSHIRE COMMUNITY LOAN FUND	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NUTFIEL SENIOR CENTER CORP.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	YWCA OF MANCHESTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF NASHUA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THE STUDENT CONSERVATION ASSOCIATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	180 TURNING LIVES AROUND, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ESSEX COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	EVA'S KITCHEN AND SHELTERING PROGRAM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MONTCLAIR STATE UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MORRIS COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWNSHIP OF TEWKSBURY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	RUTGERS, THE STATE UNIVERSITY OF NJ	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SOMERSET COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	COUNTY OF SUSSEX NEW JERSEY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	APPEL FARM ARTS AND MUSIC CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CENTER FOR COMMUNITY ARTS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF EAST ORANGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF PERTH AMBOY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SCHOOL FOR CHILDREN WITH HIDDEN INTELLIGENCE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WESTFIELD YMCA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWNSHIP OF WEST MILFORD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF PLEASANTVILLE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF PATERSON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ST. JOSEPH'S SCHOOL FOR THE BLIND	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	RUTGERS-CAMDEN TECHNOLOGY CAMP	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF BELEN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF CARLSBAD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PLACITAS COMMUNITY PUBLIC LIBRARY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VILLAGE OF ANGEL FIRE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	YMCA OF CENTRAL NEW MEXICO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PRESBYTERIAN MEDICAL SERVICES	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ALBUQUERQUE MENTAL HEALTH HOUSING COALITION, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	EASTERN NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	OTERO COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF CARLSBAD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DEMING HELPING HAND, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF SUNLAND PARK	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SANDOVAL COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF PORTALES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NEVADA'S CENTER FOR ENTRERPRISE & TECH.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NYE COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF HENDERSON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WESTCARE FOUNDATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PAHRUMP SENIOR CENTER, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NATHAN ADELSON HOSPICE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THE RIDGE HOUSE, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA-RENO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF LAS VEGAS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF RENO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF PAHRUMP	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PYRAMID LAKE PAIUTE TRIBE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	FOOD BANK OF NORTHERN NEVADA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	AMHERST CHAMBER OF COMMERCE, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ELMCOR YOUTH AND ADULT ACTIVITIES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	FORDHAM UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GREATER BROCKPORT DEVELOPMENT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	OPERATION OSWEGO COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PROCTOR'S THEATRE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PROSPECT PARK ALLIANCE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SHAKER MUSEUM AND LIBRARY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	RESEARCH FOUNDATION OF SUNY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SUNNYSIDE COMMUNITY SERVICES, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF NY DEPT. OF PARK & REC	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	86TH STREET BUSINESS IMPROVEME	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	RESEARCH FOUNDATION-SUNY - NY	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	RESEARCH FOUNDATION OF SUNY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ARTS GUILD OF OLD FORGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BARDAVON 1869 OPERA HOUSE, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BETH GAVRIEL BUKHARIAN CONGREGATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BORICUA COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BREAST CANCER HELP, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BURCHFIELD-PENNEY ART CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CATSKILL MOUNTAIN FOUNDATION, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF ROME	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ELMIRA COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HOUGHTON COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THE TOWN OF HUNTINGTON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORP.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LUTHERAN MEDICAL CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MARY MITCHELL FAMILY AND YOUTH	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	AMERICAN MUSEUM OF THE MOVING	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	METROPOLITAN DEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATION OF SYRACUSE/NY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NORTH COUNTRY CHILDREN'S CLINIC	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	YMCA OF GREATER ROCHESTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	OLD FORT NIAGARA GATEWAY TO HISTORY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ORANGE COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PREGONES TOURING PR THEATER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	QUEENS BOROUGH PUBLIC LIBRARY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SEPHARDIC COMMUNITY CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SUGAR HILL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF FORT ANN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	9/11 HOMETOWN MEMORIAL FOUNDATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF BROOKHAVEN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF EASTCHESTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF LENOX	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF NORTH HEMPSTEAD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	UTICA PUBLIC LIBRARY	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VILLAGE OF ELMSFORD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VILLAGE OF PLEASANTVILLE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	YMCA AT GLEN COVE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	UTICA COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WARREN COUNTY ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORP.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	YWCA OF NIAGARA, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ALIANZA DOMINICANA, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	RESEARCH FOUNDATION OF SUNY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	EL MUSEO DEL BARRIO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CENTRAL NEW YORK COMMUNITY ART	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF CANANDAIGUA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	UNION GRADUATE COLLAGE OF U.U.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ROBERT H. JACKSON CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GRIFFISS LOCAL DEVELOPMENT CORP.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NASSAU COUNTY MUSEUM OF ART	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VETERANS OUTREACH CENTER, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BUFFALO ECONOMIC RENAISSANCE CORP.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CARROLL COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	COLUMBIANA COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CONNECTING POINT, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ROSS COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	STARR COMMONWEALTH	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CHAMPAIGN COUNTY PRESERVATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CINCINNATI YOUNG PEOPLE'S THEATER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF ST. CLAIRSVILLE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF GREEN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF LIMA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF LORAIN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF TOLEDO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	COMMUNITY PROPERTIES OF OHIO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DEPRESSION AND BIPOLAR SUPPORT ALLIANCE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HOCKING ATHENS PERRY COMMUNITY ACTION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	OHIO GLASS MUSEUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	OHIO HISTORICAL SOCIETY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	OHIO WESLEYAN UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	COMM. IMP./OF S'FIELD &	Congressionally Directed

		CLARK	
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ST. MARY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MAIN STREET BUSINESS ASSOCIATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THE MARSH FOUNDATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THOUSAND HILLS ENTERPRISES, LLC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	YMCA OF WESTERN STARK COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	URBAN LEAGUE OF GREATER CLEVELAND	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ASSOCIATED NEIGHBORHOOD CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF CANTON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WRIGHT DUNBAR, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DAYBREAK, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CATHOLIC CHARITIES SERVICES CORP.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CORNERSTONE OF HOPE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THE PRESTON FUND	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DEFIANCE COUNTY SENIOR SERVICE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	UKRAINIAN MUSEUM-ARCHIVES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THE SCIOTO SOCIETY, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LORAIN COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF JACKSON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SOLID WASTE AUTHORITY OF CENTR	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF PAWNEE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	RURAL ENTERPRISES OF OKLAHOMA, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF TULSA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	YOUTH AND FAMILY SERVICES, INC	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF ARDMORE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NORMAN ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORP.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF PONCA CITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NATIVE AMERICAN CULTURAL AND EDUCATIONAL AUTHORITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MIDWEST CITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ARMORY THEATER FUND	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PORTLAND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF SPRINGFIELD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SALEM URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MARION-POLK FOOD SHARE	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF PENDLETON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BLUE MOUNTAIN COMMUNITY COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF THE DALLES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MEDFORD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ARMSTRONG COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CENTRAL BRADFORD PROGRESS AUTHORITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CABRINI COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CARBON COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GREENE COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BLOOMFIELD-GARFIELD ASSOCIATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	KIDSPEACE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BOROUGH OF LIVERPOOL	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LOWER MAKEFIELD TOWNSHIP	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PEOPLE FOR PEOPLE, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PINE FORGE ACADEMY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	POINT BREEZE PERFORMING ARTS CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ALLENTOWN ART MUSEUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BOROUGH OF MAHANOY CITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BOYS AND GIRLS CLUB OF LANCASTER, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BROOKVILLE YMCA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CHARTIERS WEST COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF JOHNSTOWN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MONROEVILLE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF SUNBURY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF YORK	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CLEARFIELD YMCA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	COAL COUNTRY HANG-OUT YOUTH CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY IN THE CITY OF CORRY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DA VINCI DISCOVERY CENTER OF SCIENCE AND TECH.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DELAWARE COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DOWNTOWN CHAMBERSBURG INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	FERMANAGH TOWNSHIP	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BOROUGH OF GETTYSBURG	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GREENVILLE AREA ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORP.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HOLLIDAYSBURG AREA	Congressionally Directed

		YMCA	
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HOMER CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	INDIANA UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	INDIANA UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF JEANNETTE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	JEWISH COMMUNITY CENTER OF GREATER PHILADELPHIA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LEHIGH COUNTY HISTORICAL SOCIETY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	OIL CREEK RAILWAY HISTORICAL SOCIETY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PA HISTORICAL & MUSEUM COMM.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	COOKFORESTSAWMILL CNTR. - ARTS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	YWCA OF CHESTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WAYNESBURG COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF CARBONDALE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF CORRY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WEATHERLY BOROUGH	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ARMSTRONG COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PEOPLE FOR PEOPLE, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SOUTHWESTERN PENNSYLVANIA COMM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ALLEGHENY COUNTY AIRPORT AUTHORITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GAUDENZIA, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	OUR CITY READING	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF LANCASTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GREATER WILKES-BARRE CHAMBER OF COMMERCE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	IMPACT SERVICES CORPORATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SHIPPENSBURG UNIVERSITY FOUNDATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THE PARTNERSHIP CDC	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ALLENTOWN ART MUSEUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PITTSBURGH ZOO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	UNIVERSAL COMMUNITY HOMES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SPORTS AND RECREATION DEPARTMENT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF CENTRAL FALLS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GREATER PROVIDENCE YMCA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF NORTH SMITHFIELD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CRANSTON PUBLIC LIBRARY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF WARREN	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF WEST WARWICK	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MEETING STREET SCHOOL	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SEXUAL ASSAULT AND TRAUMA RESOURCE CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	FAMILY SERVICE OF RHODE ISLAND	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ST. MARY'S HOME FOR CHILDREN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	STAND UP FOR ANIMALS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SENIORS HELPING OTHERS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	JOHN E. FOGARTY CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF WOONSOCKET	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CRANSTON PUBLIC LIBRARY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ENGENUITYSC	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GEORGETOWN COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF GREENWOOD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LAURENS COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LEE COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MARION COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MIDLANDS COMMUNITY DEV. CORP.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BOYS AND GIRLS CLUB OF THE PEE DEE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF LANCASTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CLEMSON UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NATIONAL COUNCIL OF NEGRO WOMEN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PAXVILLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PROGRESSIVE CLUB	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SOUTH CAROLINA SCHOOL FOR THE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SOUTH CAROLINA MARITIME HERITA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF ST. STEPHEN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WILLIAMSBURG COUNTY BOYS AND GIRLS CLUB	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SOUTH CAROLINA SCHOOL FOR THE DEAF AND BLIND	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CRISIS MINISTRIES HOMELESS SHELTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CHILDREN'S HOME SOCIETY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF ABERDEEN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WAKPA SICA HISTORICAL SOCIETY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF ABERDEEN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CHILDREN'S HOME SOCIETY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BOYS AND GIRLS CLUB OF BROOKINGS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF NORTH SIOUX CITY	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MAMMOTH SITE OF HOT SPRINGS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WAKPA SICA HISTORICAL SOCIETY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	RAPID CITY AREA ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT PARTNERSHIP	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MINER COUNTY COMMUNITY REVITAL	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CHILDREN'S HOME SOCIETY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CLAY COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CLEVELAND BRADLEY BUSINESS INC	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HAMILTON COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	APPALACHIA SERVICE PROJECT, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	KNOX COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LOUDON COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SOUTHEAST LOCAL DEVELOPMENT CORP.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF GALLATIN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CUMBERLAND COUNTY PLAYHOUSE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SECOND HARVEST FOOD BANK OF MIDDLE TENNESSE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SECOND HARVEST FOOD BANK OF NORTHEAST TENNESSEE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SECOND HARVEST FOOD BANK OF NORTHEAST TENNESSEE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SOUTHWEST TENNESSEE COMMUNITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TECHNOLOGY 2020	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TENNESSEE RIVER MUSEUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF CLINTON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SECOND HARVEST FOOD BANK OF MIDDLE TENNESSE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CHATTANOOGA AFRICAN AMERICAN CHAMBER OF COMMERCE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CARROLL COUNTY WATERSHED AUTHORITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CUMBERLAND COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TECHNOLOGY 2020	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SMITH COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CAMERON COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HARRIS COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HARRIS COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ALABAMA-COUSHATTA TRIBE	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ARLINGTON CHAMBER OF COMMERCE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CHILDREN'S MUSEUM OF HOUSTON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF ABILENE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF CLEBURNE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF DALLAS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF FORT WORTH	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF LEONARD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MADISONVILLE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MIDLAND	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF NACOGDOCHES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF ODESSA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF RIO BRAVO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MCMULLEN COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	FOOD BANK OF THE RIO GRANDE VA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SAN ANTONIO FOOD BANK	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON-CLEAR LAKE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THE WOMEN'S MUSEUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ARLINGTON CHAMBER OF COMMERCE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF FORT WORTH	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF COMMERCE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF HILLSBORO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF LUFKIN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BOYS AND GIRLS CLUB OF LOS FRESNOS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF SANDY CITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	RIVERTON CITY CORPORATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF RIVERTON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	COLLEGE OF EASTERN UTAH	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PROVO CITY CORPORATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF HYRUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF SANDY CITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	COLLEGE OF EASTERN UTAH-SAN JUAN CAMPUS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SUMMIT COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	FAIRFAX COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ALEXANDRIA REDEVELOPMENT AND HOUSING AUTHORITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	AMERICAN ARMOURED FOUNDATION,	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THE BARNS OF ROSE HILL INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BAYVIEW CITIZENS FOR SOCIAL JUSTICE	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BOYS AND GIRLS CLUB OF ALEXANDRIA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF CHESAPEAKE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF STAUNTON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	COUNTY OF NORTHAMPTON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DABNEY S. LANCASTER COMMUNITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	FALLS CHURCH EDUCATION FOUNDATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HARRISONBURG CHILDREN'S MUSEUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	JUBAL A. EARLY PRESERVATION TRUST	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NATIONAL D-DAY MEMORIAL FOUNDA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NORTHERN VIRGINIA COMMUNITY COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THE PRIZERY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SOUTHWESTERN VIRGINIA SECOND HARVEST FOOD BANK	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF BOYDTON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF CHARLOTTE COURT HOUSE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF VIENNA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THE MARINERS' MUSEUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VIRGINIA HISTORICAL SOCIETY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VIRGINIA HOLOCAUST MUSEUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VIRGINIA MUSEUM OF FINE ARTS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VIRGINIA PERFORMING ARTS FOUND	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WEST PIEDMONT BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THYNE INSTITUTE MEMORIAL INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WOODROW WILSON PRESIDENTIAL LIBRARY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	RADFORD UNIVERSITY REAL ESTATE FOUNDATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CARROLL COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF SUFFOLK	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CHRISTOPHER NEWPORT UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THE MARINERS' MUSEUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOTAL ACTION AGAINST POVERTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	APPALACHIA SERVICE PROJECT, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NE VT AREA AGENCY ON AGING	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PRESERVATION TRUST OF VERMONT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VERMONT BROADBAND COUNCIL	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VERMONT HOUSING AND CONSERVATION BOARD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BENNINGTON PROJECT INDEPENDENCE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VERMONT HOUSING AND CONSERVATION BOARD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VERMONT HOUSING AND CONSERVATION BOARD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VISITING NURSES ASSOC. CHITTENDEN AND GRAND ISLE COUNTIES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VERMONT HOUSING AND CONSERVATION BOARD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	KITSAP COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SKAGIT COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WIND RIVER PUBLIC DEPT. AUTH.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BOYS AND GIRLS CLUB OF KING COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	FOSS WATERWAY DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	KENT YOUTH AND FAMILY SERVICES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MUSEUM OF GLASS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NORTHWEST MARITIME CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF YAKIMA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF ROSLYN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF ROSLYN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WING LUKE ASIAN MUSEUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NORTH HELPLINE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	FREMONT PUBLIC ASSOCIATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ASIAN COUNSELING AND REFERRAL SERVICE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	URBAN LEAGUE OF METROPOLITAN SEATTLE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SEATTLE ART MUSEUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SEATTLE AQUARIUM SOCIETY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NORTHEAST COMMUNITY CENTER ASSOCIATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	EASTER SEALS WASHINGTON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BOYS AND GIRLS CLUB OF KING COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SPOKANE SYMPHONY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	KITSAP COMMUNITY RESOURCES	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CHIPPEWA VALLEY TECHNICAL COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MANITOWOC COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MONROE SENIOR CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF CEDARBURG	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DOOR COUNTY ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	JUNEAU COUNTY ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORP.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MILWAUKEE PUBLIC SCHOOLS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WEST END DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF APPLETON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	REDEVELOPMENT AUTH-CITY OF RACINE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF MILWAUKEE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF KENOSHA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WEST END DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF GREEN BAY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MILWAUKEE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MILWAUKEE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MINERAL COUNTY HISTORICAL FOUNDATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GLENVILLE STATE COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PRESTON COUNTY COMMISSION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	FRIENDS OF THE PRESTON ACADEMY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MID-ATLANTIC TECHNOLOGY, RESEARCH AND INNOVATION CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WEST VIRGINIA UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WETZEL COUNTY COMMISSION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SHEPHERD UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WHEELING PARK COMMISSION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WEST VIRGINIA UNIVERSITY RESEARCH CORP.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	KANAWHA INSTITUTE FOR SOCIAL RESEARCH	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ARK REGIONAL SERVICES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DUBOIS COMMUNITY PROJECT, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	UNIVERSITY OF WYOMING	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	UNIVERSITY OF WYOMING	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WYOMING SUBSTANCE ABUSE TREATMENT AND RECOVERY CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CENTRAL WYOMING COLLEGE FOUNDATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ARK REGIONAL SERVICES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GLENVILLE STATE COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MONROE SENIOR CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	KITSAP COMMUNITY RESOURCES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SPOKANE SYMPHONY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SEATTLE AQUARIUM SOCIETY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF YAKIMA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	APPALACHIA SERVICE PROJECT, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOTAL ACTION AGAINST POVERTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THYNE INSTITUTE MEMORIAL INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VIRGINIA HOLOCAUST MUSEUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VIRGINIA HISTORICAL SOCIETY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NATIONAL D-DAY MEMORIAL FOUNDATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	COLLEGE OF EASTERN UTAH-SAN JUAN CAMPUS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF RIO BRAVO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CARROLL COUNTY WATERSHED AUTHORITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	APPALACHIA SERVICE PROJECT, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MINER COUNTY COMMUNITY REVITALIZATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CHILDREN'S HOME SOCIETY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CHILDREN'S HOME SOCIETY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SOUTH CAROLINA MARITIME HERITAGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF WOONSOCKET	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SEXUAL ASSAULT AND TRAUMA RESOURCE CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MEETING STREET SCHOOL	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF WEST WARWICK	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF CENTRAL FALLS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PITTSBURGH ZOO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ALLENTOWN ART MUSEUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GREATER WILKES-BARRE CHAMBER OF COMMERCE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PEOPLE FOR PEOPLE, INC.	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF THE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	JEWISH COMMUNITY CENTER OF GREATER PHILADELPHIA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ALLENTOWN ART MUSEUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PEOPLE FOR PEOPLE, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LOWER MAKEFIELD TOWNSHIP	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BLOOMFIELD-GARFIELD ASSOCIATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF PENDLETON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MARION-POLK FOOD SHARE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF JACKSON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THE PRESTON FUND	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	URBAN LEAGUE OF GREATER CLEVELAND	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THOUSAND HILLS ENTERPRISES, LLC	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF GREEN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VETERANS OUTREACH CENTER, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NASSAU COUNTY MUSEUM OF ART	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ROBERT H. JACKSON CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF CANANDAIGUA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF LENOX	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF FORT ANN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NORTH COUNTRY CHILDREN'S CLINIC	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BETH GAVRIEL BUKHARIAN CONGREGATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BARDAVON 1869 OPERA HOUSE, INC	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PROCTOR'S THEATRE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CHAMBERS COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF FORT PAYNE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF OPELIKA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	FAYETTE COUNTY COMMISSION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MUSEUM OF LATIN AMERICAN ART	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SKIRBALL CULTURAL CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF ANSONIA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	EASTER SEALS DELAWARE AND MARY COUNTIES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	YMCA OF KAUAI	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SYSTEMS UNLIMITED, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BRADLEY UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LINCOLN CHRISTIAN COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TIMBER POINTE CHARITABLE FOUNDATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	EASTER SEALS ARC OF NE INDIANA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	FIRST GETHSEMANE CENTER FOR FAMILY DEVELOPMENT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MONROE COUNTY FISCAL COURT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BOSTON HEALTHCARE FOR THE HOME	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF LYNN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MAHAIWE PERFORMING ARTS CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MERRIMACK REPERTORY THEATER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	STRAIGHT AHEAD MINISTRIES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HISTORIC SAINT MARY'S CITY COMMISSION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ASSOCIATED CATHOLIC CHARITIES, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GRAND TRAVERSE COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GOODWILL INDUSTRIES OF N. MICHIGAN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HMONG AMERICAN MUTUAL ASSISTANCE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SPRINGFIELD LANDMARKS PRESERVATION TRUST	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WINSTON CHURCHILL MEMORIAL	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MISSOURI SOYBEAN ASSOCIATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THE YOUZEUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CHILD AND FAMILY INTERVENTION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LIBERTY HOUSE FOUNDATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ROCKY MOUNTAIN DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CHILD AND FAMILY INTERVENTION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	COMMUNITYWORKS, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF RAEFORD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BISMARCK-MANDAN DEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	AMHERST CHAMBER OF COMMERCE, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THE RIDGE HOUSE, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ST. JOSEPH'S SCHOOL FOR THE BLIND	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WESTFIELD YMCA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SCHOOL FOR CHILDREN WITH HIDDE	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	APPEL FARM ARTS AND MUSIC CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NEW HAMPSHIRE COMMUNITY LOAN FUND	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF PORTSMOUTH	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	CITY OF DESERT HOT SPRINGS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	FINE ARTS MUSEUMS OF SAN FRANSICO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	NIXON FOUNDATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	SAN FRANCISCO CONSERVATORY OF MUSIC	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	UNIVERSITY OF SAN FRANCISCO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	BARRACKS ROW MAIN STREET, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	NATIONAL CHILDREN'S MUSEUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	NATIONAL COUNCIL OF NEGRO WOMEN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	WASHINGTON HOSPITAL CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	SOUTHEASTERN UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	BUILDING BRIDGES ACROSS THE RIVER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	CAPITAL AREA FOOD BANK, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	CENTER ON HALSTED	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	CITY OF PADUCAH	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	HOLYOKE COMMUNITY COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	UNITED VETERANS OF AMERICA, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	HOUSING PARTNERSHIP NETWORK	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	HUSSON COLLEGE/TECHNICAL EXPLORATION CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	DETROIT SCIENCE CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	PRESBYTERIAN VILLAGES OF MICHIGAN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	DETROIT RIVERFRONT CONSERVANCY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	MINNESOTA HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	GRACE HILL NEIGHBORHOOD HEALTH CENTERS, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	BNAI BRITH COVENANT HOUSE, INC	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	CITY OF KANSAS CITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	MISSISSIPPI STATE	Congressionally Directed

		UNIVERSITY	
2006	Neighborhood Initative	MISSISSIPPI ST. UNIV.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	HOUSING EDUCATION AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	MISSISSIPPI COMMUNITY COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	CITY OF NEWARK	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	ENGLEWOOD HOSPITAL	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	MEADOWLANDS HOSPITAL	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	METROPOLITAN DEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATION OF SYRACUSE/NY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	RURAL ENTERPRISES INSTITUTE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	UNION COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	UMATILLA COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	BUCKS COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	CITY OF SCRANTON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	OXFORD MAINSTREET, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	CAMP FIRE USA LONE STAR COUNCIL	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	CITY OF EAGLE MOUNTAIN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	WASHINGTON STATE FARMWORKER HOUSING TRUST	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	ENTERPRISE COMMUNITY PARTNERS, CIN.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN MARATHON COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	VANDALIA HERITAGE FOUNDATION, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	SHEPHERD UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCIS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	CENTER ON HALSTED	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	MISSISSIPPI STATE UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	BEDI	Town of Mooresville	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	BEDI	City of Rocky Mount	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	BEDI	City of Lawton	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	BEDI	City of Pittsburgh	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	BEDI	City of Reading	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	BEDI	City of Vancouver	Criteria published in NOFA

			published in Federal Register
2007	BEDI	City of Hartford	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	BEDI	City of Fort Pierce	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	BEDI	Palm Beach County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	BEDI	City of Nashua	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	BEDI	Jersey City	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	BEDI	City of Middletown	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	BEDI	Cuyahoga County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	BEDI	City of Jeanette	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	BEDI	City of Philadelphia	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	BEDI	City of Philadelphia	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	BEDI	City of Philadelphia	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	BEDI	City of Pittsburgh	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	BEDI	City of Pittsburgh	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	BEDI	City of Memphis	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HOPWA	Alaska Housing Finance Corp	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HOPWA	The Salvation Army, Alegria Program	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HOPWA	Tenderloin AIDS Resource Center	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HOPWA	Ministry of Caring Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HOPWA	I.M. Sulzbacher Center for the Homeless	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HOPWA	Gregory House Programs	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	HOPWA	AIDS Foundation of Chicago	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HOPWA	Chicago House and Social Service Agency, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HOPWA	City of Chicago, Department of Public Health	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HOPWA	Interfaith Residence Dba Doorways*	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HOPWA	Pioneer Civic Services, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HOPWA	Cambridge Cares About AIDS, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HOPWA	Community Healthlink, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HOPWA	City of Baltimore, Department of Housing and Community Development	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HOPWA	City of Portland, Department of Health and Human Services	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HOPWA	Frannie Peabody Center	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HOPWA	Interfaith Residence Dba Doorways	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HOPWA	New Hampshire Department of Health and Human Services	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HOPWA	Oregon Department of Human Services	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HOPWA	Asociacion De Puertorriquenos en Marcha, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HOPWA	Kingsport Housing & Redevelopment Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HOPWA	City of Dallas, Environmental and Health Services Department	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HOPWA	Burlington Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HOPWA	Downtown Emergency Service Center	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HOPWA	Housing Resources Group	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HOPWA	AIDS Resource Center of Wisconsin	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	AIDS Alabama	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2007	HOPWA	Health Services Center, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	Pima County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	City of San Jose	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	San Francisco Redevelopment Agency	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	Housing Services Affiliate-Bernal Heights Neighborhood Center	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	Del Norte Neighborhood Development Corp.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	Delaware HIV Consortium	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	City of Key West	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	Broward House, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	City of Savannah, Daniel-Flagg Villas	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	City of Savannah, Project House Call	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	Cornerstone Services, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	AIDS Foundation of Chicago	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	Kentucky Housing Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	Unity for the Homeless	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	Action, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	Justice Resource Institute	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	Health Care for Homeless, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	Clare Housing	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	Nebraska Health and Human Services	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	HOPWA	New Jersey Department of Health & Senior Services	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	Santa Fe Community Housing Trust	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	The Fortune Society	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	Church Avenue Merchants Block Association, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	Our House of Portland	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	Oregon State Department of Human Services	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	Rhode Island Housing Mortgage Finance Corporation (Sunrise Project)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	Rhode Island Housing Mortgage Finance Corporation (New Transitions)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	AIDS Resource Center of Wisconsin	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	West Virginia Office of Economic Opportunity	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Hale Empowerment and Revitalization Organization (HERO)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	City of Texarkana Arkansas	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	City of Phoenix	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	San Diego Community Housing Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Watts Labor Community Action Committee	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Black Contractors Association of San Diego	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Fresno County Economic Opportunities Commission.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Community Services & Employment Training Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Los Angeles Communities Advocating for Unity, Social Justice	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	San Joaquin County Office of Education	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Yuba County Office of	Criteria published in NOFA

		Education	published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Empower New Haven	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Co-Opportunity, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	ARCH	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Nat'l Assoc. of Former Foster Care Children of America, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Latin American Youth Center	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Sasha Bruce Youthwork, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Greater Miami Services Corps	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	The District Board of Trustees of Pensacola Junior College	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Housing Authority of Lakeland	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	City of Savannah, Georgia	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Southwest Georgia United Empowerment Zone, Inc	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Genesis Housing Development Corp	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Youthbuild Lake County, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Emerson Park Development Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	YouthBuild McLean County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Comprehensive Community Solutions, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	New Life Youth Development Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	The Housing Authority of the city of Evansville	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Louisiana Technical College - Sullivan Campus	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Old Colony-Y	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	Youthbuild	Community Teamwork, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Lawrence Family Development and Education Fund, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	YWCA of Western Massachusetts	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Just a Start Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Old Colony Y	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Training Resources of America Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	YouthBuild Boston, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Civic Works, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Housing Authority of Baltimore City	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Coastal Enterprises, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Community Action Agency	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Manistee Housing Commission	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Michigan Works	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Young Detroit Builders	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Friedens Neighborhood Foundation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Housing Authority of St. Louis County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	West Jackson Community Development Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	River City Community Development Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Lincoln Action Program	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	High Plains Community Development Corporation, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Youthbuild Newark, Inc,	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2007	Youthbuild	Housing Authority of the City of Camden	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	South Bronx Overall Economic Development Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Buckeye Community Hope Foundation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Akron Summit Community Action, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Future Community Builders	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	ISUS Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Youth Over Us, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Philadelphia Youth for Change	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	The Providence Plan	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	The Housing Authority for the City Spartanburg	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Benedict-Allen Community Development Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Chattanooga Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Alliance for Business and Training	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	La Fe Community Development Center	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	San Antonio Youth Centers, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Alameda Heights Outreach Foundation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	American Youthworks	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Community Development Corporation of Brownsville	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Employment Resources Incorporated (ERI)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Nooksack Indian Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Southern Appalachian Labor	Criteria published in NOFA

		School	published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Human Resources Development and Employment, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Section 4 Capacity Building	Local Initiatives Support Corporation	Congressionally Directed
2006	Section 4 Capacity Building	Enterprise Community Partners, Inc	Congressionally Directed
2006	Section 4 Capacity Building	Habitat for Humanity International	Congressionally Directed
2006	Section 4 Capacity Building	YouthBuild USA	Congressionally Directed
2007	Section 4 Capacity Building	Local Initiatives Support Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Section 4 Capacity Building	Enterprise Community Partners, Inc	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Nottaweseppi Huron Band of Potawatomi, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Lac du Flambeau Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	White Earth Band of the Minnesota Chippewa Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Ho-Chunk Nation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Pokagon Band of Potawatomi Indians	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Poarch Band of Creek Indians	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Red Cliff Band of Lake Superior Chippewas	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Upper Sioux Community	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Fond du Lac Band of Lake Superior Chippewa	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Grand Traverse Band of Ottawa and Chippewa Indians	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Menominee Indian Tribe of Wisconsin	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Red Lake Band of Chippewa Indians of MN	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Absentee-Shawnee Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Chickasaw Nation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Choctaw Nation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Citizen Potawatomi Nation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Eastern Shawnee Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Kaw Nation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Miami Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Ottawa Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Pawnee Nation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Ponca Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Prairie Band of Potawatomi Nation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Quapaw Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Sac and Fox of Oklahoma	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Seneca-Cayuga Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Shawnee Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	United Keetoowah Band of Cherokee Indians	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Native Village of Venetie	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Arctic Village	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Curyung Tribal Council	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Native Village of Pilot Point	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Native Village of Tuntutuliak	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Tlingit Haida Central Council	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Hughes Village	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	St. Paul Island	Criteria published in NOFA

			published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Village of Kotlik	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Native Village of Goodnews Bay	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Native Village of Perryville	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Village of Atmautluak	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Orutsararmiut Native Village	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Akiachak Native Community	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Native Village of Akutan	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Akiak Native Community	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Ak-Chin Indian Community	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Bear River Band of Rohnerville Rancheria	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Bishop Paiute Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Cocopah Indian Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Colorado River Indian Tribes	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Dry Creek Rancheria Band of Pomo Indians	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Hopi Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Hualapai Indian Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Karuk Tribe of California	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Mesa Grande Band of Mission Indians	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Moapa Band of Paiute Indians	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2005	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Navajo Nation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Ohkay Owingeh	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Pueblo of Nambe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Pueblo of Sandia	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Quartz Valley Reservation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Robinson Rancheria of Pomo Indians	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	San Pasqual Band of Mission Indians	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	San Xavier District	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Santa Ysabel Band of Diegueno Indians	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Washoe Tribe of Nevada and California	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	White Mountain Apache Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Yurok Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Burns Paiute Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	So. Puget Sound Inter-tribal Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Tulalip Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Kalispel Tribe of Indians	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Squaxin Island Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Klamath Tribes	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Confederated Tribes of the Grand Ronde Community of OR	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Coeur d'Alene Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Chippewa Cree Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Crow Tribe of Indians	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Ho-Chunk Community Development Corp.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Lower Brule Sioux Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Ponca Tribe of Nebraska	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Three Affiliated Tribes	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Turtle Mountain Band of Chippewa Indians	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Ute Mountain Ute Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Indian Community Development Block Grant*	*Pleasant Point Passamaquoddy Tribe*	*Congressional Earmark for Imminent Threats per NOFA*
2006	*Indian Community Development Block Grant*	*Hughes Village*	*Congressional Earmark for Imminent Threats per NOFA*
2006	*Indian Community Development Block Grant*	*Yurok Tribe*	*Congressional Earmark for Imminent Threats per NOFA*
2006	*Indian Community Development Block Grant*	*Red Cliff Band of Lake Superior Chippewas*	*Congressional Earmark for Imminent Threats per NOFA*
2006	*Indian Community Development Block Grant*	*Keweenaw Bay Indian Community*	*Congressional Earmark for Imminent Threats per NOFA*
2006	*Indian Community Development Block Grant*	*White Mountain Apache Tribe*	*Congressional Earmark for Imminent Threats per NOFA*
2006	*Indian Community Development Block Grant*	*Eastern Cherokee Tribe*	*Congressional Earmark for Imminent Threats per NOFA*
2006	*Indian Community Development Block Grant*	*Trinidad Rancheria*	*Congressional Earmark for Imminent Threats per NOFA*
2006	*Indian Community Development Block Grant*	*St. Croix Tribe*	*Congressional Earmark for Imminent Threats per NOFA*
2006	*Indian Community Development Block Grant*	*Wampanoag Tribe*	*Congressional Earmark for Imminent Threats per NOFA*
2006	*Indian Community Development Block Grant*	*Northern Cheyenne*	*Congressional Earmark for Imminent Threats per NOFA*
2006	*Indian Community Development Block Grant*	*Santa Ysabel Band of Diegueno Indians*	*Congressional Earmark for Imminent Threats per NOFA*
2006	*Indian Community Development Block Grant*	*Blackfeet Tribe*	*Congressional Earmark for Imminent Threats per NOFA*
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Arctic Village	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Asa'carsamiut Tribal Council	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Bear River Band of Rohnerville Rancheria	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Chickasaw Nation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Chignik Bay Tribal Council	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Chilkoot Indian Association	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Chippewa Cree Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Choctaw Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Choctaw Nation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Citizen Potawatomi Nation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Cocopah Indian Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Coeur d'Alene Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Conferated Salish & Kootenai Tribes	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Confederated Tribes of the Chehalis Reservation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Cowlitz Indian Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Crow Tribe of Indians	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Curyung Tribal Council	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Eastern Shawnee Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Ely Shoshone Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Fort Peck Assiniboine and Sioux Tribes	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Grand Traverse Band of Ottawa and Chippewa Indians	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Hannahville Indian Community	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Havasupai Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Hopi Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Hualapai Indian Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Igiugig Village Council	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Kasigluk Traditional Elders Council	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Keweenaw Bay Indian Community	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Kokhanok Village Council	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Lac Courte Oreilles Band of Lake Superior Chippewa	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Laguna Housing Development and Management Enterprise	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Leach Lake Bank of the Minnesota Chippewa Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Little River Band of Ottawa Indians	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Los Coyotes Band of Indians	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Lower Brule Sioux Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Muscogee Creek	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Native Village of Ekuk	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Native Village of Gakona	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Native Village of Kwinhagak	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Navajo Nation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Nooksack Indian Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	North Fork Rancheria of Mono Indians	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Ohkay Owingeh Pueblo	Criteria published in NOFA

			published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Ottawa Tribe of Oklahoma	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Penobscot Tribe of Maine	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Pleasant Point Passamaquoddy Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Pinoleville Pomo Nation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Ponca Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Port Gamble S'Klallam Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Pueblo of Jemez	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Pueblo of San Felipe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Pueblo of Zuni	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Quapaw Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Quartz Valley Indian Reservation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Reno Sparks Indian Colony	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Sac and Fox of Oklahoma	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	San Xavier District	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Sault St. Marie Tribe of Chippewa Indians of MI	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Seneca-Cayuga Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Sisseton-Wahpeton Oyate of the Lake Traverse Reservation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Skagway Traditional Council	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Sokaogon Chippewa Community	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Spokane Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Standing Rock Sioux Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Taos Pueblo Housing	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Turtle Mountain Band of Chippewa Indians	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Twin Hills Village Council	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	United Keetoowah Band of Cherokee Indians	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Village of Venetie	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	White Earth Band of the Minnesota Chippewa Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Wichita & Affiliated Tribes	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Wyandotte Nation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Yakama Nation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Yurok Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Indian Community Development Block Grant*	*Chippewa Cree Tribe*	*Congressional Earmark for Imminent Threats per NOFA*
2007	*Indian Community Development Block Grant*	*Keweenaw Bay Indian Community*	*Congressional Earmark for Imminent Threats per NOFA*
2007	*Indian Community Development Block Grant*	*La Jolla Band of Luiseno Mission Indians*	*Congressional Earmark for Imminent Threats per NOFA*
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Rural Alaska Community Action Program, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Chilkot Indian Association	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Asa'carsarmiut Tribal Council	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Hale Empowerment and Revitilization Organization	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Organized Village of Kasaan	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Volunteers of America Southeast, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	International Sonoran Desert Alliance	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2006	Rural Housing & Economic Development	Elfrida Citizen's Alliance	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Rural Housing & Economic Development	West Fresno Coalition for Economic Development	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Rural Housing & Economic Development	Relational Culture Institute	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Rural Housing & Economic Development	Shingle Springs Rancheria	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Rural Housing & Economic Development	Better Opportunities Builder, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Rural Housing & Economic Development	Bear River Band of Rohnerville Rancheria	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Rural Housing & Economic Development	Huerfano/Las Aminas Area Council of Governments	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Rural Housing & Economic Development	Everglades Community Association	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Rural Housing & Economic Development	Southwest Georgia United Empowerment Zone, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Rural Housing & Economic Development	Area Committee To Improve Opportunities Now, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Rural Housing & Economic Development	CDC of Southwest Georgia	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Rural Housing & Economic Development	Youthbuild McLean County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Rural Housing & Economic Development	Shawnee Development Council, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Rural Housing & Economic Development	Purchase Area Housing Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Rural Housing & Economic Development	Passamaquoddy Development & Supply Co.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Rural Housing & Economic Development	Community Action Agency of South Central Michigan	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Rural Housing & Economic Development	Little River Band of Ottawa Indians	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Rural Housing & Economic Development	Mid-Delta Empowerment Zone Alliance	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Rural Housing & Economic Development	Fort Peck Assiniboini & Sioux Tribes	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Rural Housing & Economic Development	The Heritage Institute	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Rural Housing & Economic Development	Haliwa-Saponi Indian Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA

			published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Turtle Mountain Band of Chippewa Indians	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Omaha Tribe of Nebraska	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Santee Sioux Tribe of Nebraska	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Ho-Chunk Community Development Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Navajo Partnership for Housing, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Native American Leading Group, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Eastern Plains Housing Development Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Dona Anna County Colonias Development Council	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Pueblo of Picuris	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Pueblo De San Iidefonso	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	ACCION New Mexico	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Pueblo of Pojoaque	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Fallon Paiute Shoshone Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Cortland Housing Assistance Council, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	The Lakota Funds	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Oglala Sioux Tribe Partnership For Housing	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Northeast South Dakota Community Action Program	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	West Tennessee Legal Services, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Buffalo Valley, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Creative Compassion, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	El Paso Empowerment Zone Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Proyecto Azteca	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Community Development Corporation of Brownsville	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Willacy County Industrial Foundation, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Community Development Corporation of South Texas	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Organizacion Progresiva De San Elizario	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Southern Appalachian Labor School	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Stop Abusive Family Enviroments(SAFE), Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Aleutian Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	AVCP Regional Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Chilkoot Indian Association	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Collaborative Solutions, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Hale Empowerment and Revitalization Organization	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Community Resource Group, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Universal Housing Development Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Chicanos Por La Causa, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Big Pine Paiute Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Community Assistance Network	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Northern Circle Indian Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Robinson Rancheria of Pomo Indians	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Walking Shield, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	National Council on Agricultural Life & Labor Research Fund	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Central Florida Community Development Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	JCVision and Associates, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Homeward, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Community Ventures Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Kentucky Highlands Investment Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Purchase Area Housing Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Young Adult Development in Action, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	La. Tech. College Foundation Tallulah	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Macon Ridge Community Development Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Four Directions Development Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Penobscot Indian Nation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Penquis CAP, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Huron Potawatomi, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Keweenaw Bay Indian Community	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Little River Band of Ottawa Indians	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Sault Ste. Marie Tribe of Chippewa Indians	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Midwest Minnesota Community Development Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Tunica County Community Development Coalition, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Browning Community Development Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Crow Tribal Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA

			published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Haliwa-Saponi Indian Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	The Affordable Housing Group of North Carolina, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Ho-Chunk Community Development Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Community Area Resource Enterprise (CARE 66)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Dona Ana County Colonias Development Council	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	New Mexico Mortgage Finance Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Pueblo of Pojoaque	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	San Juan Pueblo Tribal Council	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	JobStart Chautauqua	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	WSOS Community Action Commission	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Citizen Potawatomi Community Development Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Corporation De Desarrollo Economico de Ceiba, CD	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Community Development Corporation of Marlboro County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Spartanburg Leased Housing Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Four Bands Community Fund, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Mazaska Owecaso Otipi Financial, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Community Development Corporation of Brownsville	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	El Paso Collaborative for Community and Economic Development	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	La Gloria Development Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Motivation Education & Training, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Community Action Center	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Diocese of Yakima Housing Services	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Skokomish Tribal Nation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Wind River Development Fund	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Natural Disaster Grant/Capital Fund	Miami-Dade HA	Hurricane Wilma
2006	Natural Disaster Grant/Capital Fund	Pahokee HA	Hurricane Wilma
2006	Natural Disaster Grant/Capital Fund	Pompano Beach HA	Hurricane Wilma
2006	Natural Disaster Grant/Capital Fund	Fort Pierce HA	Hurricane Wilma
2006	Natural Disaster Grant/Capital Fund	Stuart HA	Hurricane Wilma
2006	Natural Disaster Grant/Capital Fund	Deefield Beach	Hurricane Wilma
2006	Natural Disaster Grant/Capital Fund	Dania Beach HA	Hurricane Wilma
2006	Natural Disaster Grant/Capital Fund	Boca Raton HA	Hurricane Wilma
2006	Emergency Grant/Capital Fund	Ragland, Alabama HA	Emergency Capital Repair of Imminent health threat to residents - Replacement of Sewage System
2006	Emergency Grant/Capital Fund	Wakefield, Michigan HA	Emergency Capital Repair of Imminent health threat to residents - Roof Replacement
2007	Natural Disaster Grant/Capital Fund	Rahway, New Jersey HA	Repair Flood Damage
2007	Natural Disaster Grant/Capital Fund	Lodi, New Jeersey HA	Repair Flood Damage
2007	Emergency Grant/Capital Fund	Temple, Texas HA	Emergency Capital Repair of Imminent health threat to residents - Replace brick façade of building
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Mobile Housing Board	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Prichard Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Tuscaloosa Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of Lonoke County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	City of Phoenix Housing Department	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Big Pine Paiute Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the City of Oxnard	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the County of Kern	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the County of Riverside	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the County of San Bernardino	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	San Diego Housing Commission	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the City & County of Denver	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the City of Bridgeport	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the City of Hartford	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Meriden Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	The Housing Authority of the City of Norwalk	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Wilmington Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the City of Fort Myers	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the City of Orlando, Florida	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the City of Sarasota	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Jacksonville Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Carrollton Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of Columbus, Georgia	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Coeur D'alene Tribal Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Decatur Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Rockford Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	The Housing Authority of the City of Bloomington	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the City of Fort Wayne, Indiana	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Indianapolis Housing Agency	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Lawrence-Douglas County Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Salina Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of Martin	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of Owensboro	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of Somerset	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Louisville Metro Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Cambridge Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Commonwealth Tenants Association	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Lynn Housing Authority & Neighborhood Development	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Springfield Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of Baltimore City	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Opportunities Commission of Montgomery County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Keweenaw Bay Ojibwa Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Pontiac Housing Commission	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of Kansas City, Missouri	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of St. Louis County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the City of Independence, MO	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	St. Louis Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Charlotte Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Greensboro Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the City of Asheville, NC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the City of High Point	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the City of Omaha	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Atlantic City Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the City of Camden	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the Township of Woodbridge	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the City of Las Vegas	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Binghamton Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Municipal Housing Authority of the City of Schenectady	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	New York Agency for Community Affairs	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	New York City Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Rochester Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Syracuse Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	White Plains Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Portage Metropolitan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Warren Metropolitan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Youngstown Metropolitan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the City of Muskogee	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the City of Shawnee, OK	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the City of Tulsa	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of Clackamas County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Allegheny County Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the City of Columbia,SC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the City of Spartanburg	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	North Charleston Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Oglala Sioux Tribe Partnership for Housing	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Kingsport Housing & Redevelopment Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Memphis Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	ACORN Institute	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	ACORN Institute	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	ACORN Institute	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	City of San Marcos Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the City of Austin	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the City of Beaumont	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the City of El Paso	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	The Housing Authority of Galveston, Texas	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	The Housing Authority of the City of Dallas, Texas (DHA)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of Salt Lake City	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Chesapeake Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Danville Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Fairfax County Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	Neighborhood Networks	Franklin Redevelopment & Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Norfolk Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Pleasant View Tenant Association, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Portsmouth Redevelopment & Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Roanoke Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Urban League of Greater Richmond, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Waynesboro Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Burlington Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Hopelink	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the City of Tacoma	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the City of Vancouver	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	King County Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	The Housing Authority of the City of Everett	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Ho-Chunk Housing and Community Development Agency	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the City of Milwaukee	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Jefferson County Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Mobile Housing Board	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	The City of Montgomery Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	The Housing Authority of The City of Huntsville	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Tuscaloosa Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of West Memphis	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2006	PH FSS	City of Phoenix Housing Department	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	City of Tucson	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Yuma	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Madera	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of San Buenaventura	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of San Luis Obispo	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Santa Barbara	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Kern	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Marin	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the County of San Bernardino	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the County of San Joaquin	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Stanislaus	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	San Diego Housing Commission	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Adams County Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Boulder Housing Partners aba Housing Authority Boulder City	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Fort Collins Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City & County of Denver	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of New Haven	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Norwalk	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Meriden Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	PH FSS	The Housing Authority City of Stamford	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Dover Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Fort Pierce Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Hialeah Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Brevard County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Lakeland	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Fort Myers	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Tampa	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Jacksonville Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Tallahassee Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Bradenton, Florida	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	The Housing Authority of The City of Daytona Beach	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	West Palm Beach Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Albany, GA	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Macon Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Northwest Georgia Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing and Community Development Corporation of Hawaii	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	City of Des Moines, Municipal Housing Agency	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Eastern Iowa Regional Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Nampa Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Champaign County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2006	PH FSS	Macoupin County Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Peoria Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Quincy Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Rockford Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Delaware County, Indiana	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Terre Haute	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Indianapolis Housing Agency	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	New Albany Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Lawrence-Douglas County Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Manhattan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Bowling Green	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Louisville Metro Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Jefferson Parish	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Holyoke Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Lynn Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Quincy Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Baltimore City	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of St. Mary's County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority Washington County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Commission of Anne Arundel County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	PH FSS	Housing Opportunities Commission	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Rockville Housing Enterprises	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Hagerstown	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Lewiston Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Portland Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Brewer	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Grand Rapids Housing Commission	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Muskegon Housing Commission	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Saginaw Housing Commission	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing and Redevelopment Authority of Virginia	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Washington County Housing and Redevelopment Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Kansas City, Missouri	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	St. Louis Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Natchez Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Biloxi	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Meridian	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Burlington Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	City of Concord Housing Department	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Gastonia Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Greensboro Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Asheville, NC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Durham	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Greenville	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of High Point	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Kinston, North Carolina	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Winston-Salem	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Lexington Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Statesville Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Lincoln, Nebraska	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Omaha	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Kearney Housing Agency	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Keene Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Atlantic City Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Millville Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	City of Albuquerque Housing Services	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Clovis Housing & Redevelopment Agency, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Santa Fe Civic Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Santa Fe County Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Taos County Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Truth or Consequences Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Las Vegas	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Reno	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Clark, Nevada	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Buffalo Municipal Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Cohoes Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Geneva Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Monticello Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Municipal Housing Authority of the City of Schenectady	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	New Rochelle Municipal Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Akron Metropolitan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Butler Metropolitan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Chillicothe Metropolitan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Lorain Metropolitan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Trumbull Metropolitan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Youngstown Metropolitan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Zanesville Metropolitan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Lawton, Oklahoma	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Muskogee	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Shawnee, OK	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Tulsa	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing and Community Services Agency of Lane County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority & Urban Renewal Agency of Polk	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

		County	Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Jackson County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Portland (Oregon)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Salem	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Umatilla Reservation Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Allegheny County Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Northumberland County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of York	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Philadelphia Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Westmoreland County Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Providence	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	North Charleston Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	The Housing Authority City of Charleston	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Greenville, SC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Spartanburg	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Crossville Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Jackson Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Kingsport Housing & Redevelopment Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Metropolitan Development & Housing Agency	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	City of San Marcos Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Austin	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Beaumont	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Fort Worth	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Waco	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Hidalgo	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Dallas, Texas (DHA)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of San Antonio	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Salt Lake City	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Salt Lake	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Chesapeake Redevelopment & Hsg. Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Danville Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Fairfax County Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Harrisonburg Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Newport News Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Norfolk Redevelopment & Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Portsmouth Redevelopment & Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Richmond Redevelopment Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Roanoke Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Waynesboro Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Bremerton	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Tacoma	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Seattle Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2006	PH FSS	Charleston Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Parkersburg Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Wheeling Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Cheyenne	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Mobile Housing Board	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Lutheran Social Ministry of the Southwest	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	The Housing Authority of the County of Los Angeles	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Housing Authority of the City of Tampa	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Atlanta Regional Commission	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Housing and Community Development Corporation of Hawaii	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Chicago Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	The Housing Authority of the City of New Albany, Indiana	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	City of Wichita Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Housing Authority of Catlettsburg	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Housing Authority of Corbin	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Springfield Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Grand Rapids Housing Commission	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Housing Authority of St. Louis Park	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Natchez Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Public Housing Authority of Butte	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Gastonia Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA

			published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Dover Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Garfield Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Housing Authority of Gloucester County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Housing Authority of the Township of Woodbridge	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Catholic Family Center	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Ithaca Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	White Plains Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Columbiana Metropolitan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Stark Metropolitan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Mercer County Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Martin Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	City of San Marcos Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Housing Authority of the City of El Paso	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Bennington Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Rutland Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Neighborhood House	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Neighborhood House	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Friends of Housing Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Wheeling Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Mobile Housing Board	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	ROSS Family	Prichard Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Tuscaloosa Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of Lonoke County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	City of Phoenix Housing Department	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Big Pine Paiute Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the City of Oxnard	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the County of Kern	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the County of Riverside	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the County of San Bernardino	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	San Diego Housing Commission	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the City & County of Denver	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the City of Bridgeport	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the City of Hartford	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Meriden Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	The Housing Authority of the City of Norwalk	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Wilmington Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the City of Fort Myers	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the City of Orlando, Florida	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the City of Sarasota	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Jacksonville Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Carrollton Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of Columbus, Georgia	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Coeur D'alene Tribal Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Decatur Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Rockford Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	The Housing Authority of the City of Bloomington	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the City of Fort Wayne, Indiana	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Indianapolis Housing Agency	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Lawrence-Douglas County Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Salina Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of Martin	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of Owensboro	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of Somerset	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Louisville Metro Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Cambridge Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Commonwealth Tenants Association	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Lynn Housing Authority & Neighborhood Development	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Springfield Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of Baltimore City	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Opportunities Commission of Montgomery County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Keweenaw Bay Ojibwa Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Pontiac Housing Commission	Criteria published in NOFA

			published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of Kansas City, Missouri	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of St. Louis County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the City of Independence, MO	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	St. Louis Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Charlotte Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Greensboro Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the City of Asheville, NC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the City of High Point	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the City of Omaha	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Atlantic City Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the City of Camden	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the Township of Woodbridge	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the City of Las Vegas	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Binghamton Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Municipal Housing Authority of the City of Schenectady	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	New York Agency for Community Affairs	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	New York City Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Rochester Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Syracuse Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	White Plains Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	ROSS Family	Portage Metropolitan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Warren Metropolitan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Youngstown Metropolitan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the City of Muskogee	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the City of Shawnee, OK	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the City of Tulsa	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of Clackamas County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Allegheny County Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the City of Columbia,SC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the City of Spartanburg	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	North Charleston Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Oglala Sioux Tribe Partnership for Housing	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Kingsport Housing & Redevelopment Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Memphis Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	ACORN Institute	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	ACORN Institute	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	ACORN Institute	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	City of San Marcos Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the City of Austin	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the City of Beaumont	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the City of El Paso	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2006	ROSS Family	The Housing Authority of Galveston, Texas	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	The Housing Authority of the City of Dallas, Texas (DHA)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of Salt Lake City	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Chesapeake Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Danville Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Fairfax County Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Franklin Redevelopment & Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Norfolk Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Pleasant View Tenant Association, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Portsmouth Redevelopment & Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Roanoke Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Urban League of Greater Richmond, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Waynesboro Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Burlington Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Hopelink	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the City of Tacoma	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the City of Vancouver	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	King County Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	The Housing Authority of the City of Everett	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Ho-Chunk Housing and Community Development Agency	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the City of Milwaukee	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Alaska Housing Finance Corporation	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Albertville Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Alexander City Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Bessemer Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Florence Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the Birmingham District	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Decatur, Alabama	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Leeds Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Mobile Housing Board	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Prichard Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Phenix City, AL	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Tuscaloosa Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Conway County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Fort Smith Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Lonoke County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Hope	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Pine Bluff	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Jonesboro Urban Renewal & Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Lee County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	McGehee Public Residential Housing Facilities Board	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Mississippi County Public Facilities Board	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	North Little Rock Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Northwest Regional Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Pope County Public Facilities Board	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Pulaski County Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	White River Regional Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Wynne Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Chandler, City of	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Mesa Housing Services Division	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Phoenix Housing Department	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Scottsdale Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Tempe Housing Services	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Tucson	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Cochise County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Maricopa County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Yuma	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Mohave, County of	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Yuma County Housing Department	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Area Housing Authority of the County of Ventura	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Anaheim Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	HCV FSS	City of Benicia Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Norwalk	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Oceanside Community Development Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Santa Monica Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Consolidated Area Housing Authority of Sutter County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Culver City Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	El Dorado County Community Services	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Fairfield Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Fresno	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Glendale	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Long Beach	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Madera	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Napa	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Oxnard	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Redding	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of San Buenaventura	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of San Luis Obispo	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Santa Ana	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Santa Barbara	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Upland, CA	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Vallejo	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Alameda	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Fresno	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Kings	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Marin	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Monterey	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Riverside	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of San Bernardino	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of San Diego	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of San Joaquin	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of San Mateo	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Santa Barbara	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Santa Cruz	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Stanislaus	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Imperial Valley Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Oakland Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Orange County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Pasadena Community Development Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Pico Rivera Housing Assistance Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Roseville Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	San Diego Housing Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	HCV FSS	San Francisco Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Shasta County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Solano County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Sonoma County Community Development Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of the County of Los Angeles	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Vacaville Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Yuba County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Adams County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Arvada Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Boulder County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Colorado Division of Housing, Department of Local Affairs	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Fort Collins Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Grand Junction Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City & County of Denver	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Aurora	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Colorado Springs	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Englewood	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Loveland	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Pueblo	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Jefferson County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Lakewood Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Bristol Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Meriden	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of New Haven	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Norwalk	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	District of Columbia Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Boca Raton Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Broward County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Pensacola Department of Housing	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Clearwater Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	County of Volusia, Florida	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Deerfield Beach Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Hernando County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Hialeah Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Hollywood Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Lakeland	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Fort Lauderdale	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Miami Beach	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Tampa	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Jacksonville Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Lee County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	HCV FSS	Miami-Dade Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Ocala Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Pasco County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Daytona Beach	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Orlando, Florida	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	West Palm Beach Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Marietta-Housing Choice Voucher Program	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Georgia Department of Community Affairs	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Fulton County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Savannah	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Jonesboro	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Marietta	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Northwest Georgia Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Atlanta, Georgia	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Augusta, Georgia	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority, City of Brunswick	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City and County of Honolulu	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Hawaii County Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing and Community Development Corporation of Hawaii	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Kauai County Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Central Iowa Regional Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Cedar Rapids	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Des Moines Municipal Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Dubuque	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Sioux City Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Eastern Iowa Regional Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Iowa City Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Mid Iowa Regional Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Municipal Housing Agency of Council Bluffs, Iowa	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Municipal Housing Agency of the City of Fort Dodge	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Northeast Nebraska Joint Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Region XII Regional Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Southern Iowa Regional Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Waterloo Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Ada County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Boise City Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Southwestern Idaho Cooperative Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Chicago Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	DuPage Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Marion County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Bloomington	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of East St. Louis	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Rock Island	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Kankakee County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Menard County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Peoria Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Rockford Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Springfield Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Winnebago County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority City of Peru	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority City of Vincennes	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of South Bend	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Bloomington	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Columbus	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Goshen	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Hammond	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Marion, IN	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Terre Haute	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority, City of Elkhart	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Indianapolis Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Knox County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Kokomo Housing Authority of the City of Kokomo, IN	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Kokomo Housing Authority of the City of Kokomo, IN	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Lafayette Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Logansport Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Muncie	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Olathe Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Wichita Kansas	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Atchison, Kansas	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Lawrence-Douglas County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Manhattan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Salina Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Topeka Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Barbourville Urban Renewal & Community Development Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Boone County Fiscal Court	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Campbell County Department of Housing	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Campbellsville Housing & Redevelopment Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Bowling Green Section 8	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Covington	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Paducah Section 8 Housing Program	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Richmond Section 8 Housing	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	HCV FSS	Georgetown Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Cynthiana	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Newport, KY	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Somerset	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Kentucky Housing Corporation	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Lexington-Fayette Urban County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Louisville Metro Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Pineville / Bell County Urban Renewal and Community Development	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Calcasieu Parish Housing Department	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Monroe	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the Parish of Natchitoches	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Jefferson Parish Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Acton Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Attleboro Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Boston Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Braintree Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Brockton Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Chelmsford Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Chelsea Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	HCV FSS	Dedham Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Framingham Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Gardner Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Gloucester Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Greenfield Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Holyoke Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Leominster Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Lowell Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Lynn Housing Authority & Neighborhood Development	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Melrose Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Methuen Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	North Andover Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Pittsfield Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Plymouth Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Somerville Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Wakefield Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Woburn Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Worcester Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Baltimore County Department of Social Services, Housing Offc	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Cecil County Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Westminster	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Commissioners of Carroll County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Harford County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Baltimore City	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Calvert County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of St. Mary's County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Frederick	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Hagerstown	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Washington County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Opportunities Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Howard County Government	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Maryland Department of Housing and Community Development	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Queen Anne's County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Rockville Housing Enterprises	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Augusta Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Caribou Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Lewiston Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Maine State Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Portland Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Westbrook Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Grand Rapids Housing Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	HCV FSS	Grayling Housing Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Inkster Housing Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Kent County Housing Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Michigan State Housing Development Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Muskegon Housing Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Plymouth Housing Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Pontiac Housing Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Saginaw Housing Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Traverse City Housing Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Westland Housing Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Brainerd Housing and Redevelopment Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Dakota County CDA	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing & Redevelopment Authority of Clay County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing & Redevelopment Authority of Duluth, MN	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of St. Louis Park	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Redevelopment Authority of Virginia	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Mankato Economic Development Authority / Blue Earth County EDA	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Metropolitan Council	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Northwest Minnesota Multi-County HRA	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Scott County Housing and Redevelopment Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	South Central Minnesota Multi-County HRA	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Southeastern Minnesota Multi-County HRA	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Washington County Housing and Redevelopment Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Franklin County Public Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Kansas City, Missouri	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of St. Louis County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Columbia, Missouri	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Liberty, Missouri	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Springfield	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Jasper County Public Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Northeast Community Action Corp. - DBA - Lincoln County PHA	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Phelps County Public Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Ripley County Public Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	St. Clair County PHA	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	St. Francois County PHA	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	St. Louis Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Mississippi Regional Housing Authority IV	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Mississippi Regional Housing Authority No. V	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Mississippi Regional Housing Authority No. VII	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	North Delta Regional Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Tennessee Valley Regional Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of City of Meridian	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Biloxi	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Jackson, MS	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Billings	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Missoula Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Charlotte Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Chatham County Housing Autority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Concord Housing Department	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Coastal Community Action, Inc.	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Eastern Carolina Human Services Agency, Inc.	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Gastonia Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Greensboro Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Asheville	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Greenville	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of High Point	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Wilmington N.C.	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Winston-Salem	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the Town of Laurinburg	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Isothermal Planning & Development Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Mountain Projects, Inc.	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Mountain Projects, Inc.	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Northwestern Regional Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Sandhills Community Action Program, Inc.	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Sanford Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Statesville Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Twin Rivers Opportunities, Inc.	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Western Carolina Community Action	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Fargo Housing and Redevelopment Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Minot Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Grand Forks ND	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Goldenrod Regional Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Lincoln, Nebraska	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Omaha	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Dover Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Laconia Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Manchester Housing and Redevelopment Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Nashua Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	New Hampshire Housing Finance Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Atlantic City Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	County of Burlington	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Department of Community Affairs	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	HCV FSS	Fort Lee Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority County of Morris	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Long Branch	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the Borough of Carteret	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the Borough of Glassboro	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the Borough of Madison	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Camden	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of East Orange	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Jersey City	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Paterson	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Perth Amboy	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the Town of Boonton	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the Township of Brick	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the Township of Weehawken	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the Township of Woodbridge	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority Town of Dover	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Autority of Gloucester County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Lakewood Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Lakewood Tenants Organization, Inc.	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Millville Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Monmouth County Public Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of Plainfield	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Warren County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	State Total	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Bernalillo County Housing Department	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Clovis Housing & Redevelopment Agency, Inc.	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Regional Housing Authority of Region VI New Mexico Inc	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Santa Fe Civic Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Santa Fe County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Socorro County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Taos County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Truth or Consequences Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Village of Los Lunas Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Las Vegas	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of North Las Vegas	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Reno	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Clark, Nevada	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Albany Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Amsterdam Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Fulton Community Development Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of North Tonawanda PHA, Belmont Shelter Corp.	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	HCV FSS	City of Oswego Community Development Office	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Utica Section 8 Program	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Cohoes Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Erie County PHA Consortium, Belmont Shelter Corp., Agent	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Geneva Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Gloversville Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Ithaca Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Jamestown Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Monticello Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Municipal Housing Authority of the City of Schenectady	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	New Rochelle Municipal Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	New York State HFA / Division of Housing & Community Renewal	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	New York State HFA/DHCR	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	North Fork Housing Alliance, Inc.	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	North Hempstead Housing Authority Inc.	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Poughkeepsie Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Rental Assistance Corporation of Buffalo	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Rochester Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Syracuse Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Town of Babylon Housing Assistance Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Town of Brookhaven	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Town of Colonie	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Town of Guilderland	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Town of Poughkeepsie Section 8 Housing Program	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Town of Rotterdam	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Town of Smithtown	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Village of Ballston Spa	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Village of Corinth	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Village of Kiryas Joel Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Village of Scotia	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Adams Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Akron Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Allen Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Cambridge Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Chillicothe Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Marietta, Ohio/PHA	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Middletown, Ohio	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	CMHA	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Cuyahoga Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Dayton Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	HCV FSS	Delaware Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Erie Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Fairfield Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Fayette Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Hamilton County PHA	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Jackson Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Jefferson Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Knox Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Lake Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Logan County Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Lorain Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Lucas Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Medina Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Morgan Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Morrow Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Pickaway Metro Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Pike Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Portage Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Springfield Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	The Meigs Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Trumbull Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Tuscarawas Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Vinton Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Wayne Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Zanesville Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Lawton, Oklahoma	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Shawnee, OK	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Stillwater	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Tulsa	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Norman Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Oklahoma City Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Central Oregon Regional Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority & Community Services Agency of Lane County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority and Urban Renewal Agency of Polk County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Clackamas County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Jackson County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Lincoln County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Malheur County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Portland	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Salem	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Washington County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Yamhill County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Linn-Benton Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Mid Columbia Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Northeast Oregon Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Northwest Oregon Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Adams County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Allegheny County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Altoona Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Clarion County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Delaware County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Fayette County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Centre County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Northumberland County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Lancaster	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Pittsburgh	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of York	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Armstrong	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Butler	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Chester	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Dauphin	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Union	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing/Redevelopment Authority of Cumberland County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Lancaster County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Montgomery County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Philadelphia Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Westmoreland County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Municipality of Aguas Buenas	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Municipality of Juana Diaz	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Municipality of Yabucoa	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Bristol Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Central Falls Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Coventry Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Cumberland Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	East Providence Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Providence	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the Town of East Greenwich	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority Pawtucket	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Narragansett Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Rhode Island Housing & Mortgage Finance Corporation	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Town of North Providence Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Woonsocket Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	HCV FSS	Beaufort Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Anderson	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Myrtle Beach Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	SC State Housing Finance & Development Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority City of Charleston	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Greenville, SC	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Greenwood	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Spartanburg	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Brookings County Housing and Redevelopment Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Mobridge Housing and Redevelopment Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Sioux Falls Housing and Redevelopment Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Chattanooga Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Crossville Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	East Tennessee Human Resource Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Jackson Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Kingsport Housing and Redevelopment Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Knoxvilles Community Development Corporation	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Memphis Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Metropolitan Development & Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Oak Ridge Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Tennessee Housing Development Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Brownsville Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Cameron County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Amarillo	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Garland Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Longview Housing/Community Development	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of San Marcos Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Deep East Texas Council of Governments	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Galveston Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Mission, Texas	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Anthony	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Arlington	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Austin	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Beaumont	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of El Paso	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Fort Worth	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Houston	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Kingsville	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Pharr	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Plano	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Port Isabel	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of San Angelo	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Waco	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Hidalgo	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Laredo Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Midland County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Montgomery County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	San Antonio Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Tarrant County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Texoma Council of Governments	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Dallas, Texas (DHA)	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Walker County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Cedar City Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Davis Community Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Salt Lake City	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Ogden	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Salt Lake	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Utah County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Provo City Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Alexandria Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Chesapeake Redevelopment & Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Virginia Beach	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	County of Loudoun	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Danville Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Fairfax County Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Hampton Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Harrisonburg Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	James City County Office of Housing & Community Development	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Newport News Redevelopment & Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Norfolk Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Petersburg Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Portsmouth Redevelopment & Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Prince William County Office OHCD	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Richmond Redevelopment Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Roanoke Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Suffolk Redevelopment & Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Virginia Housing Development Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Waynesboro Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Burlington Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Vermont State Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Longview Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority City of Kelso	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Chelan & the City of Wenatchee	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Island County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Snohomish County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Everett	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Richland Washington	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Tacoma	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Clallam	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Thurston County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	King County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Kitsap County Consolidated Housing Autority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Pierce County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Seattle Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Appleton Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Beloit Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Brown County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Dunn County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Racine County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Superior, Wisconsin	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Benwood Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Charleston Housing	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Clarksburg Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Greenbrier Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Harrison County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Mingo County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Parkersburg Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	The Huntington West Virginia Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the Birmingham District	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Mobile Housing Board	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Huntsville	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Decatur, Alabama	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Florence Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Tuscaloosa Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Albertville Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Bessemer Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Prichard Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Alexander City Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Alaska Housing Finance Corporation	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Phoenix Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Tucson	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Mesa Housing Services Division	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Maricopa County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	HCV FSS	Pinal County Division of Housing	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Yuma County Housing Department	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Chandler, City of	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Tempe Housing Services	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Scottsdale Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Family Self Sufficiency Program	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Yuma	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Mohave, County of	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	North Little Rock Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Fort Smith Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Northwest Regional Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Pine Bluff	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of West Memphis	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Hot Springs	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Wynne Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Lonoke County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Hope	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Jonesboro Urban Renewal and Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	White River Regional Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Pope County Public Facilities Board	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Mississippi County Public Facilities Board	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Lee County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Pulaski County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	McGehee Public Residential Housing Facilities Board	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	San Francisco Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Oakland Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Fresno	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Contra Costa	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of San Mateo	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Stanislaus	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Fresno	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Monterey	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Benicia	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Marin	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Kings	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Vallejo HD Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of San Jose	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Santa Clara	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Fairfield Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Alameda	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Madera	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Santa Cruz	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	The City of Napa Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Sonoma County Community Development Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Vacaville Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Solano County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of San Bernardino	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Santa Barbara	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Riverside	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Oxnard	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of San Buenaventura	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	San Diego Housing Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of San Luis Obispo	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Santa Barbara	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Pasadena Community Development Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Area Housing Authority of the County of Ventura	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Santa Ana	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Orange County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Anaheim Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of San Diego	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Culver City Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Glendale	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Pico Rivera Housing Assistance Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Norwalk	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Pomona Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Oceanside Community Development Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Imperial Valley Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of San Joaquin	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Consolidated Area Housing Authority of Sutter County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Shasta County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Redding	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Yuba County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Roseville Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	El Dorado County Community Services	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City and County of Denver	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Pueblo	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Colorado Springs	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Fort Collins Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Englewood	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Lakewood Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Arvada Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Grand Junction Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Aurora	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Adams County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Boulder County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Jefferson County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Colorado Department of Local Affairs, Division of Housing	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Norwalk	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of New Haven	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Meriden	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Ansonia	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	West Hartford Housing Corporation	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Tampa	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Miami-Dade Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	West Palm Beach Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Fort Lauderdale	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Lakeland	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Miami Beach	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Bradenton, FL	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Pompano Beach	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Fort Myers	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Punta Gorda Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Hialeah Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Clearwater Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Broward County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Palm Beach County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Deerfield Beach Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Delray Beach Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Boca Raton Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Hollywood Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Jacksonville Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Orlando, Florida	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority City of Daytona Beach	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Ocala Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Pensacola Housing Department	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Pasco County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Walton County Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	County of Volusia	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Hernando County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Augusta, Georgia	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Savannah	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Atlanta, Georgia	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority, City of Brunswick	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Marietta	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Carrollton Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of College Park	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Fulton County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Marietta-Housing Choice Voucher Program	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Northwest Georgia Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Georgia Department of Community Affairs	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Hawaii County Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City and County of Honolulu	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Kauai, County of; DBA Kauai County Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	State of Hawaii	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Boise City Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Southwestern Idaho Cooperative Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Ada County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of East St. Louis	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Chicago Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Peoria Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Springfield Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Rock Island	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Rockford Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Menard County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Kankakee County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Bloomington	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Marion County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Dupage Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority City of Vincennes	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Fort Wayne, Indiana	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Kokomo Housing Authority of the City of Kokomo, IN	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Hammond	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of South Bend	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Indianapolis Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	The Michigan City Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Terre Haute	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Bloomington	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority City of Elkhart	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Marion, IN	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Columbus Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Knox County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Lafayette Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority City of Peru	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	HCV FSS	Logansport Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Goshen	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Sioux City Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Des Moines Municipal Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Iowa City Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Municipal Housing Agency of Council Bluffs, IA	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Cedar Rapids	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Dubuque	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Municipal Housing Agency of the City of Fort Dodge	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Southern Iowa Regional Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Region XII Regional Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Eastern Iowa Regional Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Central Iowa Regional Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Mid Iowa Regional Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Northeast Nebraska Joint HA	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Topeka Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Wichita Kansas	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Salina Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Olathe, City of	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Lawrence-Douglas County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Manhattan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Louisville Metro Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Somerset	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Cynthiana	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Campbellsville Housing & Redevelopment Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Georgetown Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Lexington-Fayette Urban County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Richmond Section 8 Housing	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Covington CDA	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Boone County Fiscal Court	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Campbell County Department of Housing	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Paducah Section 8 Housing	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Pineville/Bell County Urban Renewal and Community Development Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Barbourville Urban Renewal & CDA	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Floyd County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Cumberland Valley Regional Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Kentucky Housing Corporation	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of New Orleans	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Monroe	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Jefferson Parish Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the Parish of Natchitoches	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	HCV FSS	Calcasieu Parish Police Jury Housing Department	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Terrebonne, Parish of	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Portland Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Lewiston Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Bangor Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Westbrook Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Caribou	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Augusta Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Maine State Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Baltimore City	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Frederick	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Hagerstown	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of St. Mary's County, Maryland	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Calvert County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Howard County Government	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Harford County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Westminster	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Washington County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Cecil County Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Commissioners of Carroll County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Baltimore County Department of Social Services Housing	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

		Office	Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Queen Anne's County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Maryland Department of Housing and Community Development	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Opportunities Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Lowell Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Boston Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Holyoke Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Worcester Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Chelsea Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Taunton Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Attleboro Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Woburn Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Quincy Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Lynn Housing Authority & Neighborhood Development	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Brockton Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Gloucester Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Framingham Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Somerville Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Dedham Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Braintree Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Acton Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	HCV FSS	Plymouth Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Melrose Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Wakefield Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Methuen Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Leominster Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Greenfield Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	North Andover Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Chelmsford Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Gardner Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Pontiac Housing Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Saginaw Housing Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Plymouth Housing Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Westland	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Michigan State Housing Development Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Muskegon Housing Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Grand Rapids Housing Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Wyoming Housing Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Kent County Housing Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing & Redevelopment Authority of Duluth, MN	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing and Redevelopment Authority of Virginia	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Brainerd Housing and Redevelopment Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Mankato Economic Development Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of St. Louis Park	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Dakota County Community Development Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Northwest Minnesota Multi-County HRA	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Metropolitan Council	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing & Redevelopment Authority of Clay County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Scott County Housing and Redevelopment Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Southeastern Minnesota Multi-County HRA	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Washington County Housing and Redevelopment Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	South Central MN Multi-County HRA	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Meridian	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Biloxi	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Tennessee Valley Regional Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Mississippi Regional Housing Authority IV	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	MS Regional Housing Authority No. V	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Mississippi Regional Housing Authority Number VII	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Mississippi Regional Housing Authority VI	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Jackson, MS	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	North Delta Regional Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Kansas City, Missouri	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Springfield, Missouri	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	St. Clair County PHA	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Liberty, Missouri	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Jasper County Public Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	St. Louis Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of St. Louis County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Columbia, Missouri	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	North East Community Action Corp., dba Lincoln County PHA	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Saint Francois County Public Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Franklin County Public Housing	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Phelps County Public Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Ripley County Public Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Billings	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Missoula Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Omaha	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Lincoln, Nebraska	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Douglas County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Goldenrod Regional Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Reno	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Las Vegas	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of North Las Vegas	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Clark, Nevada	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Manchester Housing and Redevelopment Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Dover Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	New Hampshire Housing Finance Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Perth Amboy	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Long Branch	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Jersey City	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Camden	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Atlantic City Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Paterson	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Orange	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the Township of Woodbridge	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of Plainfield	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of East Orange	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the Borough of Glassboro	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the Town of Boonton	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Lakewood Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Millville Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the Township of Brick	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority Town of Dover	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Fort Lee Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority County of Morris	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Monmouth County Public Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the Borough of Madison	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Gloucester County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Lakewood Tenants Organization, Inc.	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	County of Burlington, New Jersey	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	NJ Department of Community Affairs	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Sante Fe Civic Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Truth or Consequences Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Taos County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Santa Fe County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Bernalillo County Housing Department	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Region VI Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Clovis Housing & Redevelopment Agency, Inc.	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Town of Huntington Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Monticello Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	North Hempstead Housing Authority Inc.	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	New Rochelle Municipal Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Village of Highland Falls	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Town of Babylon Housing Assistance Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	North Fork Housing Alliance, Inc.	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Town of Smithtown	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Village of Kiryas Joel Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Town of Poughkeepsie Section 8 Housing Program NY568	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	New York State HFA/Division of Housing & Community Renewal	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Syracuse Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Buffalo Municipal Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Albany Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Troy Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Mechanicville Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Jamestown Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Cohoes Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Oswego Community Development Office	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Municipal Housing Authority of the City of Schenectady	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Rochester Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Geneva Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Gloversville Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Ithaca Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Amsterdam Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	HCV FSS	Erie County PHA Consortium, Belmont Shelter Corp.	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of North Tonawanda PHA, Belmont Shelter Corp., Agent	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Town of Colonie	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Rental Assistance Corporation of Buffalo	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Town of Guilderland	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Johnstown	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Utica Section 8 Program	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Fulton Community Development Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Village of Scotia	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Town of Rotterdam	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Village of Corinth	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Village of Ballston Spa	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	New York State HFA/Division of Housing & Community Renewal	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Wilmington, N.C.	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Charlotte	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Kinston, North Carolina	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of High Point	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Asheville	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Concord Housing Department	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Greensboro Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Winston-Salem	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the Town of Laurinburg	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Greenville, NC	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Sanford Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Chatham County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	East Spencer Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Western Carolina Community Action	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Coastal Community Action, Inc.	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Eastern Carolina Human Services Agency, Inc.	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Sandhills Community Action Program, Inc.	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Twin Rivers Opportunities, Inc	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Mountain Projects Inc.	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Isothermal Planning & Development Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Northwestern Regional Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Grand Forks ND	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Fargo Housing and Redevelopment Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Minot Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	CMHA	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Dayton Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Adams Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Clinton Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	HCV FSS	City of Middletown	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Cuyahoga Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Lucas Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Akron Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Trumbull Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Lorain Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Jefferson Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Lake Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Medina Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Erie Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Portage Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Wayne Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Tuscarawas Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Parma Public Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Zanesville Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Springfield Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Chillicothe Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Cambridge Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Meigs Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Jackson Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Allen Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Knox Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Fayette Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Pickaway Metro Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Pike Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Morgan Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Fairfield Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Logan County Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Vinton Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Delaware Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Morrow Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Oklahoma City Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Broken Bow	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Tulsa	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Shawnee, OK	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Norman	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Stillwater	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Oklahoma Housing Finance Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Clackamas County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Portland (HAP)	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Douglas County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Lincoln County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority & Community Services Agency of Lane County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority and Urban Renewal Agency of Polk County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Salem	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Marion County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Jackson County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Yamhill County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Linn-Benton Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Washington County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Mid Columbia Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Malheur County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Northwest Oregon Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Northeast Oregon Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Central Oregon Regional Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Philadelphia Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Montgomery County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Lycoming	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of York	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Delaware County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Dauphin	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Lancaster	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Chester	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Northumberland County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing/Redevelopment Authority of Cumberland County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Union	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Lancaster County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Adams County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Allegheny County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Butler	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Erie	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Fayette County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Westmoreland County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Altoona Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Armstrong	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Clarion County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Municipality of Juana Diaz	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Municipality of Yabucoa	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Municipality of Aguas Buenas	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Providence	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Pawtucket	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Central Falls Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	HCV FSS	East Providence Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Cumberland Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Coventry Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Town of North Providence Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the Town of East Greenwich	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Narragansett Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Rhode Island Housing	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority City of Charleston	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Spartanburg	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Greenville, SC	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Beaufort Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Myrtle Beach Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Anderson	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Charleston County Housing and Redevelopment Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	North Charleston Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Sioux Falls Housing and Redevelopment Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Brookings County Housing and Redevelopment Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Mobridge Housing and Redevelopment Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Knoxville's Community Development Corporation	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Kingsport Housing & Redevelopment Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	East Tennessee Human Resource Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Memphis Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Metropolitan Development and Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Jackson Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	TN Housing Development Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Town of Crossville Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Oak Ridge Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of El Paso	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Fort Worth	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of Dallas, Texas (DHA)	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Waco	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Beaumont	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Arlington, Texas	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Garland Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of San Angelo	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Amarillo	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Deep East Texas Council of Governments	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Midland County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Texoma Council of Governments	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Houston Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Galveston Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	HCV FSS	Walker County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Montgomery County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Austin	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	San Antonio Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Laredo Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Mission Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Pharr	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	San Marcos Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Kingsville	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Port Isabel	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Hidalgo	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Cameron County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Ogden	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Salt Lake	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Salt Lake City	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Provo Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Davis Community Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Utah County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Cedar City Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Burlington Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Vermont State Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Newport News Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Richmond Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Danville Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Roanoke Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Chesapeake Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Harrisonburg Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Charlottesville Redevelopment and Housing Authority (CRHA)	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Hampton Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Waynesboro Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Suffolk Redevelopment & Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Virginia Beach	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	James City County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Virginia Housing Development Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Fairfax County Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	County of Loudoun	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Seattle Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	King County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Bremerton	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Clallam	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Tacoma	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Everett	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Longview Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority City of Kelso	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Island County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Kitsap County Consolidated Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Snohomish County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Thurston County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Pierce County Housing Authority - FSS/Homeownership	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Chelan County and the City of Wenatchee	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Richland Washington	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Jefferson County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Charleston-Kanawha Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	The Huntington West Virginia Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Parkersburg Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Fairmont	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Benwood Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Clarksburg Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Mingo County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Harrison County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Greenbriar Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Superior Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Community Development Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Beloit Community Development Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Dunn County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Racine County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Brown County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Kenosha Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Appleton Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Oconto County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Mobile Housing Board	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Huntsville	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Tuscaloosa Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Jefferson County Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Prichard Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Alexander City Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	City of Phoenix Housing Department	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	City of Tucson	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Maricopa County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Yuma	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of North Little Rock	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of West Memphis	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Lonoke County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Oakland	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority City of Fresno	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Stanislaus	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority County of Fresno	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Marin	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Madera	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Santa Cruz	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Kern	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the County of San Bernardino	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Oxnard	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of San Buenaventura	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	San Diego Housing Commission	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of San Luis Obispo	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Santa Barbara	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the County of San Joaquin	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City & County of Denver	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Boulder Housing Partners aba Housing Authority Boulder CO	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Loveland	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Fort Collins Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Adams County Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Norwalk	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of New Haven	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority City of Stamford	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Meriden	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the Town of Greenwich	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Tampa	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	West Palm Beach Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Lakeland	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Brevard County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Bradenton	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Fort Myers	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Hialeah Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Jacksonville Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Daytona Beach	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Macon Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Albany, GA	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Carrollton Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Northwest Georgia Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	State of Hawaii	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Nampa Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Chicago Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA

			published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Peoria Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Springfield Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Champaign County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Henry County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Rock Island	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Rockford Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Fort Wayne, Indiana	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Delaware, Indiana	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	New Albany Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Indianapolis Housing Agency	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Michigan City	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Terre Haute	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority, City of Elkhart	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	City of Des Moines, Municipal Housing Agency	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Eastern Iowa Regional Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Salina Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Lawrence-Douglas County Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Louisville Metro Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Glasgow	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Bowling Green	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of New Orleans	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Shreveport Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Jefferson Parish Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Portland Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Lewiston Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Brewer	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Baltimore City	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Frederick	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Hagerstown	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of St. Mary's County, Maryland	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Washington County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Opportunities Commission	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Holyoke Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Worcester Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Lynn Housing Authority & Neighborhood Development	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Framingham Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Somerville Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Springfield Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Saginaw Housing Commission	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Muskegon Housing Commission	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Grand Rapids Housing Commission	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing & Redevelopment Authority of Virginia, MN	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of St. Louis Park	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Washington County Housing and Redevelopment Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Meridian	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Natchez Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Kansas City, Missouri	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	St. Louis Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of St. Charles	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Columbia, MO	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Omaha	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Lincoln, Nebraska	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Kearney Housing Agency	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Reno	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Las Vegas	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Clark, Nevada	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Keene Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Camden	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Atlantic City Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Millville Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	City of Albuquerque Housing Services	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	PH FSS	Clovis Housing & Redevelopment Agency, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Santa Fe Civic Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Truth or Consequences Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Taos County Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Santa Fe County Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Monticello Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	New Rochelle Municipal Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Buffalo Municipal Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Troy Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Cohoes Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Municipal Housing Authority of the City of Schenectady	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Geneva Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Charlotte	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Kinston, North Carolina	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of High Point	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	City of Concord Housing Department	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Greensboro	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Winston-Salem	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Durham	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Greenville, NC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Lexington Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2007	PH FSS	City of Hickory Public Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Gastonia Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Statesville Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Youngstown Metropolitan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Lucas Metropolitan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Akron Metropolitan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Trumbull Metropolitan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Lorain Metropolitan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Springfield Metropolitan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Chillicothe Metropolitan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Morgan Metropolitan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Lawton	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Tulsa	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Shawnee, OK	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Muskogee	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Umatilla Reservation Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Portland	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority & Community Services Agency of Lane County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority and Urban Renewal Agency of Polk County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Salem	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Philadelphia Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA

			published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of York	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Northumberland County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Pittsburgh	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Allegheny County Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Westmoreland County Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Altoona Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Providence	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Columbia, South Carolina	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Spartanburg	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Greenville, SC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	North Charleston Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Kingsport Housing & Redevelopment Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Memphis Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Metropolitan Development and Housing Agency	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Jackson Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Town of Crossville Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Oak Ridge Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Fort Worth	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Dallas, Texas (DHA)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Waco	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	PH FSS	Beaumont Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Houston Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Austin	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of San Antonio (SAHA)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of The City of Mission	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	San Marcos Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Hidalgo	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Cameron County Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Salt Lake	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Salt Lake City	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Portsmouth Redevelopment & Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Bristol Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Norfolk Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Richmond Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Danville Redevelopment & Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Roanoke Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Chesapeake Redevelopment & Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Waynesboro Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Fairfax Co. Redev. and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Seattle Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Bremerton	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Tacoma	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Charleston-Kanawha Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Wheeling Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Parkersburg Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Milwaukee	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Tlingit-Haida Regional Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Mobile Housing Board	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Prichard Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Lutheran Social Services of the Southwest	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Moenkopi Senior Center Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Area Housing Authority of the County of Ventura	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Housing Authority of the County of Merced	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Housing Authority of the County of San Diego	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Northern California Presbyterian Homes and Services, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	The Housing Authority of the County of Los Angeles	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Boulder Housing Partners (dba) Housing Auth.City of Boulder	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	District of Columbia Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Housing Authority of Lakeland	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Palatka Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Housing Authority of Columbus Georgia	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Housing Authority of the City of College Park, Georgia	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Macon Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Northwest Georgia Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Coeur d'Alene Tribal Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Housing Authority of Henry County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Housing Authority of the City of Muncie	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Campbellsville Housing & Redevelopment Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	ElderServ, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Housing Authority of Covington	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Housing Authority of Martin	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Housing Authority of Maysville	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Housing Authority of Williamsburg	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Lebanon Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Jennings Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Springfield Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Easter Seals Greater Washington-Baltimore Region, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Aroostock Family Investment Center	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Westbrook Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Sedalia Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Charlotte Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Housing Authority of the City of High Point	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	The Housing Authority of the City of Durham	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Fargo Housing and Redevelopment Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Housing Authority of the City of Camden	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Housing Authority of the City of Rahway	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	City Harvest, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	New Rochelle Municipal Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Newark Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Saratoga Springs Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	The Municipal Housing Authority for the City of Yonkers	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Community Support Services Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Portage Metropolitan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Delaware County Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Housing Authority of the County of Luzerne	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Central Falls Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	North Charleston Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Kingsport Housing & Redevelopment Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Housing Authority of the City of Belton, Texas	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Bristol Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Roanoke Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Community Psychiatric Clinic	Criteria published in NOFA

			published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Friends of Housing Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Lac Courte Oreilles Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	S.E.T. Ministry, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Alaska Housing Finance Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Aleutian Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Tlingit-Haida Regional Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Mobile Housing Board	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Prichard Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	The Housing Authority of the City of Huntsville	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Tuscaloosa Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	City of Phoenix Housing Department	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	White Mountain Apache Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority County of Fresno	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of the City of Fresno	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of the City of Oxnard	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of the County of Kern	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of the County of San Diego	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Imperial Valley Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of the City of Pueblo	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of the City of Meriden	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of the City of New Haven	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of Lakeland	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of the City of Fort Meyers	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of the City of Tampa	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Palatka Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	West Palm Beach Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	ACORN Tenant Union Tenant Organizing Project	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of the City of College Park, Georgia	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of the City of Cordele	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Macon Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Northwest Georgia Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Parents And Children Together	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Eastern Iowa Regional Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Chicago Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Rock Island Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Rockford Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of the City of Muncie	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Indianapolis Housing Agency	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Lawrence-Douglas County Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Campbellsville Housing & Redevelopment Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of Covington	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of Martin	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of Maysville	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Lebanon Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Louisville Metro Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Cambridge Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Holyoke Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Quincy Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of Baltimore City	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of the City of Frederick	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Opportunities Commission of Montgomery County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of the City of Brewer	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Portland Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Port Huron Housing Commission	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of St. Louis County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	St. Louis Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Natchez Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	The Housing Authority of the City of Meridian	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Northern Cheyenne Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	City of Concord Housing Department	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of the City of Greenville	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Statesville Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	The Housing Authority of the City of Durham	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Troy Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Fargo Housing and Redevelopment Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of the City of Omaha	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Kearney Housing Agency	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Atlantic City Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	City of Long Branch Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of the City of Camden	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of the City of Paterson	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Municipal Housing Authority of the City of Schenectady	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	New Rochelle Municipal Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	New York City Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Syracuse Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	ACORN Institute	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Akron Metropolitan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Dayton Metropolitan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Oklahoma City Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Umatilla Reservation Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Hazelton Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of the County of Beaver	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Philadelphia Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Puerto Rico Community Foundation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	The Housing Authority of the City of Providence	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	North Charleston Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	The Housing Authority of the City of Greenville, SC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	The Lakota Fund	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Jackson Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Kingsport Housing & Redevelopment Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Memphis Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Metropolitan Development and Housing Agency	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of the City of Austin	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of the City of Belton, Texas	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of the City of Temple	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	The Housing Authority of the City of Dallas, Texas (DHA)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	The Housing Authority of the City of Fort Worth	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	ACORN Institute	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Bristol Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Danville Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Pleasant View Tenant Association, Incorporated	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Roanoke Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of the City of Tacoma	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of the City of Vancouver	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	King County Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Kitsap County Consolidated Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Seattle Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of the City of Milwaukee	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	The Huntington West Virginia Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Disaster Recovery CDBG Supplementals for $11.5 and $5.2 billion	Five Gulf Coast states affected by Hurricanes Katrina, Rita and Wilma	Other Basis- please explain In accordance with statutory direction, the Secretary made awards to states affected by specified major disaster events. The Secretary determined award amounts based on HUD analysis of unmet recovery needs

Mr. Olver #6

HUD's Grants in 2006 and 2007

Question: To the degree applicable, what portion of HUD's grants in 2006 and 2007 were made neither on the basis of a legal formula nor on the basis of outside peer review panels? Please provide a listing of such grants and an explanation as to how the Department decides who the grantee should be.

Answer: This is the list of grantees that were awarded in 2006 and 2007 that were made neither on the basis of a legal formula nor on the basis of outside peer review panels.

FY Year of Award	Program in which awards were made neither on the basis of a legal formula nor on the basis of outside peer review panels	Grantees	Basis of Award Please Select from Dropdown List
2006	FHIP	Access Living of Metropolitan Chicago	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	ACORN Associates, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	ACORN Community Land Association of LA	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Advocacy Center	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Aids Legal Referral Panel	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	American Enviromental Justice Project	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	American Institute for Social Justice	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Austin Tenant Council, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Austin Tenants Council, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Bay Area Legal Aid	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	ByDesign Financial Solutions	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	California Rural Legal Assistance, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Central Alabama Fair Housing Center	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2006	FHIP	Champlain Valley Office of Economic Opportunity	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Chicago Lawyers Committee for Civil Rights	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	City of Billings	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	City of Newton	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Coalition on Homelessness and Housing in Ohio	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Colorado Coalition for the Homeless	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Community Action Agency	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Community Impact Legal Services	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Consumer Credit Counseling Service of Gtr. Dallas	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Crawford Sebastian Community Development, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Deleware Community Reinvestment Action Council	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Disability Rights Wisconsin	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Fair Housing Center of Southeastern Michigan	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Fair Housing Agency of Albama	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Fair Housing Center of Greater Boston	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Fair Housing Center of Northern Alabama	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Fair Housing Center of South Puget Sound	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Fair Housing Center of the GulfCoast of Mississipi	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Fair Housing Continuum, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Fair Housing Council of Central California	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	FHIP	Fair Housing Council of Montgomery County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Fair Housing Council of New York, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Fair Housing Council of Oregon	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Fair Housing Council of Suburban Philadelphia, Inc	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Fair Housing Counsel of Northern New Jersey	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Fair Housing of Marin	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Fair Housing of the Dakotas	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Fair Housing Opportunities Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Fair Housing Partnership of Greater Pittsburgh	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Fair Housing Resource Center, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Family Housing Advisory Services, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Greater Houston Center, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Greater Napa Fair Housing Center	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Greater New Orleans Fair Housing Action Center	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Greenville County Human Relations Commission	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	High Plains Community Development Corp., Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	HOPE Fair Housing Center	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Housing Advocates, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Housing Counseling Services, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Housing Discrimination Project, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Housing Education and Economic Development	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2006	FHIP	Housing Opportunities Made Equal of Gtr.CN	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Housing Opportunities Project for Excellence, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Housing Researdh & Advocacy Center	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Idaho Legal Aid Services, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Inland Mediation Board	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Interfaith Housing Center of Northern Suburbs	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Jacksonville Area Legal Aid, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	JC Vision and Associates, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	John Marshall Law School	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Kansas Legal Services	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Kitsap County Consolidated Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Legal Aid Foundation of Los Angeles	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Legal Aid Services of Oregon	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Legal Aid Society of Hawaii	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Legal Aid Society of Palm Beach County, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Legal Assistance of Western New York, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Legal Services of Eastern Michigan	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Legal Services of North Florida, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Lexington Fair Housing Council	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Long Island Housing Services, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	FHIP	Mainstreaming Consultants, Inc. dba Program	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Metropolitan Fair Housing Council of Gtr. OK	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Metropolitan Milwaukee Fair Housing Council	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Minneapolis Urban League	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Mississippi Center for Legal Services, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Mobile Fair Housing Center, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Neighborhood Economic Development Advocacy Project	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	New Jersey Citizen Action	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	New Mexico ACORN Fair Housing	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Northwest Fair Housing Alliance	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Office of Human Affairs	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Ogden City Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Orange County Fair Housing Council, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Phoenix Housing and Counseling Non-Profit, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Project Sentinel, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Rhode Island Housing and Mortgage Finance Corp.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	San Antonio Fair Housing Council, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Sentinel Fair Housing	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Silver State Fair Housing Council	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	South Brooklyn Legal Services, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	South Suburban Housing Center	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2006	FHIP	Southwest Fair Housing Council	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	St. Martin Center, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Texas Workforce Commission	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Three Rivers Center for Independent Living, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	University of Southern Mississippi	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	Urban League of Metropolitan St. Louis	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	FHIP	West Tennessee Legal Services, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Access Living of Metropolitan Chicago	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	ACORN Housing Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Advocacy Center	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	American Institute for Social Justice	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Arkansas Community Housing Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Austin Tenants Council, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Bay Area Legal Services, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Champlain Valley Office of Economic Opportunity	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Citizen Action of New Jersey	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	City of Billings	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Delaware Community Reinvestment Action Council	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Disability Law Center	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Fair Housing Agency of Alabama	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	FHIP	Fair Housing Center of Metropolitan Detroit	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Fair Housing Center of Southwest Michigan	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Fair Housing Center of West Michigan	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Fair Housing Council of Northern New Jersey	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Fair Housing of Marin	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Fair Housing of the Dakotas	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Fair Housing Partnership of Greater Pittsburgh	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Garland Fair Housing Office	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Greater Napa Fair Housing Center	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Greater New Orleans Fair Housing Action Center	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	HAP, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Housing Advocates, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Housing Council in the Monroe County Area, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Housing Discrimination Project, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Housing Opportunities Made Equal of Grt. Cinn.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Housing Opportunities Made Equal of Virginia, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Housing Opportunities Project for Excellence, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Housing Research & Advocacy Center	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Housing Research & Advocacy Center	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Inland Mediation Board	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Interfaith Housing Center of the Northern Suburbs	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2007	FHIP	Iowa Civil Rights Commission	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Kentucky Commission on Human Rights	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Legal Aid Services of Oregon	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Legal Aid Society of Minneapolis	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Legal Assistance Corporation of Ctr. Massachusetts	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Legal Services of Eastern Michigan	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Legal Services of North Florida, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Memphis Area Legal Services, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Metropolitan Fair Housing Council	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Metropolitan Milwaukee Fair Housing Council, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Metropolitan St. Louis Equal Housing Opportunity	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Miami Valley Fair Housing Center, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Mississippi Center for Legal Services, Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Mobile Fair Housing Center, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	National Community Reinvestment Coalition	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	New Mexico ACORN Fair Housing	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Northern West Virginia Ctr. for Independent Living	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Northwest Fair Housing Alliance	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Oak Park Regional Housing Center	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Orange County Fair Housing Council, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	FHIP	Pacific News Release	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	San Antonio Fair Housing Council, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Silver State Fair Housng Council	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Southwest Fair Housing Council	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Southwestern Pennsylvania Legal Services, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	University of Southern Mississippi	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Urban League of Metropolitan St. Louis, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	FHIP	Waccamaw Regional Council of Governments	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Self-Help Homeownership Opportunity Program	Community Frameworks	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Self-Help Homeownership Opportunity Program	Habitat for Humanity International	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Self-Help Homeownership Opportunity Program	Housing Assistance Council	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Self-Help Homeownership Opportunity Program	Community Frameworks	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Self-Help Homeownership Opportunity Program	Habitat for Humanity International	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Self-Help Homeownership Opportunity Program	Housing Assistance Council	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Self-Help Homeownership Opportunity Program	PPEP Microbusiness & Housing Dev. Corp.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Healthy Homes Demonstration Grant Program	Alameda County Lead Poisoning Prevention Program	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Healthy Homes Demonstration Grant Program	City of Minneapolis Healthy Homes & Lead Hazard Control	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Healthy Homes Demonstration Grant Program	Cook County Department of Public Health	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Healthy Homes Demonstration Grant Program	Cuyahoga County Board of Health Department	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Healthy Homes Technical Studies Grants Program	National Center for Healthy Housing	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Healthy Homes Technical Studies Grants Program	St. Louis University	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2006	Healthy Homes Technical Studies Grants Program	University of Cincinnati	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Healthy Homes Technical Studies Grants Program	University of Minnesota	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Boston	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Charlotte	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Fort Wayne	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Hartford	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Portland	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Somerville	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of South Bend	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of St. Louis	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Warwick	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	Cochise County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	County of Lawrence	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	County of Orange	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	County of Peoria	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	County of Rock	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	County of St. Louis	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	County of Westchester	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	Madison County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	Monroe County	Criteria published in NOFA

			published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	Onondaga County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	Salt Lake County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	San Bernardino County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	Shelby County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	St. Clair County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	State of California	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	State of Connecticut	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	State of Kansas	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	State of Michigan	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	State of North Carolina	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	State of Rhode Island	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program	City of Boston	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program	City of Cleveland	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program	City of Hartford	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program	City of Somerville	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program	City of St. Louis	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program	County of Westchester	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program	State of Connecticut	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Outreach Grants Program	Area Health Education Center of Southern Nevada	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Outreach Grants Program	Board of Regents	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	Lead Outreach Grants Program	Indiana Black Expo	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Outreach Grants Program	Le Bonheur Community Outreach	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Outreach Grants Program	National Nursing Centers Consortium	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Outreach Grants Program	Research Foundation of SUNY on behalf of SUNY Potsdam	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Outreach Grants Program	Saint Francis Hospital & Medical Center	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Outreach Grants Program	West Harlem Environmental Action	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Technical Studies Program	Phoenix Science & Technology	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Technical Studies Program	Research Triangle Institute	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Technical Studies Program	St. Louis University	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Technical Studies Program	University of Cincinnati	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Technical Studies Program	University of Cincinnati College of Medicine	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Technical Studies Program	University of Illinois at Chicago	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Lead Technical Studies Program	University of Illinois Board of Trustees	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Operation Lead Elimination Action Program (LEAP)	Environmental Education Associates	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Operation Lead Elimination Action Program (LEAP)	Mahoning Valley Real Estate Investors Association	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Operation Lead Elimination Action Program (LEAP)	Middle Tennessee State University	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Healthy Homes Demonstration Grant Program	City of San Diego	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Healthy Homes Technical Studies Grants Program	American Lung Association of the Upper Midwest	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Healthy Homes Technical Studies Grants Program	Boston Medical Center Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Healthy Homes Technical Studies Grants Program	Case Western Reserve University	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Healthy Homes Technical Studies Grants Program	Coalition to End Childhood Lead Poisoning, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2007	Healthy Homes Technical Studies Grants Program	National Center for Healthy Housing	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Healthy Homes Technical Studies Grants Program	The Children s Mercy Hospital	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Healthy Homes Technical Studies Grants Program	University of Cincinnati	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Bridgeport	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Burlington	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Chicago	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Cincinnati	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Concord	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Davenport	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Erie	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Greensboro	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Harrisburg	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Kankakee	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Lawrence	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Muskegon	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Nashua	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of New York	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Newark	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Portland	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Rocky Mount	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Springfield	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Syracuse	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Tucson	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Waterloo	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	City of Worcester	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	Cuyahoga County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	Hennepin County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	Mahoning County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	State of Delaware	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	State of Illinois	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	State of Minnesota	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program	Vermont Housing & Conservation Board	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program	Charter County of Wayne	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program	City of Baltimore	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program	City of Columbus	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program	City of Houston	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program	City of New York	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program	City of Newark	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program	City of Omaha	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program	City of San Antonio	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program	City of Syracuse	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2007	Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program	City of Toledo	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program	County of Harris	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program	County of Union	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program	Cuyahoga County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program	Health and Hospital Corporation of Marion County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program	Hennepin County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program	Kansas City	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program	Will County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Outreach Grants Program	Children's Memorial Hospital	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Outreach Grants Program	Esperanza Community Housing Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Outreach Grants Program	Housing Counseling Services, Inc	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Technical Studies Program	Alliance for Healthy Homes	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Technical Studies Program	Battelle Memorial Institute	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Technical Studies Program	National Center for Healthy Housing	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Technical Studies Program	Research Triangle Institute	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Technical Studies Program	Saint Louis University	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Technical Studies Program	Silver Lake Research Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Technical Studies Program	University of Cincinnati	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Lead Technical Studies Program	University of Cincinnati	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	ALABAMA COUNCIL ON HUMAN RELATIONS, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	Housing Counseling	JEFFERSON COUNTY COMMITTEE FOR ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION PARTNERSHIP OF NORTH ALABAMA, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY OF NORTHWEST ALABAMA, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	FAMILY SERVICES CENTER, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	MOBILE HOUSING BOARD	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	ORGANIZED COMMUNITY ACTION PROGRAMS, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY SERVICE PROGRAMS OF WEST ALABAMA, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	SOUTH ARKANSAS COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CRAWFORD SEBASTIAN COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	JONESBORO URBAN RENEWAL AND HOUSING AUTHORITY HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT ORGANIZATION (JURHA HCDO)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	UNIVERSAL HOUSING DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY AGENCY OF WASHINGTON COUNTY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	SOUTHEASTERN ARIZONA GOVERNMENTS ORGANIZATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	LABOR'S COMMUNITY SERVICE AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	LABOR'S COMMUNITY SERVICE AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	ADMINISTRATION OF RESOURCES AND CHOICES	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	FAMILY HOUSING RESOURCES	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	ANAHEIM HOUSING AUTHORITY - ANAHEIM HOUSING COUNSELING AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CONSUMER CREDIT COUNSELORS OF KERN AND TULARE COUNTIES	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF FRESNO	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	EDEN COUNCIL FOR HOPE AND OPPORTUNITY (ECHO)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	BYDESIGN FINANCIAL SOLUTIONS, DBA CCCS OF LOS ANGELES	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	LAO FAMILY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REAL ESTATE BROKERS-INVESTMENT DIVISION, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	PROJECT SENTINEL	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	PACIFIC COMMUNITY SERVICES, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY HOUSING DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION OF NORTH RICHMOND	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	SPRINGBOARD NON PROFIT CONSUMER CREDIT MANAGEMENT INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	SACRAMENTO NEIGHBORHOOD HOUSING SERVICES, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NEIGHBORHOOD HOUSE ASSOCIATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	SAN DIEGO HOME LOAN COUNSELING AND EDUCATION CENTER	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	ASIAN INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CONSUMER CREDIT COUNSELING SERVICE OF SAN FRANCISCO	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	MISSION ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATION (MEDA)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CONSUMER CREDIT COUNSELING SERVICE OF ORANGE COUNTY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	ORANGE COUNTY FAIR HOUSING COUNCIL, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	INLAND MEDIATION BOARD	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	RURAL COMMUNITY ASSISTANCE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

		CORPORATION	Register
2007	Housing Counseling	BOULDER COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	PARTNERS IN HOUSING, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	ADAMS COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	BROTHERS REDEVELOPMENT, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COLORADO HOUSING ASSISTANCE CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NORTHEAST DENVER HOUSING CENTER	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING SOLUTIONS FOR THE SOUTHWEST	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NEIGHBOR TO NEIGHBOR	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COLORADO RURAL HOUSING DEVELOPMENT CORP.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NEIGHBORHOOD HOUSING SERVICES OF NEW BRITAIN, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOMEFREE - U S A	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING COUNSELING SERVICES, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NATIONAL COUNCIL OF LA RAZA	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NATIONAL CREDIT UNION FOUNDATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NEAR NORTHEAST COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENT CORP.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NEIGHBORHOOD REINVESTMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NATIONAL COUNCIL ON AGRICULTURAL LIFE AND LABOR RESEARCH FUND, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	YWCA DELAWARE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	YWCA DELAWARE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	Housing Counseling	HOMES IN PARTNERSHIP, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	MANATEE OPPORTUNITY COUNCIL, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HAVEN ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CENTRAL FLORIDA COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	MID-FLORIDA HOUSING PARTNERSHIP, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	JACKSONVILLE AREA LEGAL AID, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	MIAMI-DADE AFFORDABLE HOUSING FOUNDATION, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	OCALA HOUSING AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	OPA-LOCKA COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CCCS OF WEST FL - MAIN OFFICE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	THE HOUSING CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING PARTNERSHIP, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	FAMILY COUNSELING CENTER OF BREVARD, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	THE CENTER FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	GOODWILL INDUSTRIES MANASOTA, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	ST. PETERSBURG NEIGHBORHOOD HOUSING SERVICES, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	TALLAHASSEE LENDERS CONSORTIUM, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	TALLAHASSEE URBAN LEAGUE, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	TAMPA HOUSING AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CREDIT CARD MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CITY OF ALBANY, GEORGIA	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2007	Housing Counseling	EAST ATHENS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HANCOCK COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COOPERATIVE RESOURCE CENTER, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	GEORGIA HOUSING AND FINANCE AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	LATIN AMERICAN ASSOCIATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	TOTALLY FREE, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA FAMILY AND CONSUMER SCIENCES COOPERATIVE EXTENSION SERVICE (MAIN OFFICE)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	AFFORDABLE HOUSING ENTERPRISES, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NEIGHBORWORKS COLUMBUS (FORMERLY KNOWN AS COLUMBUS HOUSING INITIATIVE, INC.)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CENTER FOR PAN ASIAN COMMUNITY SERVICES, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOME DEVELOPMENT RESOURCES, INC. (FORMERLY GAINESVILLE-HALL COUNTY)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	JCVISION AND ASSOCIATES, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	MACON MIDDLE GEORGIA HOUSING COUNSELING CENTER	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COBB HOUSING, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	APPALACHIAN HOUSING AND REDEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY FOR SAVANNAH CHATHAM COUNTY AREA, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	REDEMPTION MINISTRIES, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	MIDDLE GEORGIA COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	Housing Counseling	INSTITUTE FOR HUMAN SERVICES, INC. (IHS)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	LEGAL AID SOCIETY OF HAWAII	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	UNITED NEIGHBORS, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOME OPPORTUNITIES MADE EASY, INC. (HOME, INC.)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	IOWA CITIZENS FOR COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	IOWA FINANCE AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CENTER FOR SIOUXLAND	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	FAMILY MANAGEMENT CREDIT COUNSELORS, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	IDAHO HOUSING AND FINANCE ASSOCIATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION PARTNERSHIP	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	ACCESS LIVING OF METROPOLITAN CHICAGO	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATION OF COOK COUNTY INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	GREATER SOUTHWEST DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HCP OF ILLINOIS, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	LATIN UNITED COMMUNITY HOUSING ASSOCIATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	ROGERS PARK COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY INVESTMENT CORPORATION OF DECATUR, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CDBG OPERATIONS CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE COUNTY LAKE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	SOUTH SUBURBAN HOUSING CENTER	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	Housing Counseling	WILL COUNTY CENTER FOR COMMUNITY CONCERNS	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	TSP-HOPE, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	DU PAGE HOMEOWNERSHIP CENTER, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING OPPORTUNITY DEVELOPMENT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING OPPORTUNITY DEVELOPMENT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CITY OF BLOOMINGTON	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	ELKHART HOUSING PARTNERSHIP, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING AUTHORITY, CITY OF ELKHART	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOPE OF EVANSVILLE, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF FORT WAYNE, INDIANA	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF HAMMOND	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	B & D TRAINING SERVICES	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	MOMENTIVE CONSUMER CREDIT COUNSELING SERVICE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	AFFORDABLE HOUSING CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOOSIER UPLANDS ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	MUNCIE HOME OWNERSHIP AND DEVELOPMENT CENTER	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	LINCOLN HILLS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING OPPORTUNITIES, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	SOUTHERN INDIANA HOMEOWNERSHIP INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CONSUMER CREDIT COUNSELING SERVICE, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING AND CREDIT COUNSELING,	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

		INCORPORATED	Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING ASSISTANCE AND DEVELOPMENT SERVICES, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CAMPBELLSVILLE HOUSING AND REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	KENTUCKY HOUSING CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	PURCHASE AREA HOUSING CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	JEFFERSON COMMUNITY ACTION PROGRAM	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CITIZENS' HOUSING AND PLANNING ASSOCIATION, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	GREATER BOSTON LEGAL SERVICES	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING PARTNERSHIP NETWORK	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	BERKSHIRE COUNTY REGIONAL HOUSING AUTHORITY-H	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	PLYMOUTH REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	QUINCY COMMUNITY ACTION PROGRAMS, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY SERVICE NETWORK, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	PRO-HOME, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY COMM	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	ARUNDEL COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SERVICE INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ASSISTANCE NETWORK	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HARFORD COUNTY HOUSING AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HARFORD COUNTY HOUSING AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CECIL COUNTY HOUSING AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	FREDERICK COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	Housing Counseling	MARYLAND RURAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	MARYLAND RURAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HAGERSTOWN NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT PARTNERSHIP, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	WASHINGTON COUNTY COMMUNITY ACTION COUNCIL	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	SOUTHERN MARYLAND TRI-COUNTY COMMUNITY ACTION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING INITIATIVES PARTNERSHIP, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOME PARTNERSHIP, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	GARRETT COUNTY COMMUNITY ACTION COMMITTEE, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NATIONAL FOUNDATION FOR CREDIT COUNSELING, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NATIONAL FOUNDATION FOR CREDIT COUNSELING, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	MAINE STATE HOUSING AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	TEDFORD HOUSING	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	DETROIT NON-PROFIT HOUSING CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	GREATER EAST SIDE COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	MISSION OF PEACE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	GRAND RAPIDS URBAN LEAGUE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOME REPAIR SERVICES OF KENT COUNTY, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	INNER CITY CHRISTIAN FEDERATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	LANSING AFFORDABLE HOMES, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	Housing Counseling	MICHIGAN STATE HOUSING DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	LIGHTHOUSE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	OAKLAND COUNTY HOUSING COUNSELING	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	OAKLAND LIVINGSTON HUMAN SERVICE AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CHRISTIAN CREDIT COUNSELORS, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NORTHWEST MICHIGAN HUMAN SERVICES AGENCY, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	ANOKA COUNTY COMMUNITY ACTION PROGRAM, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CARVER COUNTY CDA	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION DULUTH	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	DAKOTA COUNTY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION PARTNERSHIP OF SUBURBAN HENNEPIN	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOMEOWNERSHIP PRESERVATION FOUNDATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CATHOLIC CHARITIES DIOCESE OF ST. CLOUD	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	TRI-COUNTY ACTION PROGRAM, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	SAINT PAUL DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND ECONOMIC DEV.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	SAINT PAUL URBAN LEAGUE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	SOUTHERN MINNESOTA REGIONAL LEGAL SERVICES, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	ARROWHEAD ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY AGENCY, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	WEST CENTRAL MISSOURI COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY SERVICES LEAGUE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	LEGAL AID OF WESTERN MISSOURI	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING OPTIONS PROVIDED FOR THE ELDERLY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	JUSTINE PETERSEN HOUSING AND REINVESTMENT COR	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	LEGAL SERVICES OF EASTERN MISSOURI, INCORPORA	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	YOUTH EDUCATION AND HEALTH IN SOULARD	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING EDUCATION AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	MISSISSIPPI HOME CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	MISSISSIPPI HOMEBUYER EDUCATION CENTER-INITIATIVE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	SACRED HEART SOUTHERN MISSIONS HOUSING CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL OF DISTRICT IX, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	MONTANA BOARD OF HOUSING	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	LAKE COUNTY COMMUNITY HOUSING ORGANIZATION AN	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	AFFORDABLE HOUSING COALITION OF ASHEVILLE AND BUNCOMBE COUNTIES, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CONSUMER CREDIT COUNSELING SERVICE OF WNC, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NORTHWESTERN REGIONAL HOUSING AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NORTHEASTERN COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	SANDHILLS COMMUNITY ACTION PROGRAM, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	DURHAM REGIONAL FINANCIAL CENTER DBA DURHAM REGIONAL COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT GROUP	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	ELIZABETH CITY STATE UNIVERSITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	RIVER CITY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	Housing Counseling	CUMBERLAND COMMUNITY ACTION PROGRAM, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HIGHLAND FAMILY RESOURCE CENTER, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	GREENSBORO HOUSING COALITION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	WESTERN PIEDMONT COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF HIGH POINT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	PROSPERITY UNLIMITED, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	OUTER BANKS COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	MONROE-UNION COUNTY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	OLIVE HILL COMMUNITY ECOMONIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	TWIN RIVERS OPPORTUNITIES, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CHOANOKE AREA DEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	ROCKY MOUNT/EDGECOMBE CDC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	JOHNSTON-LEE-HARNETT COMMUNITY ACTION, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	STATESVILLE HOUSING AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	WILSON COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENT ASSOCIATION, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CCCS OF FORSYTH COUNTY, INC. - MAIN OFFICE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NORTH DAKOTA HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	SOUTHEASTERN NORTH DAKOTA COMMUNITY ACTION AG	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HIGH PLAINS COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT, CORP.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CREDIT ADVISORS FOUNDATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	Housing Counseling	FAMILY HOUSING ADVISORY SERVICES, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NEW HAMPSHIRE HOUSING FINANCE AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CONCORD AREA TRUST FOR COMMUNITY HOUSING (CATCH)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	GRANITE STATE INDEPENDENT LIVING (GSIL)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	LACONIA AREA COMMUNITY LAND TRUST	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NEIGHBORWORKS @ GREATER MANCHESTER	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	THE WAY HOME	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING PARTNERSHIP FOR MORRIS COUNTY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	GARDEN STATE CONSUMER CREDIT COUNSELING, INC./NOVADEBT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	MONMOUTH COUNTY BOARD OF CHOSEN FREEHOLDERS/MONMOUTH COUNTY DIVISION OF SOCIAL SERVICES	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	FAIR HOUSING COUNCIL OF NORTHERN NEW JERSEY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CONSUMER CREDIT AND BUDGET COUNSELING	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	AFFORDABLE HOMES OF MILLVILLE ECUMENICAL	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	AFFORDABLE HOMES OF MILLVILLE ECUMENICAL	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	SENIOR CITIZENS UNITED COMMUNITY SERVICES OF CAMDEN COUNTY, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NEW JERSEY CITIZEN ACTION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	TRI-CITY PEOPLES CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF PATERSON	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	FAITH FELLOWSHIP COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	ISLES, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA

			published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	BURLINGTON COUNTY COMMUNITY ACTION PROGRAM	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NEW MEXICO MORTGAGE FINANCE AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	TIERRA DEL SOL HOUSING CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CONSUMER CREDIT COUNSELING SERVICE OF SOUTHERN NEVADA	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	WASHOE COUNTY DEPT OF SENIOR SERVICES - SENIOR LAW PROJECT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NY STATE OFFICE OF MENTAL RETARDATION AND DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES (OMRDD)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	ALLEGANY COUNTY COMMUNITY OPPORTUNITIES AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT (ACCORD) CORP.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	TRI-COUNTY HOUSING COUNCIL	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	METRO-INTERFAITH SERVICES, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NEIGHBORS HELPING NEIGHBORS, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	BELMONT SHELTER CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOMEFRONT, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	PUTNAM COUNTY HOUSING CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CORTLAND HOUSING ASSISTANCE COUNCIL, INCORPOR	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CHAUTAUQUA OPPORTUNITIES, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	MARGERT COMMUNITY CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY UNIFIED TODAY, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COUNTY OF NASSAU ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT - OFFICE OF HOUSING & INTERGOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	Housing Counseling	FRIENDS OF THE NORTH COUNTRY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	RURAL ULSTER PRESERVATION COMPANY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	SOUTHERN HILLS PRESERVATION CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION IN SELF HELP, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HUMAN DEVELOPMENT SERVICES OF WESTCHESTER, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	RURAL SULLIVAN HOUSING CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION PROGRAM FOR MADISON COUNTY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	ROCKLAND HOUSING ACTION COALITION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	ASIAN AMERICANS FOR EQUALITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NATIONAL URBAN LEAGUE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NEIGHBORHOOD HOUSING SERVICES OF NEW YORK CITY (NHS OF NYC)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	STRUCTURED EMPLOYMENT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CO	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	OPPORTUNITIES FOR CHENANGO, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	OSWEGO HOUSING DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	KEUKA HOUSING COUNCIL	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	BISHOP SHEEN ECUMENICAL HOUSING FOUNDATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING COUNCIL IN MONROE COUNTY, INCORPORATE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	BETTER NEIGHBORHOODS, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	WESTERN CATSKILLS COMMUNITY REVITALIZATION COUNCIL, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NORTHFIELD COMMUNITY LOCAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	Housing Counseling	TROY REHABILITATION AND IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	ALBANY COUNTY RURAL HOUSING ALLIANCE, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	WESTCHESTER RESIDENTIAL OPPORTUNITIES, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	FAIR HOUSING CONTACT SERVICE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	STARK METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	WORKING IN NEIGHBORHOODS	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY HOUSING SOLUTIONS	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	MID-OHIO REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	OHIO HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	WSOS COMMUNITY ACTION COMMISSION, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	FAIR HOUSING RESOURCE CENTER	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION COMMISSION OF BELMONT COUNTY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NORTHWEST OHIO DEVELOPMENT AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CHILDREN'S & FAMILY SERVICE A/K/A FAMILY SERVICE AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CONSUMER CREDIT COUNSELING SERVICE OF CENTRAL OKLAHOMA	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SUPPORT ASSOCIATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF NORMAN	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY OF OKLAHOMA CITY AND OKLAHOMA/CANADIAN COUNTIES, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF SHAWNEE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	KI BOIS COMMUNITY ACTION FOUNDATION,	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

		INCORPOR	Register
2007	Housing Counseling	STILLWATER HOUSING AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION PROJECT OF TULSA	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING PARTNERS OF TULSA, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	OPEN DOOR COUNSELING CENTER	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	ACCESS INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	UMPQUA COMMUNITY ACTION NETWORK	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	BLAIR COUNTY COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	BLAIR COUNTY COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION COMMITTEE OF LEHIGH VALLEY, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE COUNTY OF BUTLER	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CHESTER COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENT PROJECT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	GREATER ERIE COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	PENNSYLVANIA HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	MON VALLEY INITIATIVE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	TABOR COMMUNITY SERVICES, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CENTER FOR FAMILY SERVICES, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	THE TREHAB CENTER INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	ACORN HOUSING CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	MT. AIRY, U S A	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	PHILADELPHIA COUNCIL FOR COMMUNITY ADVANCEMENT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	Housing Counseling	GARFIELD JUBILEE ASSOCIATION, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	SCHUYLKILL COMMUNITY ACTION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	UNITED NEIGHBORHOOD CENTERS OF LACKAWANNA COUNTY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	SOUTHWESTERN PENNYSLVANIA LEGAL SERVICES INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COMMISSION ON ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY OF LUZERNE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING ALLIANCE OF YORK	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CORPORACION MILAGROS DEL AMOR	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CEIBA HOUSING AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	RHODE ISLAND HOUSING AND MORTGAGE FINANCE CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	THE URBAN LEAGUE OF RHODE ISLAND	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CAROLINA REGIONAL LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	GREENVILLE COUNTY HUMAN RELATIONS COMMISSION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	FAMILY SERVICES, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	TRIDENT UNITED WAY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	SOUTH DAKOTA HOUSING DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	OGLALA SIOUX TRIBE PARTNERSHIP FOR HOUSING, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	GAP COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT RESOURCES, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	WEST TENNESSEE LEGAL SERVICES, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	VOLLINTINE EVERGREEN COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION CDC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CITIZENS FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	Housing Counseling	WOODBINE COMMUNITY ORGANIZATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	AUSTIN TENANTS' COUNCIL	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	UNITED CEREBRAL PALSY OF GREATER HOUSTON, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION OF BROWNSVILLE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	EL PASO COMMUNITY ACTION PROGRAM, PROJECT BRAVO, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CITY OF FORT WORTH HOUSING DEPARTMENT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CITY OF FORT WORTH HOUSING DEPARTMENT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CITY OF FORT WORTH HOUSING DEPARTMENT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CITY OF FORT WORTH HOUSING DEPARTMENT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	AVENUE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	MONEY MANAGEMENT INTERNATIONAL INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	MONEY MANAGEMENT INTERNATIONAL INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CITY OF SAN ANTONIO/COMMUNITY INITIATIVES DEPARTMENT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	TEXAS RIO GRANDE LEGAL AID	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	UTAH STATE UNIVERSITY - FAMILY LIFE CENTER HOUSING AND FINANCIAL COUNSELING SERVICES	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	YOUR COMMUNITY CONNECTION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION SERVICES	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	SALT LAKE COMMUNITY ACTION PROGRAM	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	PEOPLE INCORPORATED OF SOUTHWEST VIRGINIA	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	ACCOMACK-NORTHAMPTON PLANNING DISTRICT COMMISSSION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	Housing Counseling	CATHOLIC CHARITIES USA	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	QUIN RIVERS, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	PIEDMONT HOUSING ALLIANCE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	TELAMON CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	LYNCHBURG COMMUNITY ACTION GROUP, INCORPORATE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY VIRGINIA COOPERATIVE EXTENSION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NEWPORT NEWS OFFICE OF HUMAN AFFAIRS	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	THE SOUTHEASTERN TIDEWATER OPPORTUNITY PROJEC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	NEW RIVER COMMUNITY ACTION, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	HOUSING OPPORTUNITIES MADE EQUAL, INCORPORATE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	VIRGINIA HOUSING DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	TOTAL ACTION AGAINST POVERTY IN ROANOKE VALLEY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	SKYLINE COMMUNITY ACTION PROGRAM, INCORPORATE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	CENTRAL VERMONT COMMUNITY ACTION COUNCIL, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	SOLID GROUND WASHINGTON	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	WASHINGTON STATE HOUSING FINANCE COMMISSION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	SPOKANE NEIGHBORHOOD ACTION PROGRAMS	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	WEST CENTRAL WISCONSIN COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION, INCORPORATED OF ROCK AND WALWORTH COUNTIES	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	SCI-TECH DEVELOPMENT, INC. (SDI)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	Housing Counseling	KANAWHA INSTITUTE FOR SOCIAL RESEARCH & ACTION, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	SOUTHERN APPALACHIAN LABOR SCHOOL FOUNDATION, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Housing Counseling	INTERFAITH OF NATRONA COUNTY, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REAL ESTATE BROKERS-INVESTMENT DIVISION, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	RURAL COMMUNITY ASSISTANCE CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOMEFREE - U S A	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	NATIONAL COUNCIL OF LA RAZA	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	NATIONAL CREDIT UNION FOUNDATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	NEIGHBORHOOD REINVESTMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	NATIONAL FOUNDATION FOR CREDIT COUNSELING, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	NATIONAL FOUNDATION FOR CREDIT COUNSELING, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CITIZENS' HOUSING AND PLANNING ASSOCIATION, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	THE HOUSING PARTNERSHIP NETWORK	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	MISSION OF PEACE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	NATIONAL URBAN LEAGUE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	STRUCTURED EMPLOYMENT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CO	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	MON VALLEY INITIATIVE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	ACORN HOUSING CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	WEST TENNESSEE LEGAL SERVICES, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	MONEY MANAGEMENT INTERNATIONAL INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	Housing Counseling	MONEY MANAGEMENT INTERNATIONAL INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CATHOLIC CHARITIES USA	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	ALABAMA COUNCIL ON HUMAN RELATIONS, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	JEFFERSON COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION PARTNERSHIP OF N. AL, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY OF NORTHWEST ALABAMA, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HALE EMPOWERMENT AND REVITALIZATION ORGANIZATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	MOBILE HOUSING BOARD	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	MONTGOMERY COMMUNITY ACTION COMMITTEE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	ORGANIZED COMMUNITY ACTION PROGRAM, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY SERVICE PROGRAMS OF WEST ALABAMA, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CONSUMER CREDIT COUNSELING SERVICE OF ALASKA	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	SOUTHEASTERN ARIZONA GOVERNMENTS ORGANIZATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	LABOR'S COMMUNITY SERVICE AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	ADMINISTRATION OF RESOURCES AND CHOICES	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	FAMILY HOUSING RESOURCES	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	SOUTH ARKANSAS COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CRAWFORD SEBASTIAN COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	UNIVERSAL HOUSING DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	ANAHEIM HOUSING AUTHORITY - ANAHEIM HOUSING COUNSELING AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	Housing Counseling	CONSUMER CREDIT COUNSELORS OF KERN AND TULARE COUNTIES	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (CHIP) - COMM. HSG & CREDIT COUNSELING CTR	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF FRESNO	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	EDEN COUNCIL FOR HOPE AND OPPORTUNITY (ECHO)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	BYDESIGN FINANCIAL SOLUTIONS, DBA CCCS OF LOS ANGELES	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	LAO FAMILY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	PROJECT SENTINEL	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	PACIFIC COMMUNITY SERVICES, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	SPRINGBOARD NON PROFIT CONSUMER CREDIT MANAGEMENT INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HUMAN RIGHTS/FAIR HOUSING COMMISSION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	SACRAMENTO NEIGHBORHOOD HOUSING SERVICES, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	MONTEREY COUNTY HOUSING ALLIANCE (MOCHA)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	NEIGHBORHOOD HOUSE ASSOCIATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	SAN DIEGO HOME LOAN COUNSELING AND EDUCATION CENTER	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	ASIAN INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CONSUMER CREDIT COUNSELING SERVICE OF SAN FRANCISCO	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	MISSION ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATION (MEDA)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	SAN FRANCISCO HOUSING DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CONSUMER CREDIT COUNSELORS OF ORANGE COUNTY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	Housing Counseling	ORANGE COUNTY FAIR HOUSING COUNCIL, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	INLAND MEDIATION BOARD	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CITY OF VACAVILLE OFFICE OF HOUSING AND REDEVELOPMENT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CITY OF AURORA COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIVISION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	BOULDER COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	ADAMS COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	BROTHERS REDEVELOPMENT, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COLORADO HOUSING ASSISTANCE CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	NORTHEAST DENVER HOUSING CENTER	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING SOLUTIONS FOR THE SOUTHWEST	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	NEIGHBOR TO NEIGHBOR	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COLORADO RURAL HOUSING DEVELOPMENT CORP.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	BRIDGEPORT NEIGHBORHOOD TRUST	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	NEIGHBORHOOD HOUSING SERVICES OF NEW BRITAIN, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	NATIONAL COUNCIL ON AGRICULTURAL LIFE AND LAB	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	FIRST STATE COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	YWCA OF NEW CASTLE COUNTY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING COUNSELING SERVICES, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	MARSHALL HEIGHTS COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT ORGANIZATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	NEAR NORTHEAST COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENT CORP.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	UNIVERSITY LEGAL SERVICES	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOMES IN PARTNERSHIP, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	MANATEE COALITION FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	MANATEE OPPORTUNITY COUNCIL, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	TAMPA BAY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CENTRAL FLORIDA COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	MID-FLORIDA HOUSING PARTNERSHIP, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	DEERFIELD BEACH HOUSING AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	JACKSONVILLE AREA LEGAL AID, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	LIGHTHOUSE CREDIT FOUNDATION, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY HOUSING INITIATIVE, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	MIAMI BEACH COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORP	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	OCALA HOUSING AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CCCS OF WEST FL - MAIN OFFICE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ENTERPRISE INVESTMENTS, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING PARTNERSHIP, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	FAMILY COUNSELING CENTER OF BREVARD, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	GOLDEN RULE HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	THE CENTER FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	GOODWILL INDUSTRIES MANASOTA, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	TALLAHASSEE LENDERS CONSORTIUM, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	Housing Counseling	CENTER FOR AFFORDABLE HOMEOWNERSHIP DBA TAMPA HOUSING AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CREDIT CARD MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CITY OF ALBANY, GEORGIA	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	AREA COMMITTEE TO IMPROVE OPPORTUNITIES NOW, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	EAST ATHENS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING AND ECONOMIC LEADERSHIP PARTNERS, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COOPERATIVE RESOURCE CENTER, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	GEORGIA HOUSING AND FINANCE AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	LATIN AMERICAN ASSOCIATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA'S FAMILY AND CONSUMER SCIENCES COOPERATIVE EXTENSION SERVICE (MAIN OFFICE)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COLUMBUS HOUSING INITIATIVE, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	DEKALB/METRO HOUSING COUNSELING CENTER	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	THE IMPACT GROUP (FORMERLY: GWINNETT HOUSING RESOURCE PARTNERSHIP, INC)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOME DEVELOPMENT RESOURCES, INC. (FORMERLY GAINESVILLE-HALL COUNTY)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	AFFORDABLE HOUSING ENTERPRISES, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	JCVISION AND ASSOCIATES, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COBB HOUSING, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	APPALACHIAN HOUSING AND REDEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY FOR SAVANNAH CHATHAM COUNTY AREA, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	Housing Counseling	REDEMPTION MINISTRIES, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	MIDDLE GEORGIA COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	LEGAL AID SOCIETY OF HAWAII	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	IDAHO HOUSING AND FINANCE ASSOCIATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION PARTNERSHIP	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	ACCESS LIVING OF METROPOLITAN CHICAGO	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	BETHEL NEW LIFE, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATION OF COOK COUNTY INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	GREATER SOUTHWEST DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HCP OF ILLINOIS, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	LATIN UNITED COMMUNITY HOUSING ASSOCIATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	LEGAL ASSISTANCE FOUNDATION OF METROPOLITAN CHICAGO	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	ROGERS PARK COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CENTRAL ILLINOIS DEBT MANAGEMENT & CREDIT EDUCATION, INC. AKA CCCS OF CENTRAL IL	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY INVESTMENT CORPORATION OF DECATUR, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CDBG OPERATIONS CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE COUNTY LAKE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	SOUTH SUBURBAN HOUSING CENTER	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	WILL COUNTY CENTER FOR COMMUNITY CONCERNS	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	METEC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2006	Housing Counseling	TSP-HOPE, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CATHOLIC CHARITIES OF THE ARCHDIOCESE OF CHICAGO	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	DU PAGE HOMEOWNERSHIP CENTER, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING OPPORTUNITY DEVELOPMENT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF ANDERSON	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CITY OF BLOOMINGTON	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	ELKHART HOUSING PARTNERSHIP, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING AUTHORITY, CITY OF ELKHART	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION PROGRAM OF EVANSVILLE & VANDERBURGH COUNTY, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOPE OF EVANSVILLE, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF FORT WAYNE, INDIANA	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CCCS OF NORTH WEST IN, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF HAMMOND	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	B & D TRAINING SERVICES	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	INDIANAPOLIS URBAN LEAGUE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	MOMENTIVE CONSUMER CREDIT COUNSELING SERVICE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	AFFORDABLE HOUSING CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOOSIER UPLANDS ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	MUNCIE HOME OWNERSHIP AND DEVELOPMENT CENTER	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION OF ST. JOSEPH COUNTY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	LINCOLN HILLS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING OPPORTUNITIES, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	SOUTHERN INDIANA HOMEOWNERSHIP INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	UNITED NEIGHBORS, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOME OPPORTUNITIES MADE EASY, INC. (HOME, INC.)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	IOWA CITIZENS FOR COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	IOWA FINANCE AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	FAMILY MANAGEMENT CREDIT COUNSELORS, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CONSUMER CREDIT COUNSELING SERVICE, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING AND CREDIT COUNSELING, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING ASSISTANCE AND DEVELOPMENT SERVICES, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CAMPBELLSVILLE HOUSING AND REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	KENTUCKY HOUSING CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY REINVESTMENT ALLIANCE OF LEXINGTON	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	REACH, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	PURCHASE AREA HOUSING CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	BRIGHTON CENTER, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	SAINT MARY COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	LAFAYETTE CONSOLIDATED GOVERNMENT NEIGHBORHOOD COUNSELING SERVICES	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	Housing Counseling	SAINT MARTIN, IBERIA, LAFAYETTE COMMUNITY ACT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	MAINE STATE HOUSING AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COASTAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	PEOPLES REGIONAL OPPORTUNITY PROGRAM	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	PINE TREE LEGAL SERVICES, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COASTAL ENTERPRISES, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY COMM	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	ARUNDEL COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SERVICE INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ASSISTANCE NETWORK	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HARFORD COUNTY HOUSING AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	FREDERICK COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	MARYLAND RURAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	WASHINGTON COUNTY COMMUNITY ACTION COUNCIL	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	SOUTHERN MARYLAND TRI-COUNTY COMMUNITY ACTION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING INITIATIVES PARTNERSHIP, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOME PARTNERSHIP, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	GARRETT COUNTY COMMUNITY ACTION COMMITTEE, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	GREATER BOSTON LEGAL SERVICES	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	BERKSHIRE COUNTY REGIONAL HOUSING AUTHORITY-H	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	PLYMOUTH REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	QUINCY COMMUNITY ACTION PROGRAMS,	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

		INCORPORATE	Register
2006	Housing Counseling	SPRINGFIELD PARTNERS FOR COMMUNITY ACTION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY SERVICE NETWORK, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	PRO-HOME, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	SOUTHWEST MICHIGAN COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	DETROIT NON-PROFIT HOUSING CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	PHOENIX HOUSING & COUNSELING NON-PROFIT, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	GREATER EAST SIDE COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	NCCS CENTER FOR NONPROFIT HOUSING	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	GRAND RAPIDS URBAN LEAGUE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOME REPAIR SERVICES OF KENT COUNTY, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	INNER CITY CHRISTIAN FEDERATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	LANSING AFFORDABLE HOMES, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	MICHIGAN STATE HOUSING DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	LIGHTHOUSE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	OAKLAND COUNTY HOUSING COUNSELING	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	OAKLAND LIVINGSTON HUMAN SERVICE AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	NORTHWEST MICHIGAN HUMAN SERVICES AGENCY, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	ANOKA COUNTY COMMUNITY ACTION PROGRAM, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CARVER COUNTY CDA	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION DULUTH	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2006	Housing Counseling	DAKOTA COUNTY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION PARTNERSHIP OF SUBURBAN HENNEPIN	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	TRI-COUNTY ACTION PROGRAMS, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	REVERSE MORTGAGE COUNSELORS, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	SAINT PAUL DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND ECONOMIC DEV.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	SAINT PAUL URBAN LEAGUE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	SOUTHERN MINNESOTA REGIONAL LEGAL SERVICES, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	THE UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN MISS (INSTITUTE FOR DISABILITY STUDIES)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	MISSISSIPPI HOME CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	SACRED HEART SOUTHERN MISSIONS HOUSING CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	WEST CENTRAL MISSOURI COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY SERVICES LEAGUE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	LEGAL AID OF WESTERN MISSOURI	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING OPTIONS PROVIDED FOR THE ELDERLY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	JUSTINE PETERSEN HOUSING AND REINVESTMENT COR	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	LEGAL SERVICES OF EASTERN MISSOURI, INCORPORA	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	URBAN LEAGUE OF METROPOLITAN SAINT LOUIS	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	YOUTH EDUCATION AND HEALTH IN SOULARD	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	DISTRICT 7 HUMAN RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	Housing Counseling	HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL OF DISTRICT IX, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	MONTANA BOARD OF HOUSING	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	LAKE COUNTY COMMUNITY HOUSING ORGANIZATION AN	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HIGH PLAINS COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT, CORP.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	LINCOLN ACTION PROGRAM, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CREDIT ADVISORS FOUNDATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	FAMILY HOUSING ADVISORY SERVICES, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CONSUMER CREDIT COUNSELING SERVICE OF SOUTHERN NEVADA	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	WOMEN'S DEVELOPMENT CENTER	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	WASHOE COUNTY DEPT OF SENIOR SERVICES - SENIOR LAW PROJECT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	NEW HAMPSHIRE HOUSING FINANCE AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	LACONIA AREA COMMUNITY LAND TRUST	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	AFFORDABLE HOUSING, EDUCATION AND DEVELOPMENT, INC. (AHEAD)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	MANCHESTER NEIGHBORHOOD HOUSING SERVICES, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	THE WAY HOME	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING PARTNERSHIP FOR MORRIS COUNTY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	AFFORDABLE HOUSING ALLIANCE OF NEW JERSEY (FORMERLY THE MONMOUTH HOUSING ALLIANCE)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	GARDEN STATE CONSUMER CREDIT COUNSELING, INC./NOVADEBT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	Housing Counseling	MONMOUTH COUNTY BOARD OF CHOSEN FREEHOLDERS/MONMOUTH COUNTY DIVISION OF SOCIAL SERVICES	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CONSUMER CREDIT AND BUDGET COUNSELING	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	AFFORDABLE HOMES OF MILLVILLE ECUMENICAL	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	NEW JERSEY CITIZEN ACTION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	ST. JAMES COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	TRI-CITY PEOPLES CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF PATERSON	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	SOMERSET COUNTY COALITION ON AFFORDABLE HOUSING, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	FAITH FELLOWSHIP COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	OCEAN COMMUNITY ECONOMIC ACTION NOW, INC. (O.C.E.A.N.)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	BURLINGTON COUNTY COMMUNITY ACTION PROGRAM	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	NEW MEXICO MORTGAGE FINANCE AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	ALLEGANY COUNTY COMMUNITY OPPORTUNITIES AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT (ACCORD) CORP.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	TRI-COUNTY HOUSING COUNCIL	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	METRO-INTERFAITH SERVICES, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	LONG ISLAND HOUSING SERVICES, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	NEIGHBORS HELPING NEIGHBORS, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	BELMONT SHELTER CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOMEFRONT, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2006	Housing Counseling	PUTNAM COUNTY HOUSING CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CORTLAND HOUSING ASSISTANCE COUNCIL, INCORPOR	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CHAUTAUQUA OPPORTUNITIES, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	MARGERT COMMUNITY CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY UNIFIED TODAY, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	FAMILY AND CHILDREN'S ASSOCIATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	FRIENDS OF THE NORTH COUNTRY	Criteria published in NOFA published,in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	RURAL ULSTER PRESERVATION COMPANY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION PROGRAM FOR MADISON COUNTY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	ROCKLAND HOUSING ACTION COALITION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	ASIAN AMERICANS FOR EQUALITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	NEIGHBORHOOD HOUSING SERVICES OF NEW YORK CITY (NHS OF NYC)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	STRYCKER'S BAY NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CENTER CITY NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT CORPORAT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	OPPORTUNITIES FOR CHENANGO, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	OSWEGO HOUSING DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	KEUKA HOUSING COUNCIL	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	BISHOP SHEEN ECUMENICAL HOUSING FOUNDATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING COUNCIL IN MONROE COUNTY, INCORPORATE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	BETTER NEIGHBORHOODS, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	Housing Counseling	WESTERN CATSKILLS COMMUNITY REVITALIZATION COUNCIL, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	NORTHFIELD COMMUNITY LOCAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	TROY REHABILITATION AND IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	ALBANY COUNTY RURAL HOUSING ALLIANCE, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	WESTCHESTER RESIDENTIAL OPPORTUNITIES, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	AFFORDABLE HOUSING COALITION OF ASHEVILLE AND BUNCOMBE COUNTIES, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CONSUMER CREDIT COUNSELING SERVICE OF WNC, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	NORTHWESTERN REGIONAL HOUSING AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	SANDHILLS COMMUNITY ACTION PROGRAM, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	DURHAM REGIONAL FINANCIAL CENTER DBA DURHAM REGIONAL COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT GROUP	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	ELIZABETH CITY STATE UNIVERSITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	RIVER CITY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CUMBERLAND COMMUNITY ACTION PROGRAM, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HIGHLAND FAMILY RESOURCE CENTER, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	GREENSBORO HOUSING COALITION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	WESTERN PIEDMONT COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF HIGH POINT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	PROSPERITY UNLIMITED, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	MONROE-UNION COUNTY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	Housing Counseling	OLIVE HILL COMMUNITY ECOMONIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	TWIN RIVERS OPPORTUNITIES, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CHOANOKE AREA DEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	JOHNSTON-LEE-HARNETT COMMUNITY ACTION, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	STATESVILLE HOUSING AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	WILMINGTON HOUSING FINANCE AND DEVELOPMENT, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CONSUMER CREDIT COUNSELING SERVICE OF FORSYTH COUNTY, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	NORTH DAKOTA HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	SOUTHEASTERN NORTH DAKOTA COMMUNITY ACTION AG	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	FAIR HOUSING CONTACT SERVICE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	STARK METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	WORKING IN NEIGHBORHOODS	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY HOUSING SOLUTIONS	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	MID-OHIO REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	WSOS COMMUNITY ACTION COMMISSION, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	FAIR HOUSING RESOURCE CENTER	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION COMMISSION OF BELMONT COUNTY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	NORTHWEST OHIO DEVELOPMENT AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CHILDREN'S & FAMILY SERVICE A/K/A FAMILY SERVICE AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CONSUMER CREDIT COUNSELING SERVICE OF CENTRAL OKLAHOMA	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SUPPORT ASSOCIATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF NORMAN	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF SHAWNEE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	KI BOIS COMMUNITY ACTION FOUNDATION, INCORPOR	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	STILLWATER HOUSING AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION PROJECT OF TULSA	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING PARTNERS OF TULSA, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	OPEN DOOR COUNSELING CENTER	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	ACCESS INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	UMPQUA COMMUNITY ACTION NETWORK	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	BLAIR COUNTY COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION COMMITTEE OF LEHIGH VALLEY,	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE COUNTY OF BUTLER	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CHESTER COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENT PROJECT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	GREATER ERIE COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	PENNSYLVANIA HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CENTER FOR FAMILY SERVICES, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	MEDIA FELLOWSHIP HOUSE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	THE TREHAB CENTER INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	LAWRENCE COUNTY SOCIAL SERVICES, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	MT. AIRY, U S A	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2006	Housing Counseling	PHILADELPHIA COUNCIL FOR COMMUNITY ADVANCEMENT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	GARFIELD JUBILEE ASSOCIATION, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	SCHUYLKILL COMMUNITY ACTION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	BERKS COMMUNITY ACTION PROGRAM BUDGET COUNSEL	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	UNITED NEIGHBORHOOD CENTERS OF LACKAWANNA COUNTY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	FAYETTE COUNTY COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION SOUTHWEST	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	SOUTHWESTERN PENNYSLVANIA LEGAL SERVICES INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COMMISSION ON ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY OF LUZERNE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	BUCKS COUNTY HOUSING GROUP	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING COUNCIL OF YORK	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CORPORACION MILAGROS DEL AMOR	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	PONCE NEIGHBORHOOD HOUSING SERVICES, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	ONE STOP CAREER CENTER OF PUERTO RICO	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	RHODE ISLAND HOUSING AND MORTGAGE FINANCE CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	THE URBAN LEAGUE OF RHODE ISLAND	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CHARLESTON AREA CDC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	GREENVILLE COUNTY HUMAN RELATIONS COMMISSION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	FAMILY SERVICES, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	TRIDENT UNITED WAY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	Housing Counseling	SOUTH DAKOTA HOUSING DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	OGLALA SIOUX TRIBE PARTNERSHIP FOR HOUSING, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	PARTNERSHIP FOR FAMILIES, CHILDREN AND ADULTS/CCCS OF CHATTANOOGA	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	GAP COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT RESOURCES, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	FINANCIAL COUNSELORS OF AMERICA	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	VOLLINTINE EVERGREEN COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION CDC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	WOODBINE COMMUNITY ORGANIZATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CLINCH-POWELL RESOURCE CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT AREA	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	AUSTIN TENANTS' COUNCIL	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	UNITED CEREBRAL PALSY OF GREATER HOUSTON, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION OF BROWNSVILLE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	EL PASO COMMUNITY ACTION PROGRAM, PROJECT BRAVO, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CITY OF FORT WORTH HOUSING DEPARTMENT	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	AVENUE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	GULF COAST COMMUNITY SERVICES ASSOCIATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	AVENIDA GUADALUPE ASSOCIATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CITY OF SAN ANTONIO/COMMUNITY ACTION DIVISION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	WACO COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	TEXAS RIOGRANDE LEGAL AID	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	Housing Counseling	UTAH STATE UNIVERSITY - FAMILY LIFE CENTER HOUSING AND FINANCIAL COUNSELING SERVICES	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	YOUR COMMUNITY CONNECTION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION SERVICES	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	SALT LAKE COMMUNITY ACTION PROGRAM	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	CENTRAL VERMONT COMMUNITY ACTION COUNCIL, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	PEOPLE INCORPORATED OF SOUTHWEST VIRGINIA	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	QUIN RIVERS AGENCY FOR COMMUNITY ACTION, INC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	PIEDMONT HOUSING ALLIANCE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	TELAMON CORPORATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	LYNCHBURG COMMUNITY ACTION GROUP, INCORPORATE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY VIRGINIA COOPERATIVE EXTENSION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	NEWPORT NEWS OFFICE OF HUMAN AFFAIRS	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	THE SOUTHEASTERN TIDEWATER OPPORTUNITY PROJEC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	HOUSING OPPORTUNITIES MADE EQUAL OF VIRGINIA, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	VIRGINIA HOUSING DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	TOTAL ACTION AGAINST POVERTY IN ROANOKE VALLEY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	SKYLINE COMMUNITY ACTION PROGRAM, INCORPORATE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	FREMONT PUBLIC ASSOCIATION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	WASHINGTON STATE HOUSING FINANCE COMMISSION	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	KITSAP COUNTY CONSOLIDATED HOUSING AUTHORITY	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	Housing Counseling	SPOKANE NEIGHBORHOOD ACTION PROGRAMS	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	PIERCE COUNTY, DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY SERVICE	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY HOUSING RESOURCE CENTER	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	KANAWHA INSTITUTE FOR SOCIAL RESEARCH & ACTION, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	SOUTHERN APPALACHIAN LABOR SCHOOL FOUNDATION, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	WEST CENTRAL WISCONSIN COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY, INC.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	COMMUNITY ACTION, INCORPORATED OF ROCK AND WALWORTH COUNTIES	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	TENANT RESOURCE CENTER	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	UNITED COMMUNITY CENTER	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	INTERFAITH OF NATRONA COUNTY, INCORPORATED	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Housing Counseling	NORTHERN ARAPAHO TRIBAL HOUSING	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THE SALVATION ARMY-A CALIFORNIA CORP.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BEAN'S CAFE INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ALASKA BOTANICAL GARDEN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BERING STRAITS NATIVE CORPORATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GRANT AK COUNCIL, BOY SCOUTS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TONGASS COAST AQUARIUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ALASKA PACIFIC UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GIRDWOOD, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PEOPLE'S REGIONAL LEARNING CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DILLINGHAM CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NATIONAL CHILDREN'S ADVOCACY CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CHAMBERS COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CLARKE COUNTY COMMISSION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	JEFFERSON STATE COMMUNITY COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF ASHLAND	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF DECATUR	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF FORT PAYNE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF GUNTERSVILLE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF HUNTSVILLE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MONTEVALLO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF OPELIKA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF ROBERTSDALE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF SHORTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF MOORESVILLE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	UNIVERSITY OF MONTEVALLO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TROY UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH ALABAMA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NEW CENTURIONS, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GREENVILLE FAMILY YMCA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF EVERGREEN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	FAYETTE COUNTY COMMISSION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HAYNEVILLE/LOWNDES COUNTY LIBRARY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	JASPER AREA FAMILY SERVICES CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF TUSKEGEE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ALABAMA INSTITUTE FOR DEAF AND BLIND	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MONTGOMERY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MONTGOMERY YMCA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF GUIN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PHILLIPS COMMUNITY COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF LITTLE ROCK	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	EL DORADO PUBLIC SCHOOLS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NORTH ARKANSAS COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ARKANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY MOUNTAIN HOME	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CENTRAL ARKANSAS RESOURCE CONS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BOYS AND GIRLS CLUB OF OUACHIT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF CONWAY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	AUDUBON ARKANSAS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CHICANOS POR LA CAUSA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CHICANOS POR LA CAUSA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PINAL COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BOYS AND GIRLS CLUB OF SIERRA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF ELOY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF GLOBE	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF SCOTTSDALE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DOUGLAS ARTS AND HUMANITIES ASSOCIATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DUNBAR COALITION, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VALLEY OF THE SUN YMCA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CAMP RONALD MCDONALD FOR GOOD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ESPERANZA COMMUNITY HOUSING CORP.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LOS ANGELES COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MERCED COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MONO COUNTY LIBRARY AUTHORITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SOLANO COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SVDP MANAGEMENT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF LOS ANGELES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ANTELOPE VALLEY BOYS AND GIRLS CLUB	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF LONG BEACH	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BOYS AND GIRLS CLUBS OF EAST COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF ALHAMBRA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF APPLE VALLEY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF BANNING	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF BEAUMONT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF BISHOP	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF CHOWCHILLA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF COLFAX	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF CORONA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF EAST PALO ALTO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF EL MONTE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF GREENFIELD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF HUNTINGTON BEACH	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF HUNTINGTON PARK	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF INGLEWOOD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF LA MIRADA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF LANCASTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MADERA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	COUNTY OF FRESNO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF OAKLAND	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF OCEANSIDE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF OROVILLE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF PICO RIVERA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF PLACERVILLE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF RIVERSIDE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF SAN JACINTO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF SAN LEANDRO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF LOS ANGELES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF THOUSAND OAKS	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF TWENTYNINE PALMS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF YUCAIPA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF YUCAIPA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	COMMUNITY ACTION PARTNERSHIP ORANGE COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF RANCHO CORDOVA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SAN DIEGO COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF DIAMOND BAR	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	EARLE BAUM CENTER OF THE BLIND	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HILLVIEW ACRES CHILDREN'S HOME	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LAKE COUNTY ARTS COUNCIL	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LOMPOC HEALTHCARE DISTRICT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MUSEUM OF LATIN AMERICAN ART	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NATIONAL ORANGE SHOW	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NORTH COUNTY SOLUTIONS FOR CHANGE, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	RIVERSIDE COMMUNITY COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SACRAMENTO FOOD BANK	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SAN DIEGO HOUSING COMMISSION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	FOOD BANK OF SANTA BARBARA COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SKIRBALL CULTURAL CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF REDDING	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TEHACHAPI PERFORMING ARTS CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF YUCCA VALLEY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TULARE VETERANS MEMORIAL DISTRICT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VALLEY ALLIANCE FOR THE ARTS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VISALIA RESCUE MISSION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	YOUTH SCIENCE INSTITUTE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VENTURA COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MERCY HOUSING	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF INGLEWOOD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SAN FRANCISCO MUSEUM AND HISTO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	FRESNO COUNTY ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF OAKLAND	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF REDDING	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HOUSING TRUST OF SANTA CLARA C	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	AGUA CALIENTE CULTURAL MUSEUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MONTROSE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF PUEBLO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DENVER RESCUE MISSION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DENVER RESCUE MISSION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DENVER RESCUE MISSION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CARDINAL SHEHAN CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF ANSONIA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	FRIENDSHIP SERVICE CENTER OF N	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HILL-STEAD MUSEUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MATTATUCK MUSEUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MUSIC AND ARTS CENTER FOR THE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NAUGATUCK YMCA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SHERMAN LIBRARY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	STAMFORD CENTER FOR THE ARTS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF STONINGTON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF WILLINGTON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	UNIVERSITY OF HARTFORD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	YERWOOD CENTER, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	YMCA OF GREATER HARTFORD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF HARTFORD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF HARTFORD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF ANSONIA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF WEST HAVEN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	YEARWAOOD CENTER, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NATIONAL AUDUBON SOCIETY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	S.SIDE INST. NEIGHBORHOOD ALLI	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	AFRICAN AMERICAN CIVIL WAR MUS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BEEBE MEDICAL CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WILMINGTON SENIOR CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MINISTRY OF CARING, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ST. MICHAELS SCHOOL AND NURSERY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WILMINGTON SENIOR CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	EASTER SEALS DELAWARE AND MARYLAND'S EASTERN SHORE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WILMINGTON MUSIC SCHOOL	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF LEWES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CROSSWINDS YOUTH	Congressionally Directed

		SERVICES	
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GOODWILL OF NORTH FLORIDA, INC	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HUBBS-SEA WORLD RESEARCH INSTITUTE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LAKE COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LITTLE MANATEE HOUSING CORPORATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PINELLAS COUNTY BOARD OF COUNT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF CORAL GABLES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CAMILLUS HOUSE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CENTRAL FLORIDA COMMUNITY COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CENTRO MATER FOUNDATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF ALACHUA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF DUNEDIN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF GULFPORT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF HOLLYWOOD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MIAMI GARDENS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MIAMI	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF SARASOTA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF ST. PETE BEACH	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF TREASURE ISLAND	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF WINTER HAVEN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	COMMUNITY AGING & RETIREMENT SERVICES, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LEE COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	OSCEOLA COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	OSCEOLA COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF BOCA RATON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PINELLAS COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SANTA FE COMMUNITY COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ST. PETERSBURG COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DEBARY ART LEAGUE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	YMCA OF GREATER PENSACOLA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WAKULLA COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ORANGE COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LOWRY PARK ZOOLOGICAL SOCIETY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CENTRAL FLORIDA YMCA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MIAMI DADE COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NOVA SOUTHEASTERN UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF CORAL GABLES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MIAMI DADE COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	AMERICAN BEACH PROPERTY OWNERS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF GAINESVILLE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CLARKSTON COMMUNITY CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CLAYTON COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CON-ED, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MOREHOUSE SCHOOL OF MEDICINE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PAULDING COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SOWEGA COUNCIL ON AGING	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF COVINGTON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	COASTAL HERITAGE SOCIETY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF POWDER SPRINGS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GEORGIA 4-H FOUNDATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HOPE HOUSE, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NATIONAL INFANTRY FOUNDATION,	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MARIETTA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MOREHOUSE SCHOOL OF MEDICINE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MOREHOUSE SCHOOL OF MEDICINE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MUSEUM OF AVIATION FOUNDATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MERCER UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	INTERGENERATIONAL RESOURCE CEN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MUSEUM OF AVIATION FOUNDATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MOULTRIE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MOREHOUSE SCHOOL OF MEDICINE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CHILDREN'S JUSTICE CENTER FOUN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	COUNTY OF HAWAII	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BOYS & GIRLS CLUB OF HAWAII	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PA'A PONO MILOLI'L, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CHILDREN'S JUSTICE CENTER FOUN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MAUI ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT BOAR	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	YMCA OF KAUAI	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LANAI YOUTH CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	COUNTY OF HAWAII	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HALE MAHAOLU EHIKU	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SYSTEMS UNLIMITED, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF CEDAR RAPIDS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF DES MOINES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NATIONAL CATTLE CONGRESS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF WATERLOO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF DES MOINES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF FORT DODGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	IOWA DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	POLK COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HEARTLAND HILL HABITAT FOR HUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF COUNCIL BLUFFS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	FRANKLIN COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF FRANKLIN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF REXBURG	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CLEARWATER ECONOMIC DEVELOPMEN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF POCATELLO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ADA COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CLEARWATER ECONOMIC DEVELOPMEN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BOISE STATE UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	IDAHO MIGRANT COUNCIL	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WESTERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	COLES COUNTY COUNCIL ON AGING	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ILLINOIS COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NORTHEASTERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	OUR CHILDREN'S HOMESTEAD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PIONEER CENTER OF MCHENRY COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	QUINCY UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SEGUIN SERVICES, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BEARDSTOWN HISTORICAL SOCIETY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BRADLEY UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BURPEE/DISCOVERY CENTER MUSEUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LAKEVIEW MUSEUM-ARTS & SCIENCE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ACADEMY-URBAN SCHOOL LEADERSHP	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CHICAGO CHILDREN'S ADVOCACY CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CHICAGO PARK DISTRICT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF EAST MOLINE	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VILLAGE OF RIVERDALE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF YORKVILLE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF CREST HILL	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF COLLINSVILLE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	COUNTY OF DUPAGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DUPAGE CHILDREN'S MUSEUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PLEASURE DRIVEWAY & PARK DISTRICT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HOME OF THE SPARROW, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HORIZON HOUSE OF ILLINOIS VALLEY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THE INNER VOICE, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LINCOLN CHRISTIAN COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MARKLUND CHILDREN'S HOME	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	RAY GRAHAM ASSOCIATION FOR PEOPLE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	RIALTO SQUARE THEATER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SHAWNEETOWN REGIONAL PORT DISTRICT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TIMBER POINTE CHARITABLE FOUNDATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VILLAGE OF HAZEL CREST	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VILLAGE OF ORION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VILLAGE OF SOUTH JACKSONVILLE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LOOKING FOR LINCOLN HERITAGE C	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PEACE AND EDUCATION COALITION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HAYMARKET CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	QUINCY PUBLIC LIBRARY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	COMMUNITY FOUNDATION OF DECATUR	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HEARTLAND COMMUNITY HEALTH CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CHICAGO HISTORICAL SOCIETY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HOME SWEET HOME MINISTRIES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NORTHFIELD PARK DISTRICT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWNSHIP OF NORTH HURRICANE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MARTIN COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MARION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	AFRICAN AMERICAN ACHIEVERS YOU	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MUNCIE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	EASTER SEALS ARC OF NE INDIANA	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SOUTH BEND HERITAGE FOUNDATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF SOUTH BEND	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF CEDAR LAKE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MUNCIE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	INDIANA HUMANITIES COUNCIL	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF FORT WAYNE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SAFEHOME, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF ATCHISON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF FORT SCOTT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF INDEPENDENCE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF WICHITA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF WICHITA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CATHOLIC DIOCESE OF WICHITA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	YWCA OF GREATER KANSAS CITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THE BOYS AND GIRLS CLUBS OF GREATER KANSAS CITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SEDGWICK COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF FORT SCOTT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF TOPEKA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MISSION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF FAIRWAY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MILL SPRINGS BATTLEFIELD ASSOCIATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CRITTENDEN COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LARUE COUNTY FISCAL COURT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	POWELL COUNTY FISCAL COURT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	COMMUNITY ECONOMIC EMPOWERMENT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DAY SPRING FOUNDATION, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DREAM FOUNDATION, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	FIRST GETHSEMANE CENTER FOR FAMILY DEVELOPMENT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	FLEMING COUNTY INDUSTRIAL AUTHORITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HARDIN COUNTY FISCAL COURT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LOUISVILLE OLMSTED PARKS CONSERVEANCY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NEW ZION COMMUNITY FOUNDATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF LYNCH	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TEMPLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORP.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MONROE COUNTY FISCAL	Congressionally Directed

		COURT	
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VISIONS OF EASTERN KENTUCKY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MCCRACKEN COUNTY FISCAL COURT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CLINTON COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PARISH OF LIVINGSTON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LOYOLA UNIVERSITY NEW ORLEANS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF COVINGTON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF GRAND ISLE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF OPELOUSAS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SHREVESPORT-BOSSIER COMMUNITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VILLAGE OF SUN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ASCENSION PARISH	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	AUDUBON NATURE INSTITUTE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LAFOURCHE PARISH	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TWIN CITIES COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BOSTON HEALTHCARE FOR THE HOME	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	EDITH WHARTON RESTORATION, INC	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ENDICOTT COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GREENFIELD COMMUNITY COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LAWRENCE COMMUNITY WORKS, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF RANDOLPH	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SOLUTIONS COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF LYNN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MEDFORD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MELROSE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF NEW BEDFORD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF SOMERVILLE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	COMMUNITY ART CENTER, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MAHAIWE PERFORMING ARTS CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MAIN SOUTH COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MASHPEE WAMPANOAG TRIBAL COUNCIL	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MERRIMACK REPERTORY THEATER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NARROWS CENTER FOR THE ARTS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SPRINGFIELD DAY NURSERY, INC.	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WESTERN MASSACHUSETTS ENTERPRISE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WHITTIER STREET HEALTH CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF WALPOLE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF NORTH ADAMS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF HOLYOKE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MEDFORD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MAIN SOUTH COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF LAWRENCE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BIRD STREET COMMUNITY CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	STRAIGHT AHEAD MINISTRIES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GIRLS INCORPORATED OF LYNN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ST. MARY'S COLLEGE OF MARYLAND	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF HYATTSVILLE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF TAKOMA PARK	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HISTORIC SAINT MARY'S CITY COM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MINISTERS ALLIANCE OF CHARLES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	YMCA OF CENTRAL MARYLAND, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MARYLAND FOOD BANK	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	EAST BALTIMORE DEVELOPMENT, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PATTERSON PARK COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GOUCHER COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	AMERICAN VISIONARY ARTS MUSEUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ASSOCIATED CATHOLIC CHARITIES, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BOWDOIN COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF MILO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF BREWER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	FRANCO-AMERICAN HERITAGE CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF BANGOR	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF MILO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF VAN BUREN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WESTERN MAINE COMMUNITY ACTION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	UNIVERSITY OF NEW ENGLAND	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF PORTLAND	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PENOBSCOT MARINE MUSEUM	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GRAND TRAVERSE COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GRAND VALLEY STATE UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NORTHERN MICHIGAN UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ARAB COMMUNITY CENTER FOR ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL SERVICES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BOYSVILLE OF MICHIGAN, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF DETROIT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF DETROIT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF DETROIT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF EAST LANSING	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PORT HURON CITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DETROIT ZOO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	JEWISH VOCATIONAL SERVICE AND COMMUNITY WORKSHOP	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LABOR MUSEUM AND LEARNING CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LIGHTHOUSE OF OAKLAND COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MICHIGAN JEWISH INSTITUTE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MOTORCITIES NATIONAL HERITAGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF FARMINGTON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF FARMINGTON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NATIONAL CENTER FOR MANUFACTUR	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THE OAKLAND LIVINGSTON HUMAN SERVICES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PRESBYTERIAN VILLAGES OF MICHIGAN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PRESBYTERIAN VILLAGES OF MICHIGAN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	RECORDING FOR THE BLIND AND DYSLEXIC	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SAMARITAN CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	YMCA OF SAGINAW	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WALSH COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THE ENTERPRISE GROUP OF JACKSON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ARAB COMMUNITY CENTER FOR ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL SERVICES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF DETROIT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF SAGINAW	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	STATE OF MICHIGAN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	FOCUS: HOPE IN DETROIT	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GOODWILL INDUSTRIES OF N. MICHIGAN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HARBOR HABITAT FOR HUMANITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF ST. PAUL	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MINNEAPOLIS AMERICAN INDIAN CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MINNESOTA HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NORTHSIDE RESIDENTS REDEVELOPMENT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	RED LAKE BAND OF CHIPPEWA INDIANS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HMONG AMERICAN MUTUAL ASSISTANCE ASSOCIATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	RED LAKE BAND OF CHIPPEWA INDIANS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	COMUNIDADES LATINAS UNIDAS EN SERVICIO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	REDWOOD COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MORA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SOUTHEAST MISSOURI STATE UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	3RD WARD NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BETTER FAMILY LIFE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF JOPLIN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF KANSAS CITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF SPRINGFIELD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF STE. GENEVIEVE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SPRINGFIELD LANDMARKS PREV.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MID-AMERICA RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT FOUNDATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LIBERTY MEMORIAL ASSOCIATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ST. LOUIS BOSNIAN CHAMBER OF COMMERCE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BOYS AND GIRLS CLUBS OF GREATER KANSAS CITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WINSTON CHURCHILL MEMORIAL	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	COVENANT HOUSE MISSOURI	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TRUMAN STATE UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF SPRINGFIELD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ST. CHARLES COUNTY ASSOCIATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SALVATION ARMY NORTHLAND COMMUNITY CENTER	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	KANSAS CITY NEIGHBORHOOD ALLIANCE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BETTER LIVING COMMUNITIES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ST. LOUIS HOUSING AUTHORITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MISSOURI SOYBEAN ASSOCIATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MARK TWAIN COMMUNITY ALLIANCE, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	STUDENTS IN FREE ENTERPRISE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MEXICO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THE YOUZEUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF KENNETT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MOORHEAD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PANOLA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PONTOTOC COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MERIDIAN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF NATCHEZ	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MISSISSIPPI STATE UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF OXFORD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MADISON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF TCHULA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MISSISSIPPI MUSEUM OF ART	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	JACKSON ZOO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LAFAYETTE COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HINDS COMMUNITY COLLEGE-UTICA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MISSISSIPPI UNIVERSITY FOR WOMEN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SIMPSON COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	JACKSON PUBLIC SCHOOL	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF COLLINS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOUGALOO COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF WESSON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DELTA STATE UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ALCORN STATE UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CHILD AND FAMILY INTERVENTION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MONTANA FOOD BANK NETWORK	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY NORTH	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TRAVELER'S REST PRESERVATION AUTHORITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LIBERTY HOUSE FOUNDATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ROCKY MOUNTAIN DEVELOPMENT COUCIL	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ROCKY MOUNTAIN ELK FOUNDATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ST. VINCENT HEALTHCARE FOUNDATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CHILD AND FAMILY INTERVENTION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	YELLOWSTONE BOYS AND GIRLS RANCH	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CARTER COUNTY MUSEUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BIG SKY ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MISSOULA AGING SERVICES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ST. VINCENT FOUNDATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DALY MANSION PRESERVATION TRUST	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	COMMUNITYWORKS, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MONTANA TECHNOLOGY ENTERPRISE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DAVIDSON COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DHIC, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GASTON COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NORTHAMPTON COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SPRING CREEK COMMUNITY CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF DURHAM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF FAYETTEVILLE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF LAURINBURG	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MONROE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF RAEFORD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF TROY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GRAVEYARD OF THE ATLANTIC MUSE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	INTER-FAITH COUNCIL FOR SOCIAL	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PIEDMONT ENVIRONMENTAL CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NEW RIVER COMMUNITY PARTNERS, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	FORSYTH COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF DOBBINS HEIGHTS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WESTERN CAROLINA UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	UDI COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CORE SOUND WATERFOWL MUSEUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF KANNAPOLIS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NEW RIVER COMMUNITY PARTNERS, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CATAWBA SCIENCE MUSEUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF FAYETTEVILLE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF WILMINGTON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF FAYETTEVILLE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF ASHEVILLE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CUMBERLAND COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DAKOTA BOYS AND GIRLS RANCH	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NORTHWEST VENTURES COMMUNITIES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	UNITED TRIBES TECHNICAL COLLEG	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF KILLDEER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF RUGBY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DAKOTA BOYS AND GIRLS RANCH	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CNTR FOR INNOVATION FOUNDATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BISMARCK-MANDAN DEVELOPMENT AS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TURTLE MOUNTAIN COMMUNITY COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PERU STATE COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BOYS AND GIRLS HOME OF NEBRASK	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF LINCOLN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	FATHER FLANAGAN'S BOYS HOME	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	OMAHA SCHOLL FOUNDATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WILLA CATHER PIONEER MEMORIAL	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THURSTON COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	METROPOLITAN COMMUNITY COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THURSTON COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BOYS AND GIRLS HOME OF NEBRASK	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WILLA CATHER PIONEER MEMORIAL	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CLARKSON COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	JOSLYN ART MUSEUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BETHLEHEM REDEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATION	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF CONCORD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF PORTSMOUTH	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NEW HAMPSHIRE COMMUNITY TECHNICAL COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF TEMPLE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	FAMILIES IN TRANSITION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NEW HAMPSHIRE COMMUNITY TECHNICAL COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GIBSON CENTER FOR SENIOR SERVICES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NEW HAMPSHIRE COMMUNITY LOAN FUND	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NUTFIEL SENIOR CENTER CORP.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	YWCA OF MANCHESTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF NASHUA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THE STUDENT CONSERVATION ASSOCIATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	180 TURNING LIVES AROUND, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ESSEX COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	EVA'S KITCHEN AND SHELTERING PROGRAM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MONTCLAIR STATE UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MORRIS COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWNSHIP OF TEWKSBURY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	RUTGERS, THE STATE UNIVERSITY OF NJ	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SOMERSET COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	COUNTY OF SUSSEX NEW JERSEY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	APPEL FARM ARTS AND MUSIC CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CENTER FOR COMMUNITY ARTS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF EAST ORANGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF PERTH AMBOY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SCHOOL FOR CHILDREN WITH HIDDEN INTELLIGENCE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WESTFIELD YMCA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWNSHIP OF WEST MILFORD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF PLEASANTVILLE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF PATERSON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ST. JOSEPH'S SCHOOL FOR THE BLIND	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	RUTGERS-CAMDEN TECHNOLOGY CAMP	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF BELEN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF CARLSBAD	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PLACITAS COMMUNITY PUBLIC LIBRARY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VILLAGE OF ANGEL FIRE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	YMCA OF CENTRAL NEW MEXICO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PRESBYTERIAN MEDICAL SERVICES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ALBUQUERQUE MENTAL HEALTH HOUSING COALITION, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	EASTERN NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	OTERO COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF CARLSBAD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DEMING HELPING HAND, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF SUNLAND PARK	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SANDOVAL COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF PORTALES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NEVADA'S CENTER FOR ENTRERPRISE & TECH.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NYE COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF HENDERSON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WESTCARE FOUNDATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PAHRUMP SENIOR CENTER, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NATHAN ADELSON HOSPICE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THE RIDGE HOUSE, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA-RENO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF LAS VEGAS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF RENO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF PAHRUMP	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PYRAMID LAKE PAIUTE TRIBE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	FOOD BANK OF NORTHERN NEVADA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	AMHERST CHAMBER OF COMMERCE, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ELMCOR YOUTH AND ADULT ACTIVITIES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	FORDHAM UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GREATER BROCKPORT DEVELOPMENT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	OPERATION OSWEGO COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PROCTOR'S THEATRE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PROSPECT PARK ALLIANCE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SHAKER MUSEUM AND LIBRARY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	RESEARCH FOUNDATION OF SUNY	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SUNNYSIDE COMMUNITY SERVICES, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF NY DEPT. OF PARK & REC	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	86TH STREET BUSINESS IMPROVEME	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	RESEARCH FOUNDATION-SUNY - NY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	RESEARCH FOUNDATION OF SUNY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ARTS GUILD OF OLD FORGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BARDAVON 1869 OPERA HOUSE, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BETH GAVRIEL BUKHARIAN CONGREGATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BORICUA COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BREAST CANCER HELP, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BURCHFIELD-PENNEY ART CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CATSKILL MOUNTAIN FOUNDATION, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF ROME	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ELMIRA COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HOUGHTON COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THE TOWN OF HUNTINGTON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORP.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LUTHERAN MEDICAL CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MARY MITCHELL FAMILY AND YOUTH	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	AMERICAN MUSEUM OF THE MOVING	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	METROPOLITAN DEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATION OF SYRACUSE/NY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NORTH COUNTRY CHILDREN'S CLINIC	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	YMCA OF GREATER ROCHESTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	OLD FORT NIAGARA GATEWAY TO HISTORY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ORANGE COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PREGONES TOURING PR THEATER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	QUEENS BOROUGH PUBLIC LIBRARY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SEPHARDIC COMMUNITY CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SUGAR HILL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF FORT ANN	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	9/11 HOMETOWN MEMORIAL FOUNDATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF BROOKHAVEN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF EASTCHESTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF LENOX	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF NORTH HEMPSTEAD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	UTICA PUBLIC LIBRARY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VILLAGE OF ELMSFORD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VILLAGE OF PLEASANTVILLE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	YMCA AT GLEN COVE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	UTICA COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WARREN COUNTY ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORP.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	YWCA OF NIAGARA, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ALIANZA DOMINICANA, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	RESEARCH FOUNDATION OF SUNY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	EL MUSEO DEL BARRIO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CENTRAL NEW YORK COMMUNITY ART	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF CANANDAIGUA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	UNION GRADUATE COLLAGE OF U.U.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ROBERT H. JACKSON CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GRIFFISS LOCAL DEVELOPMENT CORP.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NASSAU COUNTY MUSEUM OF ART	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VETERANS OUTREACH CENTER, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BUFFALO ECONOMIC RENAISSANCE CORP.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CARROLL COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	COLUMBIANA COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CONNECTING POINT, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ROSS COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	STARR COMMONWEALTH	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CHAMPAIGN COUNTY PRESERVATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CINCINNATI YOUNG PEOPLE'S THEATER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF ST. CLAIRSVILLE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF GREEN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF LIMA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF LORAIN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF TOLEDO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	COMMUNITY PROPERTIES OF OHIO	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DEPRESSION AND BIPOLAR SUPPORT ALLIANCE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HOCKING ATHENS PERRY COMMUNITY ACTION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	OHIO GLASS MUSEUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	OHIO HISTORICAL SOCIETY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	OHIO WESLEYAN UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	COMM. IMP./OF S'FIELD & CLARK	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ST. MARY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MAIN STREET BUSINESS ASSOCIATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THE MARSH FOUNDATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THOUSAND HILLS ENTERPRISES, LLC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	YMCA OF WESTERN STARK COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	URBAN LEAGUE OF GREATER CLEVELAND	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ASSOCIATED NEIGHBORHOOD CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF CANTON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WRIGHT DUNBAR, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DAYBREAK, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CATHOLIC CHARITIES SERVICES CORP.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CORNERSTONE OF HOPE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THE PRESTON FUND	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DEFIANCE COUNTY SENIOR SERVICE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	UKRAINIAN MUSEUM-ARCHIVES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THE SCIOTO SOCIETY, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LORAIN COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF JACKSON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SOLID WASTE AUTHORITY OF CENTR	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF PAWNEE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	RURAL ENTERPRISES OF OKLAHOMA, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF TULSA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	YOUTH AND FAMILY SERVICES, INC	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF ARDMORE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NORMAN ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORP.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF PONCA CITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NATIVE AMERICAN CULTURAL AND EDUCATIONAL AUTHORITY	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MIDWEST CITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ARMORY THEATER FUND	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PORTLAND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF SPRINGFIELD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SALEM URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MARION-POLK FOOD SHARE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF PENDLETON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BLUE MOUNTAIN COMMUNITY COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF THE DALLES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MEDFORD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ARMSTRONG COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CENTRAL BRADFORD PROGRESS AUTHORITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CABRINI COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CARBON COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GREENE COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BLOOMFIELD-GARFIELD ASSOCIATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	KIDSPEACE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BOROUGH OF LIVERPOOL	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LOWER MAKEFIELD TOWNSHIP	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PEOPLE FOR PEOPLE, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PINE FORGE ACADEMY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	POINT BREEZE PERFORMING ARTS CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ALLENTOWN ART MUSEUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BOROUGH OF MAHANOY CITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BOYS AND GIRLS CLUB OF LANCASTER, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BROOKVILLE YMCA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CHARTIERS WEST COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF JOHNSTOWN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MONROEVILLE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF SUNBURY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF YORK	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CLEARFIELD YMCA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	COAL COUNTRY HANG-OUT YOUTH CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY IN THE CITY OF CORRY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DA VINCI DISCOVERY CENTER OF SCIENCE AND TECH.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DELAWARE COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DOWNTOWN CHAMBERSBURG INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	FERMANAGH TOWNSHIP	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BOROUGH OF GETTYSBURG	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GREENVILLE AREA ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORP.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HOLLIDAYSBURG AREA YMCA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HOMER CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	INDIANA UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	INDIANA UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF JEANNETTE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	JEWISH COMMUNITY CENTER OF GREATER PHILADELPHIA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LEHIGH COUNTY HISTORICAL SOCIETY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	OIL CREEK RAILWAY HISTORICAL SOCIETY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PA HISTORICAL & MUSEUM COMM.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	COOKFORESTSAWMILL CNTR. - ARTS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	YWCA OF CHESTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WAYNESBURG COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF CARBONDALE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF CORRY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WEATHERLY BOROUGH	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ARMSTRONG COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PEOPLE FOR PEOPLE, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SOUTHWESTERN PENNSYLVANIA COMM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ALLEGHENY COUNTY AIRPORT AUTHORITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GAUDENZIA, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	OUR CITY READING	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF LANCASTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GREATER WILKES-BARRE CHAMBER OF COMMERCE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	IMPACT SERVICES CORPORATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SHIPPENSBURG UNIVERSITY FOUNDATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THE PARTNERSHIP CDC	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ALLENTOWN ART MUSEUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PITTSBURGH ZOO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	UNIVERSAL COMMUNITY HOMES	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SPORTS AND RECREATION DEPARTMENT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF CENTRAL FALLS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GREATER PROVIDENCE YMCA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF NORTH SMITHFIELD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CRANSTON PUBLIC LIBRARY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF WARREN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF WEST WARWICK	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MEETING STREET SCHOOL	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SEXUAL ASSAULT AND TRAUMA RESOURCE CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	FAMILY SERVICE OF RHODE ISLAND	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ST. MARY'S HOME FOR CHILDREN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	STAND UP FOR ANIMALS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SENIORS HELPING OTHERS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	JOHN E. FOGARTY CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF WOONSOCKET	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CRANSTON PUBLIC LIBRARY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ENGENUITYSC	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GEORGETOWN COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF GREENWOOD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LAURENS COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LEE COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MARION COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MIDLANDS COMMUNITY DEV. CORP.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BOYS AND GIRLS CLUB OF THE PEE DEE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF LANCASTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CLEMSON UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NATIONAL COUNCIL OF NEGRO WOMEN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PAXVILLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PROGRESSIVE CLUB	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SOUTH CAROLINA SCHOOL FOR THE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SOUTH CAROLINA MARITIME HERITA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF ST. STEPHEN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WILLIAMSBURG COUNTY BOYS AND GIRLS CLUB	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SOUTH CAROLINA SCHOOL FOR THE DEAF AND BLIND	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CRISIS MINISTRIES HOMELESS SHELTER	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CHILDREN'S HOME SOCIETY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF ABERDEEN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WAKPA SICA HISTORICAL SOCIETY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF ABERDEEN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CHILDREN'S HOME SOCIETY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BOYS AND GIRLS CLUB OF BROOKINGS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF NORTH SIOUX CITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MAMMOTH SITE OF HOT SPRINGS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WAKPA SICA HISTORICAL SOCIETY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	RAPID CITY AREA ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT PARTNERSHIP	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MINER COUNTY COMMUNITY REVITAL	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CHILDREN'S HOME SOCIETY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CLAY COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CLEVELAND BRADLEY BUSINESS INC	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HAMILTON COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	APPALACHIA SERVICE PROJECT, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	KNOX COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LOUDON COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SOUTHEAST LOCAL DEVELOPMENT CORP.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF GALLATIN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CUMBERLAND COUNTY PLAYHOUSE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SECOND HARVEST FOOD BANK OF MIDDLE TENNESSE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SECOND HARVEST FOOD BANK OF NORTHEAST TENNESSEE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SECOND HARVEST FOOD BANK OF NORTHEAST TENNESSEE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SOUTHWEST TENNESSEE COMMUNITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TECHNOLOGY 2020	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TENNESSEE RIVER MUSEUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF CLINTON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SECOND HARVEST FOOD BANK OF MIDDLE TENNESSE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CHATTANOOGA AFRICAN AMERICAN CHAMBER OF COMMERCE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CARROLL COUNTY WATERSHED AUTHORITY	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CUMBERLAND COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TECHNOLOGY 2020	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SMITH COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CAMERON COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HARRIS COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HARRIS COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ALABAMA-COUSHATTA TRIBE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ARLINGTON CHAMBER OF COMMERCE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CHILDREN'S MUSEUM OF HOUSTON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF ABILENE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF CLEBURNE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF DALLAS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF FORT WORTH	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF LEONARD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MADISONVILLE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MIDLAND	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF NACOGDOCHES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF ODESSA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF RIO BRAVO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MCMULLEN COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	FOOD BANK OF THE RIO GRANDE VA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SAN ANTONIO FOOD BANK	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON-CLEAR LAKE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THE WOMEN'S MUSEUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ARLINGTON CHAMBER OF COMMERCE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF FORT WORTH	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF COMMERCE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF HILLSBORO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF LUFKIN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BOYS AND GIRLS CLUB OF LOS FRESNOS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF SANDY CITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	RIVERTON CITY CORPORATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF RIVERTON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	COLLEGE OF EASTERN UTAH	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PROVO CITY CORPORATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF HYRUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF SANDY CITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	COLLEGE OF EASTERN UTAH-SAN JUAN CAMPUS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SUMMIT COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	FAIRFAX COUNTY	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ALEXANDRIA REDEVELOPMENT AND HOUSING AUTHORITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	AMERICAN ARMOURED FOUNDATION,	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THE BARNS OF ROSE HILL INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BAYVIEW CITIZENS FOR SOCIAL JUSTICE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BOYS AND GIRLS CLUB OF ALEXANDRIA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF CHESAPEAKE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF STAUNTON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	COUNTY OF NORTHAMPTON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DABNEY S. LANCASTER COMMUNITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	FALLS CHURCH EDUCATION FOUNDATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HARRISONBURG CHILDREN'S MUSEUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	JUBAL A. EARLY PRESERVATION TRUST	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NATIONAL D-DAY MEMORIAL FOUNDA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NORTHERN VIRGINIA COMMUNITY COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THE PRIZERY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SOUTHWESTERN VIRGINIA SECOND HARVEST FOOD BANK	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF BOYDTON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF CHARLOTTE COURT HOUSE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF VIENNA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THE MARINERS' MUSEUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VIRGINIA HISTORICAL SOCIETY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VIRGINIA HOLOCAUST MUSEUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VIRGINIA MUSEUM OF FINE ARTS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VIRGINIA PERFORMING ARTS FOUND	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WEST PIEDMONT BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THYNE INSTITUTE MEMORIAL INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WOODROW WILSON PRESIDENTIAL LIBRARY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	RADFORD UNIVERSITY REAL ESTATE FOUNDATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CARROLL COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF SUFFOLK	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CHRISTOPHER NEWPORT UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THE MARINERS' MUSEUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOTAL ACTION AGAINST POVERTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	APPALACHIA SERVICE PROJECT, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NE VT AREA AGENCY ON AGING	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PRESERVATION TRUST OF VERMONT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VERMONT BROADBAND COUNCIL	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VERMONT HOUSING AND CONSERVATION BOARD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BENNINGTON PROJECT INDEPENDENCE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VERMONT HOUSING AND CONSERVATION BOARD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VERMONT HOUSING AND CONSERVATION BOARD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VISITING NURSES ASSOC. CHITTENDEN AND GRAND ISLE COUNTIES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VERMONT HOUSING AND CONSERVATION BOARD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	KITSAP COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SKAGIT COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WIND RIVER PUBLIC DEPT. AUTH.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BOYS AND GIRLS CLUB OF KING COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	FOSS WATERWAY DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	KENT YOUTH AND FAMILY SERVICES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MUSEUM OF GLASS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NORTHWEST MARITIME CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF YAKIMA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF ROSLYN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF ROSLYN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WING LUKE ASIAN MUSEUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NORTH HELPLINE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	FREMONT PUBLIC ASSOCIATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ASIAN COUNSELING AND REFERRAL SERVICE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	URBAN LEAGUE OF METROPOLITAN SEATTLE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SEATTLE ART MUSEUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SEATTLE AQUARIUM SOCIETY	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NORTHEAST COMMUNITY CENTER ASSOCIATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	EASTER SEALS WASHINGTON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BOYS AND GIRLS CLUB OF KING COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SPOKANE SYMPHONY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	KITSAP COMMUNITY RESOURCES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CHIPPEWA VALLEY TECHNICAL COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MANITOWOC COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MONROE SENIOR CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF CEDARBURG	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DOOR COUNTY ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	JUNEAU COUNTY ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORP.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MILWAUKEE PUBLIC SCHOOLS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WEST END DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF APPLETON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	REDEVELOPMENT AUTH-CITY OF RACINE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF MILWAUKEE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF KENOSHA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WEST END DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF GREEN BAY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MILWAUKEE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF MILWAUKEE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MINERAL COUNTY HISTORICAL FOUNDATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GLENVILLE STATE COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PRESTON COUNTY COMMISSION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	FRIENDS OF THE PRESTON ACADEMY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MID-ATLANTIC TECHNOLOGY, RESEARCH AND INNOVATION CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WEST VIRGINIA UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WETZEL COUNTY COMMISSION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SHEPHERD UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WHEELING PARK COMMISSION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WEST VIRGINIA UNIVERSITY RESEARCH CORP.	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	KANAWHA INSTITUTE FOR SOCIAL RESEARCH	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ARK REGIONAL SERVICES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	DUBOIS COMMUNITY PROJECT, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	UNIVERSITY OF WYOMING	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	UNIVERSITY OF WYOMING	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WYOMING SUBSTANCE ABUSE TREATMENT AND RECOVERY CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CENTRAL WYOMING COLLEGE FOUNDATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ARK REGIONAL SERVICES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GLENVILLE STATE COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MONROE SENIOR CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	KITSAP COMMUNITY RESOURCES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SPOKANE SYMPHONY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SEATTLE AQUARIUM SOCIETY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF YAKIMA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	APPALACHIA SERVICE PROJECT, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOTAL ACTION AGAINST POVERTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THYNE INSTITUTE MEMORIAL INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VIRGINIA HOLOCAUST MUSEUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VIRGINIA HISTORICAL SOCIETY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NATIONAL D-DAY MEMORIAL FOUNDATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	COLLEGE OF EASTERN UTAH-SAN JUAN CAMPUS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF RIO BRAVO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CARROLL COUNTY WATERSHED AUTHORITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	APPALACHIA SERVICE PROJECT, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MINER COUNTY COMMUNITY REVITALIZATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CHILDREN'S HOME SOCIETY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CHILDREN'S HOME SOCIETY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SOUTH CAROLINA MARITIME HERITAGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF WOONSOCKET	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SEXUAL ASSAULT AND TRAUMA RESOURCE CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MEETING STREET SCHOOL	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF WEST WARWICK	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF CENTRAL FALLS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PITTSBURGH ZOO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ALLENTOWN ART MUSEUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GREATER WILKES-BARRE CHAMBER OF COMMERCE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PEOPLE FOR PEOPLE, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF THE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	JEWISH COMMUNITY CENTER OF GREATER PHILADELPHIA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ALLENTOWN ART MUSEUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PEOPLE FOR PEOPLE, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LOWER MAKEFIELD TOWNSHIP	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BLOOMFIELD-GARFIELD ASSOCIATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF PENDLETON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MARION-POLK FOOD SHARE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF JACKSON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THE PRESTON FUND	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	URBAN LEAGUE OF GREATER CLEVELAND	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THOUSAND HILLS ENTERPRISES, LLC	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF GREEN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	VETERANS OUTREACH CENTER, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NASSAU COUNTY MUSEUM OF ART	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ROBERT H. JACKSON CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF CANANDAIGUA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF LENOX	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TOWN OF FORT ANN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NORTH COUNTRY CHILDREN'S CLINIC	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BETH GAVRIEL BUKHARIAN CONGREGATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BARDAVON 1869 OPERA HOUSE, INC	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	PROCTOR'S THEATRE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CHAMBERS COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF FORT PAYNE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF OPELIKA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	FAYETTE COUNTY COMMISSION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MUSEUM OF LATIN AMERICAN ART	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SKIRBALL CULTURAL CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF ANSONIA	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	EASTER SEALS DELAWARE AND MARY COUNTIES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	YMCA OF KAUAI	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SYSTEMS UNLIMITED, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BRADLEY UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LINCOLN CHRISTIAN COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	TIMBER POINTE CHARITABLE FOUNDATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	EASTER SEALS ARC OF NE INDIANA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	FIRST GETHSEMANE CENTER FOR FAMILY DEVELOPMENT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MONROE COUNTY FISCAL COURT	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BOSTON HEALTHCARE FOR THE HOME	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF LYNN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MAHAIWE PERFORMING ARTS CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MERRIMACK REPERTORY THEATER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	STRAIGHT AHEAD MINISTRIES	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HISTORIC SAINT MARY'S CITY COMMISSION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ASSOCIATED CATHOLIC CHARITIES, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GRAND TRAVERSE COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	GOODWILL INDUSTRIES OF N. MICHIGAN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	HMONG AMERICAN MUTUAL ASSISTANCE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SPRINGFIELD LANDMARKS PRESERVATION TRUST	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WINSTON CHURCHILL MEMORIAL	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	MISSOURI SOYBEAN ASSOCIATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THE YOUZEUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CHILD AND FAMILY INTERVENTION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	LIBERTY HOUSE FOUNDATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ROCKY MOUNTAIN DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CHILD AND FAMILY INTERVENTION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	COMMUNITYWORKS, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF RAEFORD	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	BISMARCK-MANDAN DEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATION	Congressionally Directed

2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	AMHERST CHAMBER OF COMMERCE, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	THE RIDGE HOUSE, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	ST. JOSEPH'S SCHOOL FOR THE BLIND	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	WESTFIELD YMCA	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	SCHOOL FOR CHILDREN WITH HIDDE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	APPEL FARM ARTS AND MUSIC CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	NEW HAMPSHIRE COMMUNITY LOAN FUND	Congressionally Directed
2006	Economic Development Inititative-Special Project	CITY OF PORTSMOUTH	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	CITY OF DESERT HOT SPRINGS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	FINE ARTS MUSEUMS OF SAN FRANSICO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	NIXON FOUNDATION	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	SAN FRANCISCO CONSERVATORY OF MUSIC	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	UNIVERSITY OF SAN FRANCISCO	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	BARRACKS ROW MAIN STREET, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	NATIONAL CHILDREN'S MUSEUM	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	NATIONAL COUNCIL OF NEGRO WOMEN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	WASHINGTON HOSPITAL CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	SOUTHEASTERN UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	BUILDING BRIDGES ACROSS THE RIVER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	CAPITAL AREA FOOD BANK, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	CENTER ON HALSTED	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	CITY OF PADUCAH	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	HOLYOKE COMMUNITY COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	UNITED VETERANS OF AMERICA, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	HOUSING PARTNERSHIP NETWORK	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	HUSSON COLLEGE/TECHNICAL EXPLORATION CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	DETROIT SCIENCE CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	PRESBYTERIAN VILLAGES OF MICHIGAN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	DETROIT RIVERFRONT CONSERVANCY	Congressionally Directed

2006	Neighborhood Initative	MINNESOTA HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	GRACE HILL NEIGHBORHOOD HEALTH CENTERS, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	BNAI BRITH COVENANT HOUSE, INC	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	CITY OF KANSAS CITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	MISSISSIPPI STATE UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	MISSISSIPPI ST. UNIV.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	HOUSING EDUCATION AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CENTER	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	MISSISSIPPI COMMUNITY COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	CITY OF NEWARK	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	ENGLEWOOD HOSPITAL	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	MEADOWLANDS HOSPITAL	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	METROPOLITAN DEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATION OF SYRACUSE/NY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	RURAL ENTERPRISES INSTITUTE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	UNION COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	UMATILLA COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	BUCKS COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	CITY OF SCRANTON	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	OXFORD MAINSTREET, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	CAMP FIRE USA LONE STAR COUNCIL	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	CITY OF EAGLE MOUNTAIN	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	WASHINGTON STATE FARMWORKER HOUSING TRUST	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	ENTERPRISE COMMUNITY PARTNERS, CIN.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN MARATHON COUNTY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	VANDALIA HERITAGE FOUNDATION, INC.	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	SHEPHERD UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCIS	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	CENTER ON HALSTED	Congressionally Directed
2006	Neighborhood Initative	MISSISSIPPI STATE UNIVERSITY	Congressionally Directed
2006	BEDI	Town of Mooresville	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	BEDI	City of Rocky Mount	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	BEDI	City of Lawton	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	BEDI	City of Pittsburgh	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	BEDI	City of Reading	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	BEDI	City of Vancouver	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	BEDI	City of Hartford	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	BEDI	City of Fort Pierce	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	BEDI	Palm Beach County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	BEDI	City of Nashua	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	BEDI	Jersey City	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	BEDI	City of Middletown	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	BEDI	Cuyahoga County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	BEDI	City of Jeanette	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	BEDI	City of Philadelphia	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	BEDI	City of Philadelphia	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	BEDI	City of Philadelphia	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	BEDI	City of Pittsburgh	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	BEDI	City of Pittsburgh	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	BEDI	City of Memphis	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HOPWA	Alaska Housing Finance Corp	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HOPWA	The Salvation Army, Alegria Program	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HOPWA	Tenderloin AIDS Resource Center	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2006	HOPWA	Ministry of Caring Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HOPWA	I.M. Sulzbacher Center for the Homeless	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HOPWA	Gregory House Programs	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HOPWA	AIDS Foundation of Chicago	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HOPWA	Chicago House and Social Service Agency, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HOPWA	City of Chicago, Department of Public Health	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HOPWA	Interfaith Residence Dba Doorways*	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HOPWA	Pioneer Civic Services, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HOPWA	Cambridge Cares About AIDS, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HOPWA	Community Healthlink, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HOPWA	City of Baltimore, Department of Housing and Community Development	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HOPWA	City of Portland, Department of Health and Human Services	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HOPWA	Frannie Peabody Center	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HOPWA	Interfaith Residence Dba Doorways	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HOPWA	New Hampshire Department of Health and Human Services	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HOPWA	Oregon Department of Human Services	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HOPWA	Asociacion De Puertorriquenos en Marcha, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HOPWA	Kingsport Housing & Redevelopment Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HOPWA	City of Dallas, Environmental and Health Services Department	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HOPWA	Burlington Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	HOPWA	Downtown Emergency Service Center	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HOPWA	Housing Resources Group	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HOPWA	AIDS Resource Center of Wisconsin	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	AIDS Alabama	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	Health Services Center, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	Pima County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	City of San Jose	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	San Francisco Redevelopment Agency	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	Housing Services Affiliate-Bernal Heights Neighborhood Center	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	Del Norte Neighborhood Development Corp.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	Delaware HIV Consortium	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	City of Key West	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	Broward House, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	City of Savannah, Daniel-Flagg Villas	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	City of Savannah, Project House Call	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	Cornerstone Services, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	AIDS Foundation of Chicago	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	Kentucky Housing Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	Unity for the Homeless	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	Action, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	Justice Resource Institute	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2007	HOPWA	Health Care for Homeless, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	Clare Housing	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	Nebraska Health and Human Services	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	New Jersey Department of Health & Senior Services	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	Santa Fe Community Housing Trust	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	The Fortune Society	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	Church Avenue Merchants Block Association, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	Our House of Portland	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	Oregon State Department of Human Services	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	Rhode Island Housing Mortgage Finance Corporation (Sunrise Project)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	Rhode Island Housing Mortgage Finance Corporation (New Transitions)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	AIDS Resource Center of Wisconsin	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HOPWA	West Virginia Office of Economic Opportunity	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Hale Empowerment and Revitalization Organization (HERO)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	City of Texarkana Arkansas	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	City of Phoenix	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	San Diego Community Housing Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Watts Labor Community Action Committee	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Black Contractors Association of San Diego	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Fresno County Economic Opportunities Commission.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	Youthbuild	Community Services & Employment Training Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Los Angeles Communities Advocating for Unity, Social Justice	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	San Joaquin County Office of Education	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Yuba County Office of Education	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Empower New Haven	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Co-Opportunity, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	ARCH	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Nat'l Assoc. of Former Foster Care Children of America, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Latin American Youth Center	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Sasha Bruce Youthwork, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Greater Miami Services Corps	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	The District Board of Trustees of Pensacola Junior College	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Housing Authority of Lakeland	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	City of Savannah, Georgia	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Southwest Georgia United Empowerment Zone, Inc	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Genesis Housing Development Corp	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Youthbuild Lake County, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Emerson Park Development Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	YouthBuild McLean County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Comprehensive Community Solutions, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	New Life Youth Development Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2007	Youthbuild	The Housing Authority of the city of Evansville	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Louisiana Technical College - Sullivan Campus	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Old Colony-Y	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Community Teamwork, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Lawrence Family Development and Education Fund, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	YWCA of Western Massachusetts	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Just a Start Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Old Colony Y	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Training Resources of America Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	YouthBuild Boston, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Civic Works, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Housing Authority of Baltimore City	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Coastal Enterprises, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Community Action Agency	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Manistee Housing Commission	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Michigan Works	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Young Detroit Builders	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Friedens Neighborhood Foundation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Housing Authority of St. Louis County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	West Jackson Community Development Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	Youthbuild	River City Community Development Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Lincoln Action Program	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	High Plains Community Development Corporation, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Youthbuild Newark, Inc,	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Housing Authority of the City of Camden	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	South Bronx Overall Economic Development Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Buckeye Community Hope Foundation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Akron Summit Community Action, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Future Community Builders	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	ISUS Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Youth Over Us, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Philadelphia Youth for Change	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	The Providence Plan	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	The Housing Authority for the City Spartanburg	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Benedict-Allen Community Development Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Chattanooga Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Alliance for Business and Training	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	La Fe Community Development Center	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	San Antonio Youth Centers, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Alameda Heights Outreach Foundation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	American Youthworks	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2007	Youthbuild	Community Development Corporation of Brownsville	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Employment Resources Incorporated (ERI)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Nooksack Indian Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Southern Appalachian Labor School	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Youthbuild	Human Resources Development and Employment, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Section 4 Capacity Building	Local Initiatives Support Corporation	Congressionally Directed
2006	Section 4 Capacity Building	Enterprise Community Partners, Inc	Congressionally Directed
2006	Section 4 Capacity Building	Habitat for Humanity International	Congressionally Directed
2006	Section 4 Capacity Building	YouthBuild USA	Congressionally Directed
2007	Section 4 Capacity Building	Local Initiatives Support Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Section 4 Capacity Building	Enterprise Community Partners, Inc	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Nottaweseppi Huron Band of Potawatomi, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Lac du Flambeau Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	White Earth Band of the Minnesota Chippewa Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Ho-Chunk Nation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Pokagon Band of Potawatomi Indians	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Poarch Band of Creek Indians	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Red Cliff Band of Lake Superior Chippewas	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Upper Sioux Community	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Fond du Lac Band of Lake Superior Chippewa	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Grand Traverse Band of Ottawa and Chippewa Indians	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Menominee Indian Tribe of Wisconsin	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Red Lake Band of Chippewa Indians of MN	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Absentee-Shawnee Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Chickasaw Nation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Choctaw Nation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Citizen Potawatomi Nation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Eastern Shawnee Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Kaw Nation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Miami Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Ottawa Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Pawnee Nation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Ponca Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Prairie Band of Potawatomi Nation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Quapaw Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Sac and Fox of Oklahoma	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Seneca-Cayuga Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Shawnee Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	United Keetoowah Band of Cherokee Indians	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Native Village of Venetie	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Arctic Village	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Curyung Tribal Council	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Native Village of Pilot Point	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Native Village of Tuntutuliak	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Tlingit Haida Central Council	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Hughes Village	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	St. Paul Island	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Village of Kotlik	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Native Village of Goodnews Bay	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Native Village of Perryville	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Village of Atmautluak	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Orutsararmiut Native Village	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Akiachak Native Community	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Native Village of Akutan	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Akiak Native Community	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Ak-Chin Indian Community	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Bear River Band of Rohnerville Rancheria	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Bishop Paiute Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Cocopah Indian Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Colorado River Indian Tribes	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Dry Creek Rancheria Band of Pomo Indians	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Hopi Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Hualapai Indian Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Karuk Tribe of California	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Mesa Grande Band of Mission Indians	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Moapa Band of Paiute Indians	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2005	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Navajo Nation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Ohkay Owingeh	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Pueblo of Nambe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Pueblo of Sandia	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Quartz Valley Reservation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Robinson Rancheria of Pomo Indians	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	San Pasqual Band of Mission Indians	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	San Xavier District	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Santa Ysabel Band of Diegueno Indians	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Washoe Tribe of Nevada and California	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	White Mountain Apache Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Yurok Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Burns Paiute Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	So. Puget Sound Inter-tribal Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Tulalip Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Kalispel Tribe of Indians	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Squaxin Island Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Klamath Tribes	Criteria published in NOFA

			published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Confederated Tribes of the Grand Ronde Community of OR	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Coeur d'Alene Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Chippewa Cree Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Crow Tribe of Indians	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Ho-Chunk Community Development Corp.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Lower Brule Sioux Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Ponca Tribe of Nebraska	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Three Affiliated Tribes	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Turtle Mountain Band of Chippewa Indians	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Ute Mountain Ute Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Indian Community Development Block Grant*	*Pleasant Point Passamaquoddy Tribe*	*Congressional Earmark for Imminent Threats per NOFA*
2006	*Indian Community Development Block Grant*	*Hughes Village*	*Congressional Earmark for Imminent Threats per NOFA*
2006	*Indian Community Development Block Grant*	*Yurok Tribe*	*Congressional Earmark for Imminent Threats per NOFA*
2006	*Indian Community Development Block Grant*	*Red Cliff Band of Lake Superior Chippewas*	*Congressional Earmark for Imminent Threats per NOFA*
2006	*Indian Community Development Block Grant*	*Keweenaw Bay Indian Community*	*Congressional Earmark for Imminent Threats per NOFA*
2006	*Indian Community Development Block Grant*	*White Mountain Apache Tribe*	*Congressional Earmark for Imminent Threats per NOFA*
2006	*Indian Community Development Block Grant*	*Eastern Cherokee Tribe*	*Congressional Earmark for Imminent Threats per NOFA*
2006	*Indian Community Development Block Grant*	*Trinidad Rancheria*	*Congressional Earmark for Imminent Threats per NOFA*
2006	*Indian Community Development Block Grant*	*St. Croix Tribe*	*Congressional Earmark for Imminent Threats per NOFA*
2006	*Indian Community Development Block Grant*	*Wampanoag Tribe*	*Congressional Earmark for Imminent Threats per NOFA*

2006	*Indian Community Development Block Grant*	*Northern Cheyenne*	*Congressional Earmark for Imminent Threats per NOFA*
2006	*Indian Community Development Block Grant*	*Santa Ysabel Band of Diegueno Indians*	*Congressional Earmark for Imminent Threats per NOFA*
2006	*Indian Community Development Block Grant*	*Blackfeet Tribe*	*Congressional Earmark for Imminent Threats per NOFA*
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Arctic Village	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Asa'carsamiut Tribal Council	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Bear River Band of Rohnerville Rancheria	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Chickasaw Nation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Chignik Bay Tribal Council	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Chilkoot Indian Association	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Chippewa Cree Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Choctaw Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Choctaw Nation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Citizen Potawatomi Nation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Cocopah Indian Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Coeur d'Alene Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Conferated Salish & Kootenai Tribes	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Confederated Tribes of the Chehalis Reservation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Cowlitz Indian Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Crow Tribe of Indians	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Curyung Tribal Council	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Eastern Shawnee Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Ely Shoshone Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Fort Peck Assiniboine and Sioux Tribes	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Grand Traverse Band of Ottawa and Chippewa Indians	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Hannahville Indian Community	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Havasupai Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Hopi Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Hualapai Indian Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Igiugig Village Council	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Kasigluk Traditional Elders Council	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Keweenaw Bay Indian Community	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Kokhanok Village Council	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Lac Courte Oreilles Band of Lake Superior Chippewa	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Laguna Housing Development and Management Enterprise	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Leach Lake Bank of the Minnesota Chippewa Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Little River Band of Ottawa Indians	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Los Coyotes Band of Indians	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Lower Brule Sioux Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Muscogee Creek	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Native Village of Ekuk	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Native Village of Gakona	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Native Village of Kwinhagak	Criteria published in NOFA

			published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Navajo Nation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Nooksack Indian Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	North Fork Rancheria of Mono Indians	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Ohkay Owingeh Pueblo	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Ottawa Tribe of Oklahoma	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Penobscot Tribe of Maine	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Pleasant Point Passamaquoddy Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Pinoleville Pomo Nation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Ponca Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Port Gamble S'Klallam Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Pueblo of Jemez	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Pueblo of San Felipe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Pueblo of Zuni	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Quapaw Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Quartz Valley Indian Reservation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Reno Sparks Indian Colony	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Sac and Fox of Oklahoma	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	San Xavier District	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Sault St. Marie Tribe of Chippewa Indians of MI	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Seneca-Cayuga Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Sisseton-Wahpeton Oyate of the Lake Traverse Reservation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Skagway Traditional Council	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Sokaogon Chippewa Community	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Spokane Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Standing Rock Sioux Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Taos Pueblo Housing	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Turtle Mountain Band of Chippewa Indians	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Twin Hills Village Council	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	United Keetoowah Band of Cherokee Indians	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Village of Venetie	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	White Earth Band of the Minnesota Chippewa Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Wichita & Affiliated Tribes	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Wyandotte Nation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Yakama Nation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	Indian Community Development Block Grant	Yurok Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Indian Community Development Block Grant*	*Chippewa Cree Tribe*	*Congressional Earmark for Imminent Threats per NOFA*
2007	*Indian Community Development Block Grant*	*Keweenaw Bay Indian Community*	*Congressional Earmark for Imminent Threats per NOFA*
2007	*Indian Community Development Block Grant*	*La Jolla Band of Luiseno Mission Indians*	*Congressional Earmark for Imminent Threats per NOFA*
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Rural Alaska Community Action Program, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Chilkot Indian Association	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Asa'carsarmiut Tribal Council	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Hale Empowerment and Revitilization Organization	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Organized Village of Kasaan	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Volunteers of America Southeast, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	International Sonoran Desert Alliance	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Elfrida Citizen's Alliance	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	West Fresno Coalition for Economic Development	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Relational Culture Institute	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Shingle Springs Rancheria	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Better Opportunities Builder, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Bear River Band of Rohnerville Rancheria	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Huerfano/Las Aminas Area Council of Governments	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Everglades Community Association	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Southwest Georgia United Empowerment Zone, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Area Committee To Improve Opportunities Now, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	CDC of Southwest Georgia	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Youthbuild McLean County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Shawnee Development Council, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Purchase Area Housing Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Passamaquoddy Development & Supply Co.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Community Action Agency of South Central Michigan	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Little River Band of Ottawa	Criteria published in NOFA

		Indians	published in Federal Register
2006	Rural Housing & Economic Development	Mid-Delta Empowerment Zone Alliance	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Rural Housing & Economic Development	Fort Peck Assiniboini & Sioux Tribes	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Rural Housing & Economic Development	The Heritage Institute	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Rural Housing & Economic Development	Haliwa-Saponi Indian Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Rural Housing & Economic Development	Turtle Mountain Band of Chippewa Indians	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Rural Housing & Economic Development	Omaha Tribe of Nebraska	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Rural Housing & Economic Development	Santee Sioux Tribe of Nebraska	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Rural Housing & Economic Development	Ho-Chunk Community Development Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Rural Housing & Economic Development	Navajo Partnership for Housing, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Rural Housing & Economic Development	Native American Leading Group, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Rural Housing & Economic Development	Eastern Plains Housing Development Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Rural Housing & Economic Development	Dona Anna County Colonias Development Council	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Rural Housing & Economic Development	Pueblo of Picuris	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Rural Housing & Economic Development	Pueblo De San Iidefonso	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Rural Housing & Economic Development	ACCION New Mexico	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Rural Housing & Economic Development	Pueblo of Pojoaque	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Rural Housing & Economic Development	Fallon Paiute Shoshone Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Rural Housing & Economic Development	Cortland Housing Assistance Council, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Rural Housing & Economic Development	The Lakota Funds	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Rural Housing & Economic Development	Oglala Sioux Tribe Partnership For Housing	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Northeast South Dakota Community Action Program	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	West Tennessee Legal Services, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Buffalo Valley, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Creative Compassion, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	El Paso Empowerment Zone Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Proyecto Azteca	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Community Development Corporation of Brownsville	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Willacy County Industrial Foundation, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Community Development Corporation of South Texas	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Organizacion Progresiva De San Elizario	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Southern Appalachian Labor School	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Stop Abusive Family Enviroments(SAFE), Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Aleutian Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	AVCP Regional Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Chilkoot Indian Association	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Collaborative Solutions, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Hale Empowerment and Revitalization Organization	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Community Resource Group, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Universal Housing Development Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Chicanos Por La Causa, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Big Pine Paiute Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Community Assistance Network	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Northern Circle Indian Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Robinson Rancheria of Pomo Indians	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Walking Shield, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	National Council on Agricultural Life & Labor Research Fund	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Central Florida Community Development Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	JCVision and Associates, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Homeward, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Community Ventures Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Kentucky Highlands Investment Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Purchase Area Housing Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Young Adult Development in Action, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	La. Tech. College Foundation Tallulah	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Macon Ridge Community Development Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Four Directions Development Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Penobscot Indian Nation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Penquis CAP, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Huron Potawatomi, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Keweenaw Bay Indian Community	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Little River Band of Ottawa Indians	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Sault Ste. Marie Tribe of Chippewa Indians	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Midwest Minnesota Community Development Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Tunica County Community Development Coalition, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Browning Community Development Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Crow Tribal Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Haliwa-Saponi Indian Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	The Affordable Housing Group of North Carolina, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Ho-Chunk Community Development Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Community Area Resource Enterprise (CARE 66)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Dona Ana County Colonias Development Council	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	New Mexico Mortgage Finance Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Pueblo of Pojoaque	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	San Juan Pueblo Tribal Council	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	JobStart Chautauqua	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	WSOS Community Action Commission	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Citizen Potawatomi Community Development Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Corporation De Desarrollo Economico de Ceiba, CD	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Community Development Corporation of Marlboro County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Spartanburg Leased Housing Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Four Bands Community Fund, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Mazaska Owecaso Otipi Financial, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Community Development Corporation of Brownsville	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	El Paso Collaborative for Community and Economic Development	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	La Gloria Development Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Motivation Education & Training, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Community Action Center	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Diocese of Yakima Housing Services	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Skokomish Tribal Nation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	*Rural Housing & Economic Development*	Wind River Development Fund	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Natural Disaster Grant/Capital Fund	Miami-Dade HA	Hurricane Wilma
2006	Natural Disaster Grant/Capital Fund	Pahokee HA	Hurricane Wilma
2006	Natural Disaster Grant/Capital Fund	Pompano Beach HA	Hurricane Wilma
2006	Natural Disaster Grant/Capital Fund	Fort Pierce HA	Hurricane Wilma
2006	Natural Disaster Grant/Capital Fund	Stuart HA	Hurricane Wilma
2006	Natural Disaster Grant/Capital Fund	Deefield Beach	Hurricane Wilma
2006	Natural Disaster Grant/Capital Fund	Dania Beach HA	Hurricane Wilma
2006	Natural Disaster Grant/Capital Fund	Boca Raton HA	Hurricane Wilma
2006	Emergency Grant/Capital Fund	Ragland, Alabama HA	Emergency Capital Repair of Imminent health threat to residents - Replacement of Sewage System
2006	Emergency Grant/Capital Fund	Wakefield, Michigan HA	Emergency Capital Repair of Imminent health threat to residents - Roof Replacement
2007	Natural Disaster Grant/Capital Fund	Rahway, New Jersey HA	Repair Flood Damage
2007	Natural Disaster Grant/Capital Fund	Lodi, New Jeersey HA	Repair Flood Damage
2007	Emergency Grant/Capital Fund	Temple, Texas HA	Emergency Capital Repair of Imminent health threat to residents - Replace brick façade of building
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Mobile Housing Board	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Prichard Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Tuscaloosa Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of Lonoke	Criteria published in NOFA

		County	published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	City of Phoenix Housing Department	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Big Pine Paiute Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the City of Oxnard	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the County of Kern	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the County of Riverside	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the County of San Bernardino	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	San Diego Housing Commission	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the City & County of Denver	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the City of Bridgeport	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the City of Hartford	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Meriden Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	The Housing Authority of the City of Norwalk	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Wilmington Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the City of Fort Myers	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the City of Orlando, Florida	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the City of Sarasota	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Jacksonville Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Carrollton Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of Columbus, Georgia	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Coeur D'alene Tribal Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	Neighborhood Networks	Decatur Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Rockford Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	The Housing Authority of the City of Bloomington	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the City of Fort Wayne, Indiana	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Indianapolis Housing Agency	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Lawrence-Douglas County Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Salina Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of Martin	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of Owensboro	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of Somerset	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Louisville Metro Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Cambridge Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Commonwealth Tenants Association	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Lynn Housing Authority & Neighborhood Development	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Springfield Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of Baltimore City	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Opportunities Commission of Montgomery County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Keweenaw Bay Ojibwa Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Pontiac Housing Commission	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of Kansas City, Missouri	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of St. Louis County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the City of Independence, MO	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	St. Louis Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Charlotte Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Greensboro Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the City of Asheville, NC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the City of High Point	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the City of Omaha	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Atlantic City Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the City of Camden	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the Township of Woodbridge	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the City of Las Vegas	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Binghamton Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Municipal Housing Authority of the City of Schenectady	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	New York Agency for Community Affairs	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	New York City Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Rochester Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Syracuse Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	White Plains Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Portage Metropolitan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Warren Metropolitan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	Neighborhood Networks	Youngstown Metropolitan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the City of Muskogee	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the City of Shawnee, OK	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the City of Tulsa	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of Clackamas County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Allegheny County Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the City of Columbia,SC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the City of Spartanburg	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	North Charleston Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Oglala Sioux Tribe Partnership for Housing	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Kingsport Housing & Redevelopment Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Memphis Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	ACORN Institute	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	ACORN Institute	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	ACORN Institute	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	City of San Marcos Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the City of Austin	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the City of Beaumont	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the City of El Paso	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	The Housing Authority of Galveston, Texas	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	The Housing Authority of the City of Dallas, Texas (DHA)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of Salt Lake City	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Chesapeake Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Danville Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Fairfax County Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Franklin Redevelopment & Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Norfolk Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Pleasant View Tenant Association, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Portsmouth Redevelopment & Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Roanoke Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Urban League of Greater Richmond, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Waynesboro Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Burlington Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Hopelink	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the City of Tacoma	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the City of Vancouver	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	King County Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	The Housing Authority of the City of Everett	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Ho-Chunk Housing and Community Development Agency	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Neighborhood Networks	Housing Authority of the City of Milwaukee	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Jefferson County Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	PH FSS	Mobile Housing Board	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	The City of Montgomery Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	The Housing Authority of The City of Huntsville	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Tuscaloosa Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of West Memphis	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	City of Phoenix Housing Department	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	City of Tucson	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Yuma	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Madera	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of San Buenaventura	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of San Luis Obispo	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Santa Barbara	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Kern	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Marin	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the County of San Bernardino	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the County of San Joaquin	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Stanislaus	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	San Diego Housing Commission	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Adams County Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Boulder Housing Partners aba Housing Authority Boulder City	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Fort Collins Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City & County of Denver	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of New Haven	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Norwalk	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Meriden Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	The Housing Authority City of Stamford	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Dover Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Fort Pierce Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Hialeah Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Brevard County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Lakeland	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Fort Myers	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Tampa	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Jacksonville Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Tallahassee Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Bradenton, Florida	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	The Housing Authority of The City of Daytona Beach	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	West Palm Beach Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Albany, GA	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Macon Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Northwest Georgia Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	PH FSS	Housing and Community Development Corporation of Hawaii	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	City of Des Moines, Municipal Housing Agency	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Eastern Iowa Regional Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Nampa Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Champaign County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Macoupin County Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Peoria Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Quincy Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Rockford Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Delaware County, Indiana	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Terre Haute	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Indianapolis Housing Agency	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	New Albany Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Lawrence-Douglas County Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Manhattan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Bowling Green	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Louisville Metro Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Jefferson Parish	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Holyoke Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Lynn Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Quincy Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Baltimore City	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of St. Mary's County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority Washington County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Commission of Anne Arundel County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Opportunities Commission	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Rockville Housing Enterprises	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Hagerstown	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Lewiston Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Portland Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Brewer	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Grand Rapids Housing Commission	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Muskegon Housing Commission	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Saginaw Housing Commission	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing and Redevelopment Authority of Virginia	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Washington County Housing and Redevelopment Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Kansas City, Missouri	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	St. Louis Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Natchez Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Biloxi	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Meridian	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Burlington Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA

			published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	City of Concord Housing Department	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Gastonia Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Greensboro Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Asheville, NC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Durham	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Greenville	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of High Point	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Kinston, North Carolina	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Winston-Salem	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Lexington Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Statesville Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Lincoln, Nebraska	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Omaha	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Kearney Housing Agency	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Keene Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Atlantic City Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Millville Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	City of Albuquerque Housing Services	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Clovis Housing & Redevelopment Agency, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Santa Fe Civic Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	PH FSS	Santa Fe County Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Taos County Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Truth or Consequences Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Las Vegas	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Reno	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Clark, Nevada	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Buffalo Municipal Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Cohoes Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Geneva Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Monticello Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Municipal Housing Authority of the City of Schenectady	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	New Rochelle Municipal Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Akron Metropolitan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Butler Metropolitan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Chillicothe Metropolitan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Lorain Metropolitan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Trumbull Metropolitan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Youngstown Metropolitan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Zanesville Metropolitan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Lawton, Oklahoma	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Muskogee	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Shawnee, OK	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Tulsa	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing and Community Services Agency of Lane County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority & Urban Renewal Agency of Polk County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Jackson County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Portland (Oregon)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Salem	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Umatilla Reservation Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Allegheny County Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Northumberland County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of York	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Philadelphia Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Westmoreland County Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Providence	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	North Charleston Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	The Housing Authority City of Charleston	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Greenville, SC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Spartanburg	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Crossville Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Jackson Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	PH FSS	Kingsport Housing & Redevelopment Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Metropolitan Development & Housing Agency	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	City of San Marcos Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Austin	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Beaumont	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Fort Worth	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Waco	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Hidalgo	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Dallas, Texas (DHA)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of San Antonio	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Salt Lake City	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Salt Lake	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Chesapeake Redevelopment & Hsg. Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Danville Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Fairfax County Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Harrisonburg Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Newport News Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Norfolk Redevelopment & Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Portsmouth Redevelopment & Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Richmond Redevelopment Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Roanoke Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2006	PH FSS	Waynesboro Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Bremerton	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Tacoma	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Seattle Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Charleston Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Parkersburg Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Wheeling Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Cheyenne	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Mobile Housing Board	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Lutheran Social Ministry of the Southwest	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	The Housing Authority of the County of Los Angeles	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Housing Authority of the City of Tampa	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Atlanta Regional Commission	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Housing and Community Development Corporation of Hawaii	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Chicago Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	The Housing Authority of the City of New Albany, Indiana	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	City of Wichita Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Housing Authority of Catlettsburg	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Housing Authority of Corbin	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Springfield Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Grand Rapids Housing Commission	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Housing Authority of St. Louis Park	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Natchez Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Public Housing Authority of Butte	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Gastonia Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Dover Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Garfield Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Housing Authority of Gloucester County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Housing Authority of the Township of Woodbridge	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Catholic Family Center	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Ithaca Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	White Plains Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Columbiana Metropolitan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Stark Metropolitan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Mercer County Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Martin Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	City of San Marcos Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Housing Authority of the City of El Paso	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Bennington Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Rutland Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Neighborhood House	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Neighborhood House	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Friends of Housing Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Wheeling Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Mobile Housing Board	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Prichard Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Tuscaloosa Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of Lonoke County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	City of Phoenix Housing Department	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Big Pine Paiute Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the City of Oxnard	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the County of Kern	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the County of Riverside	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the County of San Bernardino	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	San Diego Housing Commission	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the City & County of Denver	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the City of Bridgeport	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the City of Hartford	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Meriden Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	The Housing Authority of the City of Norwalk	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Wilmington Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the City of Fort Myers	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the City of Orlando, Florida	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the City of Sarasota	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Jacksonville Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Carrollton Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of Columbus, Georgia	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Coeur D'alene Tribal Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Decatur Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Rockford Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	The Housing Authority of the City of Bloomington	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the City of Fort Wayne, Indiana	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Indianapolis Housing Agency	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Lawrence-Douglas County Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Salina Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of Martin	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of Owensboro	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of Somerset	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Louisville Metro Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Cambridge Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Commonwealth Tenants Association	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Lynn Housing Authority & Neighborhood Development	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2006	ROSS Family	Springfield Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of Baltimore City	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Opportunities Commission of Montgomery County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Keweenaw Bay Ojibwa Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Pontiac Housing Commission	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of Kansas City, Missouri	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of St. Louis County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the City of Independence, MO	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	St. Louis Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Charlotte Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Greensboro Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the City of Asheville, NC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the City of High Point	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the City of Omaha	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Atlantic City Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the City of Camden	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the Township of Woodbridge	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the City of Las Vegas	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Binghamton Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Municipal Housing Authority of the City of Schenectady	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	ROSS Family	New York Agency for Community Affairs	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	New York City Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Rochester Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Syracuse Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	White Plains Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Portage Metropolitan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Warren Metropolitan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Youngstown Metropolitan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the City of Muskogee	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the City of Shawnee, OK	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the City of Tulsa	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of Clackamas County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Allegheny County Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the City of Columbia,SC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the City of Spartanburg	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	North Charleston Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Oglala Sioux Tribe Partnership for Housing	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Kingsport Housing & Redevelopment Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Memphis Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	ACORN Institute	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	ACORN Institute	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2006	ROSS Family	ACORN Institute	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	City of San Marcos Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the City of Austin	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the City of Beaumont	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the City of El Paso	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	The Housing Authority of Galveston, Texas	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	The Housing Authority of the City of Dallas, Texas (DHA)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of Salt Lake City	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Chesapeake Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Danville Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Fairfax County Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Franklin Redevelopment & Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Norfolk Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Pleasant View Tenant Association, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Portsmouth Redevelopment & Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Roanoke Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Urban League of Greater Richmond, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Waynesboro Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Burlington Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Hopelink	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the City of Tacoma	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the City of Vancouver	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	King County Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	The Housing Authority of the City of Everett	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Ho-Chunk Housing and Community Development Agency	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	ROSS Family	Housing Authority of the City of Milwaukee	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Alaska Housing Finance Corporation	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Albertville Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Alexander City Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Bessemer Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Florence Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the Birmingham District	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Decatur, Alabama	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Leeds Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Mobile Housing Board	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Prichard Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Phenix City, AL	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Tuscaloosa Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Conway County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Fort Smith Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Lonoke County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Hope	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Pine Bluff	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Jonesboro Urban Renewal & Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Lee County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	McGehee Public Residential Housing Facilities Board	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Mississippi County Public Facilities Board	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	North Little Rock Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Northwest Regional Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Pope County Public Facilities Board	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Pulaski County Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	White River Regional Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Wynne Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Chandler, City of	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Mesa Housing Services Division	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Phoenix Housing Department	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Scottsdale Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Tempe Housing Services	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Tucson	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Cochise County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Maricopa County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Yuma	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Mohave, County of	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Yuma County Housing Department	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Area Housing Authority of the County of Ventura	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Anaheim Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Benicia Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Norwalk	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Oceanside Community Development Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Santa Monica Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Consolidated Area Housing Authority of Sutter County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Culver City Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	El Dorado County Community Services	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Fairfield Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Fresno	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Glendale	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Long Beach	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Madera	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Napa	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Oxnard	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Redding	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of San Buenaventura	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of San Luis Obispo	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Santa Ana	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Santa Barbara	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Upland, CA	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Vallejo	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Alameda	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Fresno	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Kings	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Marin	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Monterey	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Riverside	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of San Bernardino	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of San Diego	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of San Joaquin	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of San Mateo	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Santa Barbara	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Santa Cruz	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Stanislaus	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Imperial Valley Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Oakland Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	HCV FSS	Orange County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Pasadena Community Development Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Pico Rivera Housing Assistance Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Roseville Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	San Diego Housing Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	San Francisco Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Shasta County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Solano County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Sonoma County Community Development Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of the County of Los Angeles	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Vacaville Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Yuba County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Adams County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Arvada Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Boulder County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Colorado Division of Housing, Department of Local Affairs	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Fort Collins Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Grand Junction Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City & County of Denver	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Aurora	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Colorado Springs	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Englewood	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Loveland	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Pueblo	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Jefferson County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Lakewood Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Bristol Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Fedoral Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Meriden	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of New Haven	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Norwalk	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	District of Columbia Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Boca Raton Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Broward County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Pensacola Department of Housing	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Clearwater Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	County of Volusia, Florida	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Deerfield Beach Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Hernando County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Hialeah Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Hollywood Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Lakeland	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Fort Lauderdale	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Miami Beach	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Tampa	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Jacksonville Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Lee County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Miami-Dade Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Ocala Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Pasco County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Daytona Beach	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Orlando, Florida	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	West Palm Beach Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Marietta-Housing Choice Voucher Program	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Georgia Department of Community Affairs	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Fulton County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Savannah	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Jonesboro	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Marietta	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Northwest Georgia Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Atlanta, Georgia	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Augusta, Georgia	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority, City of Brunswick	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City and County of Honolulu	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Hawaii County Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing and Community Development Corporation of Hawaii	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Kauai County Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Central Iowa Regional Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Cedar Rapids	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Des Moines Municipal Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Dubuque	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Sioux City Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Eastern Iowa Regional Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Iowa City Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Mid Iowa Regional Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Municipal Housing Agency of Council Bluffs, Iowa	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Municipal Housing Agency of the City of Fort Dodge	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Northeast Nebraska Joint Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Region XII Regional Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Southern Iowa Regional Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Waterloo Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Ada County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Boise City Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	HCV FSS	Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Southwestern Idaho Cooperative Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Chicago Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	DuPage Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Marion County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Bloomington	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of East St. Louis	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Rock Island	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Kankakee County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Menard County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Peoria Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Rockford Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Springfield Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Winnebago County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority City of Peru	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority City of Vincennes	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of South Bend	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Bloomington	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Columbus	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Goshen	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Hammond	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Marion, IN	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Terre Haute	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority, City of Elkhart	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Indianapolis Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Knox County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Kokomo Housing Authority of the City of Kokomo, IN	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Kokomo Housing Authority of the City of Kokomo, IN	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Lafayette Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Logansport Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Muncie	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Olathe Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Wichita Kansas	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Atchison, Kansas	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Lawrence-Douglas County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Manhattan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Salina Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Topeka Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Barbourville Urban Renewal & Community Development Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Boone County Fiscal Court	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Campbell County Department of Housing	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	HCV FSS	Campbellsville Housing & Redevelopment Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Bowling Green Section 8	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Covington	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Paducah Section 8 Housing Program	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Richmond Section 8 Housing	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Georgetown Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Cynthiana	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Newport, KY	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Somerset	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Kentucky Housing Corporation	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Lexington-Fayette Urban County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Louisville Metro Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Pineville / Bell County Urban Renewal and Community Development	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Calcasieu Parish Housing Department	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Monroe	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the Parish of Natchitoches	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Jefferson Parish Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Acton Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Attleboro Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Boston Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	HCV FSS	Braintree Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Brockton Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Chelmsford Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Chelsea Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Dedham Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Framingham Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Gardner Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Gloucester Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Greenfield Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Holyoke Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Leominster Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Lowell Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Lynn Housing Authority & Neighborhood Development	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Melrose Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Methuen Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	North Andover Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Pittsfield Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Plymouth Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Somerville Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Wakefield Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Woburn Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Worcester Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Baltimore County Department of Social Services, Housing Offc	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Cecil County Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Westminster	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Commissioners of Carroll County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Harford County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Baltimore City	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Calvert County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of St. Mary's County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Frederick	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Hagerstown	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Washington County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Opportunities Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Howard County Government	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Maryland Department of Housing and Community Development	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Queen Anne's County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Rockville Housing Enterprises	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Augusta Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Caribou Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	HCV FSS	Lewiston Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Maine State Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Portland Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Westbrook Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Grand Rapids Housing Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Grayling Housing Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Inkster Housing Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Kent County Housing Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Michigan State Housing Development Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Muskegon Housing Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Plymouth Housing Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Pontiac Housing Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Saginaw Housing Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Traverse City Housing Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Westland Housing Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Brainerd Housing and Redevelopment Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Dakota County CDA	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing & Redevelopment Authority of Clay County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing & Redevelopment Authority of Duluth, MN	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of St. Louis Park	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Redevelopment Authority of Virginia	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Mankato Economic Development Authority / Blue Earth County EDA	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Metropolitan Council	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Northwest Minnesota Multi-County HRA	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Scott County Housing and Redevelopment Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	South Central Minnesota Multi-County HRA	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Southeastern Minnesota Multi-County HRA	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Washington County Housing and Redevelopment Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Franklin County Public Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Kansas City, Missouri	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of St. Louis County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Columbia, Missouri	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Liberty, Missouri	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Springfield	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Jasper County Public Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Northeast Community Action Corp. - DBA - Lincoln County PHA	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Phelps County Public Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Ripley County Public Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	St. Clair County PHA	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	St. Francois County PHA	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	St. Louis Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	HCV FSS	Mississippi Regional Housing Authority IV	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Mississippi Regional Housing Authority No. V	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Mississippi Regional Housing Authority No. VII	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	North Delta Regional Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Tennessee Valley Regional Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of City of Meridian	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Biloxi	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Jackson, MS	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Billings	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Missoula Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Charlotte Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Chatham County Housing Autority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Concord Housing Department	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Coastal Community Action, Inc.	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Eastern Carolina Human Services Agency, Inc.	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Gastonia Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Greensboro Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Asheville	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Greenville	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of High Point	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Wilmington N.C.	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Winston-Salem	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the Town of Laurinburg	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Isothermal Planning & Development Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Mountain Projects, Inc.	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Mountain Projects, Inc.	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Northwestern Regional Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Sandhills Community Action Program, Inc.	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Sanford Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Statesville Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Twin Rivers Opportunities, Inc.	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Western Carolina Community Action	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Fargo Housing and Redevelopment Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Minot Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Grand Forks ND	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Goldenrod Regional Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Lincoln, Nebraska	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Omaha	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Dover Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Laconia Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Manchester Housing and Redevelopment Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	HCV FSS	Nashua Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	New Hampshire Housing Finance Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Atlantic City Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	County of Burlington	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Department of Community Affairs	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Fort Lee Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority County of Morris	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Long Branch	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the Borough of Carteret	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the Borough of Glassboro	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the Borough of Madison	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Camden	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of East Orange	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Jersey City	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Paterson	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Perth Amboy	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the Town of Boonton	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the Township of Brick	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the Township of Weehawken	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the Township of Woodbridge	Pribrity Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority Town of Dover	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Autority of Gloucester County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Lakewood Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Lakewood Tenants Organization, Inc.	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Millville Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Monmouth County Public Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of Plainfield	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Warren County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	State Total	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Bernalillo County Housing Department	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Clovis Housing & Redevelopment Agency, Inc.	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Regional Housing Authority of Region VI New Mexico Inc	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Santa Fe Civic Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Santa Fe County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Socorro County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Taos County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Truth or Consequences Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Village of Los Lunas Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Las Vegas	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of North Las Vegas	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Reno	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Clark, Nevada	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Albany Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Amsterdam Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Fulton Community Development Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of North Tonawanda PHA, Belmont Shelter Corp.	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Oswego Community Development Office	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Utica Section 8 Program	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Cohoes Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Erie County PHA Consortium, Belmont Shelter Corp., Agent	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Geneva Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Gloversville Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Ithaca Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Jamestown Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Monticello Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Municipal Housing Authority of the City of Schenectady	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	New Rochelle Municipal Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	New York State HFA / Division of Housing & Community Renewal	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	New York State HFA/DHCR	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	North Fork Housing Alliance, Inc.	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	North Hempstead Housing Authority Inc.	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Poughkeepsie Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Rental Assistance Corporation of Buffalo	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Rochester Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Syracuse Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Town of Babylon Housing Assistance Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Town of Brookhaven	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Town of Colonie	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Town of Guilderland	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Town of Poughkeepsie Section 8 Housing Program	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Town of Rotterdam	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Town of Smithtown	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Village of Ballston Spa	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Village of Corinth	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Village of Kiryas Joel Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Village of Scotia	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Adams Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Akron Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Allen Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Cambridge Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Chillicothe Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Marietta, Ohio/PHA	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	HCV FSS	City of Middletown, Ohio	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	CMHA	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Cuyahoga Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Dayton Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Delaware Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Erie Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Fairfield Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Fayette Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Hamilton County PHA	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Jackson Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Jefferson Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Knox Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Lake Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Logan County Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Lorain Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Lucas Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Medina Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Morgan Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Morrow Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Pickaway Metro Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Pike Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Portage Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Springfield Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	The Meigs Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Trumbull Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Tuscarawas Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Vinton Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Wayne Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Zanesville Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Lawton, Oklahoma	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Shawnee, OK	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Stillwater	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Tulsa	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Norman Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Oklahoma City Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Central Oregon Regional Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority & Community Services Agency of Lane County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority and Urban Renewal Agency of Polk County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Clackamas County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Jackson County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Lincoln County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Malheur County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Portland	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Salem	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Washington County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Yamhill County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Linn-Benton Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Mid Columbia Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Northeast Oregon Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Northwest Oregon Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Adams County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Allegheny County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Altoona Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Clarion County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Delaware County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Fayette County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Centre County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Northumberland County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Lancaster	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Pittsburgh	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of York	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Armstrong	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Butler	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Chester	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Dauphin	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Union	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing/Redevelopment Authority of Cumberland County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Lancaster County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Montgomery County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Philadelphia Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Westmoreland County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Municipality of Aguas Buenas	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Municipality of Juana Diaz	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Municipality of Yabucoa	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Bristol Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Central Falls Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Coventry Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Cumberland Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	East Providence Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Providence	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the Town of East Greenwich	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority Pawtucket	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Narragansett Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Rhode Island Housing & Mortgage Finance Corporation	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Town of North Providence Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Woonsocket Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Beaufort Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Anderson	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Myrtle Beach Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	SC State Housing Finance & Development Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority City of Charleston	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Greenville, SC	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Greenwood	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Spartanburg	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Brookings County Housing and Redevelopment Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Mobridge Housing and Redevelopment Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Sioux Falls Housing and Redevelopment Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Chattanooga Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Crossville Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	East Tennessee Human Resource Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Jackson Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Kingsport Housing and Redevelopment Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Knoxvilles Community Development Corporation	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Memphis Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Metropolitan Development & Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Oak Ridge Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Tennessee Housing Development Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Brownsville Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Cameron County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Amarillo	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Garland Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Longview Housing/Community Development	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of San Marcos Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Deep East Texas Council of Governments	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Galveston Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Mission, Texas	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Anthony	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Arlington	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Austin	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Beaumont	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of El Paso	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Fort Worth	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Houston	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Kingsville	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Pharr	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Plano	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Port Isabel	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of San Angelo	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Waco	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Hidalgo	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Laredo Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Midland County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Montgomery County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	San Antonio Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Tarrant County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Texoma Council of Governments	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Dallas, Texas (DHA)	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Walker County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Cedar City Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Davis Community Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Salt Lake City	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Ogden	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Salt Lake	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Utah County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Provo City Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Alexandria Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Chesapeake Redevelopment & Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Virginia Beach	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	County of Loudoun	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Danville Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Fairfax County Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Hampton Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Harrisonburg Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	James City County Office of Housing & Community Development	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Newport News Redevelopment & Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Norfolk Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Petersburg Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Portsmouth Redevelopment & Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Prince William County Office OHCD	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Richmond Redevelopment Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Roanoke Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Suffolk Redevelopment & Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Virginia Housing Development Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2006	HCV FSS	Waynesboro Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Burlington Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Vermont State Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	City of Longview Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority City of Kelso	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Chelan & the City of Wenatchee	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Island County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Snohomish County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Everett	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Richland Washington	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Tacoma	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Clallam	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Thurston County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	King County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Kitsap County Consolidated Housing Autority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Pierce County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Seattle Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Appleton Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Beloit Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Brown County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Dunn County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Racine County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Superior, Wisconsin	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Benwood Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Charleston Housing	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Clarksburg Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Greenbrier Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Harrison County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Mingo County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	Parkersburg Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	HCV FSS	The Huntington West Virginia Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the Birmingham District	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Mobile Housing Board	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Huntsville	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Decatur, Alabama	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Florence Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Tuscaloosa Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Albertville Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Bessemer Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Prichard Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Alexander City Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	HCV FSS	Alaska Housing Finance Corporation	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Phoenix Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Tucson	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Mesa Housing Services Division	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Maricopa County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Pinal County Division of Housing	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Yuma County Housing Department	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Chandler, City of	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Tempe Housing Services	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Scottsdale Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Family Self Sufficiency Program	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Yuma	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Mohave, County of	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	North Little Rock Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Fort Smith Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Northwest Regional Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Pine Bluff	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of West Memphis	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Hot Springs	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Wynne Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Lonoke County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Hope	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Jonesboro Urban Renewal and Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	White River Regional Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Pope County Public Facilities Board	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Mississippi County Public Facilities Board	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Lee County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Pulaski County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	McGehee Public Residential Housing Facilities Board	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	San Francisco Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Oakland Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Fresno	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Contra Costa	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of San Mateo	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Stanislaus	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Fresno	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Monterey	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Benicia	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Marin	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Kings	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Vallejo HD Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of San Jose	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Santa Clara	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Fairfield Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Alameda	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Madera	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Santa Cruz	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	The City of Napa Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Sonoma County Community Development Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Vacaville Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Solano County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of San Bernardino	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Santa Barbara	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Riverside	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Oxnard	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of San Buenaventura	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	San Diego Housing Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of San Luis Obispo	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Santa Barbara	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Pasadena Community Development Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Area Housing Authority of the County of Ventura	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Santa Ana	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Orange County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Anaheim Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of San Diego	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Culver City Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Glendale	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Pico Rivera Housing Assistance Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Norwalk	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Pomona Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Oceanside Community Development Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Imperial Valley Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of San Joaquin	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Consolidated Area Housing Authority of Sutter County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Shasta County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Redding	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Yuba County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Roseville Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	El Dorado County Community Services	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City and County of Denver	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Pueblo	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Colorado Springs	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	HCV FSS	Fort Collins Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Englewood	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Lakewood Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Arvada Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Grand Junction Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Aurora	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Adams County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Boulder County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Jefferson County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Colorado Department of Local Affairs, Division of Housing	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Norwalk	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of New Haven	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Meriden	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Ansonia	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	West Hartford Housing Corporation	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Tampa	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Miami-Dade Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	West Palm Beach Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Fort Lauderdale	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Lakeland	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Miami Beach	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Bradenton, FL	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Pompano Beach	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Fort Myers	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Punta Gorda Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Hialeah Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Clearwater Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Broward County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Palm Beach County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Deerfield Beach Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Delray Beach Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Boca Raton Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Hollywood Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Jacksonville Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Orlando, Florida	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority City of Daytona Beach	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Ocala Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Pensacola Housing Department	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Pasco County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Walton County Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	County of Volusia	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	HCV FSS	Hernando County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Augusta, Georgia	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Savannah	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Atlanta, Georgia	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority, City of Brunswick	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Marietta	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Carrollton Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of College Park	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Fulton County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Marietta-Housing Choice Voucher Program	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Northwest Georgia Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Georgia Department of Community Affairs	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Hawaii County Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City and County of Honolulu	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Kauai, County of; DBA Kauai County Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	State of Hawaii	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Boise City Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Southwestern Idaho Cooperative Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Ada County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of East St. Louis	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Chicago Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Peoria Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Springfield Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Rock Island	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Rockford Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Menard County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Kankakee County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Bloomington	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Marion County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Dupage Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority City of Vincennes	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Fort Wayne, Indiana	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Kokomo Housing Authority of the City of Kokomo, IN	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Hammond	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of South Bend	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Indianapolis Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	The Michigan City Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Terre Haute	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Bloomington	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority City of Elkhart	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Marion, IN	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Columbus Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Knox County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Lafayette Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority City of Peru	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Logansport Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Goshen	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Sioux City Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Des Moines Municipal Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Iowa City Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Municipal Housing Agency of Council Bluffs, IA	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Cedar Rapids	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Dubuque	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Municipal Housing Agency of the City of Fort Dodge	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Southern Iowa Regional Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Region XII Regional Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Eastern Iowa Regional Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Central Iowa Regional Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Mid Iowa Regional Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Northeast Nebraska Joint HA	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Topeka Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Wichita Kansas	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Salina Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Olathe, City of	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Lawrence-Douglas County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Manhattan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Louisville Metro Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Somerset	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Cynthiana	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Campbellsville Housing & Redevelopment Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Georgetown Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Lexington-Fayette Urban County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Richmond Section 8 Housing	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Covington CDA	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Boone County Fiscal Court	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Campbell County Department of Housing	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Paducah Section 8 Housing	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Pineville/Bell County Urban Renewal and Community Development Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Barbourville Urban Renewal & CDA	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Floyd County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Cumberland Valley Regional Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	HCV FSS	Kentucky Housing Corporation	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of New Orleans	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Monroe	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Jefferson Parish Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the Parish of Natchitoches	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Calcasieu Parish Police Jury Housing Department	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Terrebonne, Parish of	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Portland Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Lewiston Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Bangor Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Westbrook Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Caribou	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Augusta Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Maine State Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Baltimore City	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Frederick	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Hagerstown	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of St. Mary's County, Maryland	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Calvert County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Howard County Government	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Harford County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Westminster	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Washington County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Cecil County Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Commissioners of Carroll County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Baltimore County Department of Social Services Housing Office	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Queen Anne's County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Maryland Department of Housing and Community Development	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Opportunities Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Lowell Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Boston Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Holyoke Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Worcester Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Chelsea Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Taunton Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Attleboro Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Woburn Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Quincy Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Lynn Housing Authority & Neighborhood Development	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Brockton Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Gloucester Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	HCV FSS	Framingham Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Somerville Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Dedham Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Braintree Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Acton Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Plymouth Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Melrose Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Wakefield Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Methuen Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Leominster Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Greenfield Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	North Andover Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Chelmsford Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Gardner Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Pontiac Housing Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Saginaw Housing Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Plymouth Housing Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Westland	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Michigan State Housing Development Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Muskegon Housing Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Grand Rapids Housing Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Wyoming Housing Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Kent County Housing Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing & Redevelopment Authority of Duluth, MN	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing and Redevelopment Authority of Virginia	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Brainerd Housing and Redevelopment Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Mankato Economic Development Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of St. Louis Park	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Dakota County Community Development Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Northwest Minnesota Multi-County HRA	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Metropolitan Council	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing & Redevelopment Authority of Clay County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Scott County Housing and Redevelopment Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Southeastern Minnesota Multi-County HRA	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Washington County Housing and Redevelopment Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	South Central MN Multi-County HRA	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Meridian	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Biloxi	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Tennessee Valley Regional Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Mississippi Regional Housing Authority IV	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	HCV FSS	MS Regional Housing Authority No. V	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Mississippi Regional Housing Authority Number VII	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Mississippi Regional Housing Authority VI	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Jackson, MS	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	North Delta Regional Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Kansas City, Missouri	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Springfield, Missouri	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	St. Clair County PHA	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Liberty, Missouri	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Jasper County Public Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	St. Louis Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of St. Louis County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Columbia, Missouri	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	North East Community Action Corp., dba Lincoln County PHA	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Saint Francois County Public Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Franklin County Public Housing	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Phelps County Public Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Ripley County Public Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Billings	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Missoula Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Omaha	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Lincoln, Nebraska	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Douglas County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Goldenrod Regional Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Reno	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Las Vegas	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of North Las Vegas	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Clark, Nevada	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Manchester Housing and Redevelopment Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Dover Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	New Hampshire Housing Finance Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Perth Amboy	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Long Branch	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Jersey City	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Camden	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Atlantic City Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Paterson	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Orange	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the Township of Woodbridge	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of Plainfield	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of East Orange	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the Borough of Glassboro	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the Town of Boonton	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Lakewood Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Millville Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the Township of Brick	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority Town of Dover	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Fort Lee Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority County of Morris	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Monmouth County Public Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the Borough of Madison	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Gloucester County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Lakewood Tenants Organization, Inc.	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	County of Burlington, New Jersey	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	NJ Department of Community Affairs	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Sante Fe Civic Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Truth or Consequences Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Taos County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Santa Fe County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Bernalillo County Housing Department	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Region VI Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Clovis Housing & Redevelopment Agency, Inc.	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Town of Huntington Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Monticello Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	North Hempstead Housing Authority Inc.	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	New Rochelle Municipal Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Village of Highland Falls	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Town of Babylon Housing Assistance Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	North Fork Housing Alliance, Inc.	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Town of Smithtown	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Village of Kiryas Joel Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Town of Poughkeepsie Section 8 Housing Program NY568	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	New York State HFA/Division of Housing & Community Renewal	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Syracuse Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Buffalo Municipal Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Albany Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Troy Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Mechanicville Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Jamestown Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Cohoes Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Oswego Community Development Office	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Municipal Housing Authority of the City of Schenectady	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	HCV FSS	Rochester Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Geneva Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Gloversville Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Ithaca Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Amsterdam Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Erie County PHA Consortium, Belmont Shelter Corp.	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of North Tonawanda PHA, Belmont Shelter Corp., Agent	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Town of Colonie	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Rental Assistance Corporation of Buffalo	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Town of Guilderland	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Johnstown	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Utica Section 8 Program	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Fulton Community Development Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Village of Scotia	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Town of Rotterdam	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Village of Corinth	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Village of Ballston Spa	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	New York State HFA/Division of Housing & Community Renewal	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Wilmington, N.C.	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Charlotte	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Kinston, North Carolina	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of High Point	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Asheville	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Concord Housing Department	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Greensboro Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Winston-Salem	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the Town of Laurinburg	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Greenville, NC	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Sanford Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Chatham County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	East Spencer Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Western Carolina Community Action	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Coastal Community Action, Inc.	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Eastern Carolina Human Services Agency, Inc.	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Sandhills Community Action Program, Inc.	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Twin Rivers Opportunities, Inc	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Mountain Projects Inc.	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Isothermal Planning & Development Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Northwestern Regional Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Grand Forks ND	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Fargo Housing and Redevelopment Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	HCV FSS	Minot Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	CMHA	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Dayton Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Adams Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Clinton Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Middletown	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Cuyahoga Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Lucas Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Akron Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Trumbull Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Lorain Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Jefferson Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Lake Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Medina Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Erie Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Portage Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Wayne Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Tuscarawas Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Parma Public Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Zanesville Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Springfield Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Chillicothe Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Cambridge Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Meigs Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Jackson Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Allen Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Knox Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Fayette Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Pickaway Metro Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Pike Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Morgan Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Fairfield Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Logan County Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Vinton Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Delaware Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Morrow Metropolitan Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Oklahoma City Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Broken Bow	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Tulsa	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Shawnee, OK	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Norman	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Stillwater	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Oklahoma Housing Finance Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Clackamas County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Portland (HAP)	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Douglas County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Lincoln County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority & Community Services Agency of Lane County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority and Urban Renewal Agency of Polk County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Salem	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Marion County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Jackson County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Yamhill County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Linn-Benton Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Washington County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Mid Columbia Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Malheur County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Northwest Oregon Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Northeast Oregon Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Central Oregon Regional Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Philadelphia Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Montgomery County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Lycoming	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of York	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Delaware County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Dauphin	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Lancaster	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Chester	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Northumberland County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing/Redevelopment Authority of Cumberland County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Union	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Lancaster County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Adams County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Allegheny County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Butler	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Erie	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Fayette County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Westmoreland County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Altoona Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Armstrong	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Clarion County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Municipality of Juana Diaz	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	HCV FSS	Municipality of Yabucoa	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Municipality of Aguas Buenas	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Providence	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Pawtucket	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Central Falls Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	East Providence Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Cumberland Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Coventry Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Town of North Providence Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the Town of East Greenwich	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Narragansett Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Rhode Island Housing	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority City of Charleston	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Spartanburg	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Greenville, SC	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Beaufort Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Myrtle Beach Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Anderson	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Charleston County Housing and Redevelopment Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	North Charleston Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Sioux Falls Housing and Redevelopment Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Brookings County Housing and Redevelopment Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Mobridge Housing and Redevelopment Commission	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Knoxville's Community Development Corporation	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Kingsport Housing & Redevelopment Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	East Tennessee Human Resource Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Memphis Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Metropolitan Development and Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Jackson Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	TN Housing Development Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Town of Crossville Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Oak Ridge Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of El Paso	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Fort Worth	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of Dallas, Texas (DHA)	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Waco	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Beaumont	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Arlington, Texas	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Garland Housing Agency	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of San Angelo	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Amarillo	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	HCV FSS	Deep East Texas Council of Governments	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Midland County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Texoma Council of Governments	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Houston Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Galveston Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Walker County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Montgomery County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Austin	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	San Antonio Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Laredo Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Mission Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Pharr	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	San Marcos Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Kingsville	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Port Isabel	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Hidalgo	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Cameron County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Ogden	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Salt Lake	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Salt Lake City	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Provo Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Davis Community Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Utah County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Cedar City Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Burlington Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Vermont State Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Newport News Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Richmond Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Danville Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Roanoke Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Chesapeake Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Harrisonburg Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Charlottesville Redevelopment and Housing Authority (CRHA)	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Hampton Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Waynesboro Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Suffolk Redevelopment & Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Virginia Beach	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	James City County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Virginia Housing Development Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Fairfax County Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	County of Loudoun	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	HCV FSS	Seattle Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	King County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Bremerton	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Clallam	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Tacoma	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Everett	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Longview Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority City of Kelso	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Island County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Kitsap County Consolidated Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Snohomish County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Thurston County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Pierce County Housing Authority - FSS/Homeownership	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Chelan County and the City of Wenatchee	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Richland Washington	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Jefferson County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Charleston-Kanawha Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	The Huntington West Virginia Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Parkersburg Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Fairmont	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Benwood Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in

			Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Clarksburg Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Mingo County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Harrison County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Greenbriar Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Superior Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Community Development Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Beloit Community Development Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Dunn County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Housing Authority of Racine County	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Brown County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	City of Kenosha Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Appleton Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	HCV FSS	Oconto County Housing Authority	Priority Categories per NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Mobile Housing Board	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Huntsville	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Tuscaloosa Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Jefferson County Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Prichard Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Alexander City Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	City of Phoenix Housing Department	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	PH FSS	City of Tucson	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Maricopa County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Yuma	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of North Little Rock	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of West Memphis	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Lonoke County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Oakland	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority City of Fresno	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Stanislaus	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority County of Fresno	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Marin	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Madera	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Santa Cruz	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Kern	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the County of San Bernardino	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Oxnard	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of San Buenaventura	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	San Diego Housing Commission	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of San Luis Obispo	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Santa Barbara	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the County of San Joaquin	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City & County of Denver	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Boulder Housing Partners aba Housing Authority Boulder CO	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Loveland	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Fort Collins Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Adams County Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Norwalk	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of New Haven	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority City of Stamford	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Meriden	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the Town of Greenwich	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Tampa	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	West Palm Beach Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Lakeland	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Brevard County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Bradenton	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Fort Myers	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Hialeah Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Jacksonville Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Daytona Beach	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Macon Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Albany, GA	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Carrollton Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Northwest Georgia Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	State of Hawaii	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Nampa Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Chicago Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Peoria Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Springfield Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Champaign County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Henry County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Rock Island	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Rockford Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Fort Wayne, Indiana	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Delaware, Indiana	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	New Albany Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Indianapolis Housing Agency	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Michigan City	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Terre Haute	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority, City of Elkhart	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	City of Des Moines, Municipal Housing Agency	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Eastern Iowa Regional Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2007	PH FSS	Salina Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Lawrence-Douglas County Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Louisville Metro Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Glasgow	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Bowling Green	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of New Orleans	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Shreveport Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Jefferson Parish Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Portland Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Lewiston Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Brewer	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Baltimore City	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Frederick	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Hagerstown	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of St. Mary's County, Maryland	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Washington County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Opportunities Commission	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Holyoke Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Worcester Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Lynn Housing Authority & Neighborhood Development	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	PH FSS	Framingham Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Somerville Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Springfield Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Saginaw Housing Commission	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Muskegon Housing Commission	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Grand Rapids Housing Commission	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing & Redevelopment Authority of Virginia, MN	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of St. Louis Park	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Washington County Housing and Redevelopment Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Meridian	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Natchez Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Kansas City, Missouri	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	St. Louis Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of St. Charles	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Columbia, MO	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Omaha	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Lincoln, Nebraska	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Kearney Housing Agency	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Reno	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Las Vegas	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Clark, Nevada	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2007	PH FSS	Keene Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Camden	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Atlantic City Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Millville Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	City of Albuquerque Housing Services	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Clovis Housing & Redevelopment Agency, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Santa Fe Civic Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Truth or Consequences Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Taos County Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Santa Fe County Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Monticello Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	New Rochelle Municipal Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Buffalo Municipal Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Troy Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Cohoes Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Municipal Housing Authority of the City of Schenectady	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Geneva Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Charlotte	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Kinston, North Carolina	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of High Point	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	PH FSS	City of Concord Housing Department	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Greensboro	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Winston-Salem	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Durham	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Greenville, NC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Lexington Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	City of Hickory Public Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Gastonia Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Statesville Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Youngstown Metropolitan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Lucas Metropolitan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Akron Metropolitan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Trumbull Metropolitan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Lorain Metropolitan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Springfield Metropolitan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Chillicothe Metropolitan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Morgan Metropolitan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Lawton	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Tulsa	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Shawnee, OK	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Muskogee	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2007	PH FSS	Umatilla Reservation Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Portland	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority & Community Services Agency of Lane County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority and Urban Renewal Agency of Polk County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Salem	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Philadelphia Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of York	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Northumberland County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Pittsburgh	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Allegheny County Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Westmoreland County Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Altoona Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Providence	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Columbia, South Carolina	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Spartanburg	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Greenville, SC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	North Charleston Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Kingsport Housing & Redevelopment Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Memphis Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Metropolitan Development and Housing Agency	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	PH FSS	Jackson Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Town of Crossville Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Oak Ridge Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Fort Worth	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	The Housing Authority of the City of Dallas, Texas (DHA)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Waco	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Beaumont Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Houston Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Austin	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of San Antonio (SAHA)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of The City of Mission	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	San Marcos Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Hidalgo	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Cameron County Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the County of Salt Lake	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of Salt Lake City	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Portsmouth Redevelopment & Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Bristol Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Norfolk Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Richmond Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Danville Redevelopment & Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2007	PH FSS	Roanoke Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Chesapeake Redevelopment & Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Waynesboro Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Fairfax Co. Redev. and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Seattle Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Bremerton	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Tacoma	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Charleston-Kanawha Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Wheeling Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Parkersburg Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	PH FSS	Housing Authority of the City of Milwaukee	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Tlingit-Haida Regional Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Mobile Housing Board	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Prichard Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Lutheran Social Services of the Southwest	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Moenkopi Senior Center Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Area Housing Authority of the County of Ventura	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Housing Authority of the County of Merced	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Housing Authority of the County of San Diego	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Northern California Presbyterian Homes and Services, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	The Housing Authority of the County of Los Angeles	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Boulder Housing Partners (dba) Housing Auth.City of Boulder	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	District of Columbia Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Housing Authority of Lakeland	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Palatka Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Housing Authority of Columbus Georgia	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Housing Authority of the City of College Park, Georgia	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Macon Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Northwest Georgia Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Coeur d'Alene Tribal Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Housing Authority of Henry County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Housing Authority of the City of Muncie	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Campbellsville Housing & Redevelopment Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	ElderServ, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Housing Authority of Covington	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Housing Authority of Martin	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Housing Authority of Maysville	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Housing Authority of Williamsburg	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Lebanon Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Jennings Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Springfield Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Easter Seals Greater Washington-Baltimore Region, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Aroostock Family Investment Center	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Westbrook Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Sedalia Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Charlotte Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Housing Authority of the City of High Point	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	The Housing Authority of the City of Durham	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Fargo Housing and Redevelopment Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Housing Authority of the City of Camden	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Housing Authority of the City of Rahway	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	City Harvest, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	New Rochelle Municipal Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Newark Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Saratoga Springs Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	The Municipal Housing Authority for the City of Yonkers	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Community Support Services Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Portage Metropolitan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Delaware County Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Housing Authority of the County of Luzerne	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Central Falls Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA

			published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	North Charleston Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Kingsport Housing & Redevelopment Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Housing Authority of the City of Belton, Texas	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Bristol Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Roanoke Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Community Psychiatric Clinic	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Friends of Housing Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	Lac Courte Oreilles Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Elderly / Disabled	S.E.T. Ministry, Inc.	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Alaska Housing Finance Corporation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Aleutian Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Tlingit-Haida Regional Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Mobile Housing Board	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Prichard Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	The Housing Authority of the City of Huntsville	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Tuscaloosa Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	City of Phoenix Housing Department	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	White Mountain Apache Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority County of Fresno	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of the City of Fresno	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of the City of Oxnard	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of the County of Kern	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of the County of San Diego	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Imperial Valley Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of the City of Pueblo	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of the City of Meriden	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of the City of New Haven	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of Lakeland	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of the City of Fort Meyers	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of the City of Tampa	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Palatka Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	West Palm Beach Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	ACORN Tenant Union Tenant Organizing Project	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of the City of College Park, Georgia	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of the City of Cordele	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Macon Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Northwest Georgia Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Parents And Children Together	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Eastern Iowa Regional Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Chicago Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Rock Island Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Rockford Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of the City of Muncie	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Indianapolis Housing Agency	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Lawrence-Douglas County Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Campbellsville Housing & Redevelopment Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of Covington	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of Martin	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of Maysville	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Lebanon Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Louisville Metro Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Cambridge Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Holyoke Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Quincy Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of Baltimore City	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of the City of Frederick	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Opportunities Commission of Montgomery County	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of the City of Brewer	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Portland Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Port Huron Housing Commission	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of St. Louis	Criteria published in NOFA

		County	published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	St. Louis Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Natchez Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	The Housing Authority of the City of Meridian	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Northern Cheyenne Tribe	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	City of Concord Housing Department	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of the City of Greenville	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Statesville Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	The Housing Authority of the City of Durham	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Troy Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Fargo Housing and Redevelopment Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of the City of Omaha	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Kearney Housing Agency	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Atlantic City Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	City of Long Branch Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of the City of Camden	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of the City of Paterson	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Municipal Housing Authority of the City of Schenectady	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	New Rochelle Municipal Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	New York City Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Syracuse Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register

2007	ROSS Family Homownership	ACORN Institute	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Akron Metropolitan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Dayton Metropolitan Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Oklahoma City Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Umatilla Reservation Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Hazelton Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of the County of Beaver	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Philadelphia Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Puerto Rico Community Foundation	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	The Housing Authority of the City of Providence	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	North Charleston Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	The Housing Authority of the City of Greenville, SC	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	The Lakota Fund	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Jackson Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Kingsport Housing & Redevelopment Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Memphis Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Metropolitan Development and Housing Agency	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of the City of Austin	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of the City of Belton, Texas	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of the City of Temple	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	The Housing Authority of the City of Dallas, Texas (DHA)	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal

			Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	The Housing Authority of the City of Fort Worth	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	ACORN Institute	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Bristol Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Danville Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Pleasant View Tenant Association, Incorporated	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Roanoke Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of the City of Tacoma	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of the City of Vancouver	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	King County Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Kitsap County Consolidated Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Seattle Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	Housing Authority of the City of Milwaukee	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2007	ROSS Family Homownership	The Huntington West Virginia Housing Authority	Criteria published in NOFA published in Federal Register
2006	Disaster Recovery CDBG Supplementals for $11.5 and $5.2 billion	Five Gulf Coast states affected by Hurricanes Katrina, Rita and Wilma	Other Basis- please explain In accordance with statutory direction, the Secretary made awards to states affected by specified major disaster events. The Secretary determined award amounts based on HUD analysis of unmet recovery needs

FY 2009 HOUSE QFRS
QUESTIONS FROM CONGRESSMAN JOE KNOLLENBERG
HUD BUDGET HEARING—FEBRUARY 13, 2008

FHA and Subprime Lending

Question: The sub prime lending issue has really hit Michigan hard, already and I expect that with reset dates approaching things could get worse. What can you tell us about the severity of the issue over the next year and what the FHA or other HUD programs can and should be doing to help ease the burden?

Answer: Michigan has, for many years now, ranked in the bottom five states in terms of mortgage performance. That is mainly due to regional economic woes caused by the restructuring of the automobile industry, and by associated labor force and population declines. At the beginning of 2002, Michigan's rate of seriously delinquent mortgages (90 days or more, including loans in foreclosure) was equal to the national average of 1.7 percent. Since then, however, the two have diverged. Since the start of 2007, the Michigan rate in each quarter has exceeded the national rate by an average of 2 percentage points. In March 2008, the Michigan seriously delinquent rate was 6.01 percent, while the national rate was 4.03 percent.

National foreclosure rates are expected to begin to decline in 2009. This is because housing markets will find a new balance between supply and demand, and because subprime loans are no longer being originated. Michigan may continue to experience significant problems, however, assuming the demand for American automobiles may not yet return to former levels for several years.

Today, FHA insurance is one of few alternatives available to Michigan households with low- and moderate-incomes seeking to purchase or refinance a home. While FHA lenders must be mindful of property values and the stability of borrower income, FHA has not tightened underwriting requirements in a way that would make it more difficult for Michigan households to obtain mortgage credit. During the subprime heyday, FHA's market share declined less in Michigan than it did nationally, providing a stabilizing presence in a difficult economic environment.

FHA and Subprime Lending

Question: How many mortgages or what percentage of sub prime loans are at risk over the next year?

Answer: We will define "at-risk" loans as "seriously delinquent" loans—those 90 days or more delinquent, including those in foreclosure. According to the Mortgage Bankers Association (MBA) National Delinquency Survey (NDS) for the first quarter of 2008, there were 1.5 million outstanding loans in Michigan. The seriously delinquent rate at the end of that quarter was 6.01 percent. Subprime ARMs account for a disproportionate share of seriously delinquent loans both in Michigan and nationally. For example, in Michigan subprime ARMs account for 7 percent of outstanding loans but 35 percent of seriously delinquent loans. Nationally, subprime ARMs account for 6 percent of outstanding mortgages but, again, 35 percent of seriously delinquent loans. That means there are 32,000 Michigan households with subprime ARMs who may be in jeopardy of foreclosure this year. The corresponding number at the national level is 680,000.

FHA and Subprime Lending

Question: How many, or what percentage of these are likely in your view to end up in foreclosure?

Answer: Typically, fewer than half of all loans, for which foreclosure is initiated, actually complete foreclosure. The percentage could be higher under existing circumstances.

FHA and Subprime Lending

Question: To what extent is FHA offering to take over these loans, convert these loans to FHA loans and in doing so is FHA taking on the same risk that now exists?

Answer: Under the FHA Secure initiative, borrowers have been able to refinance their subprime loans into FHA-insured loans. Between September 2007 and the middle of July 2008, nearly 275,000 such loans have been closed. As of July 14, 2008, FHA has expanded FHASecure to help borrowers whose mortgages are "underwater"—i.e., whose balances exceed the value of their properties. FHA will insure loans that have been written down to 97 percent or 90 percent of current appraised value depending upon the severity of borrower delinquencies in the previous year, and as a result, will help borrowers remain in their homes while reducing losses borne by lenders, investors, and communities from foreclosure. In order to create a new first-lien mortgage that meets FHA requirements, the original lender may write-off a portion of the excess mortgage amount, or may create a subordinated second-lien position to retain some rights to the excess mortgage amount. FHA also permits re-subordination of any outstanding second liens.

In none of these situations is FHA taking on the same risk that was assumed by the original subprime lender. First, the payments on the new mortgage must be in line with the borrower's ability to pay, and the borrower must have documented income sources. Second, the borrower will not be subject to large interest rate and payment resets over time. Third, FHA has extensive requirements for lender interventions and loss mitigation actions in the event of a 90-day default, in order to minimize the chance of foreclosure.

FHA and Subprime Lending

Question: Will FHA weaken its underwriting standards in any way to help alleviate the problem?

Answer: Under the expansion of FHASecure, FHA has relaxed certain underwriting criteria in order to help subprime homeowners remain in their homes. Most importantly, it permits borrowers who are currently delinquent on their adjustable-rate loans to refinance. The delinquency must be the result either of rate-and-payment increases or else extenuating circumstances (e.g., temporary loss of income). Borrowers who have had no more than two 30-day or one 60-day delinquencies in the prior year may refinance with a maximum loan-to-value ratio of 97 percent. Those who have had 1 90-day or 3 30-day delinquencies must not exceed a maximum loan-to-value ratio of 90 percent.

HUD believes that these are prudent measures in the current market environment. They are also temporary. At present, they are due to expire at the end of 2008. At that time, HUD will evaluate the need for extending these terms into 2009.

FHA expects to endorse 350,000 conventional-to-FHA refinance loans in fiscal year 2008. With the recent expansion of eligibility for delinquent borrowers, it expects to refinance an additional 100,000 loans before the end of 2008.

FHA and Subprime Lending

Question: Back in the early 1990s there were no sub prime mortgages. From 1993 to 2005 sub prime originations grew to $625 billion and that growth was largely focused on racial and ethnic minorities, first time homebuyers and lower income households that could not pass the normal underwriting standards. It is a simple fact that this boom was encouraged, had intense cheerleading from and was ballyhooed as a great American success story by both the Clinton and Bush Administrations.

In retrospect, do you think that this active support of sub prime loans as a way of achieving record minority homeownership makes the government in any way responsible even though it did actually insure these loans itself?

Answer: Homeownership is a goal that has been encouraged by Presidential administrations for over 70 years. To the extent that many low-income and minority families used subprime loans to afford a home, or to afford to buy one earlier than if they waited to qualify for a prime conventional loan, subprime lending contributed to the success stories of homeownership. However, HUD was very concerned with the dangers of subprime ARMs when they were increasing in popularity. One primary reason the Administration proposed FHA Modernization legislation to the Congress in 2006 was to make FHA a more viable alternative, and thus to prevent many households from turning to risky subprime mortgage instruments to finance their homes.

Subprime lending has had cycles of abusive behavior. In the 1990s, subprime loans primarily facilitated cash-out refinancing, whereby owners would cash-out their home equity by taking out a larger mortgage loan. Abuses occurred when lenders imposed excessive fees that effectively took away owner's equity at the time of refinancing, sometimes with the intent of forcing the homeowner into foreclosure on the new loan.

Subprime problems in this decade were part of a cycle of easy credit and high rates of home-price appreciation. Easy credit pushed housing demand and led to rapid price growth. Higher home prices caused homebuyers to be more accepting of new and exotic mortgage instruments that promised greater initial affordability. Overly lax underwriting in the subprime industry was possible only because of opaque funding mechanisms designed by investment banks, and supported by credit-rating agencies. Increased subprime originations led to short-term profits for everyone in the housing and mortgage industries, but only by creating longer-term risks of mortgage performance problems because of the onerous terms of the mortgages themselves. Much of this activity occurred in unregulated sectors of the financial system. By 2005, it was easy for households to buy a home with a subprime mortgage and no money down, using a so-called piggy-back second lien for the downpayment. The mortgage of choice was the 2/28 hybrid ARM, which had the potential to cause extreme payment shock after 2 years. Either the homeowner sold the home or refinanced within 2 years, or else they would be caught in rapidly increasing mortgage payments that they were often unprepared to handle.

Certainly the Congress is right to examine how financial regulation might be re-tailored to help prevent such a cycle from occurring again. HUD would submit that it is also crucial to give FHA the flexibility and tools that it needs to stay a viable option for low-income and minority homebuyers into the future.

FHA and Subprime Lending

Question: In retrospect do you still believe that sub prime lending is a solid, modern innovation needed to expand homeownership, if the underlying problems of this boom in mortgages are fixed?

Answer: Subprime lending is an important component of the mortgage market because it provides families with impaired credit with access to capital markets. The causes of the recent subprime turmoil have more to do with the excesses of the market participants than the potential of the product itself.

FHA and Subprime Lending

Question: Based on what you have learned to date, what underlying fixes can be made without returning to the constrictive mortgage terms of the early 1990s that was such a barrier to entry for minority and low income families. I note, for example, that only 20% of the sub prime loans were made by banks and thrifts, both of which have arduous supervisory regimes. Yet these are not experiencing high default rates. Is greater supervision one key to look at for the future?

Answer: There are components of the subprime mortgage portfolio that are not experiencing such performance problems. For example, default rates on traditional fixed-rate subprime mortgages are driven primarily by the state of the overall economy. Serious delinquency rates on those loans are more in line with FHA experience, and they move with serious delinquency rates on conventional prime loans.

The turmoil in the subprime industry today has been caused by very lax underwriting that generated mortgages with multiple risk layers. The performance of such subprime mortgages relied on unsustainable house price appreciation. For example, the typical subprime loan was a 2/28 Hybrid ARM with virtually no downpayment, undocumented borrower income, and payments that did not require the borrower to make payments other than the interest payment for the first few years of the mortgage. These mortgages had initially low mortgage payments but sizeable future payment shocks. The success of these products was built on the ability to build equity through house price appreciation and then refinance the mortgage before the ticking time bomb exploded. That model worked until home prices peaked in 2006 and mortgage markets contracted in 2007. Appropriate regulation is necessary and abusive products are at the core of the current problems.

The FHA Fund

Question: I am greatly disturbed by Reports from HUD officials that much of the decline in receipts is due to losses associated with the increase in seller funded down payments, and is the direct result of the involvement of national and local non profit organizations like the Nehemiah Group. Frankly on the surface it seems that a non profit helping a family buy a house by contributing to the down payment would be a good thing, not a bad thing.

Can you walk us through what is actually happening and why this has been such a costly activity for the Fund. I simply need to know what is actually going on so that I can advise my constituents about who and what to avoid.

Answer: When potential homebuyers receive downpayment assistance from genuine non-profits, they are able to use this assistance to purchase homes of their choice. In contrast, seller-funded downpayment assistance is offered in conjunction with the sale of particular houses. Typically homebuyers have no direct contact with the non-profit organization. Instead, they may be attracted to a home builder who advertises home purchase with little or no cash down, or else they are told by a real estate broker that they could possibly purchase a home for little or no cash. With new homes, the home builder offers the downpayment assistance as one form of inducement to buy. Sometimes builders have higher list prices for sales with downpayment assistance. With existing homes, the real estate broker negotiates with a property seller to include the downpayment assistance as part of the sale. Property sellers in weak markets welcome the opportunity to offer a home buying inducement, especially when they can include the amount of the assistance and fee to the non-profit in the sale price. Homebuyers have no bargaining power in this situation. They can only receive downpayment assistance for the purchase of homes where the sellers have agreed to the financial arrangement with the non-profit downpayment provider, and they have little or no ability to negotiate over price.

As a result, homebuyers effectively finance their downpayments via the higher home price. A research study commissioned by HUD, where home buyers were interviewed, showed that most of these homebuyers did not understand where the downpayment funds actually came from and that they were effectively financing the downpayment assistance. The details were all worked out by others—real estate agents, appraisers, lenders, and property sellers.

If homeowners who received downpayment assistance experience financial difficulty, they cannot resell their property for the price they paid because it was inflated by the amount of the downpayment assistance funds. As a result, they are more vulnerable to foreclosure, should they default on the mortgage in the early years.

The FHA Fund

Question: How much has this cost the Fund to date and what is your projected cost to the fund in 2009 if this activity is continued?

Answer: HUD estimated for the fiscal year 2009 baseline budget that if it continued its MMIF single family programs with no changes—i.e., a 150 basis point across-the-board upfront premium and 35 percent of its homebuyers using seller-funded downpayment assistance, it would require appropriations of $1.4 billion before it could endorse any loans. For the fiscal year 2009 policy budget, HUD established a separate risk category for seller-funded downpayment assistance (SF DPA) loans. As a result, its loans without SF DPA have a break-even credit subsidy rate and do not require appropriations, while SF DPA loans have an estimated credit subsidy rate of +6.35 percent and would require appropriations of $6.35 for every $100 of SF DPA loans endorsed. The fiscal year 2009 Budget does not request funds for the insurance of such loans. Instead, FHA has taken steps to ban seller-funded downpayment assistance as a source of downpayment.

The FHA Fund

Question: What can be done to fix the problem? I have heard that the problem is in the appraisal process but I am not sure I see that given your description. Is this a fixable problem or should the practice be discontinued entirely?

Answer: HUD does not believe that the problem is fixable. FHA already requires lenders to inform property appraisers when a sales transaction involves seller-funded downpayment assistance. On June 16, 2008, HUD re-proposed a regulation to ban use of such downpayment assistance on the ground that it violates the spirit of existing regulations that state downpayment funds may not come from any party with a financial interest in the home sale transaction. Such a rule is standard throughout the mortgage industry. Imposing capital requirements on individual non-profit entities, as suggested by some, would have no effect on changing the credit risk of the underlying loans for FHA.

Section 8

Question: In the tenant-based program, I note that the Department has reduced its request for tenant based section 8 renewals by more than $600 million because many PHAS have fully leased up their authorized level of vouchers and have funds remaining. And the Administration is also proposing to eliminate any ceilings on vouchers. Why do you believe that PHAs would still have excess funds if we agree to remove any limit on the number of families they can serve?

Answer: The President's Budget proposes that the PHA (Net Restricted Asset) balances that are currently unusable because of the cap on authorized units (an estimated at $600 million) be offset before the caps are removed. Once these unusable balances are gone, all of the PHA's will be in an equal and fair position with regard to their available funding and authorized units. At this point, the authorized unit caps would be removed. This would provide an equitable distribution of current budget resources, and allow PHA's to better manage and allocate their budget resources going forward.

In order to maximize the use of funding, it is critical to restore predictability and transparency to the voucher funding renewal process. The renewal process should not provide incentives for PHAs to increase its per unit costs so as to ensure a bigger share of the pro-rated renewal funding. PHAs should have confidence that their funding levels will not be dependent on the spending decisions of other PHAs. HUD believes the best way to accomplish this is through the implementation of a permanent renewal funding methodology that provides funding stability and predictability for PHAs by reducing re-benchmarking based on costs and leasing data to once every 3 years. In the intervening years, funding will simply be adjusted by an inflation factor. This would allow PHAs to manage their funding beyond the current calendar year. That said, however, removing the cap will allow PHAs to assist additional families from the waiting list, and to reduce the NRA (considering the conditions mentioned above) to the minimum amount possible, which we believe is 3-5 percent of the PHA's annual budget authority.

Section 8

Question: HUD has indicated that there are currently over $2 billion in such excess funds among the PHAs nationwide. In the past, excess funds were always recaptured by this Committee and applied to priorities we chose.

What happened to prevent that from occurring? Was there some statutory change or did HUD institute a new policy that prevents recapturing excess funds from PHAs?

Answer: Under the old, unit-based approach, HUD advanced (obligated) funds to Public Housing Authorities (PHAs) based on the estimated amount needed to fund authorized units, but did not expend the funds until the PHAs recorded actual rental assistance payments. Effective January 1, 2005, Congress through the Appropriations Act, shifted the program from a unit-based funding methodology to a budget-based funding methodology in order to put a stop to the spiraling and unsustainable program cost increases in the Voucher program.

Under the budget-based approach, PHAs are provided with a fixed budget and are required to manage their programs in the most cost effective and efficient manner within those budget constraints. HUD's interpretation of the budget-based funding methodology, consistent with the formula enacted in the Appropriations Act, but not statutory, has led the Department to obligate and expend funds directly to the PHAs based on their formula allocation regardless of actual rental assistance payment made by the PHAs. Once outlayed, funds are not available for recapture.

Section 8

Question: How did this build up of funds occur? What is there about the current distribution of funds to PHAs that would allow a PHA to receive more funds than it could use? Or have we just put more funds into the voucher renewal program that is necessary?

Answer: The build up in NRA is the result of several factors. First, and most importantly, the leasing cap restrictions imposed by Congress through the Appropriations Acts prohibits the PHAs from assisting additional families once they hit their unit caps, regardless if the PHA has additional funding available to do so. In addition, the unpredictable and constantly shifting renewal funding formulas from year to year have resulted in many PHAs taking very conservative approaches to spending in order not to be faced with terminating families from the program due to concerns about potential shortfalls or reductions in their renewal funding the following year.

The result of the cap on units, coupled with the return to rebenchmarking based on actual costs and leasing, is that a PHA that operates a cost efficient program and reduces its per unit costs from the period used to establish its renewal funding will be unable to spend all of its allocated funding. In addition, the unpredictability of the funding formula in the past several years has made it impossible for PHAs to manage their programs beyond the current calendar year. Many PHAs do not maximize use of funding as a hedge against renewal formula changes or pro-rated cuts resulting from the spending decisions of other PHAs that will leave them with reduced funding in the subsequent year.

HUD believes that the problem with respect to the under-utilization of voucher funding does not lie with the level of renewal funding. One need only look at the lengthy waiting lists around the country for rental assistance and see that the demand for voucher assistance is unmet. Rather, the main reason for this issue is two-fold: (1) first, Congress continues to restrict program participation for additional families by imposing a cap on the number of units that a PHA is allowed to place under lease, regardless of whether a PHA has sufficient funding to assist additional families; and (2) second, the formula by which the PHA's voucher funding is renewed each year has been constantly changing from one Appropriations Act to the next. This inconsistency and uncertainty leads to PHAs taking a very cautious and conservative approach with their funding dollars as a hedge against potential decreases in future renewal funding.

CDBG and EDI Grants

Question: The Administration continues to believe its own rhetoric about this program and specifically these PART Scores and have again reduced the amount for the formula grants to cities and counties.

Mr. Secretary, the Congress, the Mayors, the Governors and just about everyone else have stated quite forcefully that what ever assessment there has been to lead to such major reductions in funds for CDBG is simply wrong and misguided. Why do you persist in assigning this program such a low priority status?

Answer: The Department considers CDBG to be one of its most valuable programs as it reaches almost 1,200 local government and state grantees and provides unique flexibility to address a wide range of community development needs. The fiscal year 2009 budget request for CDBG is consistent with prior year requests and recognizes the difficult budgetary situation for domestic discretionary programs. HUD is responding to the low PART score for the CDBG program through its CDBG reform proposal. The CDBG Reform Act would significantly improve the targeting of CDBG funds to the nation's communities having the greatest community development needs by revising its dated formulas. The existing CDBG formulas no longer target such needs as well as they did when the program was established 35 years ago. Improving CDBG targeting will ensure communities having the highest needs will receive a greater proportion of available funds. Further, enactment of the CDBG Reform Act would improve HUD's ability to hold grantees accountable for achieving their goals and would create a challenge grant component as an incentive to grantees to target their CDBG funds to neighborhoods where they will have the greatest impact.

CDBG and EDI Grants

Question: The Administration also eliminated the EDI and National Initiatives program. Although you are proposing to rescind (eliminate) the $205 million in earmarks enacted for 2008, you don't propose to do so until 2009. Does this mean that you are not moving forward with the EDI and NI grants until you see what happens to the 2009 budget? That could be many, many months from now.

Answer: The Department is moving forward with the implementation of fiscal year 2008 EDI and NI grants as earmarked by Congress. Letters of invitation and applications have been provided to all fiscal year 2008 EDI and NI recipients and the Department is continually approving applications for those funds and executing grant agreements to obligate those funds.

CDBG and EDI Grants

Question: If you are moving forward do you really think that there will be $206 million available to rescind by the time the 2009 budget is enacted? Is this just a budgetary gimmick to lower the total for HUD?

Answer: Although the Department is moving forward with the implementation of fiscal year 2008 EDI and NI grants, the Administration does not support these earmarks. At the end of each fiscal year, there are a notably large number of EDI and NI grant recipients that have yet to either apply for these grants or execute contracts for those funds. We anticipate a significant number of grants will remain unobligated as we enter fiscal year 2009, and are eligible for rescission. As evidence, the Department is currently working with nearly 100 fiscal year 2006 EDI and NI recipients to obligate those grants prior to the statutory deadline of September 30, 2008.

Public Housing

Question: There appears to be a continuing standoff between the Public Housing industry and HUD over who has to comply with the negotiated rule and how much funding from the Capital Fund to repair and modernize public housing buildings can be used for central office type overhead expenses. From the perspective of the appropriations Committee however I have a question:

The Public Housing Community often cites what it believes is a serious shortage of Capital improvement funds. Can you tell me how much (or what percentage) of the $2.4 billion appropriated in 2007 for capital repairs and modernization of public housing was used to cover overhead and administrative activities and functions and how much was used for actual capital improvements?

Answer: The chart below provides details of the amounts used for modernization and administrative activities.

	Type	BLI Authorized
Admin, Fees, and Ops	Administration	$154,347,218
Admin, Fees, and Ops	Audit Cost	$1,442,118
Admin, Fees, and Ops	Management Improvement	$183,095,995
Admin, Fees, and Ops	Operations	$187,831,059
Admin, Fees, and Ops	Relocation Costs	$11,035,663
	Total Soft Costs	**$537,752,052**
Capital Improvements	Bond Debt Obligation	$180,711,289
Capital Improvements	Collater Exp / Debt Srvc	$10,244,756
Capital Improvements	Contingency	$14,560,911
Capital Improvements	Debt Reserves	$3,230,906
Capital Improvements	Demolition	$14,138,151
Capital Improvements	Development Activity	$172,429,836
Capital Improvements	Dwelling Equipment	$31,007,343
Capital Improvements	Dwelling Structures	$630,384,274
Capital Improvements	Fees & Costs	$82,591,658
Capital Improvements	Initial Budget	$236,844,904
Capital Improvements	Loan Debt Obligation	$18,005,971
Capital Improvements	MovingToWorkDemonstration	$237,058,430
Capital Improvements	Non-Dwelling Equipment	$33,977,864
Capital Improvements	Non-Dwelling Structures	$42,817,743
Capital Improvements	Site Acquisition	$3,734,716
Capital Improvements	Site Improvement	$107,982,894
	Total Capital Improvements	**$1,819,721,646**

HOPE VI

Question: My colleague and Chairman, Mr. Olver and HUD obviously disagree over the effectiveness and future of the HOPE VI program. The Administration clearly sees no good role for HOPE VI in the future and Mr. Olver clearly sees an expanded and experimental role in the program. I am aware of some good projects and I am aware of some bad projects so let me just ask a couple of questions.

At about $100 million per year for the past few years, how many projects is HUD able to actually grant and what has been average cost (or a the range in costs) of a project?

Answer: HUD has awarded six HOPE VI Revitalization grants per year on average over the past 4 years. The average grant amount since 2004 is $18.5 million, with a range from $8.8 to $20 million (the maximum allowed by HUD).

HOPE VI

Question: If you add to that the "green" requirements and the one for one replacement requirements currently being contemplated by the authorizing committees, how many projects would that same $100 million support and what would be the range in costs per project? How about just the "green" requirements?

Answer: Implementing the one-for-one replacement requirement would be expensive. The Department awarded 12 HOPE VI grants in fiscal years 2005 and 2006, averaging $19 million per grant. If each grant was required to replace all of the public housing units at these sites, the Department estimates that average grant amount would need to have been $71million in order to provide PHAs with adequate funds.

Studies have shown that the cost of "green" building requirements tend to range from 2 to 5 percent. Thus, HUD estimates that requiring grantees to meet such building standards could necessitate at least $1 million more in HOPE VI funding per grant.

QUESTIONS FOR THE RECORD
CONGRESSMAN DAVID PRICE

Mr. Price #1

HOPE VI

Question: HOPE VI has been one of the most successful federal housing and community revitalization programs in recent history, leveraging over $2 in private capital for every $1 spent and revitalizing dozens of severely distressed public housing communities, including several in North Carolina. Unfortunately, the HUD budget request for FY 2009 again calls for the elimination of this unique program. In its budget justification, HUD provides as rationale for ending the program that it has met its stated goal of revitalizing 100,000 units of public housing. Given the large universe of public housing units still in need of revitalization, please describe how HUD's prior activities associated with HOPE VI will be continued through other Departmental programs, including using the mixed-finance approach. How will other Departmental programs, including those mentioned in HUD's budget justification, be able to replicate HOPE VI's capacity for comprehensively revitalizing severely distressed public housing communities?

Answer: The Department recognizes the importance of addressing the current capital backlog within the public housing inventory. In most cases, this need can be more appropriately met through other modernization and development programs operated by the Department, e.g., the Capital Fund, Capital Fund Financing Program, non-HOPE VI mixed-finance development including leveraging private capital investment, required and voluntary conversion, Section 30, and use of tax credits. The Department will encourage housing authorities in need of this assistance to submit proposals under these programs.

The Department will maintain the requisite monitoring, oversight personnel, and contracts for the HOPE VI program. Contracts that support the quarterly reporting system, technical assistance and risk management activities, training, and other activities will be funded with prior years' HOPE VI monies.

Mr. Price #2

HOPE VI

Question: The fiscal year 2009 budget justification indicates that $1.4 billion of the $6 billion in total appropriations "remains in the pipeline." Please provide additional details on the status of this funding, including the amount of the $1.4 billion that remains unobligated; the fiscal year(s) in which the $1.4 billion was awarded and the amount for each such year; and the number of grantees and percentage of total grantees associated with the $1.4 billion and the amounts associated with each.

Answer: The $1.4 billion in the fiscal year 2009 budget justifications represents funds that were obligated but not expended. As of December 31, 2007, unexpended balances have decreased to approximately $1.1 billion. The Department continues to work closely with the grantees who still have unexpended funds to implement the grants in a timely manner and to positively impact the affected communities. To date, 80 grantees have completed 100 percent of the construction associated with their grants.

The Department has obligated all funding except the fiscal year 2007 and fiscal year 2008 funds. Fiscal year 2007 awards will be announced soon, and fiscal year 2008 awards will be announced before September 30, 2008.

The chart below provides awards, total number of grantees, and remaining unexpended amounts by fiscal year.

Appropriation/Award Year	Amount Awarded	# of Grantees	% of Remaining Funds by Grant Year	Unexpended Amount as of 12/31/07
1993	$566,131,263	13	0.5%	$5,045,232
1994	516,138,089	14	7.4%	81,565,253
1995	475,256,018	13	1.7%	19,149,339
1996	383,313,070	19	6.0%	66,280,940
1997	496,621,634	23	1.8%	19,545,060
1998	531,565,222	28	5.6%	61,979,021
1999	573,109,457	21	9.6%	105,108,882
2000	513,805,464	18	5.1%	56,197,908
2001	491,774,238	16	7.8%	85,515,499
2002	492,444,809	28	10.5%	115,682,225
2003	433,016,652	24	19.2%	210,954,926
2004	126,884,932	7	7.5%	82,956,998
2005	156,895,528	8	11.4%	125,262,367
2006	71,900,000	4	5.9%	65,320,398
	$5,828,856,376	**236**	**100%**	**$1,100,564,048**

Mr. Price #3

HOPE VI

Question: Do more recent HOPE VI grantees have a better record of obligating and spending funds relative to older grantees? Does HUD attempt to target new awards to grantees with good track records in completing prior revitalization projects? HUD's budget justification indicates that the average length of time to complete a HOPE VI project is 7.75 years. How has this average changed over time?

Answer: Yes, more recent HOPE VI grantees have a better record of spending funds than older grantees. This is due, in part, to the increased capacity of grantees and the industry's experience with mixed-finance development. Also, the Department has placed an emphasis on project readiness in more recent Notices of Funding Availability (NOFA). For example, NOFAs award points to PHAs that have already procured a development partner, completed a site plan, relocated residents, and/or demolished the site. In addition, regarding grantee capacity, the Department reviews an applicant's track record on prior HOPE VI grants and deducts points for poor performance. While the overall average time to complete a HOPE VI project has increased recently, the increase is due to older grants finally reaching project completion. Among newer grantees, the average time to complete the HOPE VI project is less.

Grants awarded since 2002 have a spending deadline that is included in each year's appropriation legislation. The 2002 grantees must spend all of their HOPE VI funds by September 30, 2008, etc. Any funds unexpended will be returned to the Treasury. These deadlines provide additional incentives for grantees to implement and expend funds in a timely manner.

Mr. Price #4

HOPE VI

Question: How many eligible HOPE VI grant applications were submitted for each of the last five fiscal years and how many were actually funded?

Answer: Awards for fiscal year 2007 have not yet been announced.

Fiscal Year	Number of Applications Submitted by PHAs	Number of Grants Funded
2002	58	28
2003	56	24
2004	33	7
2005	29	8
2006	26	4

Mr. Price #5

Community Development Block Grants

Question: In Secretary Jackson's testimony before the Subcommittee, he extolled the virtues of the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) program as an effective tool for revitalizing distressed communities and called on Congress to reform the CDBG funding formula. Yet once again, the FY 2009 HUD budget calls for a drastic cut in CDBG funding of $866 million, or 22.4 percent below the FY 2008 appropriation. Why has HUD proposed such a devastating cut to a program that you believe to be highly effective?

Answer: The fiscal year 2009 HUD Budget reflects the President's commitment to community development though an emphasis on preserving homeownership, preventing foreclosure and maintaining access to affordable rental housing through programs specifically targeted toward those goals. It also recognizes the difficult fiscal environment for the fiscal year 2009 budget. While the $2.9 billion proposed in fiscal year 2009 for CDBG formula grants is below the fiscal year 2008 appropriation of $3.6 billion, CDBG will continue to play an important role at the local level. Concurrently, the Administration is again proposing CDBG formula reform to address shortcomings in the formula that have developed since the creation of the program in 1974. With the help of Congress, HUD is seeking to reform the CDBG formula so that funding allocations are more efficiently distributed to the communities with the greatest need. With the passage of the CDBG Reform Act, the CDBG program will more effectively target funds to distressed communities, leading to an increase of funds in the communities with the most need.

Mr. Price #6

Section 811

Question: This is the fourth year in a row that HUD's budget requests a drastic cut in funding for the Section 811 program. Specifically, your budget proposes funding Section 811 at $160 million, a cut of $77 million (32.5 percent) below the fiscal year 2008 level. The majority of this $160 million would be used to renew existing tenant-based vouchers, leaving little for the construction of new units. How does HUD justify such a dramatic cut to this critical program and how does it relate to the demand for program funding? In responding to this question, please provide information detailing the number and amount of eligible applications for Section 811 grants submitted for the past five fiscal years.

Answer: The requested funding this year reflects the very difficult budgetary decisions the Department has had to make in the 2009 budget. We note that the Department continues to support the development of over 240 projects for persons with disabilities with funding made available in prior years.

The chart below shows the number of applications and the number of applications submitted and funded for the past 5 fiscal years.

Fiscal Year 2003
- Total Number of Applications Submitted: 237
- Total Amount Requested: $238,068,042
- Total Number of Awards: 144
- Total Amount Awarded: $146,687,300

Fiscal Year 2004
- Total Number of Applications Submitted: 237
- Total Amount Requested: $269,369,193
- Total Number of Awards: 127
- Total Amount Awarded: $145,357,400

Fiscal Year 2005
- Total Number of Applications Submitted: 178
- Total Amount Requested: $214,911,462
- Total Number of Awards: 118
- Total Amount Awarded: $135,704,800

Fiscal Year 2006
- Total Number of Applications Submitted: 142
- Total Amount Requested: $172,122,691
- Total Number of Awards: 99
- Total Amount Awarded: $121,374,900

Fiscal Year 2007
- Total Number of Applications Submitted: 139
- Total Amount Requested: $154,926,147
- Total Number of Awards: 100
- Total Amount Awarded: $124,797,825

Mr. Price #7

Housing Vouchers

Question: HUD's FY 2009 budget request for housing vouchers assumes that $600 million in "unusable" reserve funds would be available to supplement the $14.16 billion proposed for renewals in 2009. Unfortunately, requiring housing agencies to spend down a large amount of reserve funds would leave many with reserve funding levels insufficient to address unexpected costs later in the year. Assuming that housing agencies spend down reserves by $723 million in 2008 (as the 2008 appropriations law presumes they will do) and by an additional $600 million in 2009 (as proposed in the HUD budget request), how many agencies would be left with reserve funding equal to less than 12.5 percent of their annual renewal funding allocations (assuming they also spent the entirety of their 2009 allocation)? Under the same assumptions, how many housing agencies would be left with reserve funding equal to less than 5 percent of their annual renewal funding allocations?

Answer: Assuming PHAs spent their entire 2009 allocation, approximately 1,615 PHAs would be left with a reserve funding equal to less than 12.5 percent of their annual renewal funding allocations. Under these same assumptions, approximately 856 PHAs would be left with reserve funding equal to less than 5 percent of their annual renewal funding allocations.

QUESTIONS FOR THE RECORD
CONGRESSMAN LUCILLE ROYBAL-ALLARD

Mrs. Roybal-Allard #1

Kin-GAP

Question: The Kin-GAP program has operated in California since 2000 and provides funds to relative caregivers so that children do not need to be placed in the foster care system. On November 1, 2007, HUD reversed a long standing policy on the treatment of Kin-GAP assistance, and now has begun to count Kin-GAP assistance as income for purpose of determining Section 8 rental assistance or other public housing rents. The Committee has received reports that the Department's refusal to revert back to the previous interpretation has had the effect of many families seeing an increase in rent. How many states have programs similar to Kin-GAP? What is HUD's policy regarding the treatment of income for families participating in these programs?

Answer: There are 48 states plus the District of Columbia with programs similar to Kin-Gap. Kin-Gap is a welfare payment, as such; this income is included in the determination of tenant contribution to rent. The Department is currently reviewing its position on the policy, as well as statistics related to the Kin-Gap program. The targeted review completion date is April 30, 2008.

Mrs. Roybal-Allard #2

Kin-GAP

Question: How many Kin-GAP families have lost assistance as a result of HUD's change in policy? How many Kin-GAP families have had to pay an increase in rent? What is the average amount of the rent increase?

Answer: HUD does not collect information on the number of families receiving Kin-Gap assistance. Thus, HUD is not able to advise of the number of families that have lost assistance as a result of HUD's change in policy or the number of Kin-Gap families that have had to pay an increased rent. HUD is not aware of any families who have lost housing assistance as a result of inclusion of income from Kin-Gap program.

Mrs. Roybal-Allard #3

Violence Against Women Act

Question: The Violence Against Women Act ("VAWA") creates obligations for Public Housing Authorities and Project Based Section 8 owners to refrain from discriminating against victims of domestic violence, dating violence and stalking in admission and prohibits evictions of victims based on the same factors. It also requires Public Housing Authorities to include statements about VAWA implementation and services provided to victims of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking in their Annual and Five-Year Plans. In spite of these legal requirements, HUD has approved plans without these statements, has distributed information through at least one of its regional offices stating that VAWA does not apply to Project-Based Section 8 and has failed to issue regulations to ensure consistent application of the law in spite of putting the regulations on the Semi-Regulatory Agenda and issuing guidance in the Federal Register stating that regulations were forthcoming. When does the Department plan to issue regulations to ensure that the Housing Authorities and landlords and owners that accept Section 8 subsidies properly implement the law?

Answer: The Department has drafted a regulation to implement the provisions of the Violence Against Women Act (VAWA) and we anticipate that the regulation will be issued before the end of this fiscal year. The Department's Office of Housing is also preparing a notice on VAWA for its administrators of the Section 8 Project-Based Rental Assistance program on how to implement the provisions of VAWA. We anticipate this notice will also be issued before the end of the fiscal year. The Department's Headquarters has not issued instructions to any of its field offices that VAWA does not apply to the Section 8 Project-Based Rental Assistance program. However, we will send out a notification to the field offices reiterating that VAWA does apply to the Section 8 Project-Based Rental Assistance program and the regulation and implementation notice are forthcoming.

Mrs. Royal-Allard #4

Project-Based Section 8

Question: The Administration's fiscal year 2009 budget indicates that the request of $7.4 billion for Section 8 project-based rental assistance will be sufficient to carry contracts only through the end of fiscal year 2009. How much additional funding would be needed to provide a full 12 months of funding for every renewal in fiscal year 2009?

Answer: HUD estimates that an additional $2.4 billion would be needed to fund all Section 8 contracts for a full 12-month term, and put each contract back on its original forward-funding cycle. We would point out, however, that the Administration's Budget provides sufficient funds to carry all contracts well into fiscal year 2010 and proposes an advance appropriation of $400 million to cover immediate needs for fiscal year 2010.

Mrs. Roybal-Allard #5

Project-Based Section 8

Question: In testimony last fall before the House Financial Services Subcommittee on Housing and Community Opportunity, HUD CFO John Cox stated that, in the past, payments in October and November have frequently been delayed for project-based Section 8 contracts renewing in those months because of the transition of HUD's systems at the beginning of the fiscal year. He also wrote that HUD had estimated that the $6.1 billion appropriated by Congress for FY 2008 would be sufficient to carry contracts into December of 2008, and that this would allow HUD to avoid payment delays in the first few months of FISCAL YEAR 2009. Does HUD still believe that the FY 2008 appropriation will be sufficient to carry Section 8 contracts into December 2008? If not, what is HUD's latest estimate? Will additional funding be needed in FY 2008 to avoid payment delays in October and November 2008? If so, how much, and would HUD support supplemental funding in FY 2008 to avoid delays in payments?

Answer: The Department has estimated that it would take an additional $1.9 billion during fiscal year 2008 to fund all contracts for 12 months and put all contracts back on their original forward-funding cycle. However, due to the Department's incremental funding policy, the 2008 appropriation will be sufficient to meet the contract needs for fiscal year 2008. We do not expect any significant payment delays in either fiscal year 2008 or fiscal year 2009.

Please note that a majority of past missed payments were due to delays in obligating money that was already available, or the inability to commit funds during the period when the Department's accounting systems were not available due to the change in fiscal year. To address the late payments, the Department has proposed the approach in fiscal year 2009 of providing an advance appropriation to cover expected first quarter needs. We believe this to be a more efficient solution to the problem of missed payments during October and November each year.

QUESTIONS FOR THE RECORD
CONGRESSMAN ROBERT ADERHOLT

Mr. Aderholt #1

FHA Reform

Question: Mr. Secretary, thank you for joining us today. I want to take a brief moment for taking the time to meet with me and leaders from the manufactured housing industry yesterday. I look forward to continuing to work with you to ensure that this important industry is able to continue to provide affordable housing options. What are HUD's priorities w/ FHA reform? What do you need to serve more borrowers?

Answer: FHA believes that it could serve more borrowers if it had the authority to set mortgage insurance premiums according to the risk that borrowers pose to the insurance fund and to offer lower downpayment loans to appropriately qualified borrowers. Overall, FHA is seeking more flexibility to respond to rapid changes in housing and mortgage markets so that it can continue to pursue its mission of promoting and sustaining homeownership among first-time and minority households.

Mr. Aderholt #2

Subprime ARMs

Question: The word on everyone's lips these days is "subprime." In fact, it was named the 2007 Word of the Year by the American Dialect Society, surpassing "green" and "facebook." Can you go into more detail for us about the Hope Now program and specifically what it is doing to help the estimated 1.8 million mortgage holders struggling with their subprime ARMs *(adjustable rate mortgages)*?

Answer: Please see details below.

Overview

The HOPE NOW Alliance is a broad-based collaboration between credit and homeownership counselors, lenders, investors, mortgage market participants and trade associations. Since being initiated by Treasury Secretary Paulson and HUD Secretary Jackson in October 2007, the HOPE NOW Alliance has worked to dramatically expand and coordinate the efforts that individual companies and non-profits are making to help at-risk homeowners.

There are approximately 30 loan servicers in the Alliance who represent over 90 percent of the subprime market. Approximately 400 counselors from HUD-approved counseling agencies participate in the Alliance, counseling callers to the Homeownership Preservation Foundation's HOPE Hotline.

Objectives

A major objective of the Alliance is getting participating servicers to dedicate staff with decision making authority to be available to work with counseling agencies and borrowers to explore loss mitigation options. The other big objective is the establishment of a broad fee for service agreement under which lenders and investors pay for counseling, creating sustainable funding for default counseling. While challenges remain to fully realize both major objectives, the success of the Alliance to date is attributable to significant advances in both these areas.

Impact

Regarding impact, the HOPE NOW Alliance provided the following activity data on February 28, 2008 during a Congressional hearing before the Subcommittee on Economic Opportunity, Committee on Veterans' Affairs, United States House of Representatives:

- To date, the Homeownership Preservation Foundation Homeowner's HOPE Hotline has received 456,243 calls, with over 245,000 calls in 2007 alone;
- Calls are increasing monthly. In December 2007, there were 93,794 calls to the Hotline that produced 15,462 counseling sessions;
- 165,755 homeowners received counseling after calling the Hotline, 83,000 of which occurred in 2007;
- In January 2008, there were 82,569 calls that produced 19,558 counseling sessions.

- The Counseling sessions produce results. Through October 26, 2007, more than half of all homeowners counseled have been connected with their lenders for assistance, and one quarter of all homeowners counseled in the fourth quarter of 2007 were referred to their lenders for a recommended workout;
- Counseling sessions are rapidly increasing. Call volume has increased nearly 10-fold between first quarter 2007 and fourth quarter 2007;
- Lender/servicers are urging borrowers to call for counseling. Homeowners primarily hear about the Homeowner's HOPE hotline from their lenders;
- More homeowners with ARMs are calling – 49 percent of callers in the fourth quarter of 2007 were ARM borrowers, up from 34 percent in the first quarter.

Outreach

To continue to reach out to borrowers at risk, the HOPE NOW Hotline is being heavily promoted and advertised. Additionally, participating servicers helped facilitate a large scale direct mail campaign. HOPE NOW is also initiating a series of events across the country to reach more at-risk borrowers and provide them with an opportunity to meet with their loan servicer and find solutions. These events started in March 2008 and will continue throughout the year.

Mr. Aderholt #3

Project Lifeline

Question: As a follow up to that, we've been hearing over the last few days that the mortgage crisis has spread from the subprime market into the prime market at a quickening pace. People with good credit histories are falling behind on their payments for their home loans, as well as car loans, and credit cards. As of early January 2008, there were just over a million prime-rate borrowers, with both adjustable and fixed mortgages, who were 30-days or more behind on their mortgage payments. Would you take a few minutes to discuss the new Project Lifeline, which will allow overdue homeowners to suspend foreclosure proceedings for 30-days as they work to find an agreement with their lenders.

Answer: Project Lifeline is an initiative of the HOPE NOW Alliance whereby mortgage servicers are trying to establish contact with severely delinquent borrowers by offering them a month of grace period before starting or completing a foreclosure.

Servicers will identify borrowers that are 90 or more days delinquent with whom they have had no contact and send them letters offering a 30-day "pause" in the foreclosure process in exchange for responding to the letter by providing enough financial information so that the lender can evaluate the borrower for loss mitigation options. If loss mitigation is feasible the pause will be extended for sufficient time to complete the workout action. If no loss mitigation is possible, at the end of the 30-day pause the foreclosure action will resume.

This targeted mailing applies to all categories of loans and will continue monthly until the program is no longer deemed necessary.

More than 40 percent of borrowers that go to foreclosure have never contacted their lender. There is evidence that if more borrowers did pick up the phone, many could be helped.

Mr. Aderholt #4

Rural Housing and Economic Development

Question: Your budget justification states that the Rural Housing and Economic Development program would be eliminated because the services it provides are duplicative and will be taken care of through the Community Development Block Grant reforms proposed by the Administration. Can you tell me specifically how this reform will take care of the rural areas which have typically been neglected by the CDBG. Formula fairness is needed in rural communities, such as those in the district which I represent, to address the increasing problems they face.

Answer: Under the current CDBG formula, funds are statutorily split between the Entitlement and State CDBG programs on a 70 percent/30 percent basis. The formula reform will eliminate the statutory split and allocate funds to Entitlement communities and States under the same formula on a "fair share" basis. It is estimated that this formula change will provide 31 percent of the CDBG funds to non-entitlement areas. Under the fiscal year 2009 budget proposal of $3 billion for the CDBG program, this change would make an additional $30 million available to non-entitlement jurisdictions which are mainly rural areas. This is almost double the $17 million appropriation for the Rural Housing and Economic Development program in fiscal year 2008. By orienting the formula away from population, and more towards poverty, states with higher instances of poverty would receive higher grants than they do under the current formula.

Mr. Aderholt #5

HUD Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing

Question: On the issue of the HUD Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing, I noticed that there is no increase in your budget request over the 2007 enacted level of $75 million, but you indicate that this will add an additional 9,800 vouchers to the program. Can you explain this?

Answer: The 2009 budget provides $75 million for new incremental VASH vouchers. This request anticipates funding for approximately 10,000 additional vouchers, based on the average cost per voucher. VASH vouchers issued under the fiscal year 2008 Appropriations will be renewed under the regular renewal appropriation formula.

Mr. Aderholt #6

Homelessness in Small Towns and Rural Areas

Question: As you know, homelessness is not a problem that is confined to urban areas and large cities. How is the Administration working to address the needs in small towns and rural areas which have different needs?

Answer: This Administration recognizes the need for homeless assistance programs in every community throughout the United States regardless of geographic location or population size. HUD's McKinney-Vento programs allow rural areas to access their proportion of homeless assistance funding under the Continuum of Care (CoC) and Emergency Shelter Grants (ESG) programs. HUD coordinates with other Federal Agencies, as needed, on issues related to rural homelessness through its participation in the Interagency Council on Homelessness (ICH). HUD also works closely with Federal partners - particularly the Departments of Health and Human Services and Veterans Affairs - on program and policy initiatives affecting both urban and rural areas of the country.

Beginning in 1995, HUD adopted the CoC approach, which allows communities to plan for and provide a balance of housing and services to address the unique needs of the homeless persons in their area. HUD is proud of the expansion of the CoC concept in rural areas, particularly through the development of Balance of State CoCs (which cover areas not included in other CoCs) and Statewide CoCs. As of 2006, only ten states do not have a Balance of State or Statewide CoC, although their rural areas are likely covered by other CoC structures. In the 2007 CoC competition, 53% of all Statewide and Balance of State CoC applications scored above the funding line, while only 48% of non-Balance of State/Statewide CoCs scored high enough for full funding.

Rural areas can also address the needs of their homeless through the ESG program, which funds emergency and transitional shelters with rehabilitation, operating costs, and essential services. It is also the only HUD McKinney-Vento program that funds homeless prevention activities, which are often crucial in rural communities. It is significant to note that about 48 percent of all ESG funds awarded nationally went to state programs, all of which can be made available to small towns and rural areas.

Finally, the Federally sponsored National Survey of Homeless Assistance Programs and their Clients found that 9 percent of the nation's homeless people were located in rural areas. Since 1999, HUD has awarded, on average, 10 percent of annual CoC funding to projects located in rural areas. HUD is confident that as more rural areas gain access to HUD's homeless assistance grants through Balance of State and Statewide CoC applications, the number of projects awarded to rural areas will increase. HUD will continue to provide technical assistance resources to assist rural CoCs with program development, capacity building, and implementation of Homeless Management Information Systems, which strengthen CoC structures and increase program and CoC performance.

THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 28, 2008.

HOUSING NEEDS OF SPECIAL POPULATIONS [ELDERLY; DISABLED; HOMELESS; HOPWA]

WITNESSES

MARK JOHNSTON, DEPUTY ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR SPECIAL NEEDS ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

JOHN GARVIN, SENIOR ADVISOR FOR HOUSING PROGRAMS

CHAIRMAN'S INTRODUCTION

Mr. OLVER. The Subcommittee will come to order. We are in the position where at least four or five different Committees are having showtime, several of them where there are lots of cameras. We are lucky we do not have cameras, or we would have the whole place here crowded, as several of the others are. I just came from one that I was on, which was very interesting.

Anyway, I want to welcome Mark Johnston, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Special Needs Assistance Programs; and John Garvin, the Senior Adviser to the Assistant Secretary for Housing Programs, to the Hearing on Housing for the Elderly, Disabled, Homeless, and People with AIDS.

The Federal government has a responsibility, I believe, to ensure that a strong social safety net exists for people who are elderly, disabled, homeless, or live with AIDS. You are always welcome to disagree.

Our friends within these vulnerable populations are all too often forgotten and left without the supportive services they need and require. Adequate and affordable housing, along with supportive housing services, is particularly important for the elderly, disabled, homeless, and people with AIDS.

HOUSING FOR THE ELDERLY

I would like to spend just a few minutes to describe the great need that exists for housing within these communities. For the elderly, according to U.S. Census Bureau, the number of elderly is expected to rise to 72 million by 2030, which more than double the number in the year 2000. Now, that is quite a rate of rise, in fact. It is about 3 percent per year or more, but we are not seeing that yet because the baby boomers have not yet begun to retire, but when they begin to retire, in the next few years, then, for the rest of that period, the baby boomers will be coming through year after year after year. So that is how you get to those kinds of numbers.

The United States already has a shortage of housing for the elderly. AARP estimates that today there are ten seniors on the waiting list for every one unit of elderly housing that becomes available, and the rise in the number of elderly will continue to exacer-

bate this housing shortage as, again, the baby boomers reach retirement.

In a report released in 2002, the Bipartisan Commission on Affordable Housing and Health Facilities Needs for Seniors in the 21st Century estimated that an additional 730,000 units of affordable housing for the elderly will be needed by 2020, just 12 years from now.

SECTION 202

The Section 202 Program is the largest housing program for the elderly, with over 268,000 units for seniors, and, once again, the President's budget recommends a steep cut, $195 million below last year's level of $735 million, for the Section 202 Program. Of the President's recommended Section 202 budget of $540 million, only $321 million is assigned for new construction, which can produce, roughly, 2,300 units. By my calculation, it would take, roughly, 300 years, at that rate of construction, to produce the 730,000 units that the 2002 Commission says will be necessary by just 2020, which is just 12 years from now. So those numbers really do not fit very well.

For the disabled, the shortage of affordable units for the disabled is just as severe. A 2004 report released by the Presidentially appointed National Council on Disability cited a study which estimates that 1.8 million persons with disabilities who receive supplemental security income have severe housing problems.

Another study, released last year, found, nationally, that average housing rents exceed by 10 percent a disabled person's monthly supplemental Social Security income payment, and, for those people, we are providing the difference between 30 percent of their income as a subsidy on the housing. So it is really low-income people that are involved here.

SECTION 811

The Section 811 Program, created in 1990 under the first President Bush, is the main Federal housing assistance program for the disabled. Through capital grants, project rental assistance, and vouchers, the Section 811 Program has only 40,000 or so units, less than 5 percent of the number identified in the 2004 report of the President's own Council on Disability as being the number of people with disabilities having severe housing problems.

A year ago, for fiscal year 2008, the Administration recommended nearly a 50 percent reduction for construction of affordable housing for the disabled.

For fiscal year 2009, the President's budget recommends a $77 million reduction, which is more than a 30 percent cut from the enacted budget for 2008.

In addition, last year, this Committee provided $30 million for about 4,000 new housing vouchers for the disabled. These new vouchers were the first new housing vouchers for the disabled in the last 5 years, yet the Administration's budget, as far as I can read it, does not include these new vouchers for Section 8, Tenant-Based Contract Renewals, and if this budget were enacted, those vouchers might be lost. Maybe you can correct me in the reading of the budget submission.

HOPWA

For people living with AIDS, there is also a great need for affordable housing and supportive services. According to the CDC, the Center for Disease Control, the number of people living with HIV is between a 1,039,000 and 1,185,000. Studies have found that people living with HIV and AIDS are more likely to experience homelessness or other housing problems.

While the appropriation for the Housing Opportunities for People with AIDS [HOPWA] program reached a record $300 million in Fiscal Year 2008, the program serves about 8,000 households fewer than in fiscal year 2003, simply by the operation of inflation.

I am glad that the Administration did not propose a reduction for HOPWA—the proposal is for the same $300 million—but, clearly, more needs to be done to provide housing for and services for people living with AIDS.

HOMELESS ASSISTANCE GRANTS

The Homeless Assistance Grant program is one area where the President has shown some compassion, providing increases to this account each year for the past several years. In January 2007, the National Alliance To End Homelessness reported that approximately 750,000 people experienced homelessness in January of 2005. That is a point in time that their report showed. In addition, this report showed that 23 percent of this population consists of chronically homeless persons, or those who are homeless for long periods of time.

The increases to this account reflect the Administration's goal to end chronic homelessness by 2012 and includes a new initiative called the Samaritan Initiative.

I look forward to the testimony if it will help us to understand how the data are informing funding decisions and hear what progress we are making in terms of homelessness in America.

Before we hear from Mr. Garvin and Mr. Johnston, and I think we will do that in reverse order, Mr. Johnston and Mr. Garvin here, I would like to turn to my esteemed colleague from Michigan, Mr. Knollenberg, for whatever comments he would like to make.

MR. KNOLLENBERG'S REMARKS

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you very much. How esteemed am I? I accept.

Mr. OLVER. Well, I will change it to "distinguished," if you prefer.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Thank you. I also would like to add my welcome to Mr. Garvin and also to Mr. Mark Johnston.

I realize that these gentlemen are not Assistant Secretaries, so I am not going to beat you up, and we should not beat you up, because the decisions were made with no responsibility attached to you folks. Nevertheless, you are the messengers, and the message that has been sent forward to the Congress and this Subcommittee over the past several years has consistently resulted in this Committee, this Subcommittee, having to find major increases in funds each year to simply continue the status quo.

Frankly, this slash-and-burn approach to the elderly and disabled programs has been used so often that I really do not think

the Administration is worried or concerned about the merits of their proposal. They simply do it in order to meet a budget target.

As Chairman of this Subcommittee, and sense as a Ranking Member, I have rejected that approach and supported funding the program at current levels, even though I supported and proposed many other terminations and reductions of duplicative and low-priority programs, and that is the point: Why we fund a $15 million Rural Economic Development Program when the USDA has requested $15 billion in rural economic development is beyond me, and that $15 million, the first number, could have been the first significant increase in the AIDS Assistance program in 5 years and could have built 130 to 150 more elderly units or built 115 more disabled units compared to what we have been providing.

With the exception of the Homeless Programs, these programs being discussed today have essentially been level funded now for several years, as you know, yet we consider the $15 million for rural economic development as a high priority. This Subcommittee should stop funding these duplicative programs and put the funds toward increasing the very programs before us today.

RENEWALS

A second concern that I have is that HUD, in general, has become the department of renewals. For Tenant-Based Section 8, we are spending $15 billion a year just to renew what we have. The same for Project-Based Section 8 programs: $7 billion a year just to renew the assistance being provided. The same for public housing. We are just spending $6 billion to $7 billion a year simply to keep the existing units operating.

Now we have the same phenomenon happening in these programs. We have set in motion a process by which the cost of renewing what we have gobbled up as an increasing share of the funds we are providing each year and thereby eating into the funds available for new construction and expanding the number of people and families served.

I hope to explore this during the question period and the long-term implications of that occurrence, and I am sure that you gentlemen will have some answers for us.

HOPWA

Finally, several years ago, Congress initiated some general provisions in this bill that affected who got AIDS housing assistance, essentially overriding the Authorization Act. I would like to hear more about the impacts of that general provision.

Mr. Chairman, I know that you have a keen interest in, and you worry over, how to meet the needs of these extremely important programs, and the two of us have worked hard together to simply keep them level funded, and we know that there are real needs not being met.

I look forward to having our witnesses today give us their views on how to maximize the benefits of these programs so that we may consider them as we move forward to the 2009 fiscal year, and, with that, I yield back my time. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. OLVER. Thank you for your statement, Mr. Knollenberg.

We will now hear from the witnesses, first, Mr. Johnston, and then Mr. Garvin. We have your complete written statements, and if you will keep your comments to somewhere in the range of what I and the Ranking Member have made, and then we can get on to questions. Mr. Johnston.

Mr. JOHNSTON. Thank you, Chairman Olver and Ranking Member Knollenberg.

On behalf of the secretary, I am pleased to be here today before this Subcommittee. As the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Special Needs in the Office of Community Planning and Development at HUD, I oversee and manage the Department's efforts to address the housing and service needs of two of our most vulnerable populations; that is persons who are homeless and low-income persons who have HIV/AIDS.

In 1987, the Congress enacted the McKinney Act, which created targeted homeless assistance programs at HUD and various other agencies to address the emergency, transitional, and permanent housing needs of homeless persons and families.

Since then, HUD has awarded some $13.5 billion to communities across the country. In the President's fiscal year 2009 Budget, HUD is requesting record funding of over $1.7 billion in targeted homeless assistance efforts. This level of funding will allow HUD to continue to fund existing projects and also to serve by providing new project funding to create additional units.

This funding request will also provide additional housing vouchers to homeless veterans who will benefit from the services offered by the Department of Veterans Affairs. The 2009 Budget request represents a more than 50 percent increase in funding since 2001.

HUD administers three competitive homeless assistance programs that provide a range of housing and services. They are the Supportive Housing program, which is our largest; the Shelter Plus Care program; and a program called Single Room Occupancy, or "SRO."

In addition, we administer a formula program called the Emergency Shelter Grants program, which provides not just emergency shelter but also services and homeless prevention.

To better serve homeless persons this year, HUD's competitive Homeless Grant Programs will be accessible through an electronic grant-application process. Each year, HUD receives well over 6,000 grant applications from about 450 city or regional Continuums of Care that represent about 3,900 cities and counties in America. Converting to a completely electronic system will significantly reduce the time that we need to process the applications at HUD.

In addition to this 2008 effort, we are looking to further streamline and expedite the use of our funds through legislation and through the authorizing process.

The Department will also provide housing assistance to approximately 70,500 households to help persons living with HIV and AIDS under the Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS program, or "HOPWA." HOPWA provides housing assistance and services to this very vulnerable population.

For fiscal year 2009, the Administration is requesting $300 million for HOPWA. This amount maintains the highest level of funding in program history. The President's Budget also requests an

update to the statutory formula. This revised formula will take into account housing costs and the number of persons living with AIDS within a jurisdiction.

HUD looks forward to strengthening the HOPWA Program so that it can continue to provide safe and decent housing to low-income persons living with HIV/AIDS.

I want to reaffirm HUD's commitment to addressing these two very vulnerable populations and thank you for the opportunity to discuss these special needs programs, and, at the appropriate time, I will be more than happy to take your questions.

[The information follows:]

Prepared Statement of Mark Johnston

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Special Needs Assistance Programs
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

Hearing before the Subcommittee on Transportation, HUD, and Related Agencies
Committee on Appropriations
United States House of Representatives

Housing Needs of Special Populations

February 28, 2008

Thank you Chairman Olver and Ranking Member Knollenberg. On behalf of Secretary Jackson, I am pleased to appear before this Committee. My name is Mark Johnston. As the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Special Needs, in the Office of Community Planning and Development, I oversee and manage the Department's efforts to address the housing and service needs of two of our most vulnerable populations: persons who are homeless and low-income persons living with HIV/AIDS.

In 1987, Congress passed the McKinney-Vento Act creating targeted homeless assistance programs at HUD to address the emergency, transitional and permanent housing needs of homeless families and individuals. Since then, HUD has awarded $13.5 billion to communities across the country. In the President's FY 2009 budget, HUD is requesting record funding of over $1.7 billion for its targeted homeless assistance efforts. This level of funding will allow HUD to continue to fund existing projects and also help develop new supportive housing units to address local needs. This funding request will also provide additional vouchers to homeless veterans who will benefit from the services offered by the Department of Veterans Affairs. The 2009 budget request represents a more than 50 percent increase in funding for homeless assistance during this Administration.

HUD administers three competitive homeless assistance grant programs that provide targeted assistance. The Supportive Housing Program develops housing and related supportive services for people transitioning from homelessness to independent living. The Shelter Plus Care Program provides rental assistance through a variety of permanent housing choices, tied to needed supportive services such as drug treatment and mental health treatment. The Single Room Occupancy or SRO Program provides rental assistance for properties that will contain upgraded single occupancy units for homeless individuals. In addition to these competitive programs, HUD also administers the Emergency Shelter Grants Program, which allocates funds by formula. This program provides funds for emergency shelters, transitional housing and homeless prevention. HUD also provides extensive technical assistance to applicants and grant recipients.

In 1994, HUD launched the Continuum of Care concept to help communities across America solve the challenges posed by homelessness with a coordinated, comprehensive and strategic approach. Continuum of Care calls for each community to plan, organize and deliver housing and services that meet the specific needs of homeless individuals and families as they move toward a stable home and self-sufficiency. The Continuum of Care process involves a broad array of stakeholders, including state and local government agencies, public housing agencies, non-profit providers, foundations, schools, businesses, and homeless and formerly homeless persons.

HUD award of all competitive homeless assistance program funding to Continuums of Care is closely tied to their performance. HUD measures to what extent grantees are successful in housing clients in permanent, stable housing and securing client employment. As a result of this emphasis on performance outcomes, over 132,000 persons moved from the streets and shelters into permanent supportive housing in 2006. Last year, over 70,000 persons who exited HUD's homeless programs secured employment.

The success of the Continuum of Care programs demonstrates HUD's dedication to sound management of its homeless programs. HUD's homeless programs were reviewed by OMB using the Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART). These programs received an "Effective" rating, OMB's highest score.

Communities are using Homeless Management Information Systems (HMIS) to document the extent and nature of homelessness. HUD aggregated that data and, this past year, submitted the first Annual Homeless Assessment Report (AHAR) to Congress. This report used HMIS data from a large sample of communities to estimate the number of homeless persons in the Untied States. The study also used point-in-time counts from each Continuum across the nation. By using two methods of evaluation, the AHAR is the most comprehensive national study on the extent and nature of homelessness.

To better serve homeless persons, this year's HUD's Continuum of Care competitive programs will be accessible through an electronic application. HUD receives each year well over 6,000 grant applications from over 450 Continuums of Care which represent over 3,900 cities and counties. These continuums represent over 90 percent of the U.S. population. Converting to a completely electronic system will significantly reduce the time needed to process the applications at HUD. In addition to this 2008 effort, we are looking to further streamline and expedite the use of HUD's sorely needed homeless funds through legislation. HUD has transmitted to Congress legislation to consolidate the three competitive Continuum of Care programs into a single, competitive program. The legislation will provide a single match requirement and single list of eligible activities, affording much needed simplicity and flexibility. Under the proposal, HUD will award future funds not to the 6,000 individual organizations but to the approximately 450 Continuums. Continuums will then each administer a grant in their community. These features will allow each community to much more effectively and efficiently address their local needs.

The Department will also provide housing assistance to approximately 70,500 households to help persons living with HIV and AIDS under the Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS program (HOPWA). HOPWA provides rental assistance and funding for the operation of community residences and other housing facilities. A significant number of beneficiaries also receive short-term housing support in limited rent or mortgage payments as a temporary intervention that prevents immediate homelessness and creates opportunities to connect to support networks.

In FY2008, 90 percent of HOPWA funds were distributed by formula to 127 jurisdictions, with ten percent to be awarded in renewals and by competition to an estimated 26 projects. For FY2009, the Administration is requesting $300 million in order to allow for continued support for 70,500 households. This amount maintains the highest level of funding in program history. The President's budget also requests an update to the statutory formula. The revised formula will take housing costs and the number of persons living with AIDS within a jurisdiction into account. The new HOPWA formula will distribute resources on a more equitable basis and would better reflect differences in housing costs across the nation. HUD looks forward to strengthening the HOPWA program so that it can continue to provide safe and decent housing to low-income persons living with HIV/AIDS.

I want to reaffirm HUD's commitment to addressing the needs of these vulnerable populations. Thank you for the opportunity to discuss these special needs programs. I welcome your questions may have.

Mr. OLVER. Thank you very much. Mr. Garvin.

MR. GARVIN'S OPENING STATEMENT

Mr. GARVIN. Thank you, Chairman Olver and Ranking Member Knollenberg. I am going to take away a lot of my comments because you have things you want to talk about, and I do not think you need an overview of the Sections 202 and 811 Programs.

Serving seniors and persons with disabilities is very important to this Administration, and I will not debate the fact that $540 million will not serve a 730,000-unit gap. That is very clear. I do not think $735 million would come close to doing it either.

When Commissioner Montgomery brought me to D.C., a little over 2 years ago, he did so because he knew I come from the multifamily industry, and I have been an advocate for serving folks with special needs, specifically, people with disabilities and seniors, for the whole time I have been in housing.

Ten years ago, I worked for the Texas Department of Housing and did the HUD Required Needs Assessment and saw the needs of people with disabilities and seniors very clearly. Ten to 12 years ago, it was really bad then, too.

So Commissioner Montgomery sends his regards, and also I failed to mention that he asked me to take over as Deputy Assistant Secretary of Multifamily Housing about 8 months ago, so I am serving a dual role. When I got here, Commissioner Montgomery asked that I really take a look at exactly what Chairman Olver was talking about: Production serving these woefully underserved populations is not good.

SECTIONS 202/811

I have the utmost respect for the Section 202 Program and its sponsors and the HUD staff that run it, as I do for the Section 811 Program. I was actually the President of a limited-liability corporation in Texas that built 811's and serves people with disabilities on a voluntary basis, so I have the utmost respect for those 2 programs.

The problem is, they, on their own, cannot address the needs that need to be met.

In the last 2 decades alone, the Low-Income Housing Tax Credit Program, as you are well aware of, has built or rehabbed at least two million units across this country and made them really safe, decent, affordable housing. States like North Carolina have gone beyond that and said that 10 percent of all of the units built or rehabbed have to be made accessible to people with special needs, people with disabilities, in particular. That is the kind of thinking we have to move forward with.

TAX CREDITS

Just about 2 years ago, the Commission asked me to get together with the industry and advocates for folks with special needs and put together suggestions to make these programs streamlined with tax credits. The best thing about the tax credit program is it is very efficient, and, although not all tax credit developers would agree with this next statement, it is a lot easier to deal with than

a lot of the HUD programs that have been around since the 1960's and, in this case, I think, 1959 was when it was signed into law.

I have worked with a lot of tax credit developers. In my last job, I ran a trade organization of tax credit developers, and a lot of tax credit developers who wanted to do more tax credits with Section 202, they would try Section 811, but that is way fewer units, and they just would not get where they wanted to go with that.

They would talk to me, and they would say, "We would love to do Section 202 with tax credits, but just the timing of the applications and the burden of all of the additional paperwork was just too much, and it took too much time."

So we got the industry together and talked to them about how to leverage tax credits with the Sections 202 and 811 Programs, and we got some really good suggestions. In the 2008 Budget, we did not get that part, but, in the 2009 Budget, you will see a demonstration program, again, to leverage tax credits with Sections 202 and 811 Programs.

People often talk about how long it takes to build and get these properties leased. With a tax credit program, you have to get the place built and placed in service, leased, in a 2-year period, or you face losing your credits, and investors are not going to want to deal with losing tax credits; that is their money.

So we have a lot of good ideas. A lot, we can do without the demonstration program. I will not hide that, but the demonstration program would give us the ability to put a lot of the processing of Sections 202 and 811 Programs at the state housing finance agency because they supervise the asset management of the tax credits.

So the best scenario would be, if you were building a 200-unit, tax credit property, you build the property using your tax credit equity and some debt, and then maybe, in some cases, if you need a gap of Sections 202 or 811 development money, that is there. You can stretch the Sections 202 and 811 money if you use it more for rental assistance in a tax credit deal. Build it with the tax credit equity and debt and then make it affordable, like you were saying, often to people with 30 percent of median income, by using the rental assistance offered by Sections 202 and 811.

We have seen a few close examples of this—one in Vermont and one in California—and they are really good. It is a great use, but until we can get this on a nationwide, strong force behind it, we will see, I think, 4,000 units a year in Section 202 and way less than that in Section 811, and even if we doubled or tripled what our budget request is, we are not going to be accurately addressing that need until we have a true force of production behind it, and thank you for having me here today.

[The information follows:]

Prepared Statement of John L. Garvin

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Multifamily Housing Programs
Senior Advisor to the Federal Housing Commissioner
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

Hearing before the Subcommittee on Transportation, HUD, and Related Agencies
Committee on Appropriations
United States House of Representatives

Housing Needs of Special Populations

February 28, 2008

Thank you Chairman Olver and Ranking Member Knollenberg for inviting me to appear before this subcommittee to discuss the Department of Housing and Urban Development's efforts to provide affordable, accessible, and integrated housing options for seniors and people with disabilities. Under FHA Commissioner Brian Montgomery's oversight, I direct two programs that focus solely on serving these special needs populations – the Section 202 Supportive Housing for the Elderly Program and the Section 811 Supportive Housing for the Disabled.

The FY 2009 Budget requests $540 million for the Section 202 program. This program expands the supply of affordable housing with supportive services for seniors 62 years of age and older. It provides very low-income elderly with options that allow them to live independently but in an environment that provides support activities including cleaning, cooking, transportation. The residents of Section 202 projects have a median age of approximately 76, a median income of approximately $9,500, and their primary source of income is Social Security Insurance.

Most residents live in Section 202 properties for many years, often requiring additional services over time. Studies show that with supportive services seniors can age in place with dignity, and at a much lower cost than moving prematurely to institutionalized settings such as nursing homes.

Section 202 funding is awarded on a competitive basis to non-profit organizations to develop apartments and provide rental assistance. Since the program's inception, more than 300,000 units have been funded.

The Section 811 program, for which HUD has requested $160 million in FY 2009, focuses on serving people with disabilities aged 18 and older. It is nearly identical to the Section 202 program in terms of how the two are administered.

To improve the rate of new unit production within difficult budget environments, the Department has sought input from our industry partners to find way to increase production of both the Section 202 and Section 811 programs. As a result, the Administration proposed in the FY 2008 and FY 2009 Budgets to create demonstration programs to leverage both the Section 202 and 811 programs with the Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) program as well as other sources of funding.

These demonstration programs would increase the production of units serving these special needs population by removing the barriers that discourage LIHTC applicants from utilizing Section 202 and Section 811. As the subommittee is aware, the Low Income Housing Tax Credit Program is the lead program in the country for producing and rehabilitating affordable rental housing. According to the U.S. Department of the Treasury, over the past two decades the LIHTC has financed nearly two million affordable housing units.

While the Department has yet to be granted the authority to implement these demonstration programs, we have undertaken several initiatives that have produced positive results. Specifically, we are making programmatic and regulatory changes that will remove

some of the barriers that discourage sponsors from utilizing Low Income Housing Tax Credits with Section 202 and Section 811. These changes include allowing common amenities such as dishwashers, washers and dryers to be considered as eligible costs, and allowing for a more objective approach in calculating development costs.

We believe that statutory changes, as outlined in the demonstration efforts, will increase the productivity of both programs, will further increase the productivity of both programs, thus allowing for greater safe, decent, affordable and accessible housing options for special needs populations.

In closing, I would like to thank you for the opportunity to present before you today.

SECTION 202

Mr. OLVER. Thank you very much. I sense that neither of you is going to provide us with a good adversary for discussion around these issues because I have the feeling that you, more or less, agree that we have a serious, hard need, as, clearly, both I and the Ranking Member have an agreement there.

I want to use the chart, if it shows what I think it is going to show. I think that, in a sense, this will provide some background to both what Mr. Knollenberg and I have already said, but it gives a little bit of historical perspective here.

That chart shows, and I remind you that Mr. Knollenberg chaired this Committee in the previous term of Congress. The last 2 budgets—the 2007 and the 2008 budgets—both were finally produced after we were in the majority and after the majority had changed, and I was the Chairman during those 2 times.

That shows a series of bar graphs from fiscal year 2001, on the left, through 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, and the Budget request for 2009. The white bars are the budget requests each year, the blue bars are the final budget enactments each year, and, as you can see, this goes to a period before Mr. Knollenberg chaired the Committee by several years there.

In those years, there is a series of years where you can see, first, the virtual agreement with the President's request and then slight increases in the final budget enactments until one gets out to the years 2005 and 2006, where the ultimate budget is below the request, and then the bottom falls out in terms of the requests.

Frankly, in those last 2 years, 2007 and 2008, the budget request was just totally inadequate here, and I am only now talking about—this is the Section 202 program. We could create another one for the disability program, and it would look similar. You are absolutely right that 540 does not get you toward this 730,000 need.

What you are suggesting is that a good portion of that 730,000 need is going to have to be provided for in a very different kind of a way. That, I think, is the gist of the comments that are there. Both of us, as Chairs, when he was Chair and now I am Chair, have been struggling with this problem of how do we begin to meet the needs when our budgets have been tight in these last several years and very tight, indeed.

ELDERLY POPULATION

So you do not disagree with the sense that our population of elders is increasing and going to increase dramatically rapidly in the next few years as the baby boomers retire. But going with that, though, is that there have been reports that show that the income of those people who are in the Section 202 housing that is available, the 268,000 units that are available, the incomes of those persons have gone downward, slipped downward, in the last few years. Just over a 5-year period—I think it was from 2000 to 2005—the report that I saw showed that the average income of those in those units had slipped from a little over 10,000 to just a little over 9,000.

So the income is slipping. At the same time, the age is going up, the age increasing, so that now, among those in our 268,000 Section 202 units, the number of people over 80 is now about 40 percent, whereas, only a matter of 5 or 6 years ago, it was just over 30 percent, and people who are older tend to need more services. We need more assisted living in that age group that is growing most rapidly.

Now, when a big slug of new baby boomers comes in, that age may slip downward again, but it will not affect the number of people in this very high age group, the number of people, which is going to increase rather dramatically.

So, all told, we have that kind of a need, and this series of demographic issues is coming together.

Now, I am curious. We have to do something really dramatically, it seems to me, to get to the point where we are meeting that need for people because usually, in the private market, at least, we are not dealing with the very, very low-income people. We still have people, who, at that $9,000 present income, are only able to spend $3,000 of that, or 30 percent, and then we are subsidizing the rest.

So this is an income group that is not going to be easily served outside, so it seems to me, our role with HUD's programs is to deal with the very low-income people in that very large group with the large increases of numbers of people.

Now, am I wrong? Do we need that end of the thing growing substantially? You look at that figure, and we have not been dealing with it either in terms of increasing the appropriation, either when the Democrats having been in the majority, nor when the Republicans were in the majority.

The other line on there shows during the same period of years, an increase in the number of elders. Now, that is a $3 million increase in elders in that particular period of years, and we are up to 38. So if, by 2020, if the figures are correct, and we should know the demographics. We know how many people are now 50 who will be 62 by the year 2020, so we certainly must know.

Those studies must be reasonably close to accurate. That is going to begin to go up dramatically. The slope of the rate of increase is going to go up dramatically. That slope is a little bit off because it is on a different scale. It is not exactly the same percentage scale per year that the bar graphs are on. But from 2001, it should be going upward at a slightly slower slope.

So we are diverging in meeting the needs, and the divergence, just by the number of people in the population who are in those needy categories—again, we are only talking about the elders—we are not talking about disabled—the gap between what we have available and the number of needs on the disabled is far worse than the gap between the 260,000 of housing units that we have versus the 730,000 or 750,000 that we might need.

There is also an inflation factor. Just to provide the same level of services, you have to be adding the inflation amount at a couple of percent points per year, which means that, actually, when you put the inflation level and the growth of the population of elders, the slope of that line on population or needs is closer to the slope that is actually showing on the map. It just is a slope that would

start from 2001, on the far left, and go upward at that slope from there.

So the bottom has fallen out of the amount of money that has been appropriated. In that sort of situation, I would like to just ask you to comment.

UNDERFUNDING SECTION 202

The gap clearly is growing. When you look at the President's budget these last several years, in terms of requests, the gap took a terrible drop, but it was falling, at least, versus inflated numbers, services that needed to be provided, and I would like you to say, what is the cost of not funding these programs at a better level? Do you have some sense? Could you give us an idea?

What are the kinds of costs that we incur that we suffer by not dealing with this, and maybe if you can give me some sense of what portion of this should be handled by your proposed ideas about the demonstration programs—I will ask something about that later—versus the regular programs that HUD does and our public housing authorities do reasonably well? Try that for a couple of minutes.

Mr. GARVIN. Thank you, sir. I could not agree with you more on serving the extremely low-income, the folks who you mentioned, and your research is phenomenal, by the way, the folks at around——

Mr. OLVER. I just made that all up.

Mr. GARVIN. Good job, a very good job. Seniors making $9,500; that is Social Security. Obviously, they do not have any other assets. If we do not have an affordable housing option for them, a safe, decent, accessible housing option for those folks, they will wind up prematurely institutionalized in nursing homes, and that is a cost.

Mr. OLVER. That is costly.

Mr. GARVIN. Right. That is like about $75,000 a year versus, just to develop a Section 202 unit, is probably about $110,000 now, with inflation built into that. So you would pay $110,000 once and house them—obviously, not the same people—but have affordable housing for seniors for a 40-year term, or you let them go into a nursing home, which costs the government and taxpayers a lot more. Obviously, the deal is to have a massive injection of affordable housing.

MONEY FOLLOWS THE PERSON REBALANCING INITIATIVE

One thing that the administration did that will help this is a lot is its initiative called Money Follows the Person Rebalancing Initiative, which provides money from the Center for Medicaid and Medicare to pay for the services that you were mentioning, sir, to keep people in their home, whether it is subsidized or not.

Say, you lose a couple of activities of daily living—you cannot dress yourself, or you cannot make your meals—but, other than that, you can live pretty independent in your own home that maybe you have paid off the mortgage on. I think 80 percent of all seniors own their own home and the loan is already paid off. So if those folks, even though they are not extremely low-income, they are not necessarily wealthy, and the same thing: If they do not have afford-

able housing options, they will prematurely wind up in an institution that will cost a lot to keep up.

So the $1.7 billion over 5 years in Money Follows the Person, and HUD is working closely with the Center for Medicaid and Medicare Services to do what we were talking about, let folks in the Section 202 who need more advance services, let folks in tax credit units who need services, let folks living in their own home, in privately owned apartment complexes that have no government subsidy, allow them the services they need to stay in their home.

It is good for the community. No one wants to transition into a nursing home. They want to stay in the their own home as long as they can, and this Money Follows the Person deal keeps people in their home, and that is a huge cost savings.

I agree with you. We have to take this on. Again, Section 202 is not even going to take the slightest bite out of solving this. We have to have a real national, senior housing initiative, using what we have, using the tools that we have. We do not need to create new tools, but we just need a lot of special needs set-asides at tax credit properties. A lot of tax-exempt bond financing, multifamily mortgage revenue bond developments will have set-asides based on the city's or state's investment. If they have a city housing trust fund, they will say, we will invest money if you will serve either folks with disabilities X percent, seniors, depending on what age or mix. There is a lot going on out there. The statistics you read need to be forced out there more and in more housing plans.

Mr. OLVER. How many years have you been with the Department?

Mr. GARVIN. Two years and one month and about 11 days.

Mr. OLVER. Okay. There is certainly a certain amount of optimism still there.

Mr. Knollenberg, your 10 minutes or more.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I do appreciate, Mr. Garvin, your laying out the status of things, and I also appreciate the Chairman's work. I do not know where you found time to do this.

ASSISTANCE FOR THE HOMELESS

Several years ago, Congress instituted a provision, over the administration's objections, to set aside 30 percent of the appropriated funds to secure hard units as housing assistance for the homeless. I believe the community has said that something like 140,000 units were needed to support the homeless community. Does that figure sound about right?

Mr. JOHNSTON. About right; 150,000 was the figure.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. How many units have been placed under contract to date, or where we are relative to the goal of 140,000 or 150,000 units to be placed under contract, and how many units will be added with the set-aside in 2008, or what is the average initial cost of adding a unit? Is that clear?

Mr. JOHNSTON. I think so. Let me start, and if I have not been responsive, let me know.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Thank you.

Mr. JOHNSTON. What I would like to do is just give a little bit of context on the 30 percent requirement.

Back in the 1990's, the administration, at the time—HUD—I will just say HUD, at the time, had a very laissez faire approach in terms of how its funds should be used, whether it was housing or services. HUD's homeless programs can be used for a whole variety of activities. Supportive services, for instance, can include mental health treatment and drug treatment, case management, day care, et cetera, as well as housing—transitional housing and permanent housing.

We let communities do whatever they wanted to using our money for housing or services in the 1990's, and, because it was easier to get the services from HUD than at other places, a significant percentage of all of HUD's funds went there. By 1997, about 55 to 56 percent of all of our funds for housing and homelessness was going to supportive services.

So I think the Congress, very rightly, said, we need to start focusing more on housing. So the Congress instituted a 30-percent requirement, which, at that time, I do not think that Administration, frankly, was that supportive of it, but, since then, we have seen the light. We see that it really has a value to use more of our funds for housing and less of it for the supportive services.

So we have instituted a number of incentives to meet that statutory requirement, and it is not an easy requirement to meet. You may think that 30 percent of our appropriation is easy to get, but the fine print in the appropriations language says, essentially, you cannot count Emergency Shelter Grants, which is about 10 percent of our appropriation, you cannot count Shelter Plus Care renewals, which is hundreds of millions of dollars every year. Essentially, it is about a 50 percent set-aside, and we are barely meeting it now. This past year, we hit about 31 or 32 percent, so we almost did not meet the statutory requirement, and that is, in part, because of this increasing demand for renewals that was referenced.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. So this percentage is rising.

Mr. JOHNSTON. The 30 percent—it is 30 percent with a whole bunch of exceptions. So when you take out Emergency Shelter Grants, which is $160 million, and you take out, by law, Shelter Plus Care renewals, because that is what the appropriation's fine print says, that is about $300 million we cannot count, even though it is all permanent housing.

So, in effect, it is about 50 percent; 40 to 50 percent, of the appropriation has got to go there, and we are close to not meeting it, to be frank, and, when we get there, and we have had that happen about twice now, we have to stop funding higher scoring projects, take them out, not fund them, and go much lower down on our list and find a permanent housing project that qualifies.

So it is something to consider, but that is just the background. I apologize. I did not mean to get off on that too much.

CHRONICALLY HOMELESS

But to get to your real issue, which, I think, is the 150,000 units, our goal—this was in 2002, set by Secretary Martinez—was that, as a nation, we need to get about 150,000 units for the chronically homeless, and that would be permanent housing units. "Chronically homeless" essentially means people living on the streets or

in emergency shelters that are disabled and have been there for a long time.

We have been forging forward, year after year. We, at the end of 2006—that is with HUD funding and our partners because we work with States and cities and non-profits to make this happen—we have matching requirements in the statute to do it—is that there are about 40,000 units in place for the chronically homeless. Now, we have other permanent housing units for the non-chronically homeless.

In 2007, HUD funded, just recently, over 4,000 additional new units for the chronically homeless. We are now tallying what the communities have developed with non-HUD funding. The Congress just appropriated, in 2008, the HUD VASH program, which is going to create 10,000 more units. So we are well beyond 50,000 units in 2008, is the short answer to your question.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Maybe you covered this in your comments. I did not hear. Could you estimate the percentage of the total grant program budget that is devoted to new and renewing existing hard units?

Mr. JOHNSTON. At this point, renewals is 86 percent. The previous year, it was 84 percent, and so we are seeing a 2- to 3-percent increase per year.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. That is good enough in terms of the answer. Do you agree or disagree that the grant program is heading in the same direction, as the Section 8 program?

Mr. JOHNSTON. Well, Section 8 is a gigantic program relative to the homeless programs.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Relatively speaking.

Mr. JOHNSTON. If your question is, are we increasing the renewal rate in our program each year, that is true.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. So more and more money every year will have to be devoted——

Mr. JOHNSTON. If we do not, people will be back on the streets.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG [continuing]. Just to renew what we have in place. Right?

Mr. JOHNSTON. That is true. That is correct.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Or, to put it another way, if no mandated set-aside were included in the 2009 Budget, would you want to add hard units to the inventory, as a matter of policy?

Mr. JOHNSTON. In 2009, we believe we will still be able to fund all renewals, as well as some new projects, with or without the 30 percent requirement.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. In 2009, but you are not talking about the issue.

Mr. JOHNSTON. I am sorry. I thought you were asking about 2009. We do not have a 2010 budget, but——

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. I just want to make sure that it was 2009 that you are talking about here.

Mr. JOHNSTON. Yes, it was.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. I would like to turn now to the elimination of chronic homelessness. As you mentioned, this program was established by Secretary Martinez in 2001, and had the goal of eliminating chronic homelessness in 10 years. We are now more than

halfway through that time period. Where do we stand, percentage-wise, today?

ENDING CHRONIC HOMELESSNESS

Mr. JOHNSTON. Again, I will be briefer, but I want to give a brief context about how we are getting there because it is valuable to know.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. You have to be very concise about that, if you would, so I can get to another question.

Mr. JOHNSTON. I will. In 2002, Secretary Martinez identified a goal, the first time ever for HUD, to try to measurably reduce anything related to homelessness, and, in this case, the toughest group: persons who are chronically homeless. The goal was to end chronic homelessness in 10 years, as you have stated.

We saw that we needed a lot of help to do that, and so the Interagency Council on Homelessness has reached out to governors, mayors, and other elected officials to help us with that. Their plans did not start in 2002.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. When did they start?

Mr. JOHNSTON. Well, each mayor—they have their own agenda. Some started last year, some started in 2005, 2006, 2007, et cetera, and so it is going to be a somewhat staggered approach. In our requests, over the last 4 or 5 years, you have not seen a specific goal of 2012, because we have to have these partners to make it happen.

We still have the goal to end chronic homelessness, and we are making progress. Let me give two examples.

Actually, between 2005 and 2006, the first years that we had hard data on the chronically homeless, we saw a 12-percent reduction—actually, an 11.5-percent reduction. That is the first time that this Country has ever seen a reduction of any kind related to homelessness.

So we are on the right track. We are not going to get there by 2012, but we are moving in that direction.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Most of the states do have some kind of program, do they not?

Mr. JOHNSTON. Do you mean a plan to end chronic homelessness?

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Well, I assumed it was a program. If it is just a plan, that would tell me that maybe it has not started yet.

Mr. JOHNSTON. I think that is the case in a number of states, that they have announced a plan; in many States, they have not quite fully implemented.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. But that decision made by Secretary Martinez back in 2001 did say 10 years, so, apparently, some other things did not happen online fast enough to make this thing work out to the numbers that he had suggested would be the case in 2001.

Mr. JOHNSTON. To solve homelessness and, in particular, to solve chronic homelessness—people that need everything, people that need housing, jobs, mental health treatment, drug treatment—we need a lot of partners, and we need those mayors and governors for this.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Let me talk about some of those partners and those agencies. When you consider the number of agencies that

have specific programs—I am not talking about the states now—that is in there, two specific programs to address homelessness, all the way from Agriculture to the Veterans Administration to the mainstream entitlement programs, and there are many others, would not it make more sense to submit a single, consolidated plan or budget request that puts all of the parts together into a comprehensive whole?

At the moment, there is really no way to be sure, at any level, that all of the programs are working together in some kind of common goal. If requested by this Subcommittee, could you give us some information on putting together a complete listing of all of the program budgets and all of the agencies that contribute to reducing homelessness, or has that never been done, for internal purposes, before? Is this something you could do?

Mr. JOHNSTON. We do it. We have done it for years.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Okay. Is it something that we could get in a consolidated form?

Mr. JOHNSTON. Absolutely. It is in an Excel spreadsheet.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. All right.

Mr. JOHNSTON. OMB does it, and they work with each of the agencies to do so.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. It just seems to me, and my time has expired, but it just seems to me that we cannot get a handle on this thing, I do not think, or our hands on it, unless we know exactly who is out there working side-by-side, but they are not working side by side. They are working, as I gather, independent of each other. You do not agree with that?

Mr. JOHNSTON. I do not agree, actually.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. When you get your numbers together, we are interested in that, number one. So if you think there is a coordination, I guess, maybe if you could define it.

Mr. JOHNSTON. Could I give a couple of examples?

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Well, my time has expired.

Mr. JOHNSTON. Okay.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. But if you are extremely shorter, we can come back the next round.

Mr. JOHNSTON. I will be short.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. How short?

Mr. JOHNSTON. Less than a minute.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Is that okay, Mr. Chairman?

Mr. JOHNSTON. I used to work at the food stamp program on homelessness. I have worked at the Interagency Council on Homelessness, and I have worked at HUD. I work, on a daily basis, with other agencies. I am on the phone almost every day with the VA. They are a huge partner for us. I have regular contact with the Health and Human Services Department and the Department of Labor.

There is a lot going on behind the scenes that probably we could better articulate in our submissions when we submit them to Congress, but we have a lot of good coordination going on.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Thank you very much. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. OLVER. Thank you. [Off mike.]

Mr. RODRIGUEZ. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Along with the comments that were made by the Chairman. I will yield. We came in together.

CONTINUUM OF CARE

Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD. I was just informing the Chairman that I have two other hearings going on plus another meeting that I have to go to. Thank you very much, Congressman Rodriguez.

Mr. Johnson, in December of 2007, the Los Angeles Continuum Care received its largest HUD homeless assistance award. I am very pleased about that because you do know what the need is in Los Angeles. However, the methodology that is currently used to calculate the funding levels is largely based on the age of local housing stock and the local poverty rate, and it does not direct resources to the areas of the country with the highest homeless populations.

Let me just, for the record, give you an example of what I am talking about. For 2007, Los Angeles had a pro rata amount of $43,038,224 and a final award of $67,533,854. As a point of comparison, the New York City Continuum of Care had a pro rata need amount of $61,532,526 and a final award of $83,326,595.

Now, their pro rata need amount was approximately $18.5 million more than that of Los Angeles. However, New York has about half the number of homeless persons as the Los Angeles Continuum of Care on any given night. In addition, only 10 percent or 3,750 of the homeless population are unsheltered compared to over 56,000 unsheltered in Los Angeles.

So, clearly, the existing formula for distributing funding really does not address the unmet needs of the homeless population, which is really what the goal of this program is.

My question is, what is being done to correct the inequities in this formula, so that we are actually putting money where there is the greatest number of homeless people in this country?

Mr. JOHNSTON. That is a very good point, and I recognize, Los Angeles does have a huge need. I work with Rudy Montiel, the Executive Director of the Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles, and others quite a bit.

When HUD, years ago, tried to assess how it would measure need, it simply asked every applicant, how much need do you have? And every grantee in Los Angeles, and every other place in the country, had an incredibly different answer. "We have the most need." "We have the most need." We had every different grantee giving us a different answer.

We concluded that, we needed some basis that was consistent across the country with which to make our awards. So we established the concept of pro rata need, as you referred to it, and in trying to get guidance on what that should be based on, since nobody knew how many homeless people they had at that point, and, frankly, many communities still have a hard time counting it, especially in a continuum like Los Angeles where there are over 30 cities within one continuum.

So we went back to the statute, and the statute's only guidance to HUD, in terms of how you measure homelessness for allocating funds, is the Emergency Shelter Grants program, where it identi-

fies the factors in CDBG, the Community Development Block Grant program.

So those are the factors that we use to establish the need, the pro rata need, for these communities. We would love to get to a point where communities would know exactly how many homeless people they have, but we are definitely not there yet.

When I am out on the streets in Skid Row in Los Angeles, it just reminds me how challenging it is to really enumerate homeless people in this country, and until we get to a point, and one way we are doing that is using homeless management information systems, information on every homeless person within a community for the entire nation, which is a requirement by Congress, then we are going to get closer to really being able to allocate funds based on how many homeless we have versus these other factors that are really not nearly as good a proxy as they should be, in terms of matching up with homelessness.

Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD. How long do you expect that to take? What we do know is the example that I just gave you. There is this tremendous inequity that we know exists. So is anything being done in the interim to close that gap as you are waiting for whenever we can get this magic formula to determine how many people are homeless throughout the country?

Mr. JOHNSTON. We provide significant incentives in our competition to ensure that every community has a Homeless Management Information System (HMIS), and it is not an easy thing to implement because it is getting information, client-level information, such as age, race, gender, et cetera, on every single homeless person in every single community in this country, and having that locally in a database so they can actually enumerate how many they have.

About 90 percent of all continuums now have the system, but most of them have fewer than 80 percent of their homeless in it.

So until we get much closer to 100 percent within every community, we are not going to be able to use homeless counts as the basis for allocation.

Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD. I guess my question is, though, we do know that these inequities exist. We do have numbers, such as what I cited, that show the tremendous inequities. So what is being done, or what can be done, in the interim to address this problem? We just cannot say, "Well, it is just too bad you have three times as many homeless, but we are waiting for the system to work."

Mr. JOHNSTON. Right. It is challenging. The challenge that Los Angeles had this last year was to enumerate across 30 different cities, they were using, in part, a telephone-survey-sampling process, where they would call a few people to represent thousands of responses.

Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD. I guess what you are saying is that is just the way it is going to be until the system gets up and working.

Mr. JOHNSTON. Well, I do not know that I would want to rely on a sample of calling a very small number of people to say how many people live in a large city, like New York or Los Angeles. So I think we are definitely more than just a couple of years out from precise enough HMIS information with which to allocate funding.

VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN ACT

Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD. One more question, and then I have to leave.

Congress made specific findings in the Violence Against Women Act that disabled and elderly women are at a high risk of domestic violence and that domestic violence increases the risk for contracting HIV. In addition, many disabled people are getting inadequate notice of their VAWA rights regarding housing due to an inability to comprehend the complicated language that sometimes is used in the notices or the lack of proficiency of English that some people have.

Now, I know you do not have oversight over VAWA implementation as it pertains to Section 8 and public housing. However, you do oversee housing as it pertains to the elderly and the disabled, and I am wondering what are you doing, if anything, to, at least, protect this high-risk, vulnerable population from being evicted or being discriminated against with regard to housing because of the violence in their life?

Mr. OLVER. Be concise, Mr. Garvin.

Mr. GARVIN. I will be. I do not know. I will find out, but I have not received any complaints about it, so I have not heard that it was an issue in the Sections 202 or 811 programs.

Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you, Mr. Rodriguez.

Mr. OLVER. Thank you.

APPRENTICE PROGRAMS

Mr. RODRIGUEZ. Thank you. Mr. Chairman, you kind of highlighted what I wanted to talk about, and you did a beautiful job.

We find ourselves in a serious dilemma. I know that, even under, as the Chairman indicated, under Democrat and Republican, we still have a problem out there. For every 10 seniors, there is only one, so what are we going to do?

I heard some comments in terms of how we might begin to look at it. The minority leader talked about the rule, the veterans homeless and how we could maybe come to grips with some of this. I was wondering if you might want to comment, or maybe for us to start giving some thought about, how do we put something that is comprehensive in nature, that responds to the future, in terms of a vision?

I know that, for example, right now, we do not have the electricians, we do not have the carpenters, and we do not have the plumbers. There is a need for some kind of program for the next generation of skilled labor force that is needed out there. How do we put something together that allows an opportunity for us to have the apprenticeship programs, allow an opportunity for us to look at how we impact the—because I know that when we subsidize housing, it also has an impact to the low-middle-income that does not qualify but has to pay higher rent because of that.

I do not know if we have something that can evaluate this as to how do we meet this need. We can be talking about this for the next 10 years, the way we have been, and still not meet the need. So how do we come up with something comprehensive that ad-

dresses the needs of some of the veterans programs that I have seen where they are reaching out and have some homeless programs just for veterans? I know they are very few and between, but we have one in San Antonio, and they are doing a good job with the G.I. Forum there. So if we could think maybe outside the box and look at Labor, look at Education, and how we tie in.

Back home, we have what we call the "arimados," those that basically are living with us, our cousins, that come to move in with us. We have a large number of that, and so how do we maybe help in those areas that allow us to deal with the situation? I just want to throw that out as to what do we need to do to help you out, to try to make something comprehensive in nature occur?

Mr. GARVIN. Sir, let me speak to apprenticeship. One of the best things I worked on when I was in Texas was called Texas Youth Works, and it was all over Texas. I think it was state Senator Barry Antos, at the time, put it together. We had one in San Antonio, and it was great. It had at-risk youth. It is kind of like what HUD had as a youthbuild, but it was state run, so there were very few barriers to it. Those at-risk kids would build affordable housing all across the state, single-family. It had a very big green element to it, an excellent program. I know it was awesome to see those kids come up.

Some woman, like, 17 or 16, had a baby at home, and she built the kitchen cabinets. She would come and say, "Look at this." Well, she had a job forever because, like you said, builders are in short supply all over the country. So those kids got jobs.

On meeting the housing need, one thing we need to do, and this is not what the Federal government can do, we need to start really forcing states to have better state housing trust funds. I know California has a great one and Florida has a great one. I worked and worked in Texas to get this increased, and I think it was, like, $4 million for the whole State of Texas when I left to come here.

State housing trust funds go so well with Federal programs because it fills the gap. Like Chairman Olver was talking, if you are trying to serve down at the 30 percent or 40 percent of median income, Federal funding has programs to do that, but you can only serve very few. You need to leverage those dollars in state housing trust funds, city housing trust funds. San Antonio has a wonderful housing trust fund. Those are the kinds of things that we need to really address.

CONTINUUM OF CARE

Mr. RODRIGUEZ. Do we have any plans out there, in terms of a vision that goes beyond, in terms of actually trying to meet these needs, that incorporates what is out there and what is needed in the future that goes across HUD? The minority leader talks about the rule that deals with housing and the VA that deals with housing and other types of things.

I know you mentioned providing for those that go in those kinds of settings to be allowed to be given some subsidies.

Mr. JOHNSTON. If I could just briefly respond.

Mr. RODRIGUEZ. Yes.

Mr. JOHNSTON. I was on a conference call yesterday with Mr. Henry Cisneros, and we were talking about something that he

started at HUD years ago called Continuum of Care, which is what HUD still does. That is how we allocate our funds. We used to get an individual applicant—thousands of them—like the GI Forum, to submit an individual application to HUD to get funding. We said, No. San Antonio, you figure it out, as a community, with your public housing agency, your nonprofits, your foundations, your mayor, everybody. You get together and figure out what you want, and we will fund what you want on a priority list. You tell us the projects you want funded.

It is a wonderful way to go. It engages the VA. They have their own VA data that they now connect up with ours. It engages local departments of labor, housing, mental health, substance abuse. All of those entities are involved because, to solve a problem like homelessness, you need to have that together. I think it is a great model for what can happen to really effectively do something at the local level.

Mr. RODRIGUEZ. In closing, let me just say that, in our rural areas, we really do also have a problem in terms of housing, not only in terms of actually housing, period, even for those people that can afford. They are just not there in the small communities.

Mr. JOHNSTON. [Off mike.]

Mr. RODRIGUEZ. Yes, sir.

Mr. OLVER. Mr. Pastor.

HOMELESSNESS

Mr. PASTOR. Good morning. First, let me apologize for being late. It was in another hearing where we were dealing with Yucca Mountain.

Going back to your point, the Phoenix metro area in trying to solve the problem of homelessness, that the county, the city government, MAG, and council of governments and non-profits basically organized themselves and developed a campus where people who have a need come in. There is intake. The county has a health clinic there. There is a dental clinic there.

So there are degrees of homelessness. You have the very chronic, who feel that they basically want more of a subsidy, a daily subsidy, in their life, and then you have those that are in transition due to a loss of job or a problem, domestic violence, that they fall into the streets. Through an intake system, people are given immediate attention, but then a long-term plan is developed for them to go to the services that probably have a greater impact on their lives than just feeding them or giving them overnight shelter.

I would tell you that that seems to be working for us. Like most things in life, as the economy is going bad, there are more people in need, and so there is always a lack of money, but I think there is political will, at least in the Phoenix metro area to address those needs.

Mr. JOHNSTON. I think the regional approach that Phoenix has used has been very effective, to incorporate not just the city but the county.

Mr. PASTOR. The county and also the other metro areas, other cities involved. So it is a regional solution to a regional problem rather than each city trying to deal with it itself.

Mr. JOHNSTON. I have been impressed at that campus. As I recall, it was the dental facility. They do not use hardly any HUD funding to do that. They have dentists that volunteer their time. The state-of-the-art dental equipment; I had never seen such nice equipment before. It is so nice.

REVERSE MORTGAGES

Mr. PASTOR. Part of it is because Maricopa County has a responsibility for indigent healthcare. So the county decided to open a facility, meeting its medical obligations, and so that is why the dental clinic is there.

You find that the dental society, because it has to do community work, will volunteer, and their dentists come in. So it is an effort that brings people together. I think that the regional solution has worked for us in that area.

One of the things—I do not know if you are familiar with it—reverse mortgages, where an elderly couple or elderly person owns a home, they have paid for the house, and now, obviously, their income is lessening because of the economy, inflation, et cetera. What is your experience with reverse mortgages?

Mr. GARVIN. HUD actually insures, I think, about 95 percent of the whole reverse mortgage market, and we see exactly what you were just saying, sir. This is something I failed to mention when talking about keeping people in their homes. The reverse mortgage is a great way to, if you are a senior, and you acquire a disability: "You have paid for your house. Now it can start paying you," is basically what they are saying. You can take money out and put up grab bars in the shower, widen the doorway, make an accessible entrance. We have seen a lot of seniors doing that. We have seen a lot of seniors doing reverse mortgages to pay for unexpected medical problems.

It is a great way. We have a trust issue. The commission that regulates reverse mortgages for the country; my father still does not trust them. So getting the message out has been one issue, but the volume of them is going through the roof.

Mr. PASTOR. He probably was born during the Depression. He does not trust any government.

Mr. GARVIN. Right after, but do not tell anybody I said that.

SECTION 202 PROGRAM

Mr. PASTOR. If I could just follow up, I do not know the status of where we are at today. Probably we have cut back on the Section 202 program. I have seen where non-profits, either faith-based or not—Urban League, Lu Lac—have been able to develop apartments, where the apartment is available to seniors that qualify, and there is a small area where they can cook their own meals, but there is also the common area where meals are shared.

One of the things I found was that, as people get older and family are moving away, there is a great degree of isolation. I think the Section 202 program provides some individual amenities and, at the same time, some socialization and group is something that we need to continue. For whatever reason, it seems like overall support has decreased with that effort. Is it just a lack of money, a lack of interest?

Mr. GARVIN. Let me say, definitely not a lack of interest, and I will leave it at that. But you are absolutely right. The common areas in Section 202s; it is the best of both worlds. You can live independently, but you also have some socialization. I agree with you.

Mr. PASTOR. But I see that the interest has diminished, in terms of community groups. Is it just a lack of money?

Mr. GARVIN. I think it is a difficult program. A lot of those nonprofits that you mentioned have turned into working as a joint venture in the local housing tax credit program. It serves more people. They, too, offer services, not to the degree that Section 202 does—Section 202 serves far better—there are way stronger services. But in a senior tax credit deal, you have the same thing. You have the common area. You have group meals, if it is provided. Very credible medical testing.

So I think a lot of the sponsors, nonprofit alike, are moving over into the tax credit. We still see very strong interest in Section 202 as well.

Mr. PASTOR. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

STATE HOUSING TRUST FUNDS

Mr. OLVER. Thank you. We have just had some votes called, and we have really about 15 minutes until they go to zero. I think we can still make it. So I think maybe if we can try to stay within four minutes apiece, or something like that, we might get there to the end. That is not easy.

Let me just say, Mr. Knollenberg, it was Mark Fedor who provided the base graph. I provided the embellishments from other data that one needs to think about in putting this stuff together.

Mr. Johnston, your talk about the homeless program; we are not going to get there either with the number of dollars, even at the $50 million per year. This $50 million per year is probably going to get us maybe 5,000 more units, and it will take a long time to get from what I think is the present cumulative number that has been created since 2002 of about 60,000. You were using 40, but I think, with the cumulative total of new beds with permanent supportive housing that have been created, that would leave us about 90,000 still to get to that 150. It would take us a little bit more than that.

So we have a problem, even there, where you have been putting more money in year after year because we have only been increasing the number in that cumulative group by between five and 10,000 per year, at least, and it has dropped down in the 5,000 level.

Let me just go back and ask, Mr. Garvin, you were saying that state housing trust funds and city housing trust funds are very valuable. Have union pension funds appeared heavily in the process of development of affordable housing?

Mr. GARVIN. I have seen some union pension funds as top-tier passive investors in tax credits and tax-exempt bond financing. I have seen them invest.

DEMO PROGRAM

Mr. OLVER. Okay. I wanted to ask you. I am going back thinking about it because this is going to be a longer conversation. This is just exploration, whereas we need to think about, much of this is authorization, as well as appropriation.

You talked about the demonstration programs. You have a demonstration program asking for $15 million in relation to other housing and a $10 million demonstration, basically the same demonstration, for within the disabled program. This, the Administration asked for last year as well. We did not provide that because, basically, it is authorizing language. I am not aware that the Administration has provided any legislative proposal to the Authorizing Committee to do that. Do you know? No authorizing language has been provided.

Mr. GARVIN. It would come from existing——

Mr. OLVER. If you feel that that is very necessary, and we ought to have some further discussion about just why it is necessary, because our staff, in part, looked at it and thought you had the authority to do that, that you might already be able to do that, but if you are certain——

Mr. GARVIN. There are several things we can do, but not all.

Mr. OLVER [continuing]. You have got to have some authority to do it, then we have got to get the language up, and the authorizers who are cranking out legislation in relation to housing fairly quickly this year; we have to make that connection. The concept of having a comprehensive or consolidated kind of a plan, just as a comment; there are some overlaps if you do not do the things, if you keep stripping the money out of the elder and, particularly, the disabled program, you are likely to see some more homeless people being created by that.

Your comprehensive, consolidated program needs to consider all of these groups of people with need and their interrelationships as well. I think that the consolidated plan concept that the Ranking Member had come up with makes a good deal of sense.

I will pass on to you, Mr. Knollenberg, for continuation here because we do have some votes. We are not in trouble yet.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. How much do I have?

Mr. OLVER. Seven minutes before it goes to zero.

OPERATING SUBSIDY

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. I will not let that happen, so we will be all right.

Mr. Garvin, I will be addressing you. I would like to return to the earlier question that I had for Mr. Johnston. Once the elderly or disabled facilities are completed, and once the initial operating subsidy contracts expire, the operating subsidy must be renewed.

Assuming an addition in funding, which, in your case, looks realistic since the program has not been increased for some time now, can you tell us how much or what percentage of the budget for each program in 2009 is going to be devoted to renewing the subsidy on existing facilities rather than building new facilities, and what will these percentages rise to, in your opinion, over the next 3 years, if no increase in funding it is made at current funding levels?

If you do not have the specifics, can you give us a feel for what is happening here?

Mr. GARVIN. I can give you roughly. It is a very big concern of ours as well. I think, in 2008, in the Section 202 program, I think the rental subsidy eats up about 11 percent of the total funding amount. Looking down the road, in 2009, I think that doubles to about 22 percent as we renew and renew.

In the Section 811, I think it is about 10 percent now, and forecasted down to 2011, it raises to about 21 to 22 percent as well.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. One of the biggest criticisms of the program has been the slow and, some say, extremely slow, pace of facility construction. I know that you believe some progress has been made, but, nevertheless, given the tremendous, demonstrated and rapidly growing capacity and talent that exists in the private-development community for elderly and disabled facilities, do you think the program might benefit if, say, nonprofit subsidiaries, private developers, could compete for these funds? What is your view on that?

Mr. GARVIN. In some ways, that is happening, that you will have a for-profit construction company working with non-profit sponsors. In my experience, working with the owners of multifamily housing, the for-profit sector, they really do not want to own and operate a Section 202 or Section 811; they want to build it. They want the construction fee, but they do not want to own and operate. It is very intense, and the community-based nonprofit does a better job, even they think, of actually operating the property.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. In your opinion, is the goal, in your opinion, to build as many facilities as fast as possible, or is the goal to build facilities that can be operated over the long run by nonprofits or community organizations?

Mr. GARVIN. Pretty much both. I want to see an improvement on how long it takes to build one of those Section 202s. It takes a long time. As I mentioned before to Chairman Olver, tax credits; you build and place that in service, get it leased in two years, and you are not at risk of losing your credits, which really puts you upside down.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. My time is up, is it not? Just one quick question. Are there any benefits, in your view, to splitting the program so that they can be built privately but operated by the usual nonprofits?

Mr. GARVIN. I am sure there is probably some benefit in that thinking. My staff is not going to jump over the table at me. I come from the private development sector, so I am not going to say that I do not think the private sector could not help, and are helping. In some cases, they do build.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. I appreciate your response, and, with that, I will conclude my comments and my questions, so thank you both very much.

Mr. GARVIN. Thank you very much.

Mr. OLVER. [Off mike.]

Mr. GARVIN. We have some.

Mr. OLVER. It is unclear what you need authority for. We do not have any idea why you need that authority when you have not provided legislation for this opportunity——

Mr. GARVIN. That is a good point. I will work on that immediately when I get back.

Mr. OLVER. Thank you very, very much. The hearing is done, and thank you very much for being here.

QUESTIONS FOR THE RECORD
HOUSING FOR SPECIAL NEEDS HEARING
FEBRUARY 28, 2008
CONGRESSMAN JOHN OLVER

Section 202

Question: The FY09 budget request includes a $15 million mixed financing demonstration. Given that HUD already has the authority to do mixed financing using the Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC), combining low income housing tax credits with section 202, what is the purpose of the demonstration?

Answer: The purpose of the demonstration is to implement and test the recommendations of experienced practitioners on whether or not low-income housing tax credits can be more effectively combined with Section 202 funds to generate more affordable housing for low-income elderly households.

Section 202

Question: Considering the fact that there are 10 elderly individuals on a waitlist for every open unit of elderly housing, and the fact that the population will continue to age, how does HUD justify the proposed $195 million cut to this program?

Answer: Due to increasing costs of renewing the existing tenant-based vouchers and project-based subsidies, the Department is forced to reduce the amount of funds available for new Section 202 units.

Section 811

Question: The Administration's request for FY 2009 for Section 811 includes a proposed $10 million demonstration program that would allow funding from the Low-Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) program to be layered into 811 developments. Why is HUD proposing this demonstration when the authority already exists to use LIHTC with 811 projects?

Answer: Although mixed financing can be used, barriers still exist in using tax credits. The Demonstration Program will be designed to identify and remove those barriers. The demonstration is also intended to identify best practices to encourage and expand the use of tax credits and other sources of additional financing to support the development of additional supportive housing for persons with disabilities.

Section 811

Question: When does HUD anticipate having this demonstration proposal ready for Congress?

Answer: We estimate that we would have a proposal for Congressional consideration 90 days after an appropriation is passed.

Section 811

Question: How many permanent supportive housing units does HUD anticipate this demonstration proposal will produce in FY 2009?

Answer: We are at too early of a stage to speculate.

Section 811

Question: Does HUD anticipate this demonstration proposal requiring any waivers or exceptions to current statutory or regulatory standards in the current 811 program? If any such waivers or exceptions are needed, would this require a change to the current 811 statute?

Answer: We are in the process of developing the detailed features of this demonstration and have not yet identified any specific statutory or regulatory impediments. We will determine if any waivers or statutory changes are necessary after completion and evaluation of the Demonstration program.

Section 811

Question: Section 8 vouchers designated for people with disabilities. For FY 2008, Congress appropriated $30 million for incremental vouchers targeted to non-elderly people with disabilities. As you know, these funds were not requested by the President. Please update the Subcommittee on progress in developing the funding announcement for these vouchers? What is HUD planning to do to ensure that applicant housing agencies target these vouchers to people with severe disabilities who are not on Section 8 waiting lists, e.g. individuals in nursing homes and institutional settings, adults living with aging parents, etc.?

Answer: Under the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2008, $30 million was appropriated for incremental vouchers under section 8 of the Act for non-elderly disabled families affected by (1) the designation of a public housing development under section 7 of the Act, (2) the establishment of preferences in accordance with section 651 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1992, or (3) the restriction of occupancy to elderly families in accordance with section 658 of such Act, and to the extent the Secretary determines that such amount is not needed to fund applications for such affected families. for other non-elderly disabled families.

Once Notice of Funds Availability (NOFAs) are published for these vouchers under the Designated Housing (category (1) above) and Certain Developments (categories (2) and (3) above), any money is left over will be used to fund other non-elderly disabled households through a Mainstream NOFA. If there are sufficient funds to publish a Mainstream NOFA for other non-elderly disabled households, the Department will evaluate different criteria to ensure that vouchers will reach this population such as whether persons will be transitioning from institutional settings, or if the vouchers will reach non-elderly living in the community in non-integrated settings (e.g., adults living with aging parents).

The two NOFAs are going through the Department's clearance process. Final NOFA publications are not anticipated until the fall.

Section 811

Question: Between FY 1997 and FY 2002, Congress annually appropriated funding for tenant-based rental assistance for non-elderly people with disabilities adversely impacted by the designation of public and assisted housing as "elderly only." There are approximately 62,000 of these non-elderly disabled vouchers – also known as Frelinghuysen vouchers – in use. Unfortunately, HUD was slow to develop a tracking system to ensure that they continue to be targeted to the population for which Congress intended. In February 2005, the Office of Public and Indian Housing (PIH) issued Notice 2005-5 relating to issuance and preservation of these vouchers. This PIH Guidance also covers "mainstream" tenant-based rental assistance for non-elderly people with disabilities funded under the Section 811 program.

However, due to the lack of guidance until 2005, there is considerable uncertainty as to how many of these vouchers remain targeted to non-elderly people with disabilities as Congress originally intended.

Please provide estimates of how both the Frelinghuysen vouchers and 811 "mainstream" tenant-based assistance has been targeted -- and is remaining targeted to -- the intended population.

Answer: HUD estimates there are 65,000 (approximately 14,800 Section 811 Mainstream and 50,200 Frelinghuysen) of these vouchers under Annual Contributions Contract (ACC). The Department continues to work with housing authorities to ensure that all special purpose vouchers are used for their intended purpose. PIH Notice 2005-5 issued implementation guidance to enable PHAs and HUD field staff on initiatives to assist non-elderly people with disabilities in their search for housing under the Housing Choice Voucher Program. In addition, this notice clarifies issues related to issuance and preservation of certain types of special purpose vouchers, i.e. Frelinghuysen and 811 Mainstream Vouchers. By requiring PHAs to electronically report using the Form HUD-50058, HUD monitors these vouchers to ensure they are targeted to the intended population.

Question: Please update the Subcommittee on steps that PIH has taken to ensure housing agencies that have these non-elderly disabled vouchers are meeting their obligations under PIH Notice 2005-5?

Answer: To ensure that non-elderly vouchers are meeting their obligations under PIH Notice 2005-5, HUD is tracking monthly the usage of these non-elderly vouchers through its Voucher Management System (VMS). The Department is also working with the PHAs to ensure that all special purpose vouchers are used for their intended purpose. Failure to serve disabled families as required will result in forfeiture of the vouchers.

Section 811

Question: This is the fourth year in a row that HUD is seeking a huge cut in funding for the Section 811—this year, a $77 million cut to a $237 million program. For the past three years, Congress has restored these funds. What is the Department's justification for cutting this program?

Answer: Due to increasing costs of renewing the existing tenant-based vouchers and project-based subsidies, the Department is forced to reduce the amount of funds available for new Section 811 units.

Section 811

Question: All of this proposed $77 million cut for FY 2009 would come from the capital advance-project-based side of the program that produces new units – a cut of more than 70%. What justification does HUD have for such a radical shift of funding within 811 away from:

a) production of new accessible units that provide a direct link to supportive services such as medical care, transportation, employment, etc. or

b) individuals with more severe disabilities who have higher support needs and face an enormous struggle in trying to find housing using only tenant-based assistance?

Answer: There is no such radical shift. There are currently over 2,000 units in the development pipeline that will provide additional affordable project-based housing opportunities for persons with disabilities. Furthermore, the administration has requested Congressional approval to demonstrate the benefits of leveraged financing to further expand the production of new units with project-based assistance. However, due to overall budgetary constraints, the request for new appropriations of Section 811 funding in the FY 2009 budget is primarily directed towards the priority of maintaining operating assistance to existing project-based developments along with renewing expiring mainstream vouchers for those with disabilities.

HOPWA

Question: The Department's request for HOPWA indicates that language will be submitted to reform the current formula for the distribution of grants. How will the formula be adjusted and what will be the data sources for the new formula?

Answer: HUD is working with OMB to develop a formula reform proposal in order to better target HOPWA resources to the eligible special needs population to address housing needs. The proposal would update the formula by authorizing the use of: (1) current AIDS data available from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) on persons living with AIDS, and later using data on persons living with HIV when available nationally; and (2) adding a housing cost factor to reflect differences in area rental costs, e.g. as shown in fair market rents for affordability in these housing markets.

While the specific data is not yet available for a future allocation, HUD notes that some shifting in current grant amounts would likely result based both on relative differences in the use of data on persons living with AIDS or HIV and relative differences in housing costs. Also, to help ensure continuity in current programs, a type of hold harmless provision would be recommended so grantees would continue to be eligible and would not experience dramatic changes in funding amounts.

Homeless Assistance

Question: Please provide a chart that shows the net decline in the number of chronically homeless individuals in the U.S.

Answer: See chart below.



*Note that final figures for 2007 are pending at this time, although preliminary review indicates that the downward trend will continue in 2007.

Homeless Assistance

Question: The Administration has put forward a goal to eliminate chronic homelessness within 10 years. This goal relies heavily on the development of permanent supportive housing targeting people with disabilities and chronic illnesses. While HUD is requesting an increase for the Homeless Assistance Grants, the budget cuts the Section 811 program, which is also essential to helping people with severe disabilities transition from institutional settings into the community and avoid homelessness. By cutting funding for production of new 811 supportive housing units, doesn't this undermine the Administration's goal of ending chronic homelessness?

Answer: Although the Section 811 program is targeted to persons with disabilities, it is not directly targeted to homeless persons. As few Continuums of Care have utilized this resource to serve disabled homeless and/or chronically homeless persons, HUD does not expect changes to the Section 811 program to significantly impact the goal of ending chronic homelessness.

Homeless Assistance

Question: How close are we to the goal of 150,000 units of permanent supportive housing and ending chronic homelessness?

Answer: Former HUD Secretary Mel Martinez set a goal that as a nation we create 150,000 permanent supportive housing units for chronically homeless individuals. The definition of a chronically homeless individual is a single, unaccompanied person with a disabling condition who has either been continuously homeless for more than a year OR who has experienced at least four episodes of homelessness in 3 years.

While it is a challenging goal, HUD has instituted several incentives to meet it, such as providing extra funding for Continuums of Care that set as their first priority for funding a permanent supportive housing project for the chronically homeless. This incentive has led to a tremendous increase in the number of units for this target population.

At the end of 2006, Continuums of Care reported that about 40,000 new permanent supportive units were in place for the chronically homeless. In 2007, HUD funded approximately 4,000 additional permanent supportive housing units for this same population. These units do not include thousands of transitional housing units for the homeless created with HUD funds since 2002. These units also do not include funds awarded under the Emergency Shelter Grants program.

Moreover, in 2008, Congress appropriated the HUD VASH (HUD VA Supportive Housing) program, which will create 10,000 more units for homeless veterans, many of whom are chronically homeless. Finally, the President has requested $75 million in the FY 2009 budget which would provide for approximately 10,000 additional HUD VASH vouchers for homeless veterans.

Homeless Assistance

Question: Unfortunately, permanent housing renewals in the homeless assistance program will rise by at least $75 million (HUD's estimate). As a result, communities would be able to fund fewer new projects than they have in over a decade. How will the Administration reverse the trend of fewer new units to get back on track to meeting the ten year goal?

Answer: The percentage of funds needed to operate renewal projects increases each year. The renewal burden for FY 2006 was 84 percent of funds awarded, and in FY 2007 the renewal burden was 86 percent of funds awarded. However, the Administration has requested and Congress has appropriated increased funding since 2001 for HUD's homeless programs, which has allowed HUD to continue to increase the number of new units created each year. With continuing appropriations increases, HUD will be able to continue to create even more new units of permanent supportive housing as well as transitional housing to help homeless families and individuals move to greater self-sufficiency.

In order to meet the ambitious goal of establishing 150,000 new units of permanent supportive housing for chronically homeless persons that are to be developed by HUD and our State and local partners, HUD focuses on this population in the Continuum of Care application by awarding "bonus" funds to communities that propose new permanent housing for chronically homeless persons. In addition, HUD awards more points to communities that demonstrate an emphasis on creating new housing units.

However, HUD is not working alone to meet this goal. In the annual Continuum of Care application HUD provides incentives for State and local governments and the private sector to provide resources to develop permanent housing for the chronically homeless and for other homeless populations. Moreover, the Interagency Council on Homelessness has been working to help communities create local 10-year plans to end chronic homelessness. While many of the units created under these plans are funded by HUD, States as well as local communities are working to find additional funding sources to create new units of permanent supportive housing.

Homeless Assistance

Question: Last year, Congress appropriated $25 million for a rapid rehousing demonstration for homeless families. This year's budget makes no mention of it. What percentage of homeless assistance funding is currently going for families?

Answer: Data on homelessness provided by each community to HUD indicate that approximately 40 percent of all homeless persons are members of homeless families. Significantly, just over 40 percent of all of HUD's competitive homeless funds benefit homeless families. As such, HUD resources are well aligned with meeting the needs of homeless families.

Homeless Assistance

Question: Does the Administration think this rapid rehousing initiative should continue?

Answer: The Rapid Re-housing initiative was funded in 2008 as a demonstration program. Included in the appropriation is funding to conduct a rigorous evaluation to determine the effectiveness of different local programs participating in the demonstration. The grant awards will be made later this calendar year. Once awarded, selected demonstration sites will begin collecting data on the homeless families. Our review of the eventual study results should provide very useful insights as to which interventions are actually effective. These findings will help inform future programming and use of limited resources.

Homeless Assistance

Question: Are there other initiatives the Administration believes we should undertake to help homeless families?

Answer: HUD's performance objective related to homelessness underscores our commitment to serving homeless families. It is to "End chronic homelessness and move homeless families and individuals to permanent housing" (emphasis added). We require each community to annually enumerate and report to HUD on the size of their homeless family population. To address this local established need and to achieve HUD's performance objective, HUD provides each year significant funding to communities to assist their homeless families. Approximately half of all persons assisted by HUD homeless programs are persons in homeless families.

With the recent expansion of the HUD VA Supportive Housing (HUD-VASH) Program to sites across the nation and the demographics of Desert Storm era veterans, it is anticipated that many homeless military families will be housed through this specialized HUD Section 8 program.

The new $25 million Rapid Re-housing for homeless families demonstration initiative will also provide valuable insights into how communities and we as a nation can most effectively help homeless families.

HUD's commitment to improve its programming for homeless families is reflected in the Department's efforts to better understand both the particular needs of homeless families today and how to best serve them. Several studies are underway or planned to help inform HUD and the nation on this important subject. For instance, a study to be conducted by HUD's Office of Policy Development and Research entitled "The Impact of Various Housing and Service Interventions on Homeless Families" is in the early stages of being conducted. Once completed, the results will help inform future homeless family housing and service policies.

Questions for the Record
Ranking Member Joe Knollenberg

Housing Assistance for the Homeless

Question: Turning first to the Homeless programs, Mr. Johnston, several years ago the Congress instituted a provision over the Administrations objections that set aside 30% of the appropriated funds to secure "hard units" as housing assistance for the homeless. I believe the community has said that something like 140 thousand units were needed to support the homeless community. How many units have been placed under contract to date or where are we relative to the goal of 140 thousand units to be placed under contract?

Answer: Under former HUD Secretary Martinez, HUD set a goal as a nation to create 150,000 permanent supportive housing units for chronically homeless individuals. The definition of a chronically homeless individual is a single, unaccompanied person with a disabling condition who has either been continuously homeless for more than a year or who has experienced at least four episodes of homelessness in 3 years.

At the end of 2006, Continuums of Care reported that about 40,000 new permanent supportive units were in place for the chronically homeless. In 2007, HUD funded more than 4,000 permanent supportive units for this same population. These units do not include thousands of transitional housing units for the homeless created with HUD funds since 2002. These units also do not include funds awarded under the Emergency Shelter Grants program. These units also do not include permanent supportive housing developed through non-HUD funding in 2007.

In 2008, Congress appropriated the HUD Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing (VASH) program, which will create 10,000 more units (vouchers) for homeless veterans, many of whom are chronically homeless.

Question: How many units will be added with the set aside in 2008 or what is the average initial cost of adding a unit?

Answer: It is difficult to estimate the number of additional units that will created through Continuum funding in 2008, as the funds are made available to communities through a national competition for a wide variety of eligible activities, one of which is permanent housing for the chronically homeless. In addition to such units awarded through the Continuum process, Congress appropriated the HUD VASH program, which will provide about 10,000 units (vouchers) of housing for homeless veterans, many of whom will be chronically homeless. The President's fiscal year 2009 budget proposes renewal of the fiscal year 2008 VASH vouchers and approximately 10,000 additional VASH vouchers.

Question: In addition to continuing to set aside 30% of the budget to add funds for hard units, how much is in the budget to renew existing contracts? Perhaps you could just estimate the percentage of the total grant program budget is devoted to new and renewing existing hard units?

Can you give us a feel for how quickly that percentage is rising?

Answer: The renewal burden for Continuum of Care funds has increased over time. In 2002, 64 percent ($626 million) of awards were to fund renewal projects. In that year, 36 percent ($351 million) of funds were awarded to new projects. By 2007, 86 percent of funds awarded ($1.14 billion) were for renewal projects and 14 percent ($186 million) of funds were awarded to new projects.

Grant Program

Do you agree or disagree that the grant program is heading in the same direction as the Section 8 program? That is, more and more money every year will have to be devoted just to renew what we have in place?

Answer: The renewal burden for the homeless program is increasing somewhat each year. If we do not renew these units, many people will be homeless again.

Question: Put another way, if no mandated set aside were included in the 2009 budget would you want to add hard units to the inventory as a matter of policy?

Answer: In 2009, we believe we will be able to fund all renewal units, as well as some new projects, with or without the 30 percent requirement.

Elimination of Chronic Homelessness

Question: I would like to turn now to the elimination of chronic homelessness. This program was established by Secretary Martinez in 2001 and had the goal of eliminating chronic homelessness in ten years. We are now more than half way there in time, where do we stand percentage-wise?

Answer: Data suggests that HUD's interventions are working. Between 2005 and 2006, Continuums across the nation reported a 12 percent reduction in chronic homelessness. This is the first time that this nation has ever seen a reduction in any type of homelessness based on actual data. The 2007 data is expected to be available and reported to Congress in July 2008.

Elimination of Chronic Homelessness

Question: According to the Interagency Council on Homelessness, virtually all States have plans in place to eliminate chronic homelessness. What seems to be the problem with achieving the goal?

Answer: Former HUD Secretary Martinez identified a goal in 2002 to measurably reduce chronic homelessness. HUD understands the challenge of this goal since the chronically homeless population is the most difficult to serve and house. HUD knew it needed a lot of help to reach that goal.

The Interagency Council on Homelessness has worked with governors, mayors, and other elected officials to help them develop local 10-year plans to end chronic homelessness, and in many cases, other types of homelessness. Each governor and mayor had their own local agenda, and have developed and implemented specific plans over the course of the last several years. Some jurisdictions have not yet fully implemented their plans. The results of these plans and HUD's interventions have therefore been staggered across the country.

In its budget requests, HUD has not included a specific goal that ends chronic homelessness in 2012 since HUD needs partners to reach it. To end homelessness, including chronic homelessness, people need more than housing. They often need jobs, mental health treatment, drug and alcohol treatment, and an array of other supportive services.

Elimination of Chronic Homelessness

Question: When you consider the number of agencies that have specific programs to address homelessness, from Agriculture to Veterans Administration to the mainstream entitlement programs and many others, would it not make more sense to submit a single consolidated budget request that puts all the parts together into a comprehensive whole? At the moment there is really no way to be sure that at any level all the programs are working together to achieve a common goal.

Answer: HUD works daily with other Federal agencies, especially with the Departments of Veterans Affairs, Health and Human Services, and Labor. The Interagency Council's annual report highlights the coordination going on between Federal agencies.

Question: If requested by this subcommittee could you put together a complete listing of all program budgets in all agencies that contribute to reducing homelessness or has that never been done even for internal purposes?

Answer: Yes, the Office of Management and Budget has compiled such a list for years in a spreadsheet. OMB works with each agency to ensure accuracy. That list is attached.

Homeless Crosscut
2009

Housing for the Elderly and Disabled

Question: Mr. Garvin, I would like to return the earlier question I had for Mr. Johnston. Once the elderly or disabled facilities are completed and once the initial operating subsidy contracts expire the operating subsidy must be renewed.

Assuming no addition in funding, which in your case looks realistic since the program has not been increased for some time now, can you tell us how much or what percentage of the budget for each program in 2009 is going to devote to renewing the subsidy on existing facilities rather than building new facilities? What will these percentages rise to, in your opinion, over the next three years if no increase in funding at current funding levels? If you don't have the specifics can you give us a feel for what is happening?

Answer: At current funding level of $735 million (Section 202) and $237 million (Section 811), the estimated percentage over next 3 years:

2009			2010			2011		
(Dollars in Millions)			(Dollars in Millions)			(Dollars in Millions)		
202	$95.70	13.02%	202	$131.60	17.90%	202	$161.40	21.96%
811	$31.50	13.29%	811	$43.60	18.40%	811	$53.50	22.57%

Housing for the Elderly and Disabled

Question: I am curious, since many elderly are also disabled, can a non-profit receive a grant under both programs simultaneously if the facility fits the criteria for both?

Answer: Yes. However, it would require two different projects as each project would have to be legally separately. By statute, for the Section 811 program, individuals are eligible to live in the facility at age 18 and above.

Housing for the Elderly and Disabled

Question: One of the biggest criticisms of the program has been the slow, some say extremely slow pace of facility construction. I know you believe that progress has been made.

But nevertheless, given the tremendous demonstrated and rapidly growing capacity and talent that exists in the private development community for elderly and disabled facilities, do you think that the program would benefit if, say, the non profit subsidiaries of private developers could compete for these funds?

Answer: There is nothing that prohibits this currently, assuming the non-profit subsidiary has the required tax exemption and meets the other requirements of the program.

Question: Is the goal in your opinion to build as many facilities as fast as possible or is the goal to build facilities that then can be operated over the long run by non profits or community organizations?

Answer: The Department's goal is to build housing as soon as possible and to maintain the affordable housing for as long as possible but no less than 40 years, as required by statute.

Housing for the Elderly and Disabled

Question: Are there any benefits in your view to splitting the program so that they can be built privately but operated by the usual non-profits?

I am just trying to see if there are some ways to accelerate the construction phase of the program without harming the overall purpose of serving low income elderly and disabled individuals.

Answer: There is no benefit to splitting the program since the physical construction of the housing is always done by private construction firms. The Department would also like to note that we are currently allowing this type of development model in some of our mixed finance projects where we provide the Section 202 capital advance funds upon completion of the facility by a private developer.

Housing for Persons with Disabilities

Question: A final question on disabled. Our budgets recently have restricted the use of funds to facility construction, for the most part, rather than some mix of facilities and new vouchers. Is that a wise decision in our view? Can you tell us if the non-profit community is in agreement that the role of the federal government should be to subsidize construction only?

Answer: Yes, given the current budget constraints. No, I believe the non profit community would like to see the Federal Government provide for new construction and vouchers.

Housing for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA)

Question: For several years now the Congress had included a general provision that overrides the underlying statute. We require that once an area receives funding because the number of AIDS cases reached a threshold, they must continue to receive funding even if the case load drops below that threshold. I am sure you are aware of this provision and others directing the use of funds.

In your opinion is that a good policy? Put another way, if the provision were dropped would you continue that allocation methodology?

Answer: HUD recommends continuing these administrative provisions as good policy to retain current grant programs, which would allow clients to continue benefiting from programs operating in these areas that otherwise might not receive funding. The fiscal year 2009 HOPWA Administrative Provisions (Sections 203 and 206) maintain stability for a number of areas in qualifying for formula grants (Jersey City, NJ, Paterson, NJ, Wake County, NC, and for local agreements made in the states of CA, FL, MD, NJ and OK) that would otherwise fall on other grantees to administer. Most new recipients in recent years have chosen to follow Section 210 which allows their state to continue grant administration for funds in the area. They also maintain funding levels and allow continued support for projects in nine states (AZ, CT, DE, HI, MA, MN, NV, OK and UT) that would otherwise lose these resources. These nine grants serve areas outside of qualifying metropolitan areas, and together total $1.658 million in fiscal year 2008. HUD recommends continuing these provisions in an on-going manner, as adjustments could not otherwise be made based on the authorizing statute. The recommended formula update also includes on-going authorization for these grantees.

HOPWA

Question: If the number of areas eligible for funds increases as it has each year since I have been on this subcommittee and the Administration's budget requests have been stagnant, are we running the risk of spreading the funds too thinly to provide meaningful support to any area grantee?

Answer: The addition of the new formula areas do not have much of an affect on funding levels overall. Although a few metropolitan areas have recently qualified for allocations, this change has had a limited net effect, as the areas were part of the prior year allocations to their state. Four new areas qualified for the fiscal year 2008 allocation: Bakersfield, CA, Palm Bay, FL, Tulsa, OK, and the State of Nebraska. The state was the only area not previously part of formula programs, and received $306,000 based on AIDS data. One other potential impact from the formula criteria is a shifting of grant responsibilities from a state to the largest city in the metropolitan area for administering the new funds, once that area meets threshold eligibility. However, most of the new recipients in recent years have chosen to follow the fiscal year 2009 Section 206 which allows their state to continue grant administration for funds in the area. In addition, overall HOPWA funding has increased 29 percent from $232 million in fiscal year 2000 to $300.1 million in fiscal year 2008.

Question: Complicating this, it seems that advances in medicine appear to have had the affect of requiring additional housing support for longer periods of time for any individual receiving support. Is that fair to say?

Answer: HOPWA housing efforts address part of the permanent housing support needed – as housing serves as a base to access care. As care options have improved, some client households have transition to private arrangements or access other housing resources. HOPWA programs have used a variety of housing responses to meet client's needs, from providing short-term interventions, to offering longer-term rental assistance, along with access to community residences, as appropriate. One important change for the very-low income clients coming into HOPWA programs is linking these households to this other support, in qualifying for benefits or securing other long-term housing, where available. The program has a focus on permanent housing and many households do continue residence in these area programs each year.

Housing for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA)

Question: It just appears to me that the way the program is currently operated that the funds can not be targeted to the places that are most in need and that at current levels being requested , anyway, something like targeting is going to be necessary if the program is going to be at all effective. Do you disagree with that assertion?

Answer: The current program has significant results in client outcomes for the target population. To improve the distribution of resources in this program, the 2009 request recommends an updating of the statutory formula. This would allow HUD to use current data used on persons living with AIDS and add a housing cost factor in helping communities address housing needs for this population. This updating is included in the program's OMB Program Assessment Rating Tool recommendations and would better target HOPWA resources to the eligible special needs population to address housing needs.

Questions for the Record
Representative Lucille Roybal-Allard

Housing for the Elderly and Disabled

Question: Supportive housing providers are becoming increasingly attuned to the need to be able to bill Medicaid and Medicare for services provided in conjunction with housing for special needs populations. What, if anything, is HUD is doing to research how Medicaid and Medicare are being used in supportive housing, advocate for changes to make billing these sources easier in housing, or fund pilot projects that maximize billing reimbursement?

Answer: The Department is not currently doing research in this area. The Demonstration requested in the fiscal year 2009 budget may provide an opportunity to try some pilot projects.

Violence Against Women Act

Question: Congress made specific findings in the Violence Against Women Act that disabled and elderly women are at a high risk for domestic violence and that domestic violence increases the risk for contracting HIV. In addition, many disabled people are getting inadequate notice of their VAWA rights due to an inability to comprehend the complicated language used in the notices or a lack of proficiency in English. Given these findings and the requirements of the Violence Against Women Act to prevent discriminatory evictions and denials from Public and Section 8 housing, please respond as indicated in during the hearing as to what, if anything, has HUD done to implement this law and to protect these vulnerable populations from domestic violence.

Answer: The Department has drafted a regulation to implement the provisions of the Violence Against Women Act (VAWA) which must go through the Departmental clearance process. It is expected that the regulation will be issued before the end of this fiscal year. The Department's Office of Housing is also preparing a notice on VAWA for its administrators of the Section 8 Project-Based Rental Assistance program on how to implement the provisions of VAWA. This notice must complete the Departmental clearance process and the Department expects to issue it before the end of the fiscal year as well.

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 23, 2008.

CHALLENGES OF THE PROJECT-BASED SECTION 8 PROGRAM

WITNESSES

MICHAEL BODAKEN, PRESIDENT, NATIONAL HOUSING TRUST

J. KENNETH PAGANO, PRESIDENT AND CEO, ESSEX PLAZA MANAGEMENT, ON BEHALF OF THE NATIONAL AFFORDABLE HOUSING MANAGEMENT ASSOCIATION

WILLIAM L. MINNIX, JR., PRESIDENT AND CEO, AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF HOMES AND SERVICES FOR THE AGING

CHAIRMAN'S REMARKS

Mr. OLVER. The hearing will come to order. Today is a two-part hearing, and it will focus on the crisis in the Section 8 program. This afternoon we are going to be joined by HUD Assistant Secretary For Housing, Brian Montgomery, to discuss this section of this Project-Based Section 8 Program. This morning we will hear from outside witnesses about the impact HUD's changes to the project-based program has had on owners, developers and tenants.

Before I introduce my panel, let me set the context for today's hearing. The Project-Based Section 8 Program provides about 1.3 million affordable rental units for low-income families, over half of which are elderly or disabled. As the initial 20-year or longer long-term contracts from the 1970s began to expire in the 1990s, the contracts were replaced with shorter contracts subject to annual appropriations. Currently close to 80 percent of Section 8 units, or roughly 14,000 contracts, are funded by 1-year contracts through annual appropriations.

In the late 1990s, HUD modified this policy of obligating 12 months of funding without notifying property owners or tenants that it had done so. Instead of obligating 12 months of funding at renewal, HUD increasingly provided only a few months for some contracts, typically just enough to cover the remaining months of a fiscal year.

In fiscal year 2007, HUD's legal office determined that the short funding of contracts, constituted a potential violation of the Anti-Deficiency Act. Instead of requesting additional funding from Congress to cover the shortfall and fix the potential ADA violation, HUD began to issue, for instance, 3- or 5-month contracts to landlords.

Today our panel will discuss the impact these short-term contracts and the estimated $2.8 billion shortfall are having on owners and residents of Project-Based Section 8 housing.

Joining us this morning are Michael Bodaken who is President of the National Housing Trust. We have Kenneth Pagano, Presi-

dent and CEO of Essex Plaza Management, and Larry Minnix, the President and CEO of the American Association of Homes and Services for the Aging.

Mr. OLVER. Before we hear from the panel, I would like to recognize my Ranking Member, Mr. Knollenberg, for any comments that he would like to make.

MR. KNOLLENBERG'S REMARKS

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you very much. And again, let me add my welcome to the panel this morning. I am also looking forward to the testimony from the Department this afternoon where we will be able, I hope, to get into some of the technical details surrounding the Project-Based Section 8 Program.

I must admit to being a little concerned that we are joining this long list of subcommittees that want to delve into not only how much is being spent on the program, which is certainly important to us, but how it is being spent. As the data I will raise during the question-and-answer period shows, by and large all owners are being paid what they are owed, and on time. And those that are not, for the most part, are delayed for reasons unrelated to the availability of funds.

I am not sure why Congress needs to jump in and fix something that is not broken, as far as I can tell. I hope that the testimony of the panel and the question-and-answer period will shed some light on the problem before us.

I am a bit reluctant to get too enmeshed or become enmeshed in the technical decisions the Department makes to distribute the funds unless there is a clear problem to solve. More importantly, I am not sure why the project owners should want to become enmeshed in the technical details the Department makes as long as they are paid in a timely way and in the amounts that are owed.

Therefore, I look forward to the testimony this morning from the panel as to what their concerns are and the extent to which they have been or are now victims of the program's administration.

Before this Subcommittee takes any action, we need to see the specifics on who is being harmed and by how much. That, to me, is defined simply as a number of late payments and why, and a number of owners that are leaving the program and why. Forgive me if I appear to be a bit skeptical, but this program, which has been annually funded through appropriations for more than 20 years, has always been funded and has always paid the project owners what they are owed. Across Democratic and Republican Administrations, the funds have always been there. In fact, for many years the program has had excess funds and, as a result, for years Congress has instituted annual rescissions of excess funds in this program as long-term contracts expire and either shift to annual renewal contracts or owners opt out of the program all together.

Last year was no different. Yet, now some are declaring that we have a massive shortfall in the billions of dollars that the Appropriations Committee must provide to avoid dire circumstances. More importantly, these declarations are not from the people who actually administer the program on a daily basis. Their complaint is actually very different and one which I believe we should be con-

centrating on here this morning and this afternoon with the Department.

The real complaint from the Department and the real task that we should be facing is not what we appropriated in fiscal years 2007 or 2008, but the Department will testify this afternoon that they have ample funds to complete the year. The real complaint and the real issue is, what does this Congress accomplish by October 1 for fiscal year 2009?

This Subcommittee can ill-afford to put funds into any programs that are not absolutely needed in the year they are appropriated. Advancing funds for any program today can only be done at the expense of other programs. Therefore, as we proceed today, I hope the subcommittee will be very cautious.

The Subcommittee now has an enormous burden already with depleting highway funds, rapidly increased costs of highway and bridge repairs, and the additions of hundreds of new Tenant-Based Section 8 vouchers that must be renewed every year.

I would also urge the Subcommittee to avoid budgetary gimmicks that avoid or postpone the scoring of costs but do not avoid the impacts on the deficit, especially if there is no emergency in this program today. I believe that the Department's testimony and the evidence to date makes that clear.

If Congress completes the appropriations cycle as they are supposed to, then I am confident HUD will have sufficient funds in 2009. We can and we will work with them to be sure of that as part of the normal appropriations cycle. But if Congress fails to do so, if we don't do our job, then we must be sure the continuing resolution has sufficient funds to continue the program adequately. If we do that, then there will be no shortfall in 2009. The program will not grind to a halt as some have argued, and we will not need to resort to budget gimmicks either.

Mr. Chairman, I am sure that all project owners would like the certainty that comes with full funding for this program. I am sure they would also like to have funds in advance of when they actually need them. They all would like the assurance of their profit and a guarantee of their income. But that is not the world that appropriators live in. This is an annual discretionary budget process over which this Subcommittee presides, and that means the Committee has to set priorities and live within a budget. That means there is no absolute certainty.

I thank the Chairman certainly for the time, and I look forward to hearing from the panel about the problems that are facing this program. Once again, I thank you all for being here.

Mr. OLVER. Thank you very much for your very challenging and thoughtful statement.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Challenging and thoughtful. Okay. I will accept that.

Mr. OLVER. I think thoughtful and challenging is a better way to put it—but anyway.

Mr. OLVER. Gentlemen, your written statements will be placed—your full written statements will be placed in the record. So if you could maybe begin to answer something that is here, that has been alluded to, we will go down the list.

Let's start with Mr. Bodaken and work our way down the panel.

MR. BODAKEN'S REMARKS

Mr. BODAKEN. Thank you. Chairman Olver, Ranking Member Knollenberg and members of the subcommittee, thank you for allowing me to testify today. My name is Michael Bodaken and I am head of the National Housing Trust, a national nonprofit dedicated to the preservation of existing affordable housing.

Just 6 months ago in October, I testified before the House Subcommittee on Housing and Community Opportunity on this very subject. At that time I made the following essential points. In the summer of 2007, as was alluded to this morning, HUD began sending letters to property owners, requiring owners to sign 12-month contracts with less than 12 months of funding. This unraveling of the government's promise to owners had caused great concern to all of us in the industry, concerning tenants, owners and investors. My own organization had been compelled to take $800,000 out of our reserves to meet HUD Section 8 gaps at that time for four properties. Vendors were canceled, and maintenance was drastically reduced. In one property in South Carolina we were told literally, in writing, I cannot tell you when the property will be paid.

Today I come before this Subcommittee, 6 months later, fearing that the failure of HUD to make timely payments in the summer of 2007 is not going to be repeated in the same way this year, not with the same intent, a possibility with catastrophic consequences for tenants and owners.

The following is just a sample of what has occurred since the last hearing. Over 45 investors, lenders and housing developers have signed a letter to this Committee on April 1 who rely on Section 8 for their profession, urging you to fund the $2.4 billion in emergency funding to fund Section 8 over the next 12 months.

HUD has conceded to this Committee and to the other committees that it needs $2.6 billion to fully fund Section 8. And owners and investors have continued to suffer harm. In at least two instances of which I am aware, tax credit investors have required owners to set up emergency reserves, 6-month, quote, Section 8 risk reserves, that were never before requested.

In one project that we are working on in Springfield, Missouri, $131,000 is owed today. In my own organization, we have had to cancel or extend invoices from vendors and minimize maintenance to our properties. It is true, Mr. Knollenberg, that we are being paid currently. But these have all occurred in the last 6 months. And I fear that this may occur again. I don't dispute that right now we are being paid. But for the last 6 months there have been significant problems in this area.

But all these problems, I think, pale in comparison to something that HUD has not brought before this Committee, and that is the exposure to the FHA Insurance Fund of all the contracts that come before this Committee for renewal each year. We are in the middle of a housing foreclosure crisis in this Nation. My organization and LISC have conducted an independent analysis of all the FHA-insured contracts that come up for renewal this year and next year during the next 18 months. Over $11 billion of Section 8 contracts are insured by the FHA and they come up for renewal in the next 18 months.

It is important to understand the implications of all of this. If HUD is failing to make the payments on time and owners are forced to go into default, FHA will be on the hook. And that analysis is in our testimony.

Directing you to page 4 of my testimony, we have collected information from each of your districts, showing you how much FHA-insured stock you have in each of your districts. In this Committee alone, nearly $250 million of FHA-insured mortgages are coming up for renewal during the next 18 months.

The second most important thing that HUD fails to mention, and I urge you to ask them about it this afternoon, is the cascading of renewals that their short-term funding policy will necessarily require in the late fall of this calendar year. By short-term funding, every contract renewal—Chairman Olver said it correctly—80 percent of HUD's 18,000 contracts are renewed each year. No question. By short-term funding all of those contracts, we are looking at an iceberg that is coming at us in the fall of this year where HUD will have to make those renewals simultaneously. I do not believe that anyone at HUD can honestly say to you that they have the administrative capacity—let's assume that they had the money—that they have the administrative capacity to make those renewals in the late fall of this year. And when you speak to HUD about this, they talk about their technology improving, and they are not concerned about it. But it is a serious concern for all of us in the industry.

As a letter to you, on March 19 from the House, a bipartisan letter from your own Members said: "Today, the mortgage crisis is putting mounting pressure on an already strained rental market. Homeowners that lose their homes rely on Section 8."

I would add to that the two concerns I brought up today, the FHA concerns and the cascading of renewals. Fortunately this crisis can be avoided. The addition of Section 8 budget authority does not produce an increase in Federal outlays or produce an increase in the Federal deficit. It is merely a matter of timing.

Members of the Subcommittee, the time to act on this crisis is now. Thank you.

Mr. OLVER. Thank you, Mr. Bodaken.

[The information follows:]

Testimony of

Michael Bodaken

President
National Housing Trust

before the

Subcommittee on Transportation, HUD, and Related Agencies
Committee on Appropriations

Hearing on the Challenges of the Project-Based Section 8 Program

Washington, D.C.

April 23, 2008

Chairman Olver, Ranking Member Knollenberg and members of the Subcommittee, thank you for inviting me to testify today. My name is Michael Bodaken. I am the President of the National Housing Trust ("the Trust") a national nonprofit organization formed in 1986, dedicated exclusively to the preservation and improvement of existing affordable housing. Our Board of Directors includes representatives of all major interests in the preservation field, including tenant advocates, owners and managers, state housing agencies, national and regional nonprofit intermediaries, housing scholars and other housing professionals who care deeply about protecting this irreplaceable resource.

The Trust serves as a preservation clearinghouse. In addition to its public policy and program monitoring role, the Trust's loan fund, National Housing Trust Community Development Fund, provides loans to other nonprofits to finance affordable housing preservation. Finally, in a joint venture with the Enterprise, an affiliated organization, NHT/Enterprise Preservation Corporation redevelops and owns many properties supported by project based Section 8. Over the past decade, the Trust has helped save nearly 20,000 apartments in over 40 states. The vast majority of these apartments are subsidized by project based Section 8.[1]

HUD asserts that recent drastic changes in the way the project based contracts are funded will have no impact on the program. We strongly disagree, and our assessment is reflected by the opinions and actions of owners, lenders, and investors that have participated in this program for decades. Failure to fully fund Section 8 contracts is undermining owner confidence in the federal government's commitment and threatening billions of dollars in private sector investment that is essential for rehabilitating and preserving affordable housing. Furthermore, failure to fund the Section 8 contract will lead to increased foreclosures and claims to the FHA insurance fund, costing the federal government as much as $10.8 billion in the short term. Perhaps most significant, the failure to restore full funding to the Section 8 program will lead to a loss of quality affordable housing at a time when affordable rental housing is essential for responding to the mortgage foreclosure crisis.

1. Project Based Section 8 is Essential to Our Mission

NHT/Enterprise Preservation Corporation has developed and owns project based Section 8 apartments in Illinois, South Carolina, North Carolina, Virginia, and Washington DC. *Project based Section 8 allows NHT/Enterprise to provide place-based housing to extremely low income households.* The average income of residents in the project based Section 8 housing owned by NHT/Enterprise is less than $15,000/annually. Without the project based Section 8 assistance provided by the annual Section 8 HAP contract, we could not fulfill that part of our mission.

NHT/Enterprise typically uses low income housing tax credits and bonds to rehabilitate project based Section 8 housing. Attached at **Exhibit 1** are property descriptions and photos of some of NHT/Enterprise's recent property acquisitions. In each case, NHT/Enterprise provided a significant rehabilitation to the property as well as added additional support services.

---

[1] The National Housing Trust and NHT/Enterprise are supported by the John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation. The Foundation has supported leading housing developers and others working to finance affordable housing preservation. A core premise of that support is not only that stable, affordable rental housing matters to the well being of individuals, families and communities, but also that it requires first and foremost stable, financially sound *owners*. To engage in the affordable housing business, owners must be assured that the government won't default on its obligation to fully reimburse owners under their Section 8 contracts.

The project based Section 8 program serves NHT/Enterprise and the residents in another way that is not like any other HUD housing program: *the consistent, predictable stream of resources provided through the HAP contract allows NHT/Enterprise and other owners to secure billions of dollars in financing from* ***private sector lenders and investors*** *to help rehabilitate and preserve this unique federal investment. That is why it is so important that HUD and the government make clear that Section 8 HAP contracts will be funded appropriately, i.e. fully and annually.*

2. Failure to Fund Section 8 Could Lead to Increased Foreclosures, and Increased Claims to the FHA Insurance Fund of Approximately $10.8 Billion in the next 18 months and $13 Billion Over Time.

Our nation is currently undergoing a massive foreclosure crisis in the single family housing stock. One in 33 homeowners is projected to be in foreclosure primarily over the next two years, as a result of subprime loans made in 2005 and 2006, according to a new report released by The Pew Charitable Trusts. Homeowners being foreclosed upon may not be the only homeowners affected, according to data cited in the report. An additional 40 million neighboring homeowners may see their property values and their municipalities' tax bases drop by as much as $356 billion, largely over the next two years.

The FHA insured, multifamily, Section 8 market was always thought to be relatively immune from this problem. The Section 8 subsidy, provided on a predictable monthly basis, allowed the owner sufficient payments for the debt service on a given property. However, our confidence has been badly shaken. The Trust delivered a letter to this committee endorsed by 45 organizations, the majority of which engage in lending, tax credit investment or ownership, describing this chilling impact and the effect it has on the Section 8 industry and the residents. See attached **Exhibit 2**.

As that letter makes clear, in order for federally assisted housing to stand the test of time, the federal government must act as a fair and consistent partner by honoring the contracts it has entered into with property owners. *If Congress fails to provide a full year's funding for Section 8 it's a "lose-lose-lose" situation: many owners will choose the unregulated private market and the federal investment in this housing will have been squandered; residents will be displaced; and communities will suffer the loss of an essential source of affordable housing. To the extent these properties are insured, many will become insolvent and make extraordinary claims on the FHA insurance fund.*

Now we are told that "HUD doesn't have sufficient appropriations" to renew fully fund contracts for 12 month increments at renewal. If HUD payments again prove to be late or unpredictable, the credit crunch could spill over into the multifamily market. This could lead to insolvency to the multifamily market and claims against the FHA Insurance Fund. The Trust estimates that the total amount of exposure to the FHA Fund over the next 18 months could reach as high as $10.8 billion.

This is based upon the following information provided to the Trust by Local Initiatives Support Corporation's Affordable Housing Preservation Initiative:

- 5,865 Section 8 properties insured by the FHA have expiring contracts in FY'08-09; a total of 575,695 apartments are located in these properties.
- The total unpaid principal balance of these properties is $10,752,391,177.

- Thus, the short term exposure to the FHA Insurance Fund for HUD's Failure to Fully Fund Section payments is approximately $10.8 billion. The long term exposure is approximately $13 billion.[2]

As you know, last summer, HUD ran out of funds. A catastrophic funding shutdown was only averted by a transfer of $300 million from another long term Section 8 account. Today, HUD is routinely short funding Section 8 contracts. Owners may again have to use their reserves to pay operating costs. While technical defaults over depleted reserves can often be worked out with considerable effort among all parties, when projected rents do not materialize, simple real estate math can't be negotiated. If we don't have sufficient funds to pay the mortgage and run the property safely and soundly, our lenders will foreclose. Especially now, foreclosure is not an option to inject into the rental housing industry in this country.

The Trust's analysis shows that13,000 FHA insured, Section 8 apartments with contracts expiring in FY'08 and '09 are located in the districts of the members of this subcommittee, as shown in the table below. The total amount of FHA Insurance exposure to nonpayment of Section 8 contracts by HUD is approximately $230 Million.[3] Detailed lists of properties with expiring Section 8 contracts in each member of the subcommittee's congressional district are provided in **Exhibit 3.**

Subcommittee Member	Number of Apts Covered by Contracts Expiring in FY08-09*	Number of Apts in FHA Insured Properties*
Rep. Olver, *Chair*	2,867	1,438
Rep. Knollenberg, *Rnk. Mem.*	2,761	1,546
Rep. Aderholt	1,260	598
Rep. Berry	2,200	1,160
Rep. Cramer	1,995	1,285
Rep. Goode	1,376	268
Rep. Kaptur	4,719	3,818
Rep. Pastor	1,545	439
Rep. Price	1,015	952
Rep. Rodriguez	561	183
Rep. Roybal-Allard	2,566	1,177
Rep. Walsh	1,814	422
Rep. Wolf	518	127
Total	**25,197**	**13,413**

***Over 13,400 apartments, or approximately 53% of apartments covered by contracts expiring in FY08-09 are in properties located in members' jurisdictions that are FHA Insured; The FHA Claims on the properties in the Subcommittee's members' district alone are approximately $230 million. Based on NHT's analysis of HUD's Multifamily and Section 8 Contracts Database and average unpaid principal balance of $17,000/unit on FHA insured, Section 8 units that expire in FY08-09.**

[2] There are 6,651 Section 8 properties insured by the FHA; there are 653,107 apartments in these properties; the total unpaid principal balance is $12.9 billion. The unpaid principal balance per apartment is $19,818 overall.

[3] 13,413 apartments at an FHA claim of $17000/apartment = approximately $230 Million. Properties with near term expiring contracts have less of an unpaid principal balance than do those with longer term contracts.

3. *The Section 8 Shortfall Threatens Tenants and Private Investment*

Failure to fully fund Section 8 contracts has the following impacts:

Lenders and Investors Will Avoid the Section 8 Program. Much of the Section 8 stock is over 30 years old and in need of rehabilitation so that it can remain in the affordable housing inventory for the next generation. Over the past decade, state and local governments have increasingly devoted scarce resources to preserve this housing. These resources have leveraged billions of private sector dollars which are invested in the rehabilitation of project based Section 8 apartments.

Just a few years ago, less than a handful of state governments, for example, prioritized preservation in their Low Income Housing Tax Credit programs. Today, 46 states prioritize preservation through points or a specific preservation set-aside in their competitive tax credit program. As a result, the number of affordable apartments preserved using LIHTCs have more than tripled over the last 6 years (see chart). The National Housing Trust calculates that approximately $8 billion was used to rehabilitate 215,000 affordable apartments funded by low income housing tax credits over the last 4 years.



There are scores of state and local preservation programs being used to renovate and preserve existing affordable housing. *It would be truly unfortunate if the private investment of billions of dollars leveraged through these programs each year was lost because the government did not make clear its commitment to fund project based Section 8.*

Owners will Opt Out in Increasing Numbers. Owners of buildings with project based rental assistance projects have the right to opt out of the program. Owners who have properties with market rents proximate or higher than the current project based Section 8 subsidy amount will have no reason to continue to participate in a program in which they have no confidence that the subsidy will be available. According to the Trust's analysis of HUD data, approximately 150,000 Section 8 apartments have rents well below fair market rent:



There is little question that owners of these properties will have every incentive to opt out of the program so long as HUD does not provide a full year, annual contract. Indeed, some will argue that owners should file 12 month notices to opt out of the program simply to protect their investment.

Federal Government Costs Will Increase. When owners opt out, the federal government will spend inordinate amounts of money, probably more than $50 million annually, to provide vouchers to residents. The average Section 8 voucher cost is $1,000 more than the average project based subsidy. When an owner leaves the federal project based Section 8 program, all tenants who had previously received project based Section 8 are entitled to vouchers. *If owners opt out of contracts covering 50,000 additional apartments (a conservative estimate), the cost to the federal government is an additional $50 million annually.*

The FHA Fund will be particularly hard hit if HUD fails to make these payments. Over time, the exposure to the FHA insurance Fund is nearly $13 billion.

In sum:

- Investors and lenders are being asked to invest in properties with short term contracts and no assurance that HUD will continue to provide project based Section 8 on a continuous basis. This will dramatically reduce the amount of funding the private sector is providing to HUD properties.

- HUD has given owners, investors and others reason to believe it may not fund project based Section 8. Owners have a right to terminate. Before this summer, we always assumed HUD would fulfill its part of the bargain. Now, owners are being asked to sign annual contracts with partial funding. Is the owner released from obligations under that contract if HUD doesn't perform? Currently, the law requires that owners provide tenants one year notice of termination. Are owners still bound to abide that law should the Section 8 not be provided?

- Tenants will be made much more anxious. Currently, tenants are provided enhanced vouchers only upon expiration of the owner's notice. What happens if HUD fails to live up to its obligation? Will a tenant receive a voucher? If so, where is the funding for that voucher? The current HUD budget does not anticipate insufficient Section 8 subsidy to these properties.[4]

[4] The Trust is indebted to NAHMA for its memo to members analyzing the legal complexities for owners raised by the potential failure of HUD to fulfill its contractual obligations to provide a full year funding of project based Section 8.

4. Funding Delays and Potential Shortfalls Have Shaken Owner Confidence in the Federal Government's Commitment.

Owners throughout the nation received letters that explicitly provided that while the owner is asked to sign a year long contract, HUD does not have sufficient funds to provide Section 8 through the term of that contract. The owner is left in the position of hoping that HUD will eventually continue the contract after the first few months of the contract. The letters states the following:

> *This letter constitutes notice that HUD has determined pursuant to the foregoing provision that* ***sufficient appropriations are not available*** *at this time to make housing assistance payments contracts under the Renewal Contract for the entirety of the next annual increment.* (Emphasis added). (See **Exhibit 4**).

The controversial contract language in the contracts owners are asked to sign provided the following which included a *new* Section 2 of the Section 8 Contract. See **Exhibit 5** for attached example from the Belton Woods contract provided by HUD to NHT/Enterprise in September of 2007.

> *TERM AND FUNDING OF RENEWAL CONTRACT*
>
> a. *The Renewal Contract begins on August 1 and shall run for a period of one year.*
>
> b. *Execution of the Renewal Contract by the Contract Administrator is an obligation by HUD of $ 593,800 an amount sufficient to provide housing assistance payments for* ***approximately Five (5) months*** *of the first annual increment of the Renewal Contract term.*
>
> c. *HUD will provide additional funding for the* ***remainder of the first annual increment*** *and for subsequent annual increments, including for any remainder of such subsequent annual increments, subject to the availability of sufficient appropriations. When such appropriations are available, HUD will obligate additional funding and provide the Owner written notification of (i) the amount of such additional funding, and (ii) the approximate period of time within the Renewal Contract term to which it will be applied.*
> *(Emphasis added).*

The Section 8 Administrator for Belton Woods provided the following in his cover letter to the contract. The statement was made in bold, as it appears here:

> ***"I cannot tell you exactly when the property will be paid"*** *(See* ***Exhibit 5****). (Emphasis in original)*

For the first time ever, HUD explicitly has said to owners and investors that HUD does not have sufficient appropriations to fund an entire year's contract.

5. Failure to Act Soon Could Lead to Catastrophe in the Fall When a Cascade of Renewals Will Be Triggered. HUD Simply Does Not Have the Administrative Capacity to Renew Thousands of Section 8 Contracts in the Late Fall, 2008.

This afternoon, the Department will testify that there is no threat to the Section 8 funding stream, that owners are being paid on time and that the Department has sufficient funds to renew all contracts through sometime in the fall, 2008. Let's assume that the Department is correct. Let's assume that the Department does in fact have funding through the fall, 2008.

The inevitable consequence of short term funding all of the contracts is the requirement that all of the contracts will need to be renewed at the same time down the road. No one, least of all the Department, disagrees that in the fall 2008, there will be a huge number of Section 8 contracts that will simultaneously require renewal.

HUD will simply be overwhelmed. The sheer administrative complexity of managing a cascade of short funded contracts will collide with the funding needs of the Section 8 contracts and projects will inevitably go into default, very possibly when Congress is out of session and just before the next Administration takes office.

6. HUD Concedes the Amount to Fund the Program to provide 12 months of Renewal for each 12 month contract in FY'08 is $2.4-2.8 Billion Above What the Administration Has Requested.

HUD's ability to accurately forecast the total cost of renewing all project based Section 8 contracts for a full 12 months in a given fiscal year has been questionable at best. The Trust's research for the precise amount needed to fully fund the Section 8 contracts ranges from $2.4-$2.8 billion more than what the Administration has requested.

- In answers to questions posed by the House Financial Services Subcommittee, the HUD Chief Financial Officer stipulated that the cost of funding Section 8 contracts on an annual basis was approximately $2.4 billion in addition to what HUD had to fund such contracts for the current fiscal year.

- More recently, the 2009 House Budget Resolution background provided that "HUD recently acknowledged that that last year's (2008) budget request was $2.6 billion less than was needed to fully fund the [Section 8] contracts."[5]

- The Senate Budget Resolution included $2.8 billion for Section 8 housing assistance "in order to address the shortfall in funding for the project based Section 8 program." [6]

Remarkably, despite this severe funding shortfall, HUD continues to oppose an emergency or supplemental budget authority because it alleges that no harm has come to the program as a result of its poor budgeting. Indeed, HUD alleges that its proposed budget for project based Section 8 for "FY'09 is "sufficient to renew all contracts…."[7]

HUD fails to observe that it is continuing to ask owners to renew a 12 month Section 8 contract with less than 12 months of payment. Worse, it fails to explain how HUD will renew thousands of Section 8 contracts when virtually all of such contracts all require renewal later this year.

---

[5] House Budget Resolution, p. 76.
[6] Senate Budget Resolution, p. 12.
[7] Budget of the U.S. Government, FY'09, Appendix, p. 543.

7. Providing Additional Budget Authority Does Not Produce an Increase in Federal Outlays or the Federal Deficit

On March 19, 2008, a letter from a number of House members was sent to this Subcommittee observing that, "Today, the mortgage crisis is putting mounting pressure onto an already strained rental market. Homeowners that lose their homes will have to turn to programs like Section Eight to weather the storm." (**See Exhibit 6**). The letter urges the Subcommittee to provide additional Section 8 funding to meet this demand.

Attached is an April 4, 2008 memorandum from the Center on Budget and Policy Priorities that makes an essential point to consider in your deliberations concerning providing additional budget authority for the Section 8 program during this fiscal year. See **Exhibit 7**. The memo observes:

> ***"An additional one-time advance appropriation for FY 2010 of $2.8 billion would preserve affordable housing for thousands of families — and do so without increasing program spending (outlays) or adding to the deficit in any year.*** *By providing a one-time increase in budget authority, Congress would allow HUD to restore the policy of signing 12-month rental contracts at renewal for all existing contracts in 2009 — thereby restoring property owners' confidence in the fiscal stability of Section 8 contracts. This action would not increase program spending, however, as monthly outlays would continue on their current path, and it would not increase the federal budget deficit." (Emphasis in the original).*

The Trust urges the House Appropriations T-HUD Subcommittee to increase HUD's project based Section 8 program budget authority by $2.8 billion.

At the end of the day, financial market investments into the project based Section portfolio are dictated by confidence in the government's commitment to this program and the unique housing resource it represents.

Short funding Section 8 contracts is an ill conceived policy that will potentially lead to:

- Increased exposure and claims to the FHA Insurance Fund
- Owner frustration and opt outs;
- Loss of good affordable housing;
- Increased resident anxiety and displacement;
- Chilling investment and lending into properties which were the product of a unique federal/private partnership;
- Dramatically increased costs to the federal government based on the increased cost of vouchers; and
- Dramatically increased Section 8 appropriation need in FY'09 posing an even more significant problem for future Administrations.

The time to act is now.

Exhibit 1

NHT/Enterprise Preservation Corporation

Property Descriptions and Photos

NHT/Enterprise Preserves Affordable Housing in

CHARLOTTESVILLE, VA

Friendship Court Apartments

Property Description



The National Housing Trust/Enterprise Preservation Corporation, partnering with locally-based Piedmont Housing Alliance, successfully saved 150 units of affordable housing in the rapidly gentrifying City of Charlottesville, VA. Residents' housing was threatened on two fronts: sitting only two blocks from Charlottesville's historic walking mall, apartments were vulnerable to market-rate rents and condominium-conversion, as well as being in need of substantial renovation. The renovation of this property, called Friendship Court, prevented the displacement of residents in an area where affordable housing is scarce.

Financing Plan

National Housing Trust/Enterprise and Piedmont Housing Alliance drew on diverse financial resources to make this deal possible. Existing Virginia Housing Development Authority bonds and 9% Low Income Housing Tax Credits provided most of the funds. More than $1 million was raised through grants from a foundation, the City of Charlottesville and the Federal Home Loan Bank. A foundation loan provided another $1.25 million.

Green and Affordable: Working Together

The renovation of Friendship Court marks NHT/Enterprise's first large-scale green preservation project. Retrofitting the property involved a wide range of energy-saving and environmentally sensitive products and techniques, including energy efficient windows, high efficiency heat lamps, and water-saving front-loading washers and dryers. The community's children helped transform a little-used parking area into a park by planting new flowers and greenery. This green approach has resulted in lower utility and maintenance costs, as well as a more livable and attractive property.

Families Remain in Affordable Homes and Enjoy Improved Services



Community residents also now benefit from improved services. An adjacent playground was completely refurbished, as was the neighborhood's community center, which now boasts a new computer lab for the education and recreation of residents and their children. A full-time resident coordinator was hired and GED classes are now offered. Of the classes, prior resident Vincent Ross said, "I had no excuse but to enroll... If the GED program had not come to Friendship Court, I would be like the rest of the bad influences in the community-just letting life pass by." Having earned his degree at Friendship Court, Vincent is now employed, rents his own apartment, and attends art school.

NATIONAL HOUSING TRUST

National Housing Trust/Enterprise Preservation Corporation
1101 30th Street, N.W. • Suite 400 • Washington, D.C. 20007
202-333-8931 • Fax: 202-833-1031 • www.nhtinc.org/nhte.asp

ENTERPRISE

NHT/Enterprise Preserves Affordable Housing in

WASHINGTON, DC

Property Description



The National Housing Trust/Enterprise Preservation Corporation, in partnership with the Somerset Development Company and the Galen Terrace Tenants Association, rescued and restored 84 affordable homes in the Anacostia neighborhood of Washington, DC. The property was in a downward spiral from poor management, increasing criminal activity and physical deterioration. NHT/Enterprise helped the tenant association purchase the property while making substantial renovations. Improvements include significant security enhancements and the integration of energy efficient products. These renovations, coupled with new management and resident services, have turned Galen Terrace from a trouble spot into a neighborhood asset.

Financing Plan

National Housing Trust/Enterprise and Somerset secured more than $12.5 million in support from several partners to finance this project. The Federal Home Loan Bank and Enterprise Community Partners provided predevelopment loans, and Enterprise provided a Green Communities Initiative Grant for planning and construction costs. DC Housing Finance Agency tax exempt private activity bonds were purchased by MMA Financial and coupled with 4% Low Income Housing Tax Credits investments by Enterprise. HUD approved a 20 year Section 8 contract renewal, and the DC Department of Housing and Community Development awarded $3.25 million in subordinate debt to meet the remaining financing needs.

Safeguarding Affordable Homes and Residents' Health

The U.S. Attorney's office had identified Galen Terrace as among the top nuisance properties in the city, reporting significant drug and criminal activity. In consultation with HUD, the US Attorney, residents and security consultants, we integrated technology, building and site improvements, on-site management and on the ground patrols to bring a real sense of security back to the property. The property renovation includes better lighting, secure key card building entry systems, and surveillance cameras. While achieving this new level of safety, we have also used financial and technical support from Enterprise's Green Communities Initiative to focus on making the buildings energy efficient, healthy for residents, and environmentally sustainable. The renovation includes low VOC paint, energy star appliances and lighting, efficient heating and cooling systems, new double-paned windows, green label carpeting and rain barrels to collect and reuse rainwater.

Resident Ownership Victory

The Galen Terrace Tenant Association exercised its right to match a 3rd party offer to purchase their property, and selected the National Housing Trust/Enterprise and Somerset as their partners. A newly built community center will include an office, computer center and space for other resident programs and activities. The residents are receiving a portion of the developer's fee and ongoing cash flow to fund these programs.

NATIONAL HOUSING TRUST

National Housing Trust/Enterprise Preservation Corporation
1101 30th Street, N.W. * Suite 400 * Washington, D.C. 20007
202-333-8931 * Fax: 202-833-1031 * www.nhtinc.org/nhte.asp

ENTERPRISE

Galen Terrace

NHT/Enterprise Preserves Affordable Housing in

FREDERICKSBURG, VA

Property History



National Housing Trust/Enterprise saved 147 affordable apartment homes in Fredericksburg, VA. Hazel Hill Apartments, a 100 percent project-based Section 8 property, was once the picture of a failing HUD assisted property. Built in 1971, by early 2004 the property had twice failed HUD's property inspections. The owner was facing foreclosure and residents, many of whom had lived in their homes for thirty years, were facing displacement. With housing prices skyrocketing in the city, losing these homes would have been a serious setback for the community. Instead of letting the property deteriorate, NHT/Enterprise acquired the property in July 2004 and secured the financing needed to complete $7 million in property renovations. In addition to making physical improvements, NHT/Enterprise took a comprehensive approach to revitalizing the community by developing a resident services and community safety program. Hazel Hill residents now have a decent, safe and affordable home and an improved quality of life.

Financing Plan and Partners

The involvement of a variety of local, state, and federal partners was key to the successful preservation of Hazel Hill. The federal Department of Housing and Urban Development agreed to renew the property's Section 8 contract for 20 years. The long-term contract allowed NHT/Enterprise to secure the financing for substantial renovations. The Fredericksburg Economic Development Authority issued more than $8 million in tax exempt private activity bonds. Enterprise Community Investments, Inc. invested $4.7 million in low income housing tax credit equity. The Virginia Foundation for Housing and Preservation provided a $75,000 no interest soft loan.

A Community Revitalized

Substantial renovations were completed at Hazel Hill, including new roofs, windows, canopies, central air conditioning, water heaters, carpeting, kitchens, 5 new laundry rooms, and landscaping. A new community building with a computer lab and multipurpose meeting room was also added.

In addition to physical improvements, a resident services coordinator was hired to facilitate programs that have improved residents' quality of life. Children now have access to many enrichment and recreational opportunities. They can work on homework in the computer lab, play on the property's new playground or participate in after school activities. An on site nurse provides medical assistance that is often needed by the elderly and disabled residents. She also teaches classes on health related issues such as nutrition, pre- and post-natal care, and infant and child care development.



In an effort to further strengthen the Hazel Hill community, NHT/Enterprise agreed to donate $50,000 to the city to fund a community-based policing program. Two police officers monitor Hazel Hill and the surrounding neighborhoods and involve residents in solving safety and community concerns.

NATIONAL HOUSING TRUST

National Housing Trust/Enterprise Preservation Corporation
1101 30th Street, N.W. • Suite 400 • Washington, D.C. 20007
202-333-8931 • Fax: 202-833-1031 • www.nhtinc.org/nhte.asp

ENTERPRISE

Exhibit 2

Industry Letter Requesting Emergency Supplemental Appropriations

April 1, 2008

The Honorable Patty Murray
Chair, Subcommittee on Transportation,
HUD Appropriations
S-131, U.S. Capitol
Washington, D.C. 20510

The Honorable John Olver
Chair, Subcommittee on Transportation,
HUD Appropriations
2358 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515

The Honorable Kit Bond
Ranking member, Subcommittee on
Transportation, HUD Appropriations
S-131, U.S. Capitol
Washington, D.C. 20510

The Honorable James Knollenberg
Ranking member, Subcommittee on
Transportation, HUD Appropriations
2358 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Chairs and Ranking Members:

The undersigned organizations, representing affordable housing lenders, state and local housing agencies, investors, tenant groups, and owners, are writing to inform you of a critical situation currently threatening federal government contracts on thousands of affordable housing properties subsidized through the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). **We are writing to urge you to provide $2.4 billion in emergency supplemental appropriations to fully fund existing project-based Section 8 rental assistance contracts that currently lack sufficient funding for the contract term.**

In order for federally assisted housing to stand the test of time, the federal government must act as a fair and consistent partner by honoring the contracts it has entered into with property owners. If Congress fails to provide a full year's funding for Section 8, it's a "lose-lose-lose" situation: many owners will choose the unregulated private market and the federal investment in this housing will have been squandered; residents will be displaced; and communities will suffer the loss of an essential source of affordable housing.

We appreciate your previously expressed interest in this issue. As you are aware, the current funding dilemma stems from HUD and OMB's failure to request adequate funds to renew and fund all project-based Section 8 contracts for their full one-year terms. Over the last decade, a number of accounting maneuvers have been used, such as including rescissions in appropriations bills and borrowing from long-term contracts, to

distort the actual funding needs for contract renewals. While some progress was made in the final appropriations level for FY'08, it is inadequate to fully resolve this fundamental problem. This has led us to our present situation.

We understand that advance appropriations would provide an alternate solution to this problem by creating a pool of advance future-year funds to meet the government's obligations under these contracts. We appreciate and support this effort, which would certainly be an improvement over the President's proposed budget.

However, an emergency supplemental would be a significantly preferable solution for the following reasons:

1) It would provide a one-time, permanent correction to HUD's errors in requesting insufficient funds from Congress and executing Section 8 contracts when sufficient funds were not available;
2) It would eliminate the need for HUD to ANNUALLY obligate needed funding for all outstanding Section 8 contracts at the beginning of each fiscal year;
3) It would not require the creation of a permanent cycle of advance appropriations.

In response to HUD's FY'08 request, Congress appropriated $6.14 billion for Section 8 project-based contract renewals, an amount that is $2.4 billion less than actually needed. As a result, HUD has already informed us that all owners will receive 'short funded contracts,' i.e., contracts that require the owner to sign a 12 month contract with less than 12 months worth of funding from the federal government. HUD has also indicated that these contracts will probably expire around October of 2008.

We understand that emergency supplemental appropriations are reserved for the most significant emergencies. The shortfall in funding for project-based rental assistance falls into this category because the flawed cost projections and appropriations requests provided to Congress by HUD could have severe consequences, including:

1) ***Administrative gridlock and more delayed payments to owners*** when short-funded contracts require simultaneous rapid replenishment at the beginning of the fiscal year. HUD simply does not have the administrative capacity to obligate additional funding for all contracts simultaneously. This predictable crisis will be exacerbated whenever HUD is funded by a continuing resolution, as is likely this year.

2) ***Increased defaults and foreclosures on FHA-insured properties*** when owners are unable to pay their mortgages because of delayed payments, just at a time when foreclosure rates on single family mortgages are reaching record levels.

3) ***Displacement of poor, elderly, and disabled tenants*** when owners fail to receive subsidy payments. Over the past years many owners have expressed new interest in their ability to convert to market rents and/or place tenants on short-term leases in the event of repeated late subsidy payments.

4) ***Reduced investment because of lost investor and lender confidence*** in the reliability of federal contracts. Because of repeated payment delays resulting from inadequate appropriations requests, investors and lenders will be less inclined to support the purchase and rehabilitation of federally assisted properties, including older Section 202 properties for the elderly and disabled. This would be exacerbated by the current instability in the housing tax credit market, which could result in a diversion of tax credit equity from any rehabilitation projects for properties receiving Section 8 project-based assistance.

Please support the appropriation of emergency supplemental funds sufficient to restore full funding for all Section 8 project-based contracts.

If you have any questions, please contact Michael Bodaken at 202-333-8931, ext. 11.

Sincerely,

National Housing Trust, joined by:

See signatures on following page

Investors and Lenders
Affordable Housing Tax Credit Coalition
Community Economic Development Assistance Corp. (MA)
Enterprise Community Investment, Inc.
Enterprise Community Partners
Great Lakes Capital Fund
Local Initiatives Support Corporation
National Affordable Housing Trust
National Association of Local Housing Finance Agencies

Housing Finance Agencies
National Council of State Housing Agencies
MassHousing
North Dakota Housing Finance Agency
Ohio Capital Corporation for Housing
Oregon Housing and Community Services
Vermont Housing Finance Agency
Washington State Housing Finance Commission
Wisconsin Housing and Economic Development Authority

Local Governments
City of Boston
City of Portland (Oregon)

Developers/Owners
Affordable Housing Management, Inc.
American Community Developers, Inc.
Chicago Community Development Corporation
Evergreen Partners (national)
Gill Park Cooperative (IL)
Homes for America (MD)
Lake View Towers Residents Association (IL)
Leasing and Management Company (IL)
Mercy Housing
National Housing Trust/Enterprise Preservation Corporation
Realty & Mortgage Co. (IL)
St. Andrew Manor, Inc. (IL)
St. Lukes Limited Dividend Housing Associates (IL)
United Northeastwood Limited Partnership (IL)

Intermediaries and Advocates
California Housing Partnership
Coalition for Economic Survival (Los Angeles)
Community Alliance of Tenants (OR)
Crossroads Urban Center (UT)
Gideon Court Residents Council (IL)
Housing Preservation Project (national)
National Affordable Housing Management Association
National Association of Housing and Redevelopment Officials
National Housing Conference
National Housing Law Project
National Low Income Housing Coalition
Stewards of Affordable Housing for the Future (SAHF)
Tabernacle Senior Citizens Project (IL)

Exhibit 3

Detailed Lists of Properties with Section 8 Contracts Expiring in FY 08 in the Congressional Districts of Members of the Housing and Opportunity Subcommittee

NATIONAL HOUSING TRUST

Special Report: Expiring Section 8 Contracts in Massachusetts's 1st Congressional District

This report includes properties in Rep. John Olver's district in Massachusetts with contracts expiring by the end of fiscal year 2009.

PROJECT: *FHA #:*02344023 ADAMS HOUSING, 3 MYRTLE ST, ADAMS, MA 01220, *Metro Area (MSA):* Pittsfield, MA

Owner Info: Landover Associates., Post Office Box 107, , Adams, MA 01220 (413) 743-9301 Fax: *County Name:* Berkshire

Mgmt Info: GREYLOCK HOUSING MGMT & MAINTENANCE CO.,INC, Post Office Box 107, , ADAMS, MA 01220 (413) 743-930 Fax: *Ownership Type:* Limited Dividend

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
236(j)(1)/ Lower Income Fa	8.50	$517,874	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ Br
MA06M000199	7/14/2008	Active	118.7%	LMSA	35	60	0	3	13	19	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*023EH008 BARRETT HOUSE, 17 PLEASANT ST, ADAMS, MA 01220, *Metro Area (MSA):* Pittsfield, MA

Owner Info: BARRETT HOUSING CORP., 4 Kittler Avenue, , Adams, MA 01220 Fax: *County Name:* Berkshire

Mgmt Info: Joel A. Less Managed Properties Inc., 45 Wood Ave, , Pittsfield, MA 01201 413-447-3840 Fax: 413-447-3831 *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ Br
MA060973201	12/21/2008	Active	194.1%	202/8 SR	40	40	9	31	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*02335343 MILLHOUSES OF ADAMS, 75 COMMERCIAL ST, ADAMS, MA 01220, *Metro Area (MSA):* Pittsfield, MA

Owner Info: MILLHOUSES OF ADAMS % WINGATE MANAGEMENT CO., 1 Charles River Place, 63 Kendrick Street, Needham, MA 02494 (781)707 9000 Fax: *County Name:* Berkshire

Mgmt Info: WINGATE MANAGEMENT CO. INC., One Charles River Place, 63 Kendrick Street, Needham, MA 02494 (781) 707-9000 Fax: *Ownership Type:* Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	4.91	$1,254,814	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	NW Financial Group	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ Br
MA060011009	3/31/2008	Active	125.0%	Sec 8 SR	98	99	0	68	22	8	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* COTTAGE DEVELOPMENT, 701 COTTAGE ST, ATHOL, MA 01331, *Metro Area (MSA):*

Owner Info: COTTAGE HOUSING LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, 218 CENTRAL ST, , WINCHENDON, MA 01475 (978) 297-1376 Fax: 978-297-2606 *County Name:* Worcester

Mgmt Info: RCAP Solutions, Inc., 205 School St, , Gardner, MA 01440 (978) 630-6600 Fax: (978) 630-2751 *Ownership Type:*

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ Br
MA06H058053	7/14/2008	Active	145.0%	HFDA/8 NC	29	30	0	10	13	2	4	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** PEQUOIG HOUSE, 416 MAIN ST, ATHOL, MA 01331, ***Metro Area (MSA):***

Owner Info: STERLING HOUSE ASSOCIATES, 8 BOURBON STREET, , PEABODY, MA 01960 (978)535-1400 Fax: ***County Name:*** Worcester

Mgmt Info: Sterling Management, Inc., 8 Bourbon Street, , Peabody, MA 01960 (978) 535-1400 Fax: ***Ownership Type:***

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MA06H058147	9/29/2008	Active	201.7%	HFDA/8 SR	53	53	0	49	4	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** MILL HOLLOW APARTMENTS, 133 JABISH ST, BELCHERTOWN, MA 01007, ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Springfield, MA

Owner Info: MARTIN BEREZIN DBA MILL HOLLOW APARTMENTS, 667 MAIN ST, , HOLYOKE, MA 01040 (413) 539-9500 Fax: 413-536-7230 ***County Name:*** Hampshire

Mgmt Info: MARKEN PROPERTIES, INC., 667 Main St, , Holyoke, MA 01040 (413) 532-9268 Fax: ***Ownership Type:*** Other

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MA06R000003	7/19/2008	Active	137.1%	515/8 NC	60	60	0	60	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** RIVER RUN APARTMENTS, 8 River St, DALTON, MA 01226, ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Pittsfield, MA

Owner Info: RIVER RUN ASSOC., 10 Forbes Road, c/o Simon Companies, Braintree, MA 02184 (617)848-2500 Fax: ***County Name:*** Berkshire

Mgmt Info: Joel A Less, 74 North Street, RM 319, , Pittsfield, MA 01201 413-447-7034 Fax: 413-499-4460 ***Ownership Type:***

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MA06H058157	12/31/2008	Active	211.1%	HFDA/8 SR	77	77	0	62	5	10	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***023EH345 COLLEGE HIGHWAY APTS, 391 MAIN ST, EASTHAMPTON, MA 01027, ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Springfield, MA

Owner Info: EASTHAMPTON HOUSING FOR THE ELDERLY, INC., 391 Main Street, , East Hampton, MA 01027 (413) 5276337 Fax: ***County Name:*** Hampshire

Mgmt Info: The Community Builders, Inc., 95 Berkeley Street, Suite 500, BOSTON, MA 02116 (617) 695-9595 Fax: (617) 695-9605 ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MA06T881007	8/1/2008	Active	171.2%	202/8 NC	40	40	10	30	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***023EH293 ORCHARD VIEW, 108 EVERETT ST, EASTHAMPTON, MA 01027, ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Springfield, MA

Owner Info: Orchard View Eld. Hsg., Inc., 108 Everett Street, , East Hampton, MA 01027 (413) 536-8048 Fax: ***County Name:*** Hampshire

Mgmt Info: APPLETON CORPORATION, 57 SUFFOLK ST, SUITE 200, HOLYOKE, MA 01040 (413) 536-8048 Fax: (413) 534 8344 ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MA06T851014	6/28/2008	Active	135.8%	202/8 NC	40	40	10	30	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*02398075 HOTEL RAYMOND, 35 DAY ST, FITCHBURG, MA 01420,

Metro Area (MSA): Fitchburg-Leominster, MA

Owner Info: Hotel Raymond LLC, 400 Blue Hill Dr Ste 2C, , Westwood, MA 02090 7814072100 Fax: 7814072110

County Name: Worcester

Mgmt Info: , 400 Blue Hill Drive, Suite 2C, Westwood, MA 02090 781-407-2100 Fax: 781-407-2110

Ownership Type: Limited Dividend

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
542(c) HFA Risk Sharing-Ex	5.95	$1,946,270	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	RER Solutions, Inc.	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MA068023009	11/11/2008	Active	118.8%	Sec 8 SR	73	74	0	59	11	3	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* JOSEPH'S HOUSE, 279 DANIEL ST, FITCHBURG, MA 01420,

Metro Area (MSA): Fitchburg-Leominster, MA

Owner Info: JOSEPH'S ASSOC, c/o O'CONNELL ENGINEERING, 480 HAMPDEN STREET, HOLYOKE, MA 01041 (413) 534-5667 Fax: (413) 538-6179

County Name: Worcester

Mgmt Info: APPLETON CORPORATION, 57 SUFFOLK ST, SUITE 200, HOLYOKE, MA 01040 (413) 536-8048 Fax: (413) 534-8344

Ownership Type: Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MA06H058133	3/31/2008	Active	160.1%	HFDA/8 SR	139	140	0	115	21	3	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*02355067 MEADOWBROOK VILLAGE, 115A CRESTVIEW LANE, FITCHBURG, MA 01420,

Metro Area (MSA): Fitchburg-Leominster, MA

Owner Info: Meadowbrook Village Associates, Ten Forbes Road, , Braintree, MA 02184 (617) 848-2500 Fax: (617)843-9208

County Name: Worcester

Mgmt Info: COMMUNITY HABITAT, INC., 639 Granite St, , Braintree, MA 02184 (781) 848-2500 Fax: (781)843-9208

Ownership Type: Limited Dividend

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
221(d)(3) BMIR Urban Rene	3.00	$512,464	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MA06M000224	1/31/2008	Expired	90.5%	LMSA	35	228	0	20	10	5	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*02398069 BINNALL HOUSE, 125 CONNORS ST, GARDNER, MA 01440,

Metro Area (MSA): Fitchburg-Leominster, MA

Owner Info: Binnall House RHF Housing, Inc., 911 N Studebaker Rd, , Long Beach, CA 90815 562-257-5100 Fax:

County Name: Worcester

Mgmt Info: Federal Management Co. Inc., 175 Federal Street, Suite 700, Boston, MA 02110 617-482-8925 Fax: 617-338-8505

Ownership Type: Other

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
542(c) HFA Risk Sharing-Ex	5.04	$6,037,756	Yes	Rent Rdct	Massachusetts Housing Finance Agency	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MA060014006	1/14/2009	Active	121.3%	Sec 8 NC	133	134	0	117	16	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*02344143 GREENFIELD GARDENS, 2 RIVERSIDE DR, GREENFIELD, MA 01301,

Metro Area (MSA):

Owner Info: HOMESAVERS COUNCIL OF GREENFIELD GARDENS, INC., 2 PRAY DRIVE, , GREENFIELD, MA 01301 (413)772-0710 Fax: 413 772-6474

County Name: Franklin

Mgmt Info: Peabody Properties, Inc., 536 Granite St, , Braintree, MA 02184 (781) 794-1000 Fax: (781)794-1001

Ownership Type: Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
236(j)(1)/ Lower Income Fa	7.00	$1,470,869	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MA06M000088	9/30/2008	Active	98.3%	LMSA	80	202	0	35	24	21	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***02344091 LEYDEN WOODS APARTMENTS, 24 ASTER COURT, GREENFIELD, MA 01301,

Metro Area (MSA):

Owner Info: TCB Leydon Woods Limited Partnership, 95 Berkeley Street, , Boston, MA 02116 (617) 695-9595 Fax: (617) 695-9805

County Name: Franklin

Mgmt Info: The Community Builders, Inc., 95 Berkeley Street, Suite 500, BOSTON, MA 02116 (617) 695-9595 Fax: (617) 695-9805

Ownership Type: Limited Dividend

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
236(j)(1)/ Lower Income Fa	7.00	$1,354,983	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MA06L000193	2/29/2008	Expired	101.6%	LMSA	181	200	0	56	64	61	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***02335342 WELDON, THE, 54 HIGH ST, GREENFIELD, MA 01301,

Metro Area (MSA):

Owner Info: Weldon Associates, 175 Federal St Suite 700, , Boston, MA 02110 (617) 482-8925 Fax: (617)338 8505

County Name: Franklin

Mgmt Info: Federal Management Co. Inc., 175 Federal Street, Suite 700, Boston, MA 02110 617-482-8925 Fax: 617-338-8505

Ownership Type: Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	5.76	$1,094,187	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	NW Financial Group	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MA060009001	4/3/2002	Active	137.5%	Sec 8 SR	105	105	3	90	12	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***023EH297 ELMS THE, 598 DWIGHT ST, HOLYOKE, MA 01040,

Metro Area (MSA): Springfield, MA

Owner Info: THE ELMS, INC., 70 LYMAN ST, , HOLYOKE, MA 01040 (413) 533-7101 Fax:

County Name: Hampden

Mgmt Info: OLDE HOLYOKE DEVELOPMENT, 70 LYMAN ST, , HOLYOKE, MA 01040 (413) 533-7101 Fax:

Ownership Type: Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MA06T851018	9/7/2009	Active	173.9%	202/8 SR	47	47	12	35	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***02398096 PULASKI HEIGHTS, 76 MAPLE ST, HOLYOKE, MA 01040,

Metro Area (MSA): Springfield, MA

Owner Info: PULASKI HEIGHTS INC., 76 MAPLE ST, , HOLYOKE, MA 01040 (413) 534-7636 Fax:

County Name: Hampden

Mgmt Info: APPLETON CORPORATION, 57 SUFFOLK ST, SUITE 200, HOLYOKE, MA 01040 (413) 536-8048 Fax: (413) 534-8344

Ownership Type: Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
542(c) HFA Risk Sharing-Ex	6.28	$2,088,854	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MA06L000187	4/30/2008	Active	104.5%	LMSA	17	101	0	17	0	0	0	0
MA06M000091	4/30/2008	Active	104.5%	LMSA	40	101	0	40	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***02344199 SARGEANT WEST APTS, 137 SARGEANT ST, HOLYOKE, MA 01040,

Metro Area (MSA): Springfield, MA

Owner Info: Sargeant West Apartments II Limited Partnership, 667 Main St, , Holyoke, MA 01040 413-539-9500 Fax: 413-536-7230

County Name: Hampden

Mgmt Info: MARKEN PROPERTIES, INC., 667 Main St, , Holyoke, MA 01040 (413) 532-9268 Fax:

Ownership Type: Limited Dividend

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
236(j)(1)/ Lower Income Fa	9.50	$1,786,459	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	Massachusetts Housing Finance Agency	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MA06M000173	2/29/2008	Expired	95.8%	LMSA	154	154	0	56	46	41	6	5

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** SYCAMORE HOUSE, 287 ESSEX ST, HOLYOKE, MA 01040, ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Springfield, MA

Owner Info: 287 Essex Street LLC, 480 Hampden Street, , Holyoke, MA 01041 413-540-2702 Fax: ***County Name:*** Hampden

Mgmt Info: APPLETON CORPORATION, 57 SUFFOLK ST, SUITE 200, HOLYOKE, MA 01040 (413) 536-8048 Fax: (413) 534-8344 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			Yes	Rent Rdct	NW Financial Group	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MA06H058019	6/30/2008	Active	124.3%	HFDA/8 NC	94	94	0	87	7	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** 02311156 HUBBARDSTON HOUSE APTS, 1 OLD PRINCETON RD, HUBBARDSTON, MA 01452, ***Metro Area (MSA):***

Owner Info: HUBBARDSTON ELDERLY HOUSING INC., 205 SCHOOL STREET, P.O. BOX 159, GARDNER, MA 01440 978-630-6600 Fax: ***County Name:*** Worcester

Mgmt Info: RCAP Solutions, Inc., 205 School St, , Gardner, MA 01440 (978) 630-6600 Fax: (978) 630-2751 ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
207/ 223(f) Pur/ Refin Hsg.	6.25	$2,340,700	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MA06T831013	8/14/2008	Active	185.0%	202/8 NC	36	36	9	27	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** 02344099 LITCHFIELD TERRACE APTS, 75A TERRACE DRIVE, LEOMINSTER, MA 01453, 978-537-6610 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Fitchburg-Leominster, MA

Owner Info: Litchfield Terrace Associates, 75a Terrace Drive, , Leominster, MA 01453 (508) 537-6610 Fax: ***County Name:*** Worcester

Mgmt Info: Bay Management Corp., 117 W 72nd St, , New York, NY 10023 (212) 874-5900 Fax: ***Ownership Type:*** Limited Dividend

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
236(j)(1)/ Lower Income Fa	7.00	$1,861,348	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MA06M000211	9/30/2009	Active	97.3%	LMSA	52	216	0	14	20	18	0	0
MA06L000041	9/30/2008	Active	105.7%	LMSA	157	216	0	27	84	46	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** 023020NI RIVERSIDE VILLAGE, 24 STATE ST, LEOMINSTER, MA 01453, ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Fitchburg-Leominster, MA

Owner Info: RIVERSIDE VILLAGE COMPANY %STATE ST. DEV. CO., 488 COMMONWEALTH AVE, , BOSTON, MA 02215 (617) 262-9800 Fax: ***County Name:*** Worcester

Mgmt Info: State Street Development Management Co., 488 COMMONWEALTH AVE, , BOSTON, MA 02215 (617) 262-9800 Fax: ***Ownership Type:***

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MA06M000267	10/31/2008	Active	125.8%	Preservation	193	312	0	38	124	31	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** 02398030 MOHAWK FOREST, 201 MOHAWK FOREST BLVD, NORTH ADAMS, MA 01247, ***Metro Area (MSA):***

Owner Info: North Adams Housing Associates Limited Partnership, 491 Humphrey St, , Swampscott, MA 01907 (781) 595-4665 Fax: 781-592-0770 ***County Name:*** Berkshire

Mgmt Info: The Caleb Foundation, Inc., 491 Humphrey St, , Swampscott, MA 01907 (781) 595-4665 Fax: ***Ownership Type:*** Limited Dividend

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
542(c) HFA Risk Sharing-Ex	5.91	$5,252,490	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MA06M000212	5/31/2009	Active	88.9%	LMSA	128	190	0	36	73	19	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* ST JOSEPH'S COURT, 85 EAGLE ST, NORTH ADAMS, MA 01247, *Metro Area (MSA):*

Owner Info: SJC-ACD, LLC (ST JOSEPHS COURT), 7 Thomas Drive, , Cumberland Foreside, ME 04110 207-829-9200 Fax: 207-829-9207 *County Name:* Berkshire

Mgmt Info: SHP MANAGEMENT COMPANY, 225 Commercial Street, , Portland, ME 04110 207-829-9200 Fax: 207-829-9207 *Ownership Type:* Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MA060011008	4/11/2008	Active	166.4%	Sec 8 SR	78	78	0	62	16	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* VILLAGE EAST, 546 UNION STREET, NORTH ADAMS, MA 01247, *Metro Area (MSA):*

Owner Info: VILLAGE EAST ASSOCIATES, 436 SOUTH RIVER RD, , BEDFORD, NH 03110 (603) 669-8551 Fax: (603)669-0065 *County Name:* Berkshire

Mgmt Info: EastPoint Properties, Inc., 436 South River Road, Building B, Bedford, NH 03110 (603) 669-8551 Fax: (603) 669-0065 *Ownership Type:* Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MA06R000014	3/15/2008	Active	129.7%	515/8 NC	48	48	0	48	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* BERKSHIRETOWN ASSOCIATES, 176 COLUMBUS AVE, PITTSFIELD, MA 01201, *Metro Area (MSA):* Pittsfield, MA

Owner Info: Berkshiretown LLC, 480 Hampden St, P.O. Box 867, Holyoke, MA 01040 413-534-5667 Fax: *County Name:* Berkshire

Mgmt Info: APPLETON CORPORATION, 57 SUFFOLK ST, SUITE 200, HOLYOKE, MA 01040 (413) 536-8048 Fax: (413) 534-8344 *Ownership Type:* Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			Yes	Rent Rdct	NW Financial Group	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MA068023008	4/27/2008	Active	133.8%	Sec 8 NC	184	184	0	166	18	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* BRADFORD ST 161/PITTSFIELD NSA, 161 BRADFORD ST, PITTSFIELD, MA 01201, *Metro Area (MSA):* Pittsfield, MA

Owner Info: WDM Properties, LLC, PO Box 307, , North Adams, MA 01247 413-664-4539 Fax: 413-664-4735 *County Name:* Berkshire

Mgmt Info: SCARAFONI ASSOCIATES, 103 MAIN ST, BOX 307, , NORTH ADAMS, MA 01247 (413) 664-4539 Fax: *Ownership Type:* Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MA06A002021	11/3/2007	Expired	144.1%	Sec 8 SR	12	12	0	12	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*02398090 CAPITAL SQUARE APTS, 31 BRADFORD ST, PITTSFIELD, MA 01201, *Metro Area (MSA):* Pittsfield, MA

Owner Info: Massachusetts Housing Investment Corp., 70 Federal Street, , Boston, MA 02110 617 850-1000 Fax: 617 850-1100 *County Name:* Berkshire

Mgmt Info: BERKSHIRE HOUSING SERVICES, INC., 74 NORTH ST, , PITTSFIELD, MA 01201 (413) 499-1830 Fax: (413) 442-7633 *Ownership Type:* Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
542(c) HFA Risk Sharing-R	7.50	$1,174,005	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MA06M000204	8/31/2008	Active	104.4%	LMSA	64	69	0	63	1	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* DALTON APTS, 41 APRIL LN, PITTSFIELD, MA 01201, *Metro Area (MSA):* Pittsfield, MA

Owner Info: Dalton Housing Associates, PO Box 1037, , Boston, MA 02205 (617) 482-4396 Fax: (617) 482-1858 *County Name:* Berkshire

Mgmt Info: Federal Management Co. Inc., 175 Federal Street, Suite 700, Boston, MA 02110 617-482-8925 Fax: 617-338-8505 *Ownership Type:* Limited Dividend

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MA06M000096	9/30/2008	Active	98.3%	LMSA	20	100	0	10	7	3	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*023EH305 EPWORTH ARMS, 350 WEST ST, PITTSFIELD, MA 01201, *Metro Area (MSA):* Pittsfield, MA

Owner Info: CHRISTIAN CENTER HOUSING CORP., 74 NORTH ST, , PITTSFIELD, MA 01201 (413) 499-1630 Fax: 4134457633 *County Name:* Berkshire

Mgmt Info: BERKSHIRE HOUSING SERVICES, INC., 74 NORTH ST, , PITTSFIELD, MA 01201 (413) 499-1630 Fax: (413) 445-7633 *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MA06T861001	10/3/2008	Active	178.6%	202/8 NC	38	39	11	27	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* FIRST ST 28-32, 28 FIRST ST, PITTSFIELD, MA 01201, *Metro Area (MSA):* Pittsfield, MA

Owner Info: WDM Properties, LLC, PO Box 307, , North Adams, MA 01247 413-664-4539 Fax: 413-664-4735 *County Name:* Berkshire

Mgmt Info: SCARAFONI ASSOCIATES, 103 MAIN ST, BOX 307, , NORTH ADAMS, MA 01247 (413) 664-4539 Fax: *Ownership Type:* Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MA06A002024	7/18/2008	Active	148.7%	Sec 8 SR	13	13	0	13	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* KENT AVENUE 21-23, 21 KENT AVE, PITTSFIELD, MA 01201, *Metro Area (MSA):* Pittsfield, MA

Owner Info: WDM Properties, LLC, PO Box 307, , North Adams, MA 01247 413-664-4539 Fax: 413-664-4735 *County Name:* Berkshire

Mgmt Info: SCARAFONI ASSOCIATES, 103 MAIN ST, BOX 307, , NORTH ADAMS, MA 01247 (413) 664-4539 Fax: *Ownership Type:* Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MA06A002009	11/2/2007	Expired	147.8%	Sec 8 SR	4	4	0	2	2	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* LINDEN STREET 35-37, 35 LINDEN ST, PITTSFIELD, MA 01201, *Metro Area (MSA):* Pittsfield, MA

Owner Info: WDM Properties, LLC, PO Box 307, , North Adams, MA 01247 413-664-4539 Fax: 413-664-4735 *County Name:* Berkshire

Mgmt Info: SCARAFONI ASSOCIATES, 103 MAIN ST, BOX 307, , NORTH ADAMS, MA 01247 (413) 664-4539 Fax: *Ownership Type:* Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MA06A002018	6/14/2008	Active	158.0%	Sec 8 SR	4	4	0	4	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** LINDEN STREET 39, 39 LINDEN ST, PITTSFIELD, MA 01201, ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Pittsfield, MA

Owner Info: WDM Properties, LLC, PO Box 307, , North Adams, MA 01247 413-664-4539 Fax: 413-664-4735 ***County Name:*** Berkshire

Mgmt Info: SCARAFONI ASSOCIATES, 103 MAIN ST, BOX 307, , NORTH ADAMS, MA 01247 (413) 664-4539 Fax: ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MA06A002006	8/17/2008	Active	131.2%	Sec 8 SR	3	3	0	0	0	3	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** LINDEN STREET 85, 85 LINDEN ST, PITTSFIELD, MA 01201, ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Pittsfield, MA

Owner Info: WDM Properties, LLC, PO Box 307, , North Adams, MA 01247 413-664-4539 Fax: 413-664-4735 ***County Name:*** Berkshire

Mgmt Info: SCARAFONI ASSOCIATES, 103 MAIN ST, BOX 307, , NORTH ADAMS, MA 01247 (413) 664-4539 Fax: ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MA06A002019	11/30/2007	Expired	153.6%	Sec 8 SR	4	4	0	4	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** MCLAUGHLIN PLACE, 1 MCLAUGHLIN PL, PITTSFIELD, MA 01201, ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Pittsfield, MA

Owner Info: WDM Properties, LLC, PO Box 307, , North Adams, MA 01247 413-664-4539 Fax: 413-664-4735 ***County Name:*** Berkshire

Mgmt Info: SCARAFONI ASSOCIATES, 103 MAIN ST, BOX 307, , NORTH ADAMS, MA 01247 (413) 664-4539 Fax: ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MA06A002013	11/3/2007	Expired	157.1%	Sec 8 SR	4	4	0	4	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** ROSTONE PLACE 3, 3 ROSTONE PL, PITTSFIELD, MA 01201, ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Pittsfield, MA

Owner Info: WDM Properties, LLC, PO Box 307, , North Adams, MA 01247 413-664-4539 Fax: 413-664-4735 ***County Name:*** Berkshire

Mgmt Info: SCARAFONI ASSOCIATES, 103 MAIN ST, BOX 307, , NORTH ADAMS, MA 01247 (413) 664-4539 Fax: ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MA06A002002	12/15/2007	Expired	141.9%	Sec 8 SR	3	3	0	0	3	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** SECOND STREET 135-137, 135 SECOND ST, PITTSFIELD, MA 01201, ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Pittsfield, MA

Owner Info: WDM Properties, LLC, PO Box 307, , North Adams, MA 01247 413-664-4539 Fax: 413-664-4735 ***County Name:*** Berkshire

Mgmt Info: SCARAFONI ASSOCIATES, 103 MAIN ST, BOX 307, , NORTH ADAMS, MA 01247 (413) 664-4539 Fax: ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MA06A002003	2/22/2008	Expired	151.7%	Sec 8 SR	4	4	0	4	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***023EH319 SOUTHAMPTON HSG FOR ELDERLY, 128 COLLEGE HWY, SOUTHAMPTON, MA 01073, ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Springfield, MA

Owner Info: SOUTHAMPTON HSNG FOR THE ELDERLY, 95 BERKELEY ST, c/o Community Builders, BOSTON, MA 02116 (617) 695-9595 Fax: ***County Name:*** Hampshire

Mgmt Info: The Community Builders, Inc., 95 Berkeley Street, Suite 500, BOSTON, MA 02116 (617) 695-9595 Fax: (617) 695-9805 ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MA06T861015	7/12/2009	Active	159.6%	202/8 NC	40	40	10	30	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** AHREND CIRCLE APARTMENTS, 12 DEPOT ST, SOUTHWICK, MA 01077, ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Springfield, MA

Owner Info: Southwick Granville Senior Citizens Housing, 12 Depot Street, , Southwick, MA 01077 Fax: ***County Name:*** Hampden

Mgmt Info: SOUTHWICK HSG. AUTHORITY, 12 DEPOT ST, , SOUTHWICK, MA 01077 (413) 569-3161 Fax: ***Ownership Type:***

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MA06R000011	4/10/2008	Active	102.8%	515/8 NC	40	40	0	30	10	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***02311142 TOWNSEND ELD RESIDENCES, 66 DUDLEY RD, TOWNSEND, MA 01469, ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Boston, MA-NH

Owner Info: TOWNSEND ELDERLY RESIDENCES, INC., 205 School Street, P.O. Box 159, Gardner, MA 01440 978-630-6600 Fax: ***County Name:*** Middlesex

Mgmt Info: RCAP Solutions, Inc., 205 School St, , Gardner, MA 01440 (978) 630-6600 Fax: (978) 630-2751 ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
207/ 223(f) Pur/ Refin Hsg.	6.00	$3,679,140	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MA06T851013	9/5/2009	Active	83.7%	202/8 NC	50	50	12	38	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** GENERAL SHEPARD, 25 THOMAS ST, WESTFIELD, MA 01085, ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Springfield, MA

Owner Info: GENERAL SHEPARD HEAD QUARTERS COMPANY, 25 THOMAS ST, , WESTFIELD, MA 01085 (413) 449-4099 Fax: ***County Name:*** Hampden

Mgmt Info: HALLKEEN MANAGEMENT, INC., 320 NORWOOD PARK SOUTH, , NORWOOD, MA 02062 (781) 762-4800 Fax: (781) 762-4841 ***Ownership Type:***

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MA06H058129	9/29/2008	Active	182.8%	HFDA/8 SR	106	106	0	94	12	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***023EH156 MAPLES I, 48 OLD NORTH RD, WORTHINGTON, MA 01098, ***Metro Area (MSA):***

Owner Info: WORTHINGTON SENIOR HOUSING, c/o The Maples, Old North Road, Worthington, MA 02098 (413) 238-5836 Fax: ***County Name:*** Hampshire

Mgmt Info: Elderly Housing Management, Inc., 2666 State St Ste 2, , Hamden, CT 06517 (203) 248-6809 Fax: (203) 230-3628 ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MA06T811041	4/3/2008	Active	174.0%	202/8 NC	12	12	0	11	1	0	0	0

Totals:

TOTALS FOR ALL OWNERSHIP TYPES:	
Total Properties:	45
Total Contracts:	46
Total Assisted Units:	2,867

Data compiled from several HUD sources and not independently verified. Does not include Section 202 and 811 properties with PAC or PRAC contract

Section 8 Contract information is from March 2008.

NATIONAL HOUSING TRUST

Special Report: Expiring Section 8 Contracts in Michigan's 9th Congressional District

This report includes properties in Rep. Joe Knollenberg's district in Michigan with contracts expiring by the end of fiscal year 2009.

PROJECT: *FHA #:* FARMINGTON PLACE, 32900 GRAND RIVER, FARMINGTON, MI 48336, *Metro Area (MSA):* Detroit, MI

Owner Info: FC Farmington Place LLC, 1170 Terminal Tower, 50 Public Square, Cleveland, OH 44113 216-416-3516 Fax: 216-263-6207 *County Name:* Oakland

Mgmt Info: Forest City Residential Mangement,Inc., Terminal Tower, 50 Public Square Ste.1200, CLEVELAND, OH 44113 (216) 416-3106 Fax: (216) 263-4803 *Ownership Type:* Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MI280010001	10/31/2008	Active	119.7%	Sec 8 NC	153	153	0	148	5	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*04444041 DETROIT BAPTIST MANOR - ALPHA, 30251 W 13 MILE RD, FARMINGTON HILLS, MI 48334, 24 *Metro Area (MSA):* Detroit, MI

Owner Info: METROPOLITAN DETROIT BAPTIST MANOR, INC, 30301 THIRTEEN MILE RD, , FARMINGTON HILLS, MI 48334 248- 626-6100 Fax: 248-539-1522 *County Name:* Oakland

Mgmt Info: DETROIT BAPTIST MANOR, 30301 THIRTEEN MILE RD, , FARMINGTON HILLS, MI 48334 248-626-6100 Fax: 248-539-1522 *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
236(j)(1)/ Lower Income Fa	8.50	$463,586	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MI28M000142	12/31/2008	Active	99.0%	LMSA	40	101	19	21	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*04444361 DETROIT BAPTIST MANOR - GAMMA, 30245 W THIRTEEN MILE RD, FARMINGTON HILLS, MI *Metro Area (MSA):* Detroit, MI

Owner Info: METROPOLITAN DETROIT BAPTIST MANOR, INC, 30301 THIRTEEN MILE RD, , FARMINGTON HILLS, MI 48334 248- 626-6100 Fax: 248-539-1522 *County Name:* Oakland

Mgmt Info: DETROIT BAPTIST MANOR, 30301 THIRTEEN MILE RD, , FARMINGTON HILLS, MI 48334 248-626-6100 Fax: 248-539-1522 *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
236(j)(1)/ Lower Income Fa	7.00	$1,230,286	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MI28M000173	12/31/2008	Active	105.8%	LMSA	30	150	18	12	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*044EH002 DETROIT BAPTIST MANOR -EPSILON, 30239 13 MILE RD, FARMINGTON HILLS, MI 48334, 248 *Metro Area (MSA):* Detroit, MI

Owner Info: METROPOLITAN DETROIT BAPTIST MANOR, INC, 30301 THIRTEEN MILE RD, , FARMINGTON HILLS, MI 48334 248- 626-6100 Fax: 248-539-1522 *County Name:* Oakland

Mgmt Info: DETROIT BAPTIST MANOR, 30301 THIRTEEN MILE RD, , FARMINGTON HILLS, MI 48334 248-626-6100 Fax: 248-539-1522 *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MI281434201	12/25/2007	Expired	113.1%	202/8 NC	100	100	0	95	5	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* LANCASTER VILLAGE COOP 1, 633 PALMER, PONTIAC, MI 48342, 248-373-8280 — *Metro Area (MSA):* Detroit, MI

Owner Info: LANCASTER VILLAGE COOPERATIVE, 633 PALMER, , PONTIAC, MI 48342 (248) 373-8280 Fax: — *County Name:* Oakland

Mgmt Info: Professional Property Services, 30300 Telegraph Rd Ste 205, , Bingham Farms, MI 48025 248-644-4650 Fax: 248-644-4695 — *Ownership Type:* Other

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MI28M000181	6/30/2008	Active	74.8%	LMSA	46	60	0	5	36	5	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* LANCASTER VILLAGE COOP 2, 633 Palmer, PONTIAC, MI 48342, — *Metro Area (MSA):* Detroit, MI

Owner Info: LANCASTER VILLAGE COOPERATIVE, 633 PALMER, , PONTIAC, MI 48342 (248) 373-8280 Fax: — *County Name:* Oakland

Mgmt Info: Professional Property Services, 30300 Telegraph Rd Ste 205, , Bingham Farms, MI 48025 248-644-4650 Fax: 248-644-4695 — *Ownership Type:* Other

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MI28M000186	6/30/2008	Active	80.1%	LMSA	72	89	0	4	57	11	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* LANCASTER VILLAGE COOP 3, 1095 HERRINGTON LN #10, PONTIAC, MI 48342, — *Metro Area (MSA):* Detroit, MI

Owner Info: LANCASTER VILLAGE COOPERATIVE, 633 PALMER, , PONTIAC, MI 48342 (248) 373-8280 Fax: — *County Name:* Oakland

Mgmt Info: Professional Property Services, 30300 Telegraph Rd Ste 205, , Bingham Farms, MI 48025 248-644-4650 Fax: 248-644-4695 — *Ownership Type:* Other

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MI28M000182	6/30/2008	Active	79.8%	LMSA	52	60	0	7	37	8	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* LANCASTER VILLAGE COOP 4, 633 PALMER, PONTIAC, MI 48342, — *Metro Area (MSA):* Detroit, MI

Owner Info: LANCASTER VILLAGE COOPERATIVE, 633 PALMER, , PONTIAC, MI 48342 (248) 373-8280 Fax: — *County Name:* Oakland

Mgmt Info: Professional Property Services, 30300 Telegraph Rd Ste 205, , Bingham Farms, MI 48025 248-644-4650 Fax: 248-644-4695 — *Ownership Type:* Other

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MI28M000183	6/30/2008	Active	80.0%	LMSA	67	78	0	5	52	10	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* 04435036 NORTH HILLS FARMS 1, 26 LANTERN LN, PONTIAC, MI 48340, 248-334-7500 — *Metro Area (MSA):* Detroit, MI

Owner Info: NORTH HILL FARMS LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, 950 Corporate Office Dr Ste 100, , Milford, MI 48381 (810) 229-2100 Fax: — *County Name:* Oakland

Mgmt Info: First Realty, 1050 Corporate Office Drive, Suite 150, Milford, MI 48381 (248) 529-2000 Fax: (248) 529-2051 — *Ownership Type:* Limited Dividend

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MI28M000127	8/31/2008	Active	66.8%	LMSA	200	200	60	100	40	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*04435048 NORTH HILLS FARMS 2, 141 CHERRY HILL, PONTIAC, MI 48340, 248-334-7500 *Metro Area (MSA):* Detroit, MI

Owner Info: NORTH HILL FARMS LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, 950 Corporate Office Dr Ste 100, , Milford, MI 48381 (810) 229-2190 Fax: *County Name:* Oakland

Mgmt Info: First Realty, 1050 Corporate Office Drive, Suite 150, Milford, MI 48381 (248) 529-2000 Fax: (248) 529-2051 *Ownership Type:* Limited Dividend

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
221(d)(3) Mkt. Rate Modera	6.75	$447,656	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MI28M000128	8/31/2008	Active	68.5%	LMSA	325	325	96	164	65	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*04435580 PONTIAC TOWNHOUSE COOPERATIVE, 174 E PIKE ST, PONTIAC, MI 48058, 248 335-7148 *Metro Area (MSA):* Detroit, MI

Owner Info: PONTIAC TOWNHOUSE APARTMENTS COOPERATIVE, 174 E PIKE ST. , PONTIAC, MI 48058 (248) 335-3486 Fax: (248) 335-9668 *County Name:* Oakland

Mgmt Info: HUNTINGTON MANAGEMENT COMPANY, 28388 FRANKLIN RD, , SOUTHFIELD, MI 48034 (248) 354-4474 Fax: *Ownership Type:* Other

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
221(d)(3) Mkt. Rate Modera	6.75	$1,777,202	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MI28L000044	9/30/2009	Active	84.4%	LMSA	40	65	0	5	18	12	5	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*04494070 SPRING LAKE VILLAGE, 252 CARRIAGE CIRCLE, PONTIAC, MI 48342, *Metro Area (MSA):* Detroit, MI

Owner Info: Auburn Hills Townhouses Limited Partnership, 950 Corporate Office Drive, Suite 100, Milford, MI 48381 (248)529-200 Fax: (248)529-2051 *County Name:* Oakland

Mgmt Info: First Realty, 1050 Corporate Office Drive, Suite 150, Milford, MI 48381 (248) 529-2000 Fax: (248) 529-2051 *Ownership Type:* Limited Dividend

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/207/223(f)/244 Re	5.43	$5,874,162	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MI28L000236	9/30/2009	Active	98.4%	LMSA	213	248	0	57	133	23	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* WALTON PARK MANOR COOPERATIVE 1 & 2, 20 KAREN CT, PONTIAC, MI 48340, (248) 338-2 *Metro Area (MSA):* Detroit, MI

Owner Info: WALTON PARK COOPERATIVE, 26 LEANNE LANE, , PONTIAC, MI 48340 (248) 338-2000 Fax: *County Name:* Oakland

Mgmt Info: HUNTINGTON MANAGEMENT COMPANY, 28388 FRANKLIN RD, , SOUTHFIELD, MI 48034 (248) 354-4474 Fax: *Ownership Type:* Other

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MI28L000079	9/30/2008	Active	80.4%	LMSA	100	179	0	15	74	11	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*04435462 AVON TOWERS, 435 S LIVERNOIS RD, ROCHESTER, MI 48307, *Metro Area (MSA):* Detroit, MI

Owner Info: AVON TOWER, A MICHIGAN LP, 32605 W 12 MILE RD, Ste 350, FARMINGTON HILLS, MI 48334 (248) 488-8400 Fax: *County Name:* Oakland

Mgmt Info: THE FOURMIDABLE GROUP, 32605 W 12 Mile Rd Ste 350, , Farmington Hills, MI 48334 (248)-488-8400 Fax: (248)-488-5533 *Ownership Type:* Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
221(d)(4) Mkt. Rate Mod Inc	7.50	$2,458,483	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MI280006005	4/30/2008	Active	126.1%	Sec 8 NC	125	125	0	116	9	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* NORTHRIDGE APARTMENTS II, 1204 Sherwood Court, ROCHESTER HILLS, MI 48307, 248-651- *Metro Area (MSA):* Detroit, MI
Owner Info: Singh of Northridge Limited Partnership, 7125 Orchard Lake Road, Suite #200, West Bloomfield, MI 48325 248 865-1608 Fax: 248 865-1633 *County Name:* Oakland
Mgmt Info: SINGH MANAGEMENT COMPANY, 7125 ORCHARD LAKE ROAD, SUITE 200, WEST BLOOMFIELD, MI 48322 248-865-1608 Fax: *Ownership Type:* Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MI280014001	7/24/2008	Active	140.1%	Sec 8 NC	28	140	0	1	27	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*04411128 BARTON TOWERS 2, 333 N TROY AVE, ROYAL OAK, MI 48067, 248-546-0356 *Metro Area (MSA):* Detroit, MI
Owner Info: OAK NON-PROFIT APTS., INC., 8425 E. Twelve Mile Road, , Warren, MI 48093 586-753-9002 Fax: 586-753-9022 *County Name:* Oakland
Mgmt Info: Cooperative Services, Incorporated, 8425 E. Twelve Mile Road, , Warren, MI 48093 (586) 753-9002 Fax: (586) 753-9022 *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
207/ 223(f) Pur/ Refin Hsg.	6.00	$9,586,690	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MI28T781005	6/16/2008	Active	105.4%	202/8 NC	210	211	0	210	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*04444092 BETHANY VILLA APARTMENTS II, 1680 JACKSON DR, TROY, MI 48083, *Metro Area (MSA):* Detroit, MI
Owner Info: BETHANY VILLA HOUSING ASSOCIATION, INC., 5230 CREEK DRIVE, , STERLING HGTS., MI 48310 (313) 488-[illegible]400 Fax: *County Name:* Oakland
Mgmt Info: THE FOURMIDABLE GROUP, 32605 W 12 Mile Rd Ste 350, , Farmington Hills, MI 48334 (248)-488-8400 Fax: (248)-488-5533 *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
236(j)(1)/ Lower Income Fa	7.00	$536,550	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MI28L000211	9/30/2008	Active	89.6%	LMSA	71	119	5	42	24	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* OAKLAND PARK TOWERS II, 930 JOHN R RD, TROY, MI 48084, *Metro Area (MSA):* Detroit, MI
Owner Info: Oakland Park Towers II, LLC, GP, 32605 W 12 Mile Rd, , Farmington Hills, MI 48334 248-488-8400 Fax: *County Name:* Oakland
Mgmt Info: THE FOURMIDABLE GROUP, 32605 W 12 Mile Rd Ste 350, , Farmington Hills, MI 48334 (248)-488-8400 Fax: (248)-488-5533 *Ownership Type:* Limited Dividend

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MI280009022	3/9/2008	Active	130.5%	Sec 8 NC	297	300	0	267	30	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*04411089 WATERFORD MEADOWS, 834 W. ALPHA PARKWAY, WATERFORD, MI 48328, 248-683-2224 *Metro Area (MSA):* Detroit, MI
Owner Info: WATERFORD Tax Credit Partners Limited Partnership, 22005 W. Outer Drive, , Dearborn, MI 48124 248-668-6922 Fax: *County Name:* Oakland
Mgmt Info: W. H. INVESTMENTS, INC., 12745 S. Saginaw, Suite 806-PMB 390, Grand Blanc, MI 48439 (810) 603-1954 Fax: (810) 603-1967 *Ownership Type:* Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
207/ 223(f) Pur/ Refin Hsg.	5.40	$8,224,337	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MI28H150088	3/12/2009	Active	111.7%	HFDA/8 NC	200	200	0	75	95	30	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*04411099 WHISPERING WOODS APTS, 1450 WHISPERING WOODS DR, WATERFORD, MI 48327, *Metro Area (MSA):* Detroit, MI

Owner Info: WHISPERING WOODS LDHA, 30215 Southfield Road, Suite 200, Southfield, MI 48076 248-646-0202 Fax: 248-646-0482 *County Name:* Oakland

Mgmt Info: AMURCON CORPORATION, 30215 SOUTHFIELD RD, Suite 200, SOUTHFIELD, MI 48076 (248) 646-0202 Fax: (248) 646-0482 *Ownership Type:* Limited Dividend

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
207/ 223(f) Pur/ Refin Hsg.	4.90	$7,216,477	Yes	Rent Rdct	ONTRA, Inc.	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MI280013045	3/21/2008	Active	108.0%	Sec 8 NC	192	193	0	115	65	12	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* LAKELAND PLACE, 2700 ELIZABETH LAKE RD, WATERFORD TOWNSHIP, MI 48328, *Metro Area (MSA):* Detroit, MI

Owner Info: LAKELAND PLACE ASSOCIATES LDHA, 1200 TERMINAL TOWERS, 50 PUBLIC SQUARE SUITE 1200, CLEVELAND, OH 44113 (216)-621-6060 Fax: *County Name:* Oakland

Mgmt Info: Forest City Residential Mangement,Inc., Terminal Tower, 50 Public Square Ste.1200, CLEVELAND, OH 44113 (216) 416-3106 Fax: (216) 263-4803 *Ownership Type:*

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
MI28H150030	3/27/2008	Active	97.2%	HFDA/8 NC	200	200	0	182	18	0	0	0

Totals:

TOTALS FOR ALL OWNERSHIP TYPES:

Total Properties:	21
Total Contracts:	21
Total Assisted Units:	2,761

Data compiled from several HUD sources and not independently verified. Does not include Section 202 and 811 properties with PAC or PRAC contract

Section 8 Contract information is from March 2008.

NATIONAL HOUSING TRUST

Special Report: Expiring Section 8 Contracts in Alabama's 4th Congressional District

This report includes properties in Rep. Robert Aderholt's district in Alabama with contracts expiring by the end of fiscal year 2009.

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***06235546 BROOKWOOD PARK, 912 W MAIN ST, ALBERTVILLE, AL 35950, 256-891-3742 ***Metro Area (MSA):***

Owner Info: BROOKWOOD PARK, LTD., P. O. Box 18308, , HUNTSVILLE, AL 35804 (256) 533-1727 Fax: (256)533-6882 ***County Name:*** Marshall

Mgmt Info: DALCOR MANAGEMENT, INC., P.O. BOX 18308, , HUNTSVILLE, AL 35801 (256) 533-1727 Fax: (256) 533-6882 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	7.50	$220,012	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	First Housing Development Corporation	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AL090021025	2/28/2009	Active	101.2%	Sec 8 NC	35	35	0	0	20	15	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***06244053 WILLOW CREEK APTS, 1000-1 BALTIMORE AVE, ALBERTVILLE, AL 35950, 256-878-7877 ***Metro Area (MSA):***

Owner Info: WILLOW CREEK ASSOCIATES LTD., 5755 Dupree Drive N. W., Suite 110, Atlanta, GA 30327 (770) 937-0377 Fax: (770) 953-8070 ***County Name:*** Marshall

Mgmt Info: HSI Management, Inc., 5505 Interstate No Parkway, NW, , Atlanta, GA 30328 (770)952-2233 Fax: (770)956-9057 ***Ownership Type:*** Limited Dividend

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
236(j)(1)/ Lower Income Fa	7.00	$638,095	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AL09L000002	5/31/2008	Active	112.5%	LMSA	85	108	0	0	75	10	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***06235541 AMBERWOOD APARTMENTS, 570 MAIN ST S, ARAB, AL 35016, (256) 586- 4518 ***Metro Area (MSA):***

Owner Info: Amberwood Apartments Company, Ltd., 1103 Richard Arrington, Jr., Blvd., South, , Birmingham, AL 35205 (205) 933-1020 Fax: (205) 930-4508 ***County Name:*** Marshall

Mgmt Info: SPM, Inc., 1103 Richard Arrington, Jr. Blvd., South, , Birmingham, AL 35205 (205) 933-1020 Fax: (205) 930-4508 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	7.50	$529,995	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	Jefferson County Assisted Housing Corp.	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AL090021116	2/28/2009	Active	113.3%	Sec 8 NC	70	70	0	8	44	14	4	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** MANOR HOUSE, 200 SOUTH CHURCH STREET, BOAZ, AL 35957, 256-593-5257 ***Metro Area (MSA):***

Owner Info: ELDERLY HOUSING CORPORATION OF BOAZ, P.O. Box 723, , BOAZ, AL 35957 (256) 593-5824 Fax: ***County Name:*** Marshall

Mgmt Info: THE H.A. OF THE CITY OF BOAZ, ALABAMA, P. O. Box 723, , Boaz, AL 35957 (256) 593-5824 Fax: ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AL090012010	6/28/2009	Active	128.5%	Sec 8 NC	125	125	0	100	25	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***06235637 MEADOWOOD APTS, 200-4 DUNDEE RD, BOAZ, AL 35957, 256-593-8006 ***Metro Area (MSA):***

Owner Info: MEADOWOOD LTD., P. O. BOX 18308, , HUNTSVILLE, AL 35804 (256) 533-1727 Fax: (256) 533-6882 ***County Name:*** Marshall

Mgmt Info: DALCOR MANAGEMENT, INC., P.O. BOX 18308, , HUNTSVILLE, AL 35801 (256) 533-1727 Fax: (256) 533-6882 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	6.25	$358,369	Yes	Mtg Rstr	First Housing Development Corporation	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AL090022015	5/31/2008	Active	125.3%	Sec 8 NC	36	36	0	0	24	8	4	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** CORDOVA PARK, 570 BEVILL DR, CORDOVA, AL 35550, (205) 483-7724 ***Metro Area (MSA):***

Owner Info: CORDOVA PARK PROPERTIES, 2204 Lakeshore Dr., Ste 304, , BIRMINGHAM, AL 35209 (205) 803-3000 Fax: (205) 803-3030 ***County Name:*** Walker

Mgmt Info: LEITMAN-PERLMAN, INC., 2204 LAKESHORE DRIVE,, SUITE 304, BIRMINGHAM, AL 35209 (205) 254-3000 Fax: ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AL090001015	10/13/2008	Active	142.8%	Sec 8 NC	80	80	0	65	15	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** WARRIOR RIVER APARTMENTS Phase I, 100 WARRIOR RIVER LN, CORDOVA, AL 35550, (205 ***Metro Area (MSA):***

Owner Info: WARRIOR RIVER ASSOCIATES, INC., 2204 Lakeshore Dr., Ste 304, , BIRMINGHAM, AL 35209 (205) 803-3000 Fax: (205) 803-3030 ***County Name:*** Walker

Mgmt Info: LEITMAN-PERLMAN, INC., 2204 LAKESHORE DRIVE,, SUITE 304, BIRMINGHAM, AL 35209 (205) 254-3000 Fax: ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AL09R000017	5/14/2008	Active	130.3%	515/8 NC	32	32	0	12	16	4	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** CULLMAN MANOR, 422 Oak Manor Dr SW, Cullman, AL 35055, (256)739-4140 ***Metro Area (MSA):***

Owner Info: Cullman Investors, LTD., 2930 Sidco Drive, , Nashville, TN 37204 (615)244-6487 Fax: (615)244-0381 ***County Name:*** Cullman

Mgmt Info: TAMCO, Inc., 2930 SIDCO DR, , NASHVILLE, TN 37204 (615) 244-6487 Fax: (615) 244-0381 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AL090016005	11/5/2008	Active	122.4%	Sec 8 NC	50	50	0	50	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***062EH128 JOHNSTON PLACE, 416 16TH ST NE, FAYETTE, AL 35555, (205)932-8642 ***Metro Area (MSA):***

Owner Info: NW ALA HOUSING AUTH FOR THE MENTALLY RETARDED, 1428 S TEMPLE AVE, , FAYETTE, AL 35555 (205) 932-8642 Fax: ***County Name:*** Fayette

Mgmt Info: ARC-FAYETTE/LAMAR COUNTIES, INC., 1428 S TEMPLE AVE, , FAYETTE, AL 35555 (205) 932-8642 Fax: ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AL09T841017	3/12/2008	Active	239.8%	202/8 NC	9	10	0	9	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***06235530 TERRACE PARK APARTMENTS, 744 6TH ST SW, FAYETTE, AL 35555, (205)932-6906 ***Metro Area (MSA):***

Owner Info: TERRACE PARK ASSOCIATES, LTD., 2117 Second Avenue North, P. O. Box 12767, BIRMINGHAM, AL 35202 (205) 328-9600 Fax: (205) 328-0020 ***County Name:*** Fayette

Mgmt Info: Arlington Properties, Inc., 2117 Second Avenue North, p.o. box 12767, Birmingham, AL 35203 (205) 328-9600 Fax: (205) 328-0020 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	8.50	$351,118	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	Jefferson County Assisted Housing Corp.	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AL090016007	9/30/2008	Active	122.7%	Sec 8 NC	50	50	0	20	14	16	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***06235552 DEERFIELD APARTMENTS, 4001 Williams Ave N. E., FORT PAYNE, AL 35967, (256)845-5450 ***Metro Area (MSA):***

Owner Info: Deerfield, Ltd., 1103 Richard Arrington Jr., Blvd. South, , Birmingham, AL 35205 (205) 933-1020 Fax: (205) 930-4508 ***County Name:*** De Kalb

Mgmt Info: SPM, Inc., 1103 Richard Arrington, Jr. Blvd., South, , Birmingham, AL 35205 (205) 933-1020 Fax: (205) 930-4508 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	7.50	$305,370	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	Jefferson County Assisted Housing Corp.	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AL090021060	5/31/2008	Active	136.7%	Sec 8 NC	64	64	0	36	28	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***06235535 COLLEGE MANOR APARTMENTS, 1408 PIEDMONT Cutoff, GADSDEN, AL 35903, 256-442-198 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Gadsden, AL

Owner Info: COLLEGE MANOR ASSOCIATES, LTD., P. O. Box 12767, , BIRMINGHAM, AL 35202 (205) 328-9600 Fax: (205) 328-0020 ***County Name:*** Etowah

Mgmt Info: Arlington Properties, Inc., 2117 Second Avenue North, p.o. box 12767, Birmingham, AL 35203 (205) 328-9600 Fax: (205) 328-0020 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	8.25	$592,078	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	Jefferson County Assisted Housing Corp.	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AL090018010	10/31/2008	Active	107.1%	Sec 8 NC	60	60	0	0	34	24	2	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***06244025 COOSA BEND APTS, 417 N 4th St, Gadsden, AL 35901, (256)442-1985 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Gadsden, AL

Owner Info: COOSA BEND ASSOC., LTD., P.O. BOX 12767, , BIRMINGHAM, AL 35202 (205) 328-9600 Fax: ***County Name:*** Etowah

Mgmt Info: Arlington Properties, Inc., 2117 Second Avenue North, p.o. box 12767, Birmingham, AL 35203 (205) 328-9600 Fax: (205) 328-0020 ***Ownership Type:*** Limited Dividend

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
236(j)(1)/ Lower Income Fa	7.00	$276,048	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AL09M000027	3/8/2008	Active	89.1%	LMSA	50	50	0	10	25	15	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** DAUGETTE TOWERS, 700 FORREST AVE, GADSDEN, AL 35901, (205)547-0507 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Gadsden, AL

Owner Info: GADSDEN TOWERS, LTD., 55 Beattie Place, P.O. Box 1089, Greenville, SC 29601 864-239-1000 Fax: 864-239-5804 ***County Name:*** Etowah

Mgmt Info: OP Property Management, LP, PO Box 1089, , Greenville, SC 29602 (864) 239-1428 Fax: (864) 239-5802 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AL090015004	3/4/2009	Active	148.6%	Sec 8 SR	100	100	0	85	15	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***062EH016 EPISCOPAL KYLE HOME, 925 CHESTNUT ST, GADSDEN, AL 35901, (256)54[illegible]-8280 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Gadsden, AL

Owner Info: Episcapal Kyle Home, Inc., 929 Chestnut Street, , GADSDEN, AL 35901 (256)547-5545 Fax: (256)547-0041 ***County Name:*** Etowah

Mgmt Info: Episcapal Kyle Home, Inc., 929 Chestnut Street, , GADSDEN, AL 35901 (256)547-5545 Fax: (256)547-0041 ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AL09T781009	12/29/2008	Active	379.3%	202/8 NC	9	10	9	0	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** PRINCETON PARK APTS., 301 PRINCETON AVE, GADSDEN, AL 35901, 256-543-7061 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Gadsden, AL

Owner Info: Glenn G. Dukes, 7003 Chadwick, Suite 264, , Brentwood, TN 37027 6153771072 Fax: 6153771113 ***County Name:*** Etowah

Mgmt Info: TAMCO, Inc., 2930 SIDCO DR, , NASHVILLE, TN 37204 (615) 244-6487 Fax: (615) 244-0381 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AL090007001	1/12/2009	Active	120.7%	Sec 8 NC	100	100	0	80	20	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***06235252 RIVER HILL ESTATES, 720 EWING AVE, GADSDEN, AL 35901, 256-547-2912. ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Gadsden, AL

Owner Info: Riverhill, Ltd., 2930 Sidco Drive, , Nashville, TN 37204 (615)244-6487 Fax: ***County Name:*** Etowah

Mgmt Info: TAMCO Property Management, LLC, 2930 Sidco Drive, , Nashville, TN 37204 615-244-6487 Fax: 615-244-0381 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			Yes	Mrtg Rstr	Jefferson County Assisted Housing Corp.	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AL090018039	12/31/2008	Active	97.3%	Sec 8 NC	60	60	0	0	24	24	12	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***062EH091 ASHWOOD Apartments, 166 1ST ST SE, HAMILTON, AL 35570, 205-921-3067 ***Metro Area (MSA):***

Owner Info: CHRISTIAN TOWERS INC., OF ALABAMA, P. O. Box 450049, , ATLANTA, GA 31145 (770)496-0598 Fax: (770)496 0559 ***County Name:*** Marion

Mgmt Info: ManSerMar, Inc., 2405 Satellite Boulevard, Suite 100, Duluth, GA 30096 (678) 330-2000 Fax: (678) 330-2121 ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AL09T831005	8/28/2008	Active	229.4%	202/8 NC	47	47	0	47	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***062EH023 JASPER GROUP HOME, 9670 SMITH LAKE DAM RD, JASPER, AL 35504, (20[illegible]) 221-4960 ***Metro Area (MSA):***

Owner Info: INDUSTRIAL MISSIONS HOUSING AUTHORITY, 1100 7TH AVE, , JASPER, AL 35501 (205) 387-0541 Fax: ***County Name:*** Walker

Mgmt Info: Northwest Alabama Mental Health Center, 1100 7TH AVE, , JASPER, AL 35501 (205) 387-0541 Fax: ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AL09T801011	4/18/2008	Active	212.4%	202/8 NC	7	8	0	7	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** DENNIS BYNUM, 619 - A Woodmeadow Street, ONEONTA, AL 35121, (205)625-4767 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Birmingham, AL

Owner Info: SOUTHERN DEVELOPMENT COMPANY OF ONEONTA, LTD., P. O. Box 407, , MONTGOMERY, AL 36101 (334) 264-3366 Fax: (334)2643332 ***County Name:*** Blount

Mgmt Info: SOUTHERN DEVELOPMENT COMPANY, 900 South Perry Street, Suite A, Montgomery, AL 36104 334-264-3366 Fax: ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ Br
AL098023001	9/30/2008	Active	101.0%	Sec 8 NC	43	43	0	36	7	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***06244038 COLUMBIA SQUARE TOWNE HOUSES, 316 CHEWALCA DRIVE, RAINBOW CITY, AL 35906, 25 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Gadsden, AL

Owner Info: COLUMBIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, HSI Management, Inc, 5505 Interstate North Parkway NW, ATLANTA, GA 30328 (770) 952-2233 Fax: (770) 956-90 ***County Name:*** Etowah

Mgmt Info: HSI Management, Inc., 5505 Interstate No Parkway, NW, , Atlanta, GA 30328 (770)952-2233 Fax: (770)956-9057 ***Ownership Type:*** Limited Dividend

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
236(j)(1)/ Lower Income Fa	7.00	$657,356	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ Br
AL09L000005	9/30/2008	Active	127.5%	LMSA	80	100	0	10	43	27	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***06235555 RAINBOW APARTMENTS, 120 Doris St, Rainbow City, AL 35906, 256-442-1985 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Gadsden, AL

Owner Info: Rainbow Associates, Limited, P. O. Box 12767, 2117 Second Ave North, Birmingham, AL 35202 (205) 328-9600 Fax: (205) 328-0020 ***County Name:*** Etowah

Mgmt Info: Arlington Properties, Inc., 2117 Second Avenue North, p.o. box 12767, Birmingham, AL 35203 (205) 328-9600 Fax: (205) 328-0020 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	6.75	$504,124	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	Jefferson County Assisted Housing Corp.	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ Br
AL090021050	9/30/2008	Active	105.2%	Sec 8 NC	52	52	0	0	28	20	4	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***06235568 REGENCY PLAZA APARTMENTS, 100 Regency Plaza, RUSSELLVILLE, AL 35654, 256-332-7633 ***Metro Area (MSA):***

Owner Info: REGENCY PLAZA, LTD., 1545 Helton Drive, P.O. Box 1510, FLORENCE, AL 35631 (205) 767-7620 Fax: (205)766-9755 ***County Name:*** Franklin

Mgmt Info: IRBY MANAGEMENT COMPANY, 1545 Helton Drive, P.O. Box 1510, FLORENCE, AL 35631 (256) 767-7620 Fax: (256)766-9755 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	5.35	$1,315,967	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ Br
AL09L000055	12/31/2008	Active	108.5%	LMSA	16	64	0	0	12	4	0	0

Totals:

TOTALS FOR ALL OWNERSHIP TYPES:	
Total Properties:	23
Total Contracts:	23
Total Assisted Units:	1,260

Data compiled from several HUD sources and not independently verified. Does not include Section 202 and 811 properties with PAC or PRAC contract

Section 8 Contract information is from March 2008.

NATIONAL HOUSING TRUST

Special Report: Expiring Section 8 Contracts in Arkansas's 1st Congressional District

This report includes properties in Rep. Marion Berry's district in Arkansas with contracts expiring by the end of fiscal year 2009.

PROJECT: *FHA #:* Daffin Manor, 355 S 14TH ST, BATESVILLE, AR 72501, *Metro Area (MSA):*

Owner Info: Housing Authority of the City of Batesville, 1590 College, , Batesville, AR 72501 870-793-9104 Fax: 870-698-2102 *County Name:* Independence

Mgmt Info: Housing Authority of the City of Batesville, 1590 College, , Batesville, AR 72501 870-793-9104 Fax: 870-698-2102 *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ B
AR37R000014	4/30/2008	Active	143.7%	515/8 NC	50	50	0	44	6	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*082EH125 Lighthouse Group Home, 2145 N HEIGHTS AVE, BATESVILLE, AR 72501, 870-793-8962 *Metro Area (MSA):*

Owner Info: Independence County Housing, Inc., P.O. Box 2578, , Batesville, AR 72503 870- 793-8900 Fax: *County Name:* Independence

Mgmt Info: Health Resources of Arkansas, Inc., 25 Gap Road, PO Box 2578, BATESVILLE, AR 72503 870-793-8922 Fax: 870-793-4258 *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ B
AR37T841021	5/1/2008	Active	140.8%	202/8 NC	10	11	0	10	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*082EH110 North Arkansas Special Housing, 1731 N STATE ST, BATESVILLE, AR 72501, *Metro Area (MSA):*

Owner Info: North Arkansas Special Housing, Inc., P.O. Box 356, , Ash Flat, AR 72513 870-612-5900 Fax: 870-698-2193 *County Name:* Independence

Mgmt Info: NETWORK OF COMMUNITY OPTIONS, INC., P. O. Box 356, , ASH FLAT, AR 72513 (870) 612-5900 Fax: (870) 698-2193 *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ B
AR37T841006	9/17/2008	Active	135.5%	202/8 NC	16	18	0	16	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*08244045 Robindale East Apartments, 389-C N HOLLYWOOD, BLYTHEVILLE, AR 72315, 870-763-9258 *Metro Area (MSA):*

Owner Info: Hollywood Properties, Ltd, 3501 Bays Oaks Drive, P.O. Box 959, North Little Rock, AR 72115 501-758-2405 Fax: 501-758-1903 *County Name:* Mississippi

Mgmt Info: ASSOCIATED MANAGEMENT, LTD., 3501 BAY OAKS DRIVE, P.O. BOX 959, NORTH LITTLE ROCK, AR 72115 (501) 758-2405 Fax: 501-758-1903 *Ownership Type:* Limited Dividend

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
236(j)(1)/ Lower Income Fa	7.00	$463,745	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ B
AR37M000008	8/31/2008	Active	88.7%	LMSA	8	88	0	4	3	1	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*08235235 Plainview Terrace Apartments, 301 EAST COLLEGE STREET, BONO, AR 7241[illegible] *Metro Area (MSA):* Jonesboro, AR

Owner Info: Plainview Terrace Apartments, Ltd., 301 East College Street, , Bono, AR 72416 870-972-6042 Fax: *County Name:* Craighead

Mgmt Info: Plainview Terrace Apartments, Ltd., 301 East College Street, , Bono, AR 72416 870-972-6042 Fax: *Ownership Type:* Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
221(d)(4) Mkt. Rate Mod Inc	7.50	$891,126	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AR370020028	11/30/2008	Active	96.4%	Sec 8 NC	46	46	0	12	18	14	2	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*082EH173 Christopher Homes of Brinkley, 900 West Sixth Street, BRINKLEY, AR 72021, 870-734-2201 *Metro Area (MSA):*

Owner Info: Christopher Homes of Brinkley, Inc., 2417 North Tyler, P.O. Box 7565, Little Rock, AR 72217 (501) 664-1881 Fax: *County Name:* Monroe

Mgmt Info: CHRISTOPHER HOMES OF ARKANSAS, INC., 2417 N Tyler, P.O. Box 7565, LITTLE ROCK, AR 72217 (501) 664-1881 Fax: (501) 664-1631 *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AR37T851027	2/4/2009	Active	171.2%	202/8 NC	20	20	5	15	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*082EH213 Cabot Housing, 100 RODNEY GUTHRIE DR, CABOT, AR 72023, 501-843-3976 *Metro Area (MSA):* Little Rock-North Little Rock, AR

Owner Info: Cabot Housing Inc., 100 Rodney Guthrie Drive, , Cabot, AR 72023 5016762781 Fax: *County Name:* Lonoke

Mgmt Info: Lonoke County Council on Aging, Inc., #1 Newberry Drive, , Lonoke, AR 72086 501-676-2781 Fax: *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AR37T861026	5/17/2008	Active	98.6%	202/8 NC	20	20	6	14	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*082EH235 Gordon Tubbs Residential Facility, 412 CLEVELAND ST, CABOT, AR 72023, 501-843-6611 *Metro Area (MSA):* Little Rock-North Little Rock, AR

Owner Info: GORDON TUBBS RESIDENTIAL FACILITY, 2411 West Main Street, , JACKSONVILLE, AR 72076 (501) 982-0528 Fax: (501) 982-1462 *County Name:* Lonoke

Mgmt Info: PATHFINDER SCHOOLS, INC., 2411 West Main Street, P. O. Box 647, JACKSONVILLE, AR 72076 (501) 982-0528 Fax: (501) 985-1462 *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AR37T871013	8/1/2009	Active	108.1%	202/8 NC	10	10	10	0	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*082EH227 Christopher Homes of Clarendon, 400 Meadow Lane, CLARENDON, AR 72029, 870-747-5441 *Metro Area (MSA):*

Owner Info: Christopher Homes of Clarendon, Inc., 2417 North Tyler, P.O. Box 7565, Little Rock, AR 72217 (501) 664-1881 Fax: *County Name:* Monroe

Mgmt Info: CHRISTOPHER HOMES OF ARKANSAS, INC., 2417 N Tyler, P.O. Box 7565, LITTLE ROCK, AR 72217 (501) 664-1881 Fax: (501) 664-1631 *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AR37T871005	8/15/2009	Active	161.8%	202/8 NC	20	21	5	15	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***082EH148 BRAD of Corning, 1600 SUCCESS ST, CORNING, AR 72422, ***Metro Area (MSA):***

Owner Info: BRAD Corporation for Clay County, 1403 Hospital Drive, , Pocahontas, AR 72455 870-892-4547 Fax: 870-892-0707 ***County Name:*** Clay

Mgmt Info: Black River Area Development Corporation, 1403 HOSPITAL DR, , POCAHONTAS, AR 72455 (870) 892-4547 Fax: (870) 892-0707 ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AR37T851002	11/20/2008	Active	203.0%	202/8 NC	20	20	5	15	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** Walnut Lane Apartments, 419 Walnut Hill Lane, COTTER, AR 72626, 870-435-28[illegible] ***Metro Area (MSA):***

Owner Info: Bayless Embach Partnership, 351 E 4th St Ste 1, , Mountain Home, AR 72653 870-425-2663 Fax: 870-424-4746 ***County Name:*** Baxter

Mgmt Info: PROFESSIONAL PROPERTY MANAGEMENT, 351 East 4th Street, Ste #1, , MOUNTAIN HOME, AR 72653 (870) 4[illegible]5-6076 Fax: (870) 424-8488 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AR37R000028	5/31/2008	Active	137.1%	515/8 NC	24	24	0	20	4	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** Cotton Plant Housing Authority, 1 Conley Court, COTTON PLANT, AR 72036, 870 459-2531 ***Metro Area (MSA):***

Owner Info: Housing Authority of the City of Cotton Plant, PO Box 599, , Cotton Plant, AR 72036 (870) 459-2531 Fax: ***County Name:*** Woodruff

Mgmt Info: Housing Authority of the City of Cotton Plant, PO Box 599, , Cotton Plant, AR 72036 (870) 459-2531 Fax: ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AR37R000027	4/4/2008	Active	119.2%	515/8 NC	22	22	0	10	6	4	2	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***08235364 White River Apartments, 2900 MARIAN DR, DIAZ, AR 72043, ***Metro Area (MSA):***

Owner Info: White River Apartments Limited Partnership, 322 West Monroe Street, , Jonesboro, AR 72401 870- 933-7076 Fax: 87[illegible]-933-0001 ***County Name:*** Jackson

Mgmt Info: SOUTHERN MANAGEMENT CORPORATION, 322 W MONROE ST, , JONESBORO, AR 72401 (870) 933-7076 Fax: (870)932-0856 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	6.00	$266,342	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	Foley and Judell, LLP	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AR370019022	2/28/2009	Active	104.8%	Sec 8 NC	64	64	0	16	24	16	8	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***082EH206 Christopher Homes of Elaine, 500 North Pecan Street, ELAINE, AR 72333, 870-82[illegible]-3705 ***Metro Area (MSA):***

Owner Info: Christopher Homes of Elaine, Inc., 2417 North Tyler, P.O. Box 7565, Little Rock, AR 72217 (501) 664-1881 Fax: ***County Name:*** Phillips

Mgmt Info: CHRISTOPHER HOMES OF ARKANSAS, INC., 2417 N Tyler, P.O. Box 7565, LITTLE ROCK, AR 72217 (501) 664-1831 Fax: (501) 664-1631 ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AR37T861019	6/1/2008	Active	165.0%	202/8 NC	20	20	5	15	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*082EH132 Christopher Homes of Forrest City, 1 CHRISTOPHER PL, FORREST CITY, AR 72335, 501-633-48 *Metro Area (MSA):*

Owner Info: Christopher Homes of Forrest City, Inc., 2417 North Tyler, P.O. Box 7565, Little Rock, AR 72217 501-664-1881 Fax: *County Name:* St. Francis

Mgmt Info: CHRISTOPHER HOMES OF ARKANSAS, INC., 2417 N Tyler, P.O. Box 7565, LITTLE ROCK, AR 72217 (501) 664-881 Fax: (501) 664-1631 *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AR37T841028	7/10/2008	Active	174.7%	202/8 NC	20	20	6	14	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*08235329 Indian Hills Apartments, 1731 INDIAN HILLS RD, FORREST CITY, AR 72335, 870-633-1373 *Metro Area (MSA):*

Owner Info: Forrest City Associates Limited Partnership, 2171 Judicial Drive, Suite 200, Germantown, TN 38138 901-759-1855 Fax: *County Name:* St. Francis

Mgmt Info: TESCO Properties, Inc., 2171 Judicial Drive, Suite 200, P.O. Box 381677, Germantown, TN 38183 (901) 759-7285 Fax: (901)759-7255 *Ownership Type:* Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	6.50	$1,753,652	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AR37H252009	8/2/2008	Active	132.6%	HFDA/8 NC	70	70	0	12	40	18	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*08235344 Townsquare Apartments, 705 JEANETTE ST, HARRISBURG, AR 72432, *Metro Area (MSA):*

Owner Info: Harrisburg Apartments, Ltd., 1103 Richard Arrington, Jr. Blvd. South, , Birmingham, AL 35205 205-933-1020 Fax: 205-930-4508 *County Name:* Poinsett

Mgmt Info: Southeastern Property Management, Inc., P. O. Box 55465, , Birmingham, AL 35255 (205) 933-1020 Fax: *Ownership Type:* Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	9.00	$120,169	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	OITRA, Inc.	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AR370020019	1/24/2009	Active	115.9%	Sec 8 NC	40	40	0	15	21	4	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*082EH253 BRAD of Hoxie, 614 PINE ST, HOXIE, AR 72433, *Metro Area (MSA):*

Owner Info: BRAD Hoxie Area Development, Inc., 1403 Hospital Drive, , Pocahontas, AR 72455 (870) 892-4547 Fax: *County Name:* Lawrence

Mgmt Info: Black River Area Development Corporation, 1403 HOSPITAL DR, , POCAHONTAS, AR 72455 (870) 892-4547 Fax: (870) 892-0707 *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AR37T871031	7/26/2008	Active	205.3%	202/8 NC	20	20	5	15	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*08235363 Maywood Apartments, 101 MAYWOOD CIRCLE, HUGHES, AR 72348, 870-339-2019 *Metro Area (MSA):*

Owner Info: Maywood Limited Partnership, 2409 Golf Course Rd, , Columbus, NC 28722 828-894-5292 Fax: *County Name:* St. Francis

Mgmt Info: United Property Management, 6801 W. 12th St., Ste E, Little Rock, AR 72204 501-280-0037 Fax: 501-603-0235 *Ownership Type:* Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	6.38	$234,633	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	Foley and Judell, LLP	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AR370020029	2/16/2009	Active	68.5%	Sec 8 NC	40	40	0	8	16	16	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*08238014 Aspen Gardens, 1011 RAINS ST, JONESBORO, AR 72401, 870-972-1898 *Metro Area (MSA):* Jonesboro, AR
Owner Info: Aspen Gardens Limited Partnership, 322 West Monroe Street, , Jonesboro, AR 72401 (870) 933-7076 Fax: (870)933-[illegible]001 *County Name:* Craighead
Mgmt Info: SOUTHERN MANAGEMENT CORPORATION, 322 W MONROE ST, , JONESBORO, AR 72401 (870) 933-7076 Fax: (870)932-0856 *Ownership Type:* Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/231 Refi/ Elderly	8.13	$523,697	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AR370003003	9/14/2008	Active	100.9%	Sec 8 NC	50	50	14	36	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* Bel Aire Village, 3412 SUN AVE, JONESBORO, AR 72401, 870-932-7799 *Metro Area (MSA):* Jonesboro, AR
Owner Info: , 2223 Conrad Dr, , Jonesboro, AR 72401 870-932-9876 Fax: *County Name:* Craighead
Mgmt Info: , 2223 Conrad Dr, , Jonesboro, AR 72401 870-932-9876 Fax: *Ownership Type:* Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AR37M000061	11/30/2008	Active	115.9%	LMSA	22	72	0	13	6	3	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*082EH200 Christopher Homes of Jonesboro, 2204 Crescendo Drive, JONESBORO, AR 72401, 870-931-9575 *Metro Area (MSA):* Jonesboro, AR
Owner Info: Christopher Homes of Jonesboro, Inc., 2417 North Tyler, P.O. Box 7565, Little Rock, AR 72217 501-664-1881 Fax: *County Name:* Craighead
Mgmt Info: CHRISTOPHER HOMES OF ARKANSAS, INC., 2417 N Tyler, P.O. Box 7565, LITTLE ROCK, AR 72217 (501) 664-1881 Fax: (501) 664-1631 *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AR37T861013	11/22/2008	Active	135.2%	202/8 NC	20	20	5	15	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*082EH023 Jonesboro Ecumenical Center, 2515 ECUMENICAL DR, JONESBORO, AR 72401 *Metro Area (MSA):* Jonesboro, AR
Owner Info: Jonesboro Ecumenical Center, Inc., 330 Union Street, , Jonesboro, AR 72401 870-935-9800 Fax: 870-935-6872 *County Name:* Craighead
Mgmt Info: JONESBORO URBAN RENEWAL & HOUSING AUTHORITY, 330 Union Street, , JONESBORO, AR 72401 (501) 935-9800 Fax: *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AR37T791006	8/12/2008	Active	127.3%	202/8 NC	69	70	16	53	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*08235317 Walker Courts, 1129 Walker Pl, Jonesboro, AR 72401, 870-935-0832 *Metro Area (MSA):* Jonesboro, AR
Owner Info: Walker Courts, Ltd., 2200 W Washington, PO Box 1697, Jonesboro, AR 72403 870-935-3758 Fax: 870-933-8447 *County Name:* Craighead
Mgmt Info: BARR INVESTMENTS, INC., 2200 West Washington Avenue, P.O. Box 1697; Jonesboro, AR 72403-1697, Jonesboro, AR 72401 (870) 935-2059 Fax: *Ownership Type:* Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	6.86	$2,183,161	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	Foley and Judell, LLP		

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AR378023003	2/29/2008	Active	102.5%	Sec 8 NC	113	113	0	72	24	16	1	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* Stevens Square, 100-K STEVENS SQ, LEPANTO, AR 72354, *Metro Area (MSA):*

Owner Info: Housing Authority of the City of Lepanto, 100 Stevens Square, , Lepanto, AR 72354 870-475-6150 Fax: *County Name:* Poinsett

Mgmt Info: Housing Authority of the City of Lepanto, 100 Stevens Square, , Lepanto, AR 72354 870-475-6150 Fax: *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AR37R000019	9/27/2008	Active	138.3%	515/8 NC	46	46	0	36	10	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*082EH036 Newberry Courts, 1 NEWBERRY CT, LONOKE, AR 72086, 501-676-2781 *Metro Area (MSA):* Little Rock-North Little Rock, AR

Owner Info: Lonoke County Council on Aging, Inc., #1 Newberry Drive, , Lonoke, AR 72086 501-676-2781 Fax: *County Name:* Lonoke

Mgmt Info: Lonoke County Council on Aging, Inc., #1 Newberry Drive, , Lonoke, AR 72086 501-676-2781 Fax: *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AR37T801007	9/30/2008	Active	106.9%	202/8 NC	47	48	0	47	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* Whitaker Manor Apartments, 119 Hadley Drive, MADISON, AR 72359, 870-633-7302 *Metro Area (MSA):*

Owner Info: Whitaker Terrace Limited Partnership, P.O. Box 540, , Monticello, AR 71657 870-367-6226 Fax: 870-367-5453 *County Name:* St. Francis

Mgmt Info: Tri State Management Corp, 327 Hiway 425 North, P.O. Box 540, Monticello, AR 71657 870-367-8266 Fax: 870-367-5453 *Ownership Type:*

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AR37R000009	9/23/2008	Active	103.4%	515/8 NC	50	50	0	12	20	12	6	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*082EH105 Mammoth Spring Estates, 945 WALKER STREET, MAMMOTH SPRING, AR 72554, *Metro Area (MSA):*

Owner Info: Mammoth Spring Housing, Inc., P. O. Box 2578, , Batesville, AR 72503 870-793-5765 Fax: 870-793-2167 *County Name:* Fulton

Mgmt Info: Health Resources of Arkansas, Inc., 25 Gap Road, PO Box 2578, BATESVILLE, AR 72503 870-793-8922 Fax: 870-793-4258 *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AR37T841001	11/12/2008	Active	112.9%	202/8 NC	20	20	5	15	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*082EH133 Christopher Homes of Marianna, 238 Christopher Cove, #1, MARIANNA, AR 72330, 870-295-6345 *Metro Area (MSA):*

Owner Info: Christopher Homes of Marianna, Inc., 2417 North Tyler, P.O. Box 7565, Little Rock, AR 72217 (501) 664-1881 Fax: *County Name:* Lee

Mgmt Info: CHRISTOPHER HOMES OF ARKANSAS, INC., 2417 N Tyler, P.O. Box 7565, LITTLE ROCK, AR 72217 (501) 664-1881 Fax: (501) 664-1631 *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AR37T841029	8/20/2008	Active	178.6%	202/8 NC	20	20	6	14	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* Foster Collier Gordon Manor, 168 SARAH SAULS DR, MARIANNA, AR 72360, 870-295-6128 *Metro Area (MSA):*

Owner Info: Lee County Cooperative Clinic, 530 West Atkins Blvd., P.O. Box 669, Marianna, AR 72360 (501) 295-5225 Fax: *County Name:* Lee

Mgmt Info: SOUTHLAND MANAGEMENT CORPORATION, P. O. Box 1220, 138 E. Amite Street, Jackson, MS 39215 (601) 948-5401 Fax: (601) 353-7420 *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AR37R000031	3/3/2008	Active	110.3%	515/8 NC	36	36	0	4	20	8	4	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*08235231 Hicky Garden Apartments, 785 Hicky Rd, Marianna, AR 72360, 870-295-4244 *Metro Area (MSA):*

Owner Info: Hicky Gardens Limited Partnership, 2842 Poplar Avenue, , Memphis, TN 38111 (901) 458-7366 Fax: (901) 320-9824 *County Name:* Lee

Mgmt Info: Associated Realty Services Inc., 740 S White Station Rd, , Memphis, TN 38117 901-767-5001 Fax: 901-767-5005 *Ownership Type:* Limited Dividend

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
221(d)(4) Mkt. Rate Mod Inc	5.32	$1,080,186	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	First Housing Development Corporation		

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AR370020058	4/30/2008	Active	100.5%	Sec 8 NC	56	56	0	8	40	8	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*08211068 South Pointe Apartments, 340 CALIFORNIA ST, MARIANNA, AR 72360, 870-295-5624 *Metro Area (MSA):*

Owner Info: ALCO Lee County Partners Limited, 35 Union Ave Ste 200, , Memphis, TN 38103 901-544-1705 Fax: 901-544-1744 *County Name:* Lee

Mgmt Info: ALCO MANAGEMENT, INC, 35 UNION AVENUE, SUITE 200, MEMPHIS, TN 38103 (901) 544-1705 Fax: (901) 544-1744 *Ownership Type:* Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
207/ 223(f) Purf Refin Hsg.	5.75	$1,566,527	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AR37M000031	6/30/2008	Active	109.8%	LMSA	80	80	0	0	34	36	10	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* Brauer Estates, 802 WYLIE ST, MARKED TREE, AR 72365, *Metro Area (MSA):*

Owner Info: Marked Tree Housing Limited Partnership, P.O. Box 17803, , Memphis, TN 38187 (901) 737-0304 Fax: (901) 737-9733 *County Name:* Poinsett

Mgmt Info: CONFEDERATED MANAGEMENT CO., P. O. Box 17803, , MEMPHIS, TN 38187 (901) 737-0304 Fax: (910) 737-9733 *Ownership Type:* Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AR370020051	4/19/2008	Active	106.2%	Sec 8 NC	50	50	0	8	28	10	4	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*08235321 Chapel Oaks Apartments, 211 E RANEY AVE, MC CRORY, AR 72101, 870-731-5151 *Metro Area (MSA):*

Owner Info: Chapel Oaks, Inc., 3208 Bay Oaks Drive, P.O. Box 959, North Little Rock, AR 72115 501-259-2842 Fax: *County Name:* Woodruff

Mgmt Info: ASSOCIATED MANAGEMENT, LTD., 3501 BAY OAKS DRIVE, P.O. BOX 959, NORTH LITTLE ROCK, AR 72115 (501) 758-2405 Fax: 501-758-1903 *Ownership Type:* Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	6.50	$1,468,372	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AR37H252014	6/30/2008	Active	132.7%	HFDA/8 NC	60	60	0	36	12	12	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*08244069 Meadow Springs Apartments, 500-C N Church St, MOUNTAIN HOME, AR 72653, 870-425-7741 *Metro Area (MSA):*

Owner Info: Meadow Springs Apartments, Ltd., P.O. Box 959, , North Little Rock, AR 72115 501-758-2405 Fax: 501-758-1903 *County Name:* Baxter

Mgmt Info: ASSOCIATED MANAGEMENT, LTD., 3501 BAY OAKS DRIVE, P.O. BOX 959, NORTH LITTLE ROCK, AR 72115 (501) 758-2405 Fax: 501-758-1903 *Ownership Type:* Limited Dividend

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
236(j)(1)/ Lower Income Fa	7.00	$287,686	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AR37M000007	8/31/2008	Active	89.6%	LMSA	20	60	0	11	9	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*08235358 Quail Run Apartments, 351 Highway 201N, MOUNTAIN HOME, AR 72653, 870-425-7741 *Metro Area (MSA):*

Owner Info: Quail Run, Limited, 3501 Bay Oaks Dr, PO Box 959, North Little Rock, AR 72115 501-758-2842 Fax: *County Name:* Baxter

Mgmt Info: ASSOCIATED MANAGEMENT, LTD., 3501 BAY OAKS DRIVE, P.O. BOX 959, NORTH LITTLE ROCK, AR 72115 (501) 758-2405 Fax: 501-758-1903 *Ownership Type:* Limited Dividend

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	5.75	$930,364	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AR37M000017	9/30/2008	Active	79.6%	LMSA	11	64	0	3	4	4	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*08235400 Harmony Homes Apartments, 1110 N PECAN ST, NEWPORT, AR 72112, 870-523-8255 *Metro Area (MSA):*

Owner Info: Harmony Homes Associates, Ltd., 912 Highway 367 North, , Newport, AR 72112 (870) 523-3683 Fax: *County Name:* Jackson

Mgmt Info: , 1122 Malcom Avenue, , Newport, AR 72212 870-523-3683 Fax: *Ownership Type:* Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(3) MKT Re	5.48	$805,220	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	First Housing Development Corporation	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AR37M000026	9/30/2008	Active	90.4%	LMSA	70	70	0	10	25	25	10	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*082EH050 River Manor Apartments, 1 River Cir, OSCEOLA, AR 72370, 870-563-2021 *Metro Area (MSA):*

Owner Info: River Manor Apartments, Inc., P.O. Drawer 120, , Osceola, AR 72370 870-563-2021 Fax: *County Name:* Mississippi

Mgmt Info: Health Resources of Arkansas, Inc., 25 Gap Road, PO Box 2578, BATESVILLE, AR 72503 870-793-8922 Fax: 870-793-4258 *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AR37T811009	8/31/2008	Active	113.4%	202/8 NC	69	70	0	69	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*08244005 Seminole Village Apartments, 501 MAGNOLIA DR, OSCEOLA, AR 72370, 870-352-0791 *Metro Area (MSA):*

Owner Info: Seminole Village Inc, 1501 North University, Suite 930, Little Rock, AR 72207 501-664-7807 Fax: 501-664-0145 *County Name:* Mississippi

Mgmt Info: R P M MANAGEMENT COMPANY, 1501 N UNIVERSITY, STE 930, P O Box 7300, LITTLE ROCK, AR 72217 (501) 664-7807 Fax: (501)664-0145 *Ownership Type:* Limited Dividend

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
236(j)(1)/ Lower Income Fa	8.50	$279,343	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AR37M000038	6/30/2008	Active	82.1%	LMSA	12	58	0	0	9	3	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*082EH188 BRAD of Piggott, 551 W MAIN ST, PIGGOTT, AR 72454, *Metro Area (MSA):*

Owner Info: Piggott Housing Development Corporation, 1403 Hospital Drive, , Pocahontas, AR 72455 870- 892-4547 Fax: 870-892-0707 *County Name:* Clay

Mgmt Info: Black River Area Development Corporation, 1403 HOSPITAL DR, , POCAHONTAS, AR 72455 (870) 892-4547 Fax: (870) 892-0707 *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AR37T861001	9/15/2008	Active	170.0%	202/8 NC	20	20	5	15	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*082EH232 BRAD of Pocahontas, 1916 W PYBURN ST, POCAHONTAS, AR 72455, *Metro Area (MSA):*

Owner Info: BRAD Group Homes, Inc., 1403 Hospital Drive, , Pocahontas, AR 72455 870-892-4547 Fax: 870-892-0707 *County Name:* Randolph

Mgmt Info: Black River Area Development Corporation, 1403 HOSPITAL DR, , POCAHONTAS, AR 72455 (870) 892-4547 Fax: (870) 892-0707 *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AR37T871010	8/31/2009	Active	153.6%	202/8 NC	10	10	10	0	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*08235356 Oak Creek Apartments, 2526 OAKCREEK ST, POCAHONTAS, AR 72455, *Metro Area (MSA):*

Owner Info: Oak Creek Apartments, Limited Partnership, 35 Union Avenue, Suite 200, Memphis, TN 38103 (901) 526-1211 Fax: *County Name:* Randolph

Mgmt Info: ALCO MANAGEMENT, INC, 35 UNION AVENUE, SUITE 200, MEMPHIS, TN 38103 (901) 544-1705 Fax: (901) 544-1744 *Ownership Type:* Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	6.60	$217,496	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	Foley and Judell, LLP	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AR370019019	8/31/2008	Active	117.6%	Sec 8 NC	60	60	4	28	12	16	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*082EH208 Heritage Manor of Stuttgart, 130 COMMERCIAL DR. B., STUTTGART, AR 72160, 870-673-7192 *Metro Area (MSA):*

Owner Info: AAA Elderly Housing of Stuttgart, Inc., 709 East 8th Avenue, , Pine Bluff, AR 71601 (501) 534-3268 Fax: 501-534-215[illegible] *County Name:* Arkansas

Mgmt Info: AREA AGENCY ON AGING OF SOUTHEAST ARKANSAS, 709 E 8TH AVE, PO BOX 8569, PINE BLUFF, AR 716[illegible] (870) 534-3268 Fax: *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AR37T861021	3/2/2008	Active	164.5%	202/8 NC	20	20	8	12	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* Hickory Square Apartments, 1468 East 10th, STUTTGART, AR 72160, 870-673-8[illegible]25 *Metro Area (MSA):*

Owner Info: Hickory Square Apartments Limited Partnership, 13200 W Markham St, , Little Rock, AR 72211 501-227-7730 Fax: 501-227-8926 *County Name:* Arkansas

Mgmt Info: Sunbelt Development Corporation, 13200 W Markham St, , Little Rock, AR 72211 (501)-227-7730 Fax: (501)-227-892[illegible] *Ownership Type:*

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AR37R000030	4/12/2008	Active	117.5%	515/8 NC	40	40	0	8	16	12	4	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***08235080 Stuttgart Apartments, 507 W 1st St # P, Stuttgart, AR 72160, 870-673-8283 ***Metro Area (MSA):***

Owner Info: Stuttgart Apartments, Inc., 1501 North University, Suite 930, Little Rock, AR 72207 501-673-8283 Fax: ***County Name:*** Arkansas

Mgmt Info: R P M MANAGEMENT COMPANY, 1501 N UNIVERSITY, STE 930, P O Box 7300, LITTLE ROCK, AR 72217 (501) 664-7807 Fax: (501)664-0145 ***Ownership Type:*** Limited Dividend

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
221(d)(3) Mkt. Rate Modera	7.00	$483,903	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apt.	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AR37M000041	6/30/2008	Active	105.1%	LMSA	112	112	0	16	64	32	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** Trumann Rural Rental Housing, 601 WALNUT STREET, TRUMANN, AR 72472, ***Metro Area (MSA):***

Owner Info: Trumann Housing Authority, 109 Spruce Drive, P.O. Box 455, Trumann, AR 72472 870- 483-5223 Fax: 870-483-5411 ***County Name:*** Poinsett

Mgmt Info: Trumann Housing Authority, 109 Spruce Drive, P.O. Box 455, Trumann, AR 72472 870- 483-5223 Fax: 870-483-5411 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apt.	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AR370007001	3/31/2008	Active	101.9%	Sec 8 NC	30	30	0	28	2	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***082EH250 Mature Living of Tuckerman, 101 DOYLE CIR, TUCKERMAN, AR 72473, ***Metro Area (MSA):***

Owner Info: Mature Living of Tuckerman, Inc., 249 South Main Street, P.O. Box 1497, Jonesboro, AR 72403 870-336-1753 Fax: 870-802-7112 ***County Name:*** Jackson

Mgmt Info: CROWLEY'S RIDGE DEV. COUNCIL, P. O. Box 1497, 2401 Fox Meadow Lane, JONESBORO, AR 72403 (870) 802-7112 Fax: (870) 336-1753 ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apt.	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AR37T871028	9/22/2008	Active	145.0%	202/8 NC	20	20	5	15	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***082EH149 BRAD Of Walnut Ridge, 601 Pocahontas Rd, WALNUT RIDGE, AR 72476, ***Metro Area (MSA):***

Owner Info: BRAD Corporation for Lawrence County, 1403 Hospital Drive, , Pocahontas, AR 72455 870- 892-4547 Fax: 870-892-0707 ***County Name:*** Lawrence

Mgmt Info: Black River Area Development Corporation, 1403 HOSPITAL DR., POCAHONTAS, AR 72455 (870) 892-4547 Fax: (870) 892-0707 ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apt.	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AR37T851003	3/24/2008	Active	197.4%	202/8 NC	20	20	5	15	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** Larkspur Gardens, 109-4 S LARKSPUR LN, WALNUT RIDGE, AR 72476, ***Metro Area (MSA):***

Owner Info: Housing Authority of the City of Walnut Ridge, 109 West Walnut, P.O. Box 225, Walnut Ridge, AR 72476 870-886-7616 Fax: ***County Name:*** Lawrence

Mgmt Info: Housing Authority of the City of Walnut Ridge, 109 West Walnut, P.O. Box 225, Walnut Ridge, AR 72476 870-886-7616 Fax: ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apt.	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AR37R000004	5/31/2008	Active	116.1%	515/8 NC	30	30	0	26	4	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*082EH071 Christopher Homes of West Helena, #14 Christopher Circle, WEST HELENA, AR 72390, 870-572-9 *Metro Area (MSA):*
Owner Info: Christopher Homes of West Helena, Inc., 2417 North Tyler, P.O. Box 7565, Little Rock, AR 72217 (501) 664-1881 Fax: *County Name:* Phillips
Mgmt Info: CHRISTOPHER HOMES OF ARKANSAS, INC., 2417 N Tyler, P.O. Box 7565, LITTLE ROCK, AR 72217 (501) 664-1[illegible]81 Fax: (501) 664-1631 *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AR37T821016	10/10/2008	Active	141.7%	202/8 NC	62	62	16	46	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*08235327 Twin City Manor Apartments, 300 W DENISE DR, WEST HELENA, AR 72390, 870-572-1814 *Metro Area (MSA):*
Owner Info: W.T. Housing, Co., Inc., 303 Denise Drive, , West Helena, AR 72390 870-572-1515 Fax: *County Name:* Phillips
Mgmt Info: WILLIAM T. PAINE MANAGEMENT COMPANY, 303 Denise Dr, , West Helena, AR 72390 870-572-1814 Fax: *Ownership Type:* Limited Dividend

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	6.50	$1,658,058	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ B[illegible]
AR370020030	6/30/2008	Active	125.3%	HFDA/8 NC	64	64	0	16	24	24	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*082EH057 Ecumenical Village, 217 W Jackson Ave, WEST MEMPHIS, AR 72301, 870-735-1[illegible]15 *Metro Area (MSA):* Memphis, TN-AR-MS
Owner Info: National Church Residences of West Memphis, 2335 North Bank Drive, , Columbus, OH 43220 (614) 451-2151 Fax: (614) 451-0351 *County Name:* Crittenden
Mgmt Info: National Church Residences, 2335 N Bank Dr, , Columbus, OH 43220 (614)451-2151 Fax: (614)451-0351 *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ B[illegible]
AR37T821002	12/6/2008	Active	121.1%	202/8 NC	67	68	0	67	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*08235016 Imperial Homes Apartments, 2950 South Jackson Avenue, WEST MEMPHIS, AR 72301, *Metro Area (MSA):* Memphis, TN-AR-MS
Owner Info: Imperial Homes Apartments of West Memphis, Inc., 1812 University Blvd, , Anderson, IN 46012 765-644-2555 Fax: *County Name:* Crittenden
Mgmt Info: Associated Realty Services Inc., 740 S White Station Rd, , Memphis, TN 38117 901-767-5001 Fax: 901-767-5005 *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
221(d)(3) Mkt. Rate Modera	6.75	$188,619	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ B[illegible]
AR37M000048	5/31/2008	Active	88.9%	LMSA	120	120	0	16	88	16	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*08235323 Cliffridge Apartments, 850 ELDRIDGE AVE, WYNNE, AR 72396, 870-238-7747 *Metro Area (MSA):*
Owner Info: Cliffridge Apartments, Limited Partnership, 3208 Bay Oaks Drive, P.O. Box 959, North Little Rock, AR 72118 (501) 758-2842 Fax: *County Name:* Cross
Mgmt Info: ASSOCIATED MANAGEMENT, LTD., 3501 BAY OAKS DRIVE, P.O. BOX 959, NORTH LITTLE ROCK, AR 72115 (501) 758-2405 Fax: 501-758-1903 *Ownership Type:* Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	6.50	$1,536,359	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ B[illegible]
AR37H252015	5/31/2008	Active	122.6%	HFDA/8 NC	64	64	0	24	28	12	0	0

Totals:

TOTALS FOR ALL OWNERSHIP TYPES:	
Total Properties:	54
Total Contracts:	54
Total Assisted Units:	2,200

Data compiled from several HUD sources and not independently verified. Does not include Section 202 and 811 properties with PAC or PRAC contract
Section 8 Contract information is from March 2008.

NATIONAL HOUSING TRUST

Special Report: Expiring Section 8 Contracts in Alabama's 5th Congressional District

This report includes properties in Rep. Robert "Bud" Cramer, Jr.'s district in Alabama with contracts expiring by the end of fiscal year 2009.

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***06235566 ELMWOOD APTS, 1410 ELM ST E, ATHENS, AL 35611, 256-232-0110 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Huntsville, AL

Owner Info: UNITED HOUSING PARTNERS - ELMWOOD, LTD., P.O. Box 1089, 55 Beattie Place, Greenville, SC 29602 864-239-1000 Fax: ***County Name:*** Limestone

Mgmt Info: NHPMN Management, LP, 55 Beattie Place, 3rd Floor, , Greenville, SC 29601 (864) 239-1000 Fax: ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	5.90	$473,717	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	Jefferson County Assisted Housing Corp.	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AL090021029	2/29/2008	Active	84.5%	Sec 8 NC	80	80	0	32	32	16	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***06235524 WOODRIDGE APARTMENTS, 1200 Woodridge Drive, ATHENS, AL 35611, 256-233-2173 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Huntsville, AL

Owner Info: Woodridge, LTD., Post Office Box 18308, , Huntsville, AL 35804 (256) 533-1727 Fax: (256)533-6882 ***County Name:*** Limestone

Mgmt Info: DALCOR MANAGEMENT, INC., P.O. BOX 18308, , HUNTSVILLE, AL 35801 (256) 533-1727 Fax: (256) 533-6882 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	8.50	$604,876	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	Foley and Judell, LLP	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AL090021084	7/31/2008	Active	87.4%	Sec 8 NC	72	72	0	0	40	24	8	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** ALTA VISTA APARTMENTS, 2115 CENTRAL PKY SW, DECATUR, AL 35601, (256)355-4004 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Decatur, AL

Owner Info: Decatur Apartments, LTD., 2320 Highland Avenue South, Suite 175, Birmingham, AL 35205 (205) 933-2585 x203 Fax: (205) 933-2584 ***County Name:*** Morgan

Mgmt Info: MARCRUM MANAGEMENT COMPANY, 2320 Highland Ave S, Suite 230, Birmingham, AL 35205 205-933-2585 Fax: 205-933-2584 ***Ownership Type:*** Limited Dividend

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			Yes	Mrtg Rstr	Jefferson County Assisted Housing Corp.	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AL09M000037	7/31/2008	Active	85.4%	LMSA	20	100	0	17	3	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***06235553 DOGWOOD APARTMENTS, 224 Tammy Street SW, DECATUR, AL 35603, (256)350-4846 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Decatur, AL

Owner Info: Dogwood Apartments, Ltd. L.P., 1103 Richard Arrington, Jr. Boulevard South, , Birmingham, AL 35205 (205)933-1020 Fax: (205)930-4508 ***County Name:*** Morgan

Mgmt Info: SPM, Inc., 1103 Richard Arrington, Jr. Blvd., South, , Birmingham, AL 35205 (205) 933-1020 Fax: (205) 930-4508 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	7.50	$200,466	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	Jefferson County Assisted Housing Corp.	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AL090021072	7/31/2008	Active	102.4%	Sec 8 NC	60	60	0	20	20	20	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***06235547 LAKEVIEW APARTMENTS, 3120 SUMAC RD, DECATUR, AL 35602, (256)355-5046 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Decatur, AL

Owner Info: LAKEVIEW, LTD., P.O. Box 18308, , HUNTSVILLE, AL 35804 (256) 533-1727 Fax: (256)533-6882 ***County Name:*** Morgan

Mgmt Info: DALCOR MANAGEMENT, INC., P.O. BOX 18308, , HUNTSVILLE, AL 35801 (256) 533-1727 Fax: (256) 533-6882 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	7.60	$344,211	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	First Housing Development Corporation	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AL090022016	4/30/2008	Active	100.0%	Sec 8 NC	38	38	0	0	24	8	6	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***062EH063 PRESBYTERIAN TOWERS, 1824 Presbyterian DR SW, DECATUR, AL 35603, (256) 350-3323 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Decatur, AL

Owner Info: Presbyterian Homes of Decatur, Inc., 1824 Presbyterian Dr SW, , Decatur, AL 35603 (256)350-3323 Fax: ***County Name:*** Morgan

Mgmt Info: SPM, Inc., 1103 Richard Arrington, Jr. Blvd., South, , Birmingham, AL 35205 (205) 933-1020 Fax: (205) 930-4508 ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AL09T821002	8/13/2008	Active	178.0%	202/8 NC	101	102	0	101	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***062EH005 WESLEY ACRES, 700 CEDAR LAKE RD, DECATUR, AL 35603, (256)355-8281 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Decatur, AL

Owner Info: Wesley Acres - A Methodist Home for the Aging, Inc, 1520 Cooper Hill Road, , BIRMINGHAM, AL 35210 (205) 951-2442 Fax: (205) 956-5001 ***County Name:*** Morgan

Mgmt Info: METHODIST HOME FOR THE AGING, INC., 1520 Cooper Hill Road, , BIRMINGHAM, AL 35210 (205) 951-2442 Fax: ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AL092113201	4/15/2008	Active	130.5%	202/8 NC	100	100	0	96	4	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***06244068 COURTVIEW TOWERS, 201 PINE ST N, FLORENCE, AL 35630, (256)7670201 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Florence, AL

Owner Info: Courtview Towers, Ltd., P O BOX 242, , CHARLESTON, SC 29402 (803)722-2615 Fax: (803)722-6449 ***County Name:*** Lauderdale

Mgmt Info: RENTAL PROPERTY MANAGEMENT, INC, P.O. Box 1699, , MT. PLEASANT, SC 29465 (843) 881-6755 Fax: (843) 881-7731 ***Ownership Type:*** Limited Dividend

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
236(j)(1)/ Lower Income Fa	7.00	$1,703,110	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AL09M000041	6/30/2008	Active	121.3%	LMSA	164	195	11	108	45	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***06235544 HEATHERWOOD APARTMENTS, 504-M MARS HILL RD, FLORENCE, AL 35630, (256)767-6543 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Florence, AL

Owner Info: HEATHERWOOD, LTD., P.O. Box 18308, , HUNTSVILLE, AL 35804 (256) 533-1727 Fax: (256)533-6882 ***County Name:*** Lauderdale

Mgmt Info: DALCOR MANAGEMENT, INC., P.O. BOX 18308, , HUNTSVILLE, AL 35801 (256) 533-1727 Fax: (256) 533-6882 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	7.50	$311,762	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	First Housing Development Corporation	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AL090022013	11/30/2008	Active	94.9%	Sec 8 NC	40	40	0	0	24	8	8	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***06235611 HERMITAGE KNOLL APTS, 1271 Hermitage Drive, FLORENCE, AL 35630, (256)764-1921 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Florence, AL

Owner Info: HERMITAGE KNOLL, LIMITED, 1275 Hermitage Drive, P.O. Box 2278, FLORENCE, AL 35630 (256)766-0800 Fax: ***County Name:*** Lauderdale

Mgmt Info: SPM, Inc., 1103 Richard Arrington, Jr. Blvd., South, , Birmingham, AL 35205 (205) 933-1020 Fax: (205) 930-4508 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	6.25	$240,439	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	Jefferson County Assisted Housing Corp.	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AL090022004	7/31/2008	Active	112.5%	Sec 8 NC	24	24	0	16	8	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***06235609 HERMITAGE OAKS, 1275 HERMITAGE DR, FLORENCE, AL 35630, (256) 764-1921 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Florence, AL

Owner Info: HERMITAGE OAKS, LTD., 1275 Hermitage Drive, P.O. Box 2278, Florence, AL 35630 (256)766-0800 Fax: ***County Name:*** Lauderdale

Mgmt Info: SPM, Inc., 1103 Richard Arrington, Jr. Blvd., South, , Birmingham, AL 35205 (205) 933-1020 Fax: (205) 930-4508 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	6.25	$697,616	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	Jefferson County Assisted Housing Corp.	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AL090002002	9/30/2008	Active	94.7%	Sec 8 NC	50	50	0	4	16	26	4	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***06235604 HERMITAGE PLACE APARTMENTS, 1235 HERMITAGE DR, FLORENCE, AL 35630, (256)764-19 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Florence, AL

Owner Info: HERMITAGE PLACE, LTD., P. O. Box 2278, , FLORENCE, AL 35630 (256)766-0800 Fax: ***County Name:*** Lauderdale

Mgmt Info: SPM, Inc., 1103 Richard Arrington, Jr. Blvd., South, , Birmingham, AL 35205 (205) 933-1020 Fax: (205) 930-4508 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	6.60	$795,909	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	Jefferson County Assisted Housing Corp.	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AL090018004	6/30/2008	Active	92.2%	Sec 8 NC	60	60	0	0	28	26	6	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***06235525 MARS HILL MANOR, 502 E MARS HILL RD, FLORENCE, AL 35630, (256)767-6543 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Florence, AL

Owner Info: MARS HILL MANOR, LTD., P.O. Box 18308, , HUNTSVILLE, AL 35804 (256)533-1727 Fax: (256)533-6882 ***County Name:*** Lauderdale

Mgmt Info: DALCOR MANAGEMENT, INC., P.O. BOX 18308, , HUNTSVILLE, AL 35801 (256) 533-1727 Fax: (256) 533-6882 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	8.50	$324,228	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	Foley and Judell, LLP	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AL090018028	7/31/2008	Active	91.0%	Sec 8 NC	60	60	0	0	32	20	8	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***062EH033 MONTREAT APARTMENTS, 1850 DARBY DR, FLORENCE, AL 35630, (256) 767-2211 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Florence, AL

Owner Info: Shoals Presbyterian Apartments, Inc., 1850 Darby Drive, , Florence, AL 35630 256-767-2211 Fax: 256-767-2259 ***County Name:*** Lauderdale

Mgmt Info: SPM, Inc., 1103 Richard Arrington, Jr. Blvd., South, , Birmingham, AL 35205 (205) 933-1020 Fax: (205) 930-4508 ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AL09T801010	8/2/2008	Active	189.7%	202/8 NC	100	100	0	100	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*06235385 WEEDEN HEIGHTS APTS, 3610 HUNTSVILLE RD, FLORENCE, AL 35630, (256) 767-6543 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Florence, AL

Owner Info: WEEDEN HEIGHTS, LTD., P.O. Box 18308, , HUNTSVILLE, AL 35804 (256)533-1727 Fax: (256)533-6882 ***County Name:*** Lauderdale

Mgmt Info: DALCOR MANAGEMENT, INC., P.O. BOX 18308, , HUNTSVILLE, AL 35801 (256) 533-1727 Fax: (256) 533-6882 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			Yes	Mrtg Rstr	First Housing Development Corporation	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AL090022062	12/31/2008	Active	90.6%	Sec 8 NC	28	28	0	0	16	12	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*062EH054 HARTSELLE GROUP HOME, 1557 HIGHWAY 31 N W, HARTSELLE, AL 35640, (256)773-3529 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Decatur, AL

Owner Info: GROUP HOMES OF AL VOA ELDERLY HOUSING, INC., 600 AZALEA RD, , MOBILE, AL 36609 251-666-4431 Fax: 256-830-2158 ***County Name:*** Morgan

Mgmt Info: Volunteers of America North, Alabama, Inc., 555 Sparkman Drive, Suite 454, HUNTSVILLE, AL 35816 (256) 830-2155 Fax: (256) 830-2158 ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AL09T811020	9/20/2008	Active	124.7%	202/8 NC	9	10	0	9	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*06235539 CHEROKEE BEND APTS, 4177 NEWSON RD SW, HUNTSVILLE, AL 35805, 256-883-4207 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Huntsville, AL

Owner Info: CHEROKEE OF HUNTSVILLE LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, P.O. Box 18308, , HUNTSVILLE, AL 35804 (205) 533-1727 Fax: ***County Name:*** Madison

Mgmt Info: DALCOR MANAGEMENT, INC., P.O. BOX 18308, , HUNTSVILLE, AL 35801 (256) 533-1727 Fax: (256) 533-6882 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	7.50	$490,018	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	First Housing Development Corporation	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AL090018030	12/31/2008	Active	91.3%	Sec 8 NC	50	50	0	0	24	18	8	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*06235591 HUNTSVILLE SUMMIT, 111 Walker AVE, HUNTSVILLE, AL 35801, 256-536-4113 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Huntsville, AL

Owner Info: Ashton Huntsville, LP, 7000 Central Parkway, NE, Suite 1100, Atlanta, GA 30328 678-320-3780 Fax: 770-804-0209 ***County Name:*** Madison

Mgmt Info: , 7000 Central Parkway, NE, Suite 1100, Atlanta, GA 30328 678-320-3780 Fax: ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
221(d)(4) Mkt. Rate Mod Inc	5.77	$3,672,685	Yes	Rent Rdct	Jefferson County Assisted Housing Corp.	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AL090012020	10/31/2008	Active	130.8%	Sec 8 NC	100	101	0	86	14	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*062EH051 MAYFAIR TOWERS, 4701 WHITESPORT CIR SW, HUNTSVILLE, AL 35801, 256-289-0154 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Huntsville, AL

Owner Info: Mayfair Towers Corporation, 4701 Whitesport Cr. SW, , Huntsville, AL 35801 (256)536-5911x371 Fax: ***County Name:*** Madison

Mgmt Info: DALCOR MANAGEMENT, INC., P.O. BOX 18308, , HUNTSVILLE, AL 35801 (256) 533-1727 Fax: (256) 533-6882 ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AL09T811017	8/9/2008	Active	132.6%	202/8 NC	54	54	0	52	2	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***06244801 PRESBYTERIAN APTS, 2211 COUNTRY CLUB AVENUE, HUNTSVILLE, AL 35816, (256) 533-16 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Huntsville, AL

Owner Info: PRESBYTERIAN APARTMENTS, INC., 2211 Country Club Drive, , Huntsville, AL 35816 (256)852-6824 Fax: ***County Name:*** Madison

Mgmt Info: MARCRUM MANAGEMENT COMPANY, 2320 Highland Ave S, Suite 230, Birmingham, AL 35205 205-933-2585 Fax: 205-933-2584 ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
236(j)(1)/ 202 Elderly Hsg.	7.00	$1,503,183	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ B
AL09M000040	7/31/2008	Active	99.2%	LMSA	82	206	26	56	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***06235618 RUSSEL ERSKINE APTS., 115 CLINTON AVE SW, HUNTSVILLE, AL 35801, 256-539-5668 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Huntsville, AL

Owner Info: New Russel Erskine, L.P., 1 Walworth Ter, , White Plains, NY 10606 914-686-3870 Fax: ***County Name:*** Madison

Mgmt Info: S & S Property Management, 129 South 11th St, , Nashville, TN 37206 (615) 227-8646 Fax: (615) 228-2486 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
221(d)(4) Mkt. Rate Mod Inc	5.95	$3,113,608	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	Jefferson County Assisted Housing Corp.	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ B
AL090020021	7/31/2008	Active	161.8%	Sec 8 SR	69	69	0	53	16	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***06235005 VALLEY GARDEN APARTMENTS II, 2516 12TH ST SW, HUNTSVILLE, AL 35805, 256-536-3743 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Huntsville, AL

Owner Info: VALLEY GARDEN FOUNDATION, INC., 2608 ARTIE ST, , HUNTSVILLE, AL 35805 (256) 533-3420 Fax: 256-533-3429 ***County Name:*** Madison

Mgmt Info: OXFORD PROPERTIES, 2608 ARTIE ST, , HUNTSVILLE, AL 35805 (256) 533-3420 Fax: ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ B
AL09M000019	8/31/2008	Active	97.9%	LMSA	144	144	0	30	94	20	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***06235526 WESTLAKE APARTMENTS, 1901 SPARKMAN DR NW, HUNTSVILLE, AL 35816, 256-837-8502 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Huntsville, AL

Owner Info: WESTLAKE, LTD., P. O. Box 18308, , HUNTSVILLE, AL 35804 (256)533-1727 Fax: ***County Name:*** Madison

Mgmt Info: DALCOR MANAGEMENT, INC., P.O. BOX 18308, , HUNTSVILLE, AL 35801 (256) 533-1727 Fax: (256) 533-6882 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	8.50	$476,901	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	First Housing Development Corporation	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ B
AL090018007	7/31/2008	Active	86.6%	Sec 8 NC	72	72	0	0	40	27	5	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***06235382 PARADISE APTS., 5142 WALL TRIANA HWY, MADISON, AL 35758, 256-837-9707 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Huntsville, AL

Owner Info: Paradise, Limited, 2930 Sidco Drive, , Nashville, TN 37204 (615) 244-6487 Fax: ***County Name:*** Madison

Mgmt Info: TAMCO Property Management, LLC, 2930 Sidco Drive, , Nashville, TN 37204 615-244-6487 Fax: 615-244-0381 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			Yes	Mrtg Rstr	Jefferson County Assisted Housing Corp.	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ B
AL090022061	7/31/2008	Active	91.0%	Sec 8 NC	50	50	0	0	36	14	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** MOULTON MANOR, 111 TOMMIE DR, MOULTON, AL 35650, (334) 264-3366 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Decatur, AL

Owner Info: SOUTHERN DEVELOPMENT CO. OF MOULTON, LTD., 900 South Perry Street, Suite A, MONTOMGERY, AL 361 1 (334) 264-3366 Fax: (334) 264-333 ***County Name:*** Lawrence

Mgmt Info: MOULTON HOUSING AUTHORITY, 200 BURCH BLVD., P.O. BOX 546, MOULTON, AL 35650 (256) 974-1196 F. x: (256) 974-1197 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AL090011001	12/15/2008	Active	112.8%	Sec 8 NC	45	45	0	40	5	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** 06235517 AVALON PARK APARTMENTS, 1901 SHEFFIELD AVE, MUSCLE SHOALS, AL 35631, (256)381- ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Florence, AL

Owner Info: AVALON PARK, LTD., PO Box 1510, , Florence, AL 35631 (256) 767-7620 Fax: (256)766-9755 ***County Name:*** Colbert

Mgmt Info: IRBY MANAGEMENT COMPANY, 1545 Helton Drive, P.O. Box 1510, FLORENCE, AL 35631 (256) 767-7620 Fax: (256)766-9755 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	8.50	$478,745	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	First Housing Development Corporation	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AL090018037	1/3/2009	Active	100.7%	Sec 8 NC	60	60	0	0	48	12	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** 06235263 SCOTTSBORO EAST, 86 Wall Street, SCOTTSBORO, AL 35768, (256)259-120 ***Metro Area (MSA):***

Owner Info: Scottsboro East, LTD., 1103 Richard Arrington Jr. Blvd., South, , Birmingham, AL 35205 (205) 933-1020 Fax: (205) 930-4508 ***County Name:*** Jackson

Mgmt Info: SPM, Inc., 1103 Richard Arrington, Jr. Blvd., South, , Birmingham, AL 35205 (205) 933-1020 Fax: (205) 930-4508 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			Yes	Mrtg Rstr	Foley and Judell, LLP	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AL090018027	8/31/2008	Active	110.8%	Sec 8 NC	50	50	0	0	32	16	2	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** 062EH056 Scottsboro Group Home, 302 SOUTH HOUSTON ST, SCOTTSBORO, AL 35768, (256)259-2747 ***Metro Area (MSA):***

Owner Info: GROUP HOMES OF AL VOA ELDERLY HOUSING, INC., 600 AZALEA RD, , MOBILE, AL 36609 251-666-4431 Fax: 256-830-2158 ***County Name:*** Jackson

Mgmt Info: Volunteers of America North, Alabama, Inc., 555 Sparkman Drive, Suite 454, HUNTSVILLE, AL 35816 (256) 830-2155 Fax: (256) 830-2158 ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AL09T811022	9/20/2008	Active	133.8%	202/8 NC	9	10	0	9	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** 06235562 CREEKVIEW MANOR APARTMENTS, 1962 ALABAMA HWY 20 W, TOWN CREEK, AL 35672, (2 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Decatur, AL

Owner Info: Creekview Manor, Ltd., 1103 Richard Arrington, Jr. Boulevard South, , BIRMINGHAM, AL 35205 (205) 933-1020 Fax: (205)930-4508 ***County Name:*** Lawrence

Mgmt Info: SPM, Inc., 1103 Richard Arrington, Jr. Blvd., South, , Birmingham, AL 35205 (205) 933-1020 Fax: (205) 930-4508 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	6.50	$195,386	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	Jefferson County Assisted Housing Corp.	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AL090021093	10/31/2008	Active	133.6%	Sec 8 NC	40	40	0	16	16	8	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***06235559 KELLER COURT APARTMENTS, 901 KELLER LANE, TUSCUMBIA, AL 35674, 256-381-5193 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Florence, AL

Owner Info: Keller Court Apts, TC, L.P., 1 E Stow Rd, , Marlton, NJ 08053 (856)596-3008 Fax: (856)596-6093 ***County Name:*** Colbert

Mgmt Info: Interstate Realty Management Co., 1 E Stow Rd, , Marlton, NJ 08053 (856) 596-0500 Fax: (856) 596-6093 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	6.25	$379,355	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	Jefferson County Assisted Housing Corp.	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AL090021128	10/31/2008	Active	102.6%	Sec 8 NC	104	105	0	70	26	8	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***06235532 SPRING CREEK APARTMENTS, 1200 AVENUE A, TUSCUMBIA, AL 35674, 256-383-0261 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Florence, AL

Owner Info: SPRING CREEK ASSOCIATES, LP, 35 Union Avenue, Suite 200, , Memphis, TN 38103 (901)405-5013 Fax: (901)405-5014 ***County Name:*** Colbert

Mgmt Info: ALCO MANAGEMENT, INC, 35 UNION AVENUE, SUITE 200, MEMPHIS, TN 38103 (901) 544-1705 Fax: (901) 544-1744 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(3) MKT Re	8.25	$280,458	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	Jefferson County Assisted Housing Corp.	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AL09M000016	10/31/2008	Active	98.9%	LMSA	60	60	0	16	36	8	0	0

Totals:

TOTALS FOR ALL OWNERSHIP TYPES:

Total Properties:	31
Total Contracts:	31
Total Assisted Units:	1,995

Data compiled from several HUD sources and not independently verified. Does not include Section 202 and 811 properties with PAC or PRAC contract

Section 8 Contract information is from March 2008.

NATIONAL HOUSING TRUST

Special Report: Expiring Section 8 Contracts in Virginia's 5th Congressional District

This report includes properties in Rep. Virgil Goode, Jr.'s district in Virginia with contracts expiring by the end of fiscal year 2009.

PROJECT: *FHA #:* RAINTREE EAST, 720 BLUE RIDGE AVE, BEDFORD, VA 24523, 540 586-5650 — *Metro Area (MSA):* Lynchburg, VA

Owner Info: Raintree East, Limited Partnership, Post Office Box 20809, , Roanoke, VA 24018 (540) 774-1641 Fax: (504) 776-8680 — *County Name:* Bedford City

Mgmt Info: F & W MANAGEMENT LC, P O BOX 20809, , ROANOKE, VA 24018 540 774 1641 Fax: 540 776 8680 — *Ownership Type:* Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			Yes	Rent Rdct	RER Solutions, Inc.	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
VA360026015	8/31/2008	Active	119.9%	Sec 8 NC	78	78	0	64	14	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* RAINTREE VILLAGE, 720 BLUE RIDGE AVE, BEDFORD, VA 24523, 540 586-5650 — *Metro Area (MSA):* Lynchburg, VA

Owner Info: Rain Tree Village FW, LLC, 326 Third Street, , Lakewood, NJ 08701 732-367-0129 Fax: 732-363-7183 — *County Name:* Bedford City

Mgmt Info: F & W MANAGEMENT LC, P O BOX 20809, , ROANOKE, VA 24018 540 774 1641 Fax: 540 776 8680 — *Ownership Type:* Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			Yes	Rent Rdct	RER Solutions, Inc.	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
VA360026003	8/31/2008	Active	100.1%	Sec 8 NC	120	120	0	24	60	36	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*05144002 BLUE RIDGE COMMONS, 736 PROSPECT AVE, CHARLOTTESVILLE, VA 22903, 434 295-3094 — *Metro Area (MSA):* Charlottesville, VA

Owner Info: Blue Ridge Commons Corporation, 930 Cambria St NE, , Christiansburg, VA 24073 5403822002 Fax: 5403821935 — *County Name:* Charlottesville City

Mgmt Info: Community Housing Partners, 1520 West Main Street, Suite 200, Richmond, VA 23220 (804) 278-9781 Fax: (804) 278-9783 — *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
236(j)(1)/ Lower Income Fa	8.50	$853,704	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
VA36M000058	7/31/2008	Active	51.4%	LMSA	40	202	0	4	19	17	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*051EH037 EPHPHATHA VILLAGE, 1014 RIDGE ST, CHARLOTTESVILLE, VA 22902, 434-295-3094 — *Metro Area (MSA):* Charlottesville, VA

Owner Info: EPHPHATHA VILLAGE INC, 930 Cambria St NE, , Christiansburg, VA 24073 540 382-2002 Fax: — *County Name:* Charlottesville City

Mgmt Info: Community Housing Partners, 1520 West Main Street, Suite 200, Richmond, VA 23220 (804) 278-9781 Fax: (804) 278-9783 — *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
VA36T781006	3/20/2008	Active	134.1%	202/8 NC	14	14	10	4	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* Friendship Court, 418 GARRETT ST., CHARLOTTESVILLE, VA 22902, 434-295-4287 *Metro Area (MSA):* Charlottesville, VA
Owner Info: NHTE Piedmont Garrett Square LP, 1101 30th St NW Ste 400, , Washington, DC 20007 202-333-8931 Fax: 202-833-1031 *County Name:* Charlottesville City
Mgmt Info: EDGEWOOD MANAGEMENT CORPORATION, 8403 Colesville Road, #400, , Silver Spring, MD 20910 301-562-1600 Fax: 301-562-1670 *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
VA36H027021	7/31/2008	Active	85.0%	Sec 8 NC	150	150	0	0	80	54	16	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* The Meadows, 5800 Meadows Drive, CROZET, VA 22932, 804-823-4683 *Metro Area (MSA):* Charlottesville, VA
Owner Info: Jordan Development Corp., P. O. Box 825, , Charlottesville, VA 22902 8042954874 Fax: *County Name:* Albemarle
Mgmt Info: Management Services Corporation, P.O. Box 5306, , Charlottesville, VA 22905 (804) 977-2702 Fax: *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
VA36R000003	9/16/2008	Active	83.7%	515/8 NC	27	27	0	27	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* Clear Pond Apartments, 147 LEVELTON ST, DANVILLE, VA 24541, (757) 399-2090 *Metro Area (MSA):* Danville, VA
Owner Info: Douglas Danville Limited Partnership, 709 N. Main Street, , Aynor, SC 29511 (843)358-1052 Fax: *County Name:* Danville City
Mgmt Info: , , , , Fax: *Ownership Type:* Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
VA36L000015	6/30/2008	Active	88.0%	LMSA	26	106	0	4	17	5	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*05194008 HOLIDAY VILLAGE II, 222 Courtland ST, DANVILLE, VA 24540, (434)792-5300 *Metro Area (MSA):* Danville, VA
Owner Info: HOLIDAY VILLAGE ASSOCIATES II, 250 Westwood Drive, , DANVILLE, VA 24541 434-793-2371 Fax: 434-793-1573 *County Name:* Danville City
Mgmt Info: HOLIDAY VILLAGE ASSOCIATES II, 250 Westwood Drive, , DANVILLE, VA 24541 434-793-2371 Fax: 434-793-1573 *Ownership Type:* Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
207/ 223(f) Co-Insurance C	8.00	$765,312	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
VA36H027082	3/29/2009	Active	124.2%	HFDA/8 NC	51	51	0	46	5	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*05144057 NORTH HILLS APTS, 114 N HILLS CT, DANVILLE, VA 24541, 804-799-6202 *Metro Area (MSA):* Danville, VA
Owner Info: North Hills Associates, PO Box 4080, , New York, NY 10163 212-410-9353 Fax: *County Name:* Danville City
Mgmt Info: VIP Management,Inc., 355 Crawford Pkwy, Signet Building Suite 216, Portsmouth, VA 23704 757 399 2090 Fax: 757 393 6877 *Ownership Type:* Limited Dividend

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
236(j)(1)/ Lower Income Fa	7.00	$993,492	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
VA36M000080	7/31/2008	Active	79.2%	LMSA	44	114	0	9	17	16	2	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* PURDUM WOODS APTS, 1575 RICHMOND BLVD, DANVILLE, VA 24540, 804-799-5742 *Metro Area (MSA):* Danville, VA

Owner Info: Purdum Woods FW LLC, 326 3rd Street, , Lakewood, NJ 08701 (732) 367-0129 Fax: *County Name:* Danville City

Mgmt Info: F & W MANAGEMENT LC, P O BOX 20809, , ROANOKE, VA 24018 540 774 1641 Fax: 540 776 8680 *Ownership Type:*

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
VA36M000057	7/31/2008	Active	104.0%	LMSA	179	180	0	36	107	36	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* Woodside Village, 1321 PINEY FOREST RD, DANVILLE, VA 24540, (540) 774-16[illegible]1 *Metro Area (MSA):* Danville, VA

Owner Info: WOODSIDE VILLAGE, L.P., P.O. BOX 20809, , ROANOKE, VA 24018 540-774-1641 Fax: 540-776-8680 *County Name:* Danville City

Mgmt Info: F & W MANAGEMENT LC, P O BOX 20809, , ROANOKE, VA 24018 540 774 1641 Fax: 540 776 8680 *Ownership Type:*

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
VA36H027081	3/11/2009	Active	102.0%	HFDA/8 NC	160	160	0	0	92	52	16	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* Gold Hill Village, PO Box 400, DILLWYN, VA 23936, 434 983 2053 *Metro Area (MSA):*

Owner Info: BUCKINGHAM HOUSING DEVELOPMENT CORP, Route 15 North, , New Canton, VA 23123 434 983-35942053 Fax: *County Name:* Cumberland

Mgmt Info: BUCKINGHAM HOUSING DEVELOPMENT CORP, Route 15 North, , New Canton, VA 23123 434 983-35942053 Fax: *Ownership Type:* Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
VA36H027018	5/7/2008	Active	75.2%	HFDA/8 NC	20	20	0	20	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*05135096 PARKVIEW GARDENS, 204 Parkview Gdns, Farmville, VA 23901, 434-392-3189 *Metro Area (MSA):*

Owner Info: Parkview Gardens Associates, Limited, 355 Crawford St, , Portsmouth, VA 23704 7573992090 Fax: 7573936877 *County Name:* Prince Edward

Mgmt Info: VIP Management,Inc., 355 Crawford Pkwy, Signet Building Suite 216, Portsmouth, VA 23704 757 399 2090 Fax: 757 393 6877 *Ownership Type:* Limited Dividend

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
221(d)(3) Mkt. Rate Modera	7.75	$572,283	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
VA36M000052	9/30/2008	Active	101.9%	LMSA	80	80	0	20	40	20	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*051EH129 TIMBERLAKE VILLAGE, 412 CEDAR AVE, FARMVILLE, VA 23901, 434-392-3965 *Metro Area (MSA):*

Owner Info: RESIDENTIAL OPPORTUNITIES, INC., P. O. DRAWER 248, , FARMVILLE, VA 23901 434 392-5920 Fax: 434 392-4013 *County Name:* Prince Edward

Mgmt Info: CROSSROADS COMMUNITY SERVICES BOARD, P.O. BOX 248, , FARMVILLE, VA 23901 (804) 392-7049 Fax: 804 392-4013 *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
VA36T851098	3/2/2009	Active	110.1%	202/8 NC	15	15	0	12	3	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* Gretna Village Apartments, 327 PAYNE ST, GRETNA, VA 24557, 804-656-6529 *Metro Area (MSA):* Danville, VA

Owner Info: Gretna Village Limited Partnership, 4501 Connecticut Ave NW, , Washington, DC 20008 202-248-1569 Fax: 202-248-1570 *County Name:* Pittsylvania

Mgmt Info: .... Fax: *Ownership Type:* Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			Yes	Mrtg Rstr	ONTRA, Inc.	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
VA36M000083	4/30/2008	Active	95.9%	LMSA	47	47	0	5	10	23	9	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* James River Crossing, 808 GREENFIELD DR, LYNCHBURG, VA 24501, 434 845-2274 *Metro Area (MSA):* Lynchburg, VA

Owner Info: Artcraft - James Crossing, L.P., 3951C Stillman Pkwy, , Glen Allen, VA 23060 434 968-4800 Fax: 434 968-4801 *County Name:* Campbell

Mgmt Info: Artcraft Management Co. Inc., 3951-C Stillman Parkway, , Glen Allen, VA 23060 804-968-4800 Fax: 804-968-4801 *Ownership Type:* Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
VA36L000130	4/30/2008	Active	94.5%	LMSA	140	150	0	16	71	53	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* 05144068 NORTHVIEW Gardens, 755 STULTZ RD, MARTINSVILLE, VA 24112, (757)399-2090 *Metro Area (MSA):*

Owner Info: NORTHVIEW Limited Partnership, 12100 Wilshire BLVD, Suite 1400, LOS ANGELES, CA 90025 (213) 459-7915 Fax: *County Name:* Henry

Mgmt Info: Intercoastal Property Services LLC, 10390 Santa Monica Boulevard, Suite 210, Los Angeles, CA 90025 (310) 277-0057 Fax: (310) 277-0049 *Ownership Type:* Limited Dividend

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
236(j)(1)/ Lower Income Fa	7.00	$509,751	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
VA36M000054	9/30/2008	Active	85.1%	LMSA	20	100	0	9	11	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* Candlewood Apts, 340 E COURT ST, ROCKY MOUNT, VA 24151, 540-483-0323 *Metro Area (MSA):*

Owner Info: Candlewood FW, LLC, 326 3rd St, , Lakewood, NJ 08701 732-367-0129 Fax: 732-363-7183 *County Name:* Franklin

Mgmt Info: F & W MANAGEMENT LC, P O BOX 20809, , ROANOKE, VA 24018 540 774 1641 Fax: 540 776 8680 *Ownership Type:* Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
VA36M000038	9/30/2008	Active	92.9%	PD/8 Existing	72	72	0	12	36	24	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* MOUNTAIN VIEW APTS, 737 Windy Lane, ROCKY MOUNT, VA 24151, 540 483-1339 *Metro Area (MSA):*

Owner Info: Windy Lane Associates Limited Partnership, 4139 Brandon Ave., S. W., , Roanoke, VA 24018 540 989-3623 Fax: 540 989-1472 *County Name:* Franklin

Mgmt Info: MOUNTAIN VIEW ASSOCIATES, 4139 BRANDON SW AVE, , ROANOKE, VA 24018 (540) 989-3623 Fax: *Ownership Type:* Other

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
VA36R000005	1/5/2009	Active	100.0%	515/8 NC	60	60	0	4	48	8	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*05144022 FAIRMONT APTS, 130 POPLAR CREEK ST, SOUTH BOSTON, VA 24592, 8045555318 *Metro Area (MSA):*

Owner Info: VIP Fairmont Limited, 355 Crawford Parkway, Suite 216, Portsmouth, VA 23704 7573992090 Fax: 7573936877 *County Name:* South Boston City

Mgmt Info: V.I.P. Management, Inc., 355 Crawford Parkway, Suite 216, Portsmouth, VA 23704 7573992090 Fax: 7573936877 *Ownership Type:* Limited Dividend

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
236(j)(1)/ Lower Income Fa	8.50	$147,086	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ B
VA36M000046	8/31/2008	Active	90.0%	LMSA	9	48	0	0	9	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*05144079 Mecklenburg Manor Apts, 719 E Ferrell St, South Hill, VA 23970, 434-447-4467 *Metro Area (MSA):*

Owner Info: Mecklenburg Manor Associates, 3317 Shadow Lake Lane, , Matthews, NC 28104 704-377-8800 Fax: 704 358 1037 *County Name:* Mecklenburg

Mgmt Info: GATEWAY MANAGEMENT SERVICES, LLC, 15825 Shady Groad Road, Suite 25, ROCKVILLE, MD 20850 240-683-0300 ext. 205 Fax: 240-683-0727 *Ownership Type:* Limited Dividend

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
236(j)(1)/ Lower Income Fa	7.00	$319,227	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ B
VA36L000013	1/31/2009	Active	99.9%	LMSA	24	51	0	5	14	5	0	0

Totals:

TOTALS FOR ALL OWNERSHIP TYPES:

Total Properties:	21
Total Contracts:	21
Total Assisted Units:	1,376

Data compiled from several HUD sources and not independently verified. Does not include Section 202 and 811 properties with PAC or PRAC contract

Section 8 Contract information is from March 2008.

NATIONAL HOUSING TRUST

Special Report: Expiring Section 8 Contracts in Ohio's 9th Congressional District

This report includes properties in Rep. Marcy Kaptur's district in Ohio with contracts expiring by the end of fiscal year 2009.

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** CONCORD MANOR, 95 E VINE ST, OBERLIN, OH 44074, 440 775-0144 — ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Cleveland-Lorain-Elyria, OH

Owner Info: CONCORD MANOR LTD, 525 N MAIN ST, , OBERLIN, OH 44074 (216) 774-5144 Fax: — ***County Name:*** Lorain

Mgmt Info: TABLE ROCK, INC., P.O. BOX 0325, , OBERLIN, OH 44074 (216) 775-0144 Fax: — ***Ownership Type:***

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
OH128023003	3/31/2008	Active	99.6%	Sec 8 NC	50	50	0	49	1	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** 04211089 FOUNTAIN SQUARE of OREGON LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, 3113-19 NAVARRE AVE, OREGON, — ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Toledo, OH

Owner Info: Fountain Square of Oregon Limited Partnership, , , , 419.698.9773 Fax: 419.473.1184 — ***County Name:*** Lucas

Mgmt Info: Kennedy Brothers Real Estate Management Services, 6666 Shadowcreek Drive, , Maumee, OH 43537 419-473-0473 Fax: — ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
207/ 223(f) Pur/ Refin Hsg.	5.19	$3,067,947	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
OH120007010	12/31/2008	Active	122.4%	Sec 8 NC	110	110	0	110	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** 04235549 BAY MEADOWS APTS, 1195 W FREMONT RD, PORT CLINTON, OH 43452, 216-734-5002 — ***Metro Area (MSA):***

Owner Info: BAY MEADOWS, LTD, 8111 Rockside Rd Ste 200, , Cleveland, OH 44125 (216) 520-1250 Fax: — ***County Name:*** Ottawa

Mgmt Info: Millennia Housing Management Ltd., 8111 Rockside Road, , Valley View, OH 44125 (216) 520-1250 Fax: (216) 447-9946 — ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	6.30	$458,123	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	ONTRA, Inc.	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
OH12H051013	2/28/2009	Active	109.3%	HFDA/8 NC	60	60	0	0	40	18	2	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** 04235546 LAKEVIEW ESTATES, 205 BUCKEYE BLVD, PORT CLINTON, OH 43452, — ***Metro Area (MSA):***

Owner Info: Lakeview Estates Ltd., 25250 ROCKSIDE RD, , BEDFORD HEIGHTS, OH 44146 (216) 439-3400 Fax: (216) 439-6710 — ***County Name:*** Ottawa

Mgmt Info: Owner's Management Company, 25250 Rockside Road, , Bedford Hts, OH 44146 (440) 439-3800 Fax: (440) 439-6710 — ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	5.45	$1,248,403	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	CreditVest, Inc.	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
OH128023011	2/28/2009	Active	106.7%	Sec 8 NC	105	105	0	44	49	12	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***04211118 HARBORVIEW, 115 FRANKLIN ST, SANDUSKY, OH 44870, (419) 627-0544 ***Metro Area (MSA):***

Owner Info: HARBORVIEW CORPORATION, 115 FRANKLIN ST, , SANDUSKY, OH 44870 (419) 627-0544 Fax: ***County Name:*** Erie

Mgmt Info: HARBORVIEW CORPORATION, 115 FRANKLIN ST, , SANDUSKY, OH 44870 (419) 627-0544 Fax: ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
OH161045201	3/31/2008	Active	112.3%	202/8 NC	100	100	0	99	1	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** MILAN ROAD APARTMENTS, 2400 Milan Rd., SANDUSKY, OH 44870, (419) 626-1979 ***Metro Area (MSA):***

Owner Info: KEY REAL ESTATE LTD., P O BOX 1489, , SANDUSKY, OH 44871 419-626-1979 Fax: ***County Name:*** Erie

Mgmt Info: KEY REAL ESTATE LTD., P O BOX 1489, , SANDUSKY, OH 44871 419-626-1979 Fax: ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
OH160012045	7/31/2007	Expired	114.1%	Sec 8 NC	40	41	0	40	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** SUNNYSIDE ACRES, 1440 JUDY LN, SANDUSKY, OH 44870, (419) 626-1979 ***Metro Area (MSA):***

Owner Info: WILLIAM H.WALDOCK, 171 SUNSET DR., P O BOX 1489, SANDUSKY, OH 44871 (419) 626-1979 Fax: ***County Name:*** Erie

Mgmt Info: WILLIAM H.WALDOCK, 171 SUNSET DR., P O BOX 1489, SANDUSKY, OH 44871 (419) 626-1979 Fax: ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
OH160010054	1/31/2008	Expired	124.6%	Sec 8 NC	30	30	0	0	12	12	6	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** VIEWPOINT, 215 E SHORELINE DR, SANDUSKY, OH 44870, (419) 625-1101 ***Metro Area (MSA):***

Owner Info: SANDUSKY BAY KIWANIS SENIOR CITIZENS, INC., 215 E SHORELINE DR, , SANDUSKY, OH 44870 (419) 625-1101 Fax: 419-625-2826 ***County Name:*** Erie

Mgmt Info: SANDUSKY BAY KIWANIS SENIOR CITIZENS, INC., 215 E SHORELINE DR, , SANDUSKY, OH 44870 (419) 625-1101 Fax: 419-625-2826 ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
042SH024SUP	3/31/2009	Active	72.0%	Rent Supp	30	153	23	7	0	0	0	0
OH12L000007	7/31/2008	Active	128.2%	LMSA	54	153	50	4	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***042EH124 ARLINGTON BY THE LAKE, 2101 ARLINGTON AVE, TOLEDO, OH 43609, 419-381-6627 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Toledo, OH

Owner Info: NATIONAL CHURCH RESIDENCES OF TOLEDO, 2335 N BANK DR, , COLUMBUS, OH 43220 (614) 451-2151 Fax: ***County Name:*** Lucas

Mgmt Info: National Church Residences, 2335 N Bank Dr, , Columbus, OH 43220 (614)451-2151 Fax: (614)451-0351 ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
OH12T811014	5/31/2008	Active	154.2%	202/8 NC	50	50	0	50	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***04244803 ASHLAND MANOR, 2030 ASHLAND AVE, TOLEDO, OH 43620, 419-246-6364 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Toledo, OH

Owner Info: Ashland Manor Apartments Limited Partnership, 55 Beattie Place, Compliance, Greenville, SC 29601 864-239-1000 Fax: 864-239-5802 ***County Name:*** Lucas

Mgmt Info: OP Property Management, LLC, 55 Beattie Pl Fl 3, , Greenville, SC 29601 (864) 239-1428 Fax: (864) 239-5802 ***Ownership Type:*** Limited Dividend

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
236(j)(1)/ 202 Elderly Hsg.	7.00	$939,213	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	RER Solutions, Inc.	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
OH12M000121	6/30/2008	Active	89.1%	LMSA	146	189	0	143	3	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***04235517 BRIARWOOD APARTMENTS, 2524-44 BRIAR LANE, TOLEDO, OH 43614, 419-465-3185 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Toledo, OH

Owner Info: BRIARWOOD APARTMENTS OF TOLEDO, P.O. BOX 40177, , INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46240 (317) 469-5869 Fax: ***County Name:*** Lucas

Mgmt Info: GENE GLICK MGMT CORP., PO Box 40177, , Indianapolis, IN 46240 (317) 469-5869 Fax: ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	4.88	$1,732,114	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
OH160012005	5/31/2008	Active	127.4%	Sec 8 NC	100	100	0	40	48	12	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** BYRAM PROPERTIES, 811 N HURON ST, TOLEDO, OH 43604, 419 472-5645 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Toledo, OH

Owner Info: , 4737 ESTERO PL, , TOLEDO, OH 43623 (419) 472-5645 Fax: ***County Name:*** Lucas

Mgmt Info: , 4737 ESTERO PL, , TOLEDO, OH 43623 (419) 472-5645 Fax: ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
OH12A007018	5/31/2008	Active	129.6%	Sec 8 SR	15	15	0	9	4	2	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***04211088 BYRNEPORT APARTMENTS II LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, 813 BYRNEPORT DR, TOLEDO, OH 4 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Toledo, OH

Owner Info: Byrneport Apartments II, Limited Partnership, 909 Byrneport Dr, , Toledo, OH 43609 419-385.8328 Fax: 419-473.1184 ***County Name:*** Lucas

Mgmt Info: Kennedy Brothers Real Estate Management Services, 6666 Shadowcreek Drive, , Maumee, OH 43537 419-473-0473 Fax: ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
207/ 223(f) Pur/ Refin Hsg.	5.19	$2,461,313	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
OH120001045	3/11/2008	Active	103.8%	Sec 8 NC	100	100	0	0	48	42	10	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***04244037 CAMBRIDGE COURT, 1807-09 CHRISTIAN AVE, TOLEDO, OH 43613, 419-472-3601 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Toledo, OH

Owner Info: Cambridge Court Associates, An Ohio Limited Partne, 4668 Talmadge Rd, , Toledo, OH 43623 (473) 047-3601 Fax: ***County Name:*** Lucas

Mgmt Info: SCHROEDER COMPANY, 4668 TALMADGE RD, , TOLEDO, OH 43623 4194730473 Fax: ***Ownership Type:*** Limited Dividend

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
236(j)(1)/ Lower Income Fa	8.50	$649,218	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
OH12M000078	7/31/2008	Active	84.4%	LMSA	48	120	0	24	24	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***04244071 COVENANT HOUSE, 702 N ERIE ST, TOLEDO, OH 43604, 419 243-2334 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Toledo, OH

Owner Info: COVENANT HOUSE, INC., 702 N ERIE ST, , TOLEDO, OH 43604 (419) 243-2334 Fax: (419) 243-7040 ***County Name:*** Lucas

Mgmt Info: CAROLE E.WHITMORE, 702 N ERIE ST, , TOLEDO, OH 43604 (419) 243-2334 Fax: ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
236(j)(1)/ Lower Income Fa	7.00	$867,538	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
OH16L000012	5/31/2008	Active	100.4%	LMSA	143	156	0	131	12	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***04235566 DOUGLAS SQUARE APARTMENTS, 4739 DOUGLAS RD, TOLEDO, OH 43623, 419 522-9769 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Toledo, OH

Owner Info: Douglas Square Apartments, Limited, 105 South Main Street, , Mansfield, OH 44902 (419) 522-9769 Fax: ***County Name:*** Lucas

Mgmt Info: DDG MANAGEMENT COMPANY, INC., 105 S MAIN ST, , MANSFIELD, OH 44902 (419) 522-9769 Fax: ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	6.50	$487,550	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	CreditVest, Inc.	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
OH120014044	6/30/2008	Active	120.6%	Sec 8 NC	40	40	0	0	35	5	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** FRISCH PROPERTIES, 1320-22 N HURON RD, TOLEDO, OH 43611, 419 882-6030 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Toledo, OH

Owner Info: , 6644 LINCOLN PARKWAY, , SYLVANIA, OH 43560 (419) 882-6030 Fax: 419-882-5662 ***County Name:*** Lucas

Mgmt Info: , 6644 LINCOLN PARKWAY, , SYLVANIA, OH 43560 (419) 882-6030 Fax: 419-882-5662 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
OH12A007020	8/31/2008	Active	91.3%	Sec 8 SR	10	10	0	4	5	1	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***042EH246 GEORGE MANCE COMMONS, 2050 WARREN STREET, TOLEDO, OH 43620, 419-246-4740 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Toledo, OH

Owner Info: WARREN SHERMAN HOUSING INC, 2905 MIDDLESEX RD, , TOLEDO, OH 43606 (419) 535-6501 Fax: ***County Name:*** Lucas

Mgmt Info: VISTULA MANAGEMENT COMPANY, 1931 SCOTTWOOD AVE, SUITE 700 P.O. BOX 4719, TOLEDO, OH 43620 419 242-2300 Fax: 419 246-4703 ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
OH12T841013	9/9/2008	Active	157.0%	202/8 NC	40	40	0	20	13	7	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***04244050 Greenbelt Place Apartments, 727 MICHIGAN ST, TOLEDO, OH 43604, 419-243-1131 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Toledo, OH

Owner Info: Hampstead Cherrywood Partners, L.P., 1205 Prospect Street, Suite 450, La Jolla, CA 92037 858 551 5303 Fax: ***County Name:*** Lucas

Mgmt Info: ALPHA PROPERTY MANAGEMENT I, INC., 1755 E Martin Luther King Jr Blvd, , Los Angeles, CA 90058 (323) 231-4174 Fax: (323) 231-3072 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			Yes	Mrtg Rstr	Foley and Judell, LLP	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
OH12M000082	9/30/2008	Active	107.8%	LMSA	176	176	0	38	82	34	22	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***04235553 GREENVIEW GARDENS, 1151 PINEBROOK PKY, TOLEDO, OH 43615, 419 385-9541 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Toledo, OH

Owner Info: Greenview Gardens, Limited, 25250 ROCKSIDE ROAD, , CLEVELAND, OH 44146 216-439-3800 Fax: 216-439-6710 ***County Name:*** Lucas

Mgmt Info: Owner's Management Company, 25250 Rockside Road, , Bedford Hts, OH 44146 (440) 439-3800 Fax: (440) 439-6710 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	5.75	$3,551,097	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	CrediVest, Inc.	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
OH120009003	1/31/2009	Active	114.0%	Sec 8 NC	120	120	0	0	96	24	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***04235557 HAMPTON COURT, 3125 ILGER AVE, TOLEDO, OH 43606, 419-536-1714 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Toledo, OH

Owner Info: Hampton Court, Limited, P.O. BOX 23040, , Toledo, OH 43623 (419) 885-9400 Fax: ***County Name:*** Lucas

Mgmt Info: SPIEKER REALTY, INC., P.O. BOX 23040, , TOLEDO, OH 43623 (419)885-9400 Fax: (419)885-9402 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	6.10	$262,746	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	CrediVest, Inc.	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
OH120008013	3/31/2008	Active	95.9%	Sec 8 NC	48	48	0	0	48	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***04235403 HIGHLAND CREST APARTMENTS, 423 SOUTHCREST, TOLEDO, OH 43609, 419 473-0473 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Toledo, OH

Owner Info: Highland Crest Associates, Limited, 4668 Talmadge Road, P.O. BOX 8890, Toledo, OH 43623 (419) 473-0473 Fax: ***County Name:*** Lucas

Mgmt Info: SCHROEDER COMPANY, 4668 TALMADGE RD, , TOLEDO, OH 43623 4194730473 Fax: ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
221(d)(4) Mkt. Rate Mod Inc	10.63	$664,577	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	Foley and Judell, LLP		

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
OH120016004	3/31/2008	Active	118.1%	Sec 8 NC	20	20	0	0	17	3	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***04235534 HILLTOP VILLAGE, 3508-12 HILLTOP BLVD, TOLEDO, OH 43607, 419-537-8955 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Toledo, OH

Owner Info: HILLTOP VILLAGE LTD, 3503 HILLTOP BLVD, , TOLEDO, OH 43607 (419) 882-8889 Fax: ***County Name:*** Lucas

Mgmt Info: SPIEKER REALTY, INC., P.O. BOX 23040, , TOLEDO, OH 43623 (419)885-9400 Fax: (419)885-9402 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	6.45	$410,396	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	Heskin Signet Partnership	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
OH120009002	9/30/2008	Active	98.4%	Sec 8 NC	90	90	0	0	72	18	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***042EH002 HOPE MANOR, 4702 VIOLET RD, TOLEDO, OH 43623, 419-246-4733 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Toledo, OH

Owner Info: HOPE MANOR, INC, 1931 SCOTTWOOD AVE, , TOLEDO, OH 43620 (419) 242-2300 Fax: ***County Name:*** Lucas

Mgmt Info: VISTULA MANAGEMENT COMPANY, 1931 SCOTTWOOD AVE, SUITE 700 P.O. BOX 4719, TOLEDO, OH 43620 419 242-2300 Fax: 419 246-4703 ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
OH161100201	12/31/2007	Expired	132.2%	202/8 NC	100	101	0	100	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** JAC RENTALS, 315 ELM ST, TOLEDO, OH 43604, 419-472-5645 — ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Toledo, OH

Owner Info: , 4737 ESTERO PL, , TOLEDO, OH 43623 (419) 472-5645 Fax: — ***County Name:*** Lucas

Mgmt Info: , 4737 ESTERO PL, , TOLEDO, OH 43623 (419) 472-5645 Fax: — ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
OH12A007017	12/31/2008	Active	104.9%	Sec 8 SR	4	4	0	2	0	2	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** 04211107 LUTHER GROVE, 2506 SEAMAN ST, TOLEDO, OH 43605, 419-698-1919 — ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Toledo, OH

Owner Info: LUTHERAN HOUSING SERVICES II, INC., 2506 Seaman St., , TOLEDO, OH 43605 419-698-1919 Fax: 419-698-2370 — ***County Name:*** Lucas

Mgmt Info: LUTHERAN ORPHANS & OLD FOLKS' HOME SOCIETY, 2411 SEAMAN ST, , TOLEDO, OH 43605 (419) 693-0751 Fax: — ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
207/ 223(f) Pur/ Refin Hsg.	6.22	$2,028,589	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
OH12T801013	12/20/2008	Active	189.9%	202/8 NC	50	50	0	50	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** 04235530 MADONNA HOMES, 722 N HURON ST, TOLEDO, OH 43604, 419 244-3758 — ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Toledo, OH

Owner Info: MADONNA HOMES INC, 1931 SCOTTWOOD AVE, Suite 700, TOLEDO, OH 43620 (419) 244-3758 Fax: — ***County Name:*** Lucas

Mgmt Info: VISTULA MANAGEMENT COMPANY, 1931 SCOTTWOOD AVE, SUITE 700 P.O. BOX 4719, TOLEDO, OH 43620 419 242-2300 Fax: 419 246-4703 — ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
221(d)(4) Mkt. Rate Mod Inc	5.36	$3,279,800	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
OH16L000011	5/31/2008	Active	81.9%	LMSA	171	196	136	35	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** 042EH304 MAUMEE HOUSE, 1028 LINDEN LN, TOLEDO, OH 43615, 419-531-0019 — ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Toledo, OH

Owner Info: ZEPF HOUSING CORPORATION TWO, INC., 6605 WEST CENTRAL AVE., , TOLEDO, OH 43617 (419) 866-4804 Fax: — ***County Name:*** Lucas

Mgmt Info: ZEPF COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH CENTER, INC., 6605 WEST CENTRAL AVE., , TOLEDO, OH 43617 (419) 841-7813 Fax: — ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
OH12T851005	7/31/2008	Active	148.5%	202/8 NC	8	9	0	8	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** 04211113 MICHAELMAS MANOR, 3250 SCHNEIDER RD, TOLEDO, OH 43614, 419 246-4735 — ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Toledo, OH

Owner Info: MICHAELMAS MANOR, INC., 1931 SCOTTWOOD AVE, , TOLEDO, OH 43620 (419) 242-2300 Fax: — ***County Name:*** Lucas

Mgmt Info: VISTULA MANAGEMENT COMPANY, 1931 SCOTTWOOD AVE, SUITE 700 P.O. BOX 4719, TOLEDO, OH 43620 419 242-2300 Fax: 419 246-4703 — ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
207/ 223(f) Pur/ Refin Hsg.	6.06	$3,325,378	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
OH12T791003	6/9/2008	Active	150.8%	202/8 NC	94	94	0	82	12	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***04245003 MOODY MANOR, 2293 1/2 KENT STREET, TOLEDO, OH 43620, 419 241-6985 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Toledo, OH

Owner Info: Moody Manor Inc, 3739 N Erie St, , Toledo, OH 43611 419-242-2300 Fax: ***County Name:*** Lucas

Mgmt Info: VISTULA MANAGEMENT COMPANY, 1931 SCOTTWOOD AVE, SUITE 700 P.O. BOX 4719, TOLEDO, OH 43620 419 242-2300 Fax: 419 246-4703 ***Ownership Type:***

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/236(j)(1) Refi/ Lo	5.42	$1,148,989	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	Heskin Signet Partnership	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
OH16L000001	10/31/2008	Active	138.9%	LMSA	119	119	0	30	42	35	12	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***04235521 NEW TOWN, 1013 DORR ST, TOLEDO, OH 43607, 419-241-2538 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Toledo, OH

Owner Info: New Town Limited Partnership, 1931 SCOTTWOOD AVENUE, SUITE 700 PO BOX 4719, TOLEDO, OH 43620 (419) 242-2300 Fax: ***County Name:*** Lucas

Mgmt Info: VISTULA MANAGEMENT COMPANY, 1931 SCOTTWOOD AVE, SUITE 700 P.O. BOX 4719, TOLEDO, OH 43620 419 242-2300 Fax: 419 246-4703 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	6.10	$330,513	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	Heskin Signet Partnership	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
OH120001014	5/31/2008	Active	101.3%	Sec 8 NC	100	100	0	0	72	28	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***04235567 NORTH TOWNE VILLAGE, 5725-15 SILVERSIDE DR, TOLEDO, OH 43612, 419-476-8269 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Toledo, OH

Owner Info: NORTH TOWNE VILLAGE, LIMITED, PO Box 23040, , Toledo, OH 43623 419-243-1672 Fax: 419-255-3840 ***County Name:*** Lucas

Mgmt Info: SPIEKER REALTY, INC., P.O. BOX 23040, , TOLEDO, OH 43623 (419)885-9400 Fax: (419)885-9402 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	5.98	$2,383,947	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	CrediVest, Inc.	Y	Y

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
OH120008053	9/30/2008	Active	119.6%	Sec 8 NC	70	70	0	0	39	25	6	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***04235581 NORTHGATE APARTMENTS, 610 STICKNEY AVE, TOLEDO, OH 43604, 419 729-7118 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Toledo, OH

Owner Info: NORTHGATE LIMITED DIVIDENO, ONE CHARLES RIVER PLACE, 63 KENDRICK STREET, NEEDHAM, MA 02494 (781) 707-9000 Fax: (781) 707-9098 ***County Name:*** Lucas

Mgmt Info: WINGATE MANAGEMENT CO. INC., One Charles River Place, 63 Kendrick Street, Needham, MA 02494 (781) 707-9000 Fax: ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	5.70	$1,391,187	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	CrediVest, Inc.	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
OH12A007019	5/31/2008	Active	143.0%	Sec 8 NC	229	230	0	198	31	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***04211090 Norwich Apartments II Limited Partnership, 5146-48 NORWICH RD, TOLEDO, OH 43615, 419 473 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Toledo, OH

Owner Info: Norwich Apartment II Limited Partnership, 5150 Norwich Road, , Toledo, OH 43615 419.381.8846 Fax: 419.473.1184 ***County Name:*** Lucas

Mgmt Info: Kennedy Brothers Real Estate Management Services, 6666 Shadowcreek Drive, , Maumee, OH 43537 419-473-0473 Fax: ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
207/ 223(f) Pur/ Refin Hsg.	5.19	$1,868,629	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
OH160012010	5/31/2008	Active	110.6%	Sec 8 NC	76	77	0	0	47	24	5	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***04235533 OAKWOOD GARDENS, 6844 OAKFIELD DRIVE, TOLEDO, OH 43615, 719 734-6441 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Toledo, OH

Owner Info: OAKWOOD GARDENS LTD, 25250 ROCKSIDE RD., BEDFORD HEIGHTS, OH 44146 (216) 439-2100 Fax: ***County Name:*** Lucas

Mgmt Info: Owner's Management Company, 25250 Rockside Road, , Bedford Hts, OH 44146 (440) 439-3800 Fax: (440) 439-6710 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	5.50	$4,936,323	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	Heskin Signet Partnership	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
OH120005005	5/31/2008	Active	119.2%	Sec 8 NC	168	168	0	16	110	42	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***042EH025 OBLATE RESIDENCES, 1225 FLAIRE DR, TOLEDO, OH 43615, 419 246-4715 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Toledo, OH

Owner Info: OBLATE RESIDENCES CORPORATION, 1931 SCOTTWOOD DR, , TOLEDO, OH 43620 (419) 242-2300 Fax: ***County Name:*** Lucas

Mgmt Info: VISTULA MANAGEMENT COMPANY, 1931 SCOTTWOOD AVE, SUITE 700 P.O. BOX 4719, TOLEDO, OH 43620 419 242-2300 Fax: 419 246-4703 ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
OH12T781016	10/31/2008	Active	141.3%	202/8 NC	100	100	0	100	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***04211087 Ottawa Cove Apartments II Limited Partnership, 1841 S OTTAWA COVE DR, TOLEDO, OH 43611, ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Toledo, OH

Owner Info: Ottawa Cove Apartments II Limited Partnership, , , , 419.729.4015 Fax: 419.473.1184 ***County Name:*** Lucas

Mgmt Info: Kennedy Brothers Real Estate Management Services, 6666 Shadowcreek Drive, , Maumee, OH 43537 419-473-0473 Fax: ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
207/ 223(f) Pur/ Refin Hsg.	5.19	$3,148,602	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
OH120004001	3/31/2008	Active	116.4%	Sec 8 NC	100	100	0	0	64	36	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***042EH302 OTTAWA HOUSE, 5310 HILL AVE, TOLEDO, OH 43615, 419-531-0019 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Toledo, OH

Owner Info: ZEPF HOUSING CORPORATION - ONE, INC., 6605 WEST CENTRAL AVE., , TOLEDO, OH 43617 (419) 866-4804 Fax: ***County Name:*** Lucas

Mgmt Info: ZEPF COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH CENTER, INC., 6605 WEST CENTRAL AVE., , TOLEDO, OH 43617 (419) 841-7813 Fax: ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
OH12T851003	7/31/2008	Active	156.1%	202/8 NC	8	9	0	8	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***04235610 PALMER GARDENS, 2733 WAYMAN PALMER DR, TOLEDO, OH 43606, 419 246-4739 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Toledo, OH

Owner Info: Palmer Gardens Associates, Limited Partnership, 136 North Summit Street Suite 114, , Toledo, OH 43604 419-241-1300 Fax: 419-246-4703 ***County Name:*** Lucas

Mgmt Info: Midwest Management Company, 950 Corporate Office Dr, Suite 100, Milford, MI 48381 248-529-2257 Fax: 248-529 2001 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			Yes	Mrtg Rstr	Heskin Signet Partnership		

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
OH120017005	1/31/2009	Active	114.9%	Sec 8 NC	75	75	0	0	53	14	8	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***04211116 PELHAM MANOR, 2700 PELHAM RD, TOLEDO, OH 43606, 419-537-1515 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Toledo, OH

Owner Info: PELHAM MANOR, INC., 2700 Pelham Road, , Toledo, OH 43606 419-537-1515 Fax: 419-534-6657 ***County Name:*** Lucas

Mgmt Info: United Jewish Council, 6465 Sylvania Ave, , Sylvania, OH 43560 419-724-0354 Fax: 419-724-0423 ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
207/ 223(f) Pur/ Refin Hsg.	5.86	$3,953,818	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
OH12T781001	10/31/2008	Active	191.1%	202/8 NC	100	101	0	95	5	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***04238027 PINEWOOD PLACE, 1210 COLLINGWOOD BLVD, TOLEDO, OH 43602, 419-243-1413 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Toledo, OH

Owner Info: Pinewood Place Apartment Associates, LP, 55 Beattie Place, , Greenville, SC 29602 864-239-1000 Fax: 864-239-580[illegible] ***County Name:*** Lucas

Mgmt Info: OP Property Management, LLC, 55 Beattie Pl Fl 3, , Greenville, SC 29601 (864) 239-1428 Fax: (864) 239-5802 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/231 Refi/ Elderly	8.00	$505,671	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	Foley and Judell, LLP	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
OH160012033	7/31/2008	Active	121.9%	Sec 8 NC	99	99	0	96	3	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***04235192 PROJECT ALPHA, 525 E WOODRUFF, TOLEDO, OH 43624, 419-246-1258 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Toledo, OH

Owner Info: NEW ALPHA HOUSING LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, 5272 RIVER ROAD SUITE 440, , BETHESDA, MD 20816 301-657-4485 Fax: 301-657-5933 ***County Name:*** Lucas

Mgmt Info: Forest City Residential Mangement,Inc., Terminal Tower, 50 Public Square Ste.1200, CLEVELAND, OH 44113 (216) 416-3106 Fax: (216) 263-4803 ***Ownership Type:*** Limited Dividend

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
221(d)(3) Mkt. Rate Modera	7.00	$2,057,410	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	CreditVest, Inc.		

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
OH12M000053	2/29/2008	Active	108.4%	LMSA	165	165	0	158	7	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***04235584 REGINA MANOR, 3731 N. ERIE, TOLEDO, OH 43611, 419-246-4731 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Toledo, OH

Owner Info: REGINA MANOR APTS INC, 1931 SCOTTWOOD AVE, , TOLEDO, OH 43620 (419) 242-2300 Fax: ***County Name:*** Lucas

Mgmt Info: VISTULA MANAGEMENT COMPANY, 1931 SCOTTWOOD AVE, SUITE 700 P.O. BOX 4719, TOLEDO, OH 43620 419 242-2300 Fax: 419 246-4703 ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(3) MKT Re	5.42	$1,844,259	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	Heskin Signet Partnership	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
OH12M000030	10/31/2008	Active	132.7%	LMSA	180	180	0	56	100	24	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***04235606 SOUTH TOLEDO HOMES, 837 WESTERN AVE, TOLEDO, OH 43609, 419 242-2300 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Toledo, OH

Owner Info: South Toledo Associates, Limited, 1931 Scottwood Avenue, SUITE 700, Toledo, OH 43620 (419) 242-2300 Fax: 419 246-4703 ***County Name:*** Lucas

Mgmt Info: VISTULA MANAGEMENT COMPANY, 1931 SCOTTWOOD AVE, SUITE 700 P.O. BOX 4719, TOLEDO, OH 43620 419 242-2300 Fax: 419 246-4703 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	6.75	$426,951	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	Heskin Signet Partnership	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
OH120016006	5/31/2008	Active	114.0%	Sec 8 NC	18	18	0	0	4	12	2	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***04235334 SOUTHGATE WOODS APARTMENTS, 472 SOUTHGATE CIRCLE DR, TOLEDO, OH 43615, 419 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Toledo, OH

Owner Info: Southgate Woods Apartments Partnership, 4668 Talmadge Road, P. O. Box 8890, Toledo, OH 43623 (419) 473-0473 Fax: (419) 473-1184 ***County Name:*** Lucas

Mgmt Info: SCHROEDER COMPANY, 4668 TALMADGE RD, , TOLEDO, OH 43623 4194730473 Fax: ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
221(d)(4) Mkt. Rate Mod Inc	7.50	$2,276,022	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	CreditVest, Inc.		

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
OH120009006	2/29/2008	Active	117.0%	Sec 8 NC	100	100	0	16	48	36	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***042EH085 ST. GEORGE'S MANOR, 3716 HILL AVE, TOLEDO, OH 43615, 419 246-4746 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Toledo, OH

Owner Info: ST. GEORGE'S ANTIOCHIAN ORTHODOX HSG. CORP., 1931 Scottwood Ave, , TOLEDO, OH 43620 (419) 535-6501 Fax: ***County Name:*** Lucas

Mgmt Info: VISTULA MANAGEMENT COMPANY, 1931 SCOTTWOOD AVE, SUITE 700 P.O. BOX 4719, TOLEDO, OH 43620 419 242-2300 Fax: 419 246-4703 ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
OH12T801003	12/5/2007	Expired	147.6%	202/8 NC	50	51	0	50	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***042EH093 TEAMSTERS RESIDENCES, 6075 MANLEY RD, TOLEDO, OH 43537, 419 246-4720 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Toledo, OH

Owner Info: TEAMSTERS RESIDENCES, INC., 1931 SCOTTWOOD AVENUE, #700, , TOLEDO, OH 43620 (419) 242-2300 Fax: ***County Name:*** Lucas

Mgmt Info: VISTULA MANAGEMENT COMPANY, 1931 SCOTTWOOD AVE, SUITE 700 P.O. BOX 4719, TOLEDO, OH 43620 419 242-2300 Fax: 419 246-4703 ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
OH12T801011	6/5/2008	Active	142.3%	202/8 NC	52	52	0	52	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***042EH303 THE OAKS, 5320 HILL AVE, TOLEDO, OH 43615, 419-531-0019 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Toledo, OH

Owner Info: ZEPF HOUSING CORPORATION THREE, INC., 6605 WEST CENTRAL AVE., , TOLEDO, OH 43617 (419) 841-7813 Fax: ***County Name:*** Lucas

Mgmt Info: ZEPF COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH CENTER, INC., 6605 WEST CENTRAL AVE., , TOLEDO, OH 43617 (419) 841-7813 Fax: ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
OH12T851004	8/31/2008	Active	152.2%	202/8 NC	20	20	0	20	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***04235556 THE PLAZA, 2520 A MONROE ST, TOLEDO, OH 43620, 419 244-1881 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Toledo, OH

Owner Info: Plaza II, LLC, 1931 Scottwood Ave Ste 700, , Toledo, OH 43620 419-242-2300 Fax: 419-246-4703 ***County Name:*** Lucas

Mgmt Info: VISTULA MANAGEMENT COMPANY, 1931 SCOTTWOOD AVE, SUITE 700 P.O. BOX 4719, TOLEDO, OH 43620 419 242-2300 Fax: 419 246-4703 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	6.50	$1,438,413	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	CreditVest, Inc.	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
OH12A007010	6/30/2008	Active	144.2%	Sec 8 SR	159	160	28	125	6	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***04235308 TURNER PROPERTIES, 1430 N HURON ST, TOLEDO, OH 43604, 517-467-789 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Toledo, OH

Owner Info: , 2315 TECUMSEH DR, , BROOKLYN, MI 49230 (517) 467-7891 Fax: ***County Name:*** Lucas

Mgmt Info: VISTULA MANAGEMENT COMPANY, 1931 SCOTTWOOD AVE, SUITE 700 P.O. BOX 4719, TOLEDO, OH 43620 419 242-2300 Fax: 419 246-4703 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			Yes	Mrtg Rstr	ON RA, Inc.	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
OH12A007003	12/31/2008	Active	124.4%	Sec 8 SR	8	8	0	8	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***04235569 VISTULA HERITAGE II, 629 LOCUST ST, TOLEDO, OH 43604, 419 244-2836 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Toledo, OH

Owner Info: VISTULA II, 1931 SCOTTWOOD AVE, PO BOX 4719 SUITE 700, TOLEDO, OH 43620 (419) 242-2300 Fax: 419-246-4703 ***County Name:*** Lucas

Mgmt Info: VISTULA MANAGEMENT COMPANY, 1931 SCOTTWOOD AVE, SUITE 700 P.O. BOX 4719, TOLEDO, OH 43620 419 242-2300 Fax: 419 246-4703 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	6.75	$1,753,775	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	CreditVest, Inc.	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
OH12A007009	7/31/2008	Active	140.2%	Sec 8 SR	165	165	14	76	55	16	4	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***04235609 VISTULA HERITAGE VILLAGE, 711 LOCUST ST, TOLEDO, OH 43604, 419-244-2836 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Toledo, OH

Owner Info: VISTULA HERITAGE VILLAGE, AIMCO Compliance, 55 Beattie Place, Greenville, SC 29601 (864) 239-1000 Fax: (864) 239-5848 ***County Name:*** Lucas

Mgmt Info: VISTULA MANAGEMENT COMPANY, 1931 SCOTTWOOD AVE, SUITE 700 P.O. BOX 4719, TOLEDO, OH 43620 419 242-2300 Fax: 419 246-4703 ***Ownership Type:*** Limited Dividend

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			Yes	Mrtg Rstr	Heskin Signet Partnership		

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
OH12A007001	1/31/2009	Active	94.5%	Sec 8 SR	250	251	3	138	91	17	1	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***04235498 WESTLAND GARDENS, 1717 FIELDING STREET, TOLEDO, OH 43615, 419 536-0654 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Toledo, OH

Owner Info: Westland Gardens Limited Partnership, 25250 Rockside Road, , Cleveland, OH 44146 (216) 439-3800 Fax: ***County Name:*** Lucas

Mgmt Info: Owner's Management Company, 25250 Rockside Road, , Bedford Hts, OH 44146 (440) 439-3800 Fax: (440) 439-6710 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	6.35	$1,055,113	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	Heskin Signet Partnership	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
OH120004002	10/31/2008	Active	109.7%	Sec 8 NC	100	100	0	0	80	20	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** HERITAGE SQUARE, 200 MARTS PL, WELLINGTON, OH 44090, 440 647-5252 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Cleveland-Lorain-Elyria, OH

Owner Info: HERITAGE SQUARE LIMITED, 525 N MAIN ST, , OBERLIN, OH 44074 (216) 774-5144 Fax: ***County Name:*** Lorain

Mgmt Info: TABLE ROCK, INC., P.O. BOX 0325, , OBERLIN, OH 44074 (216) 775-0144 Fax: ***Ownership Type:*** Other

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
OH12R000013	3/31/2008	Active	94.2%	515/8 NC	56	56	0	42	14	0	0	0

Totals:

TOTALS FOR ALL OWNERSHIP TYPES:	
Total Properties:	55
Total Contracts:	55
Total Assisted Units:	4,719

Data compiled from several HUD sources and not independently verified. Does not include Section 202 and 811 properties with PAC or PRAC contract
Section 8 Contract information is from March 2008.

NATIONAL HOUSING TRUST

Special Report: Expiring Section 8 Contracts in Arizona's 4th Congressional District

This report includes properties in Rep. Ed Pastor's district in Arizona with contracts expiring by the end of fiscal year 2009.

PROJECT: *FHA #:* GOOD SHEPHERD HOMES OF ARIZONA WEST, 6113 N 60TH AVE, GLENDALE, AZ 85301, 623 *Metro Area (MSA):* Phoenix-Mesa, AZ
Owner Info: FIBCO Family Services, Inc., 1141 E. Jefferson Street, , Phoenix, AZ 85034 602 258 1998 x 2221 Fax: 602 307 5580 *County Name:* Maricopa
Mgmt Info: BILTMORE PROPERTIES INC, 2330 WEST MISSION LANE, # 10, PHOENIX, AZ 85021 (602) 997-0013 Fax: 602-997-0513 *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AZ16T801009	3/31/2008	Active	142.0%	202/8 NC	50	50	0	50	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*123EH020 KACHINA PLACE, 6238 N 63RD AVE, GLENDALE, AZ 85301, 6238429159 *Metro Area (MSA):* Phoenix-Mesa, AZ
Owner Info: NATIONAL CHURCH RESIDENCES OF GLENDALE, AZ, 2335 N BANK DR, , COLUMBUS, OH 43220 (614) 451-2151 Fax: (614) 451-0351 *County Name:* Maricopa
Mgmt Info: National Church Residences, 2335 N Bank Dr, , Columbus, OH 43220 (614)451-2151 Fax: (614)451-0351 *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AZ20T821006	12/20/2008	Active	148.4%	202/8 NC	39	40	10	29	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*12335406 GUADALUPE BARRIO NUEVO, 5805 E CALLE FORTUNATO SERRANO, GUADALUPE, AZ 8528 *Metro Area (MSA):* Phoenix-Mesa, AZ
Owner Info: CAUSA COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT INC, 1112 E BUCKEYE RD, , PHOENIX, AZ 85034 (602) 257-0700 Fax: *County Name:* Maricopa
Mgmt Info: TIEMPO INC, 1107 EAST TONTO, , PHOENIX, AZ 85034 (602) 252-0482 Fax: 602-252-0484 *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(3) MKT Re	5.77	$1,874,162	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	ONTRA, Inc.	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AZ160014020	1/31/2009	Active	87.1%	Sec 8 NC	60	60	0	0	16	30	14	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*12311138 CASA DE PRIMAVERA, 1617 N 45TH AVE, PHOENIX, AZ 85035, 6022696245 *Metro Area (MSA):* Phoenix-Mesa, AZ
Owner Info: Casa de Primavera Apartments, LLC, 1112 E Buckeye Rd, , Phoenix, AZ 85034 602-257-0700 Fax: *County Name:* Maricopa
Mgmt Info: TIEMPO INC, 1107 EAST TONTO, , PHOENIX, AZ 85034 (602) 252-0482 Fax: 602-252-0484 *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
207/ 223(f) Pur/ Refin Hsg.	5.75	$4,593,313	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AZ16T781003	11/30/2008	Active	92.3%	202/8 NC	161	162	0	161	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*12344001 CASA MADRID APARTMENTS, 4417 NORTH 12TH STREET, PHOENIX, AZ 85014, 6022796543 *Metro Area (MSA):* Phoenix-Mesa, AZ

Owner Info: CM 4417 LTD. PARTNERSHIP, 2330 W. Mission Ln,. #10, , Phoenix, AZ 85021 602 997-0013 Fax: 602 997-0513 *County Name:* Maricopa

Mgmt Info: BILTMORE PROPERTIES INC, 2330 WEST MISSION LANE, # 10, PHOENIX, AZ 85021 (602) 997-0013 Fax: 602 997-0513 *Ownership Type:* Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
236(j)(1)/ Lower Income Fa	7.50	$110,235	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AZ20M000093	5/31/2008	Active	79.7%	Preservation	69	70	0	16	35	18	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*12344801 FELLOWSHIP TOWERS, 222 E INDIANOLA AVE, PHOENIX, AZ 85012, 6022669438 *Metro Area (MSA):* Phoenix-Mesa, AZ

Owner Info: ARIZONA ODD FELLOW-REBEKAH HOUSING, INC., 222 E INDIANOLA AVE, , PHOENIX, AZ 85012 (602) 266-5438 Fax: *County Name:* Maricopa

Mgmt Info: PROPERTY MANAGEMENT SPECIALISTS, INC., 5229 N. 7TH AVE. #201, , PHOENIX, AZ 85013 (602) 230-1988 Fax: (602)230-9449 *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
236(j)(1)/ 202 Elderly Hsg.	8.50	$1,107,206	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AZ20M000066	6/30/2008	Active	80.5%	LMSA	149	182	53	96	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* FRANMAR MANOR, 3825 W MCDOWELL ROAD, PHOENIX, AZ 85009, 6022725382 *Metro Area (MSA):* Phoenix-Mesa, AZ

Owner Info: Franmar Manor Apartments, Inc., 6337 W Avenida Del Rey, , Glendale, AZ 85310 623-825-5070 Fax: *County Name:* Maricopa

Mgmt Info: Franmar Manor Apartments, Inc., 6337 W Avenida Del Rey, , Glendale, AZ 85310 623-825-5070 Fax: *Ownership Type:* Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AZ20L000004	1/31/2009	Active	85.5%	LMSA	78	78	0	12	56	10	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* GRANT PARK APARTMENTS, 331-A W GRANT ST, PHOENIX, AZ 85003, 6022524167 *Metro Area (MSA):* Phoenix-Mesa, AZ

Owner Info: Grant Street Apartments, Inc., 1112 E. Buckeye RD, , Phoenix, AZ 85034 602-257-0700 Fax: *County Name:* Maricopa

Mgmt Info: TIEMPO INC, 1107 EAST TONTO, , PHOENIX, AZ 85034 (602) 252-0482 Fax: 602-252-0484 *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			Yes	Mrtg Rstr	Heskin Signet Partnership	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AZ20L000022	10/31/2008	Active	76.4%	LMSA	52	52	0	9	37	6	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* HILL N' DELL HOMES, 502 N 51ST ST, PHOENIX, AZ 85008, 602 275-6782 *Metro Area (MSA):* Phoenix-Mesa, AZ

Owner Info: , 2215 N. Val Vista Drive, , Mesa, AZ 85213 480-423-3430 Fax: 480-423-2355 *County Name:* Maricopa

Mgmt Info: Bliven Property Management, LLC, 6200 E. Thomas Rd, Suite 103, Scottsdale, AZ 85251 4804233430 Fax: 4804233355 *Ownership Type:* Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AZ20M000045	6/30/2008	Active	86.0%	LMSA	134	140	0	32	69	33	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*123EH062 HONG NING HOUSE OF PHOENIX, 3920 N 24TH AVE, PHOENIX, AZ 85015, 6022343534 *Metro Area (MSA):* Phoenix-Mesa, AZ
Owner Info: HONG NING SENIOR HOUSING CORPORATION, 734 W ELM ST., PHOENIX, AZ 85013 (602) 262-6411 Fax: *County Name:* Maricopa
Mgmt Info: BILTMORE PROPERTIES INC, 2330 WEST MISSION LANE, # 10, PHOENIX, AZ 85021 (602) 997-0013 Fax: 602-997-0513 *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AZ20T851003	4/13/2008	Active	111.0%	202/8 NC	58	58	15	43	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* MCDOWELL EAST APARTMENTS, 2433 E MCDOWELL RD, PHOENIX, AZ 85008, 6022752323 *Metro Area (MSA):* Phoenix-Mesa, AZ
Owner Info: JLME, LLC, 2215 N Val Vista Dr., , Mesa, AZ 85213 480-924-5193 Fax: cell 602-818-5030 *County Name:* Maricopa
Mgmt Info: Bliven Property Management, LLC, 6200 E. Thomas Rd, Suite 103, Scottsdale, AZ 85251 4804233430 Fax: 4804232355 *Ownership Type:* Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AZ20M000051	6/30/2008	Active	91.0%	LMSA	75	76	0	28	32	15	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* PHOENIX SILVERCREST RESIDENCE, 613 NORTH 4TH AVENUE, PHOENIX, AZ 85003, 60225 *Metro Area (MSA):* Phoenix-Mesa, AZ
Owner Info: The Salvation Army Residences, Inc., 180 E Ocean Blvd, , Long Beach, CA 90802 805 647 0110 Fax: *County Name:* Maricopa
Mgmt Info: Silvercrest Management, 180 E. Ocean Blvd., , Long Beach, CA 90802 (562) 491-8767 Fax: 562-4918867 *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AZ16T791006	3/1/2008	Active	143.7%	202/8 NC	125	125	28	97	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* ROOSEVELT EAST APARTMENTS, 2939 E ROOSEVELT, PHOENIX, AZ 85008, 6022750407 *Metro Area (MSA):* Phoenix-Mesa, AZ
Owner Info: , 2215 N. Val Vista Drive, , Mesa, AZ 85213 480-423-3430 Fax: 480-423-2355 *County Name:* Maricopa
Mgmt Info: Bliven Property Management, LLC, 6200 E. Thomas Rd, Suite 103, Scottsdale, AZ 85251 4804233430 Fax: 4804232355 *Ownership Type:* Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AZ20M000050	6/30/2008	Active	94.4%	LMSA	79	80	0	32	32	15	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*12357001 TANNER GARDENS, 4420 S 18TH PL, PHOENIX, AZ 85040, 6022688866 *Metro Area (MSA):* Phoenix-Mesa, AZ
Owner Info: Tanner Gardens Apts, Inc., 2216 E. Broadway Rd., , PHOENIX, AZ 85040 (602) 243-1036 Fax: (602) 243-1025 *County Name:* Maricopa
Mgmt Info: Tanner Gardens Apts, Inc., 2216 E. Broadway Rd., , PHOENIX, AZ 85040 (602) 243-1036 Fax: (602) 243-1025 *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AZ20M000029	6/30/2008	Active	86.6%	LMSA	127	127	25	102	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*12335106 WESTWARD HO HSG FOR THE ELD & HNDCP, 618 N CENTRAL AVE, PHOENIX, AZ 85004, 60 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Phoenix-Mesa, AZ

Owner Info: Westward Ho Phoenix Preservation Partnership, LP, 5 Cathedral Sq. , Providence, RI 02903 401-521-3538 Fax: 401-453-3223 ***County Name:*** Maricopa

Mgmt Info: Property Advisory Group, Inc., 4 Cathedral Square, Suite 1G, Providence, RI 02903 (401) 453-4455 Fax: (401) 453-3223 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			Yes	Mrtg Rstr	Heskin Sunnet Partnership	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apt.:	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
AZ168023001	4/30/2008	Active	104.3%	Sec 8 SR	289	289	105	183	1	0	0	0

Totals:

TOTALS FOR ALL OWNERSHIP TYPES:

Total Properties:	15
Total Contracts:	15
Total Assisted Units:	1,545

Data compiled from several HUD sources and not independently verified. Does not include Section 202 and 811 properties with PAC or PRAC contract

Section 8 Contract information is from March 2008.

747

NATIONAL HOUSING TRUST

Special Report: Expiring Section 8 Contracts in North Carolina's 4th Congressional District

This report includes properties in Rep. David Price's district in North Carolina with contracts expiring by the end of fiscal year 2009.

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** ARC/HDS ORANGE COUNTY GROUP HOME #1, 1001 W MAIN ST, CARRBORO, NC 27510, 919 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Raleigh-Durham-Chapel Hill, NC

Owner Info: ARC/HDS ORANGE #1 HSG CORP, PO Box 18426, , Greensboro, NC 27419 (336) 273-4404 Fax: (336) 378-0758 ***County Name:*** Orange

Mgmt Info: The Arc of North Carolina, Inc., PO Box 20545, , Raleigh, NC 27619 (919) 782-4632 Fax: ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
NC19T781038	3/30/2008	Active	96.7%	202/8 NC	5	6	0	5	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** 053EH009 CARY CENTRAL ELDERLY HOUSING, 122 S HARRISON ST, CARY, NC 27511, 9199346066 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Raleigh-Durham-Chapel Hill, NC

Owner Info: TRIANGLE HOUSING DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION, 131 Summer Place Ct, , Clayton, NC 27527 (919) 533-6254 Fax: 919-989-1838 ***County Name:*** Wake

Mgmt Info: JOHNSTON COUNTY COUNCIL ON AGING, 1363 W. Market Street, , SMITHFIELD, NC 27577 (919) 934-6066 Fax: (919) 989-1838 ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
NC19T781004	12/20/2008	Active	77.2%	202/8 NC	20	20	0	20	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** 05311192 ADELAIDE WALTERS APARTMENTS, 603 Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd., CHAPEL HILL, NC 27514, ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Raleigh-Durham-Chapel Hill, NC

Owner Info: COMMUNITY HOUSING ALTERNATIVES, INC., 603 Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd., , Chapel Hill, NC 27514 (919) 968-3018 Fax: ***County Name:*** Orange

Mgmt Info: RESIDENTIAL PROPERTIES MANAGEMENT, INC, 1105 Brookstown Ave. , Winston Salem, NC 27101 (336)724-1010 Fax: (336)724-9955 ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
207/ 223(f) Pur/ Refin Hsg.	6.19	$950,461	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
NC19T811010	6/24/2008	Active	101.4%	202/8 NC	24	24	0	22	2	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** ARC/HDS ORANGE COUNTY ICF/MR GH, 111 SILO DR, CHAPEL HILL, NC 27514, 9199424172 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Raleigh-Durham-Chapel Hill, NC

Owner Info: ARC/HDS ORANGE #2 HOUSING CORP., PO Box 18426, , Greensboro, NC 27419 (336) 273-4404 Fax: (336) 378-0758 ***County Name:*** Orange

Mgmt Info: The Arc of North Carolina, Inc., PO Box 20545, , Raleigh, NC 27619 (919) 782-4632 Fax: ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
NC19T791037	6/4/2008	Active	86.3%	202/8 NC	5	7	0	5	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***05344032 IN-CHU-CO APARTMENTS, 106 N ELLIOTT RD, CHAPEL HILL, NC 27514, 919[illegible]422197 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Raleigh-Durham-Chapel Hill, NC

Owner Info: INTER-CHURCH COUNCIL HOUSING CORPORATION, 204 OLEANDER ROAD, , CARRBORO, NC 27510 (919) [illegible]62-2311 Fax: ***County Name:*** Orange

Mgmt Info: RESIDENTIAL PROPERTIES MANAGEMENT, INC, 1105 Brookstown Ave, , Winston Salem, NC 27101 (336)724-1[illegible]00 Fax: (336)724-9955 ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
236(j)(1)/ Lower Income Fa	7.00	$474,960	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
NC19M000105	6/30/2008	Active	68.4%	LMSA	39	79	0	7	18	10	4	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***053EH074 PUREFOY ROAD GROUP HOME, 102 PUREFOY RD, CHAPEL HILL, NC 27514, 9199674498 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Raleigh-Durham-Chapel Hill, NC

Owner Info: RESIDENTIAL SERVICES, INC., 111 Providence Rd, , Chapel Hill, NC 27514 (919) 942-7391 Fax: (919) 933-4490 ***County Name:*** Orange

Mgmt Info: RESIDENTIAL SERVICES, INC., 111 Providence Rd, , Chapel Hill, NC 27514 (919) 942-7391 Fax: (919) 933-4490 ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
NC19T781035	12/21/2008	Active	73.4%	202/8 NC	6	6	0	6	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***053EH011 UMSTEAD DRIVE GROUP HOME, 334 UMSTEAD DR, CHAPEL HILL, NC 27516, 9199427391 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Raleigh-Durham-Chapel Hill, NC

Owner Info: RESIDENTIAL SERVICES, INC., 111 Providence Rd, , Chapel Hill, NC 27514 (919) 942-7391 Fax: (919) 933-4490 ***County Name:*** Orange

Mgmt Info: RESIDENTIAL SERVICES, INC., 111 Providence Rd, , Chapel Hill, NC 27514 (919) 942-7391 Fax: (919) 933-4490 ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
NC19T781006	5/14/2008	Active	61.9%	202/8 SR	6	6	0	6	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***05335457 CRESTVIEW APARTMENTS, 2809 CREST ST, DURHAM, NC 27705, 91928654[illegible]31 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Raleigh-Durham-Chapel Hill, NC

Owner Info: Crestview Associates, A North Carolina Limited Par, 2716 Crest Street, , Durham, NC 27705 (919) 545-9000 Fax: (919) 683-1395 ***County Name:*** Durham

Mgmt Info: Community Management Corporation, PO Box 25168, , Winston-Salem, NC 27114 (336)765-0424 Fax: (336)765-7[illegible]74 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			Yes	Mrtg Rstr	The Siegel Group, Inc.	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
NC19H148050	3/31/2008	Active	85.6%	HFDA/8 NC	65	65	0	0	47	18	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***05335525 DURHAM HOSIERY MILL, 804 ANGIER AVENUE, DURHAM, NC 27701, 919682[illegible]4866 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Raleigh-Durham-Chapel Hill, NC

Owner Info: DURHAM HOSIERY MILL Limited Partnership, 320 Norwood Park South, , Norwood, MA 02062 (978)526-8525 Fax: ***County Name:*** Durham

Mgmt Info: HALLKEEN MANAGEMENT, INC., 320 NORWOOD PARK SOUTH, , NORWOOD, MA 02062 (781) 762-4800 Fax: (781) 762-4841 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
221(d)(4) Mkt. Rate Mod Inc	7.65	$2,097,274	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	North Carolina Housing Finance Agency	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
NC19T901007	4/30/2008	Active	98.2%	Sec 8 SR	150	151	25	105	20	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***05335852 JFK TOWERS, 4900 ROXBORO RD, DURHAM, NC 27704, 9194779813 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Raleigh-Durham-Chapel Hill, NC

Owner Info: JFK Associates, 55 Beattie Place, P O Box 1089, Greenville, SC 29602 (864) 239-1000 Fax: (864) 239-5802 ***County Name:*** Durham

Mgmt Info: NHPMN Management, LLC, 55 Beattie Pl, , Greenville, SC 29601 215-493-4041 Fax: 215-493-7314 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	6.22	$3,486,036	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	Foley and Judell, LLP	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
NC190011006	7/31/2008	Active	83.1%	Sec 8 NC	177	178	0	177	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***05335923 LYNNHAVEN APARTMENTS, 917 Wadesboro ST, DURHAM, NC 27703, 9195962167 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Raleigh-Durham-Chapel Hill, NC

Owner Info: Lynn-Oxford Associates Limited Partnership, 55 Beattie Pl Fl 3, P.O. Box 1089, Greenville, SC 29601 864-239-1000 Fax: 864-239-5802 ***County Name:*** Durham

Mgmt Info: EDGEWOOD MANAGEMENT CORPORATION, 8403 Colesville Road, #400, , Silver Spring, MD 20910 301-562-1600 Fax: 301-562-1670 ***Ownership Type:*** Limited Dividend

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	6.25	$999,711	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	RER Solutions, Inc.	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
NC190022032	3/31/2008	Active	76.7%	Sec 8 NC	75	75	0	0	37	38	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***05311171 MOREHEAD HILLS APARTMENTS, 500 COBB ST, DURHAM, NC 27701, 9196832713 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Raleigh-Durham-Chapel Hill, NC

Owner Info: Morehead Hills Senior Apartments LP, 191 W. Wilbur Road, Suite 103, , Thousand Oaks, CA 91360 805-495-8400 Fax: 805-495-5471 ***County Name:*** Durham

Mgmt Info: California Commercial Investment Group, Inc., 191 W Wilbur Rd Ste 103, , Thousand Oaks, CA 91360 805-495-8400x427 Fax: 805-495-5471 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
207/ 223(f) Pur/ Refin Hsg.	5.64	$2,355,592	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
NC190006011	10/11/2008	Active	99.9%	Sec 8 NC	75	75	0	69	6	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***053EH217 NEW BETHEL HOMES FOR THE ELDERLY, 2614 CREST ST, DURHAM, NC 27705, 9192867898 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Raleigh-Durham-Chapel Hill, NC

Owner Info: Crest Street Community Elderly Housing Corp., PO Box 25168, , Winston Salem, NC 27114 (919) 286-4868 Fax: 919-981-0061 ***County Name:*** Durham

Mgmt Info: Community Management Corporation, PO Box 25168, , Winston-Salem, NC 27114 (336)765-0424 Fax: (336)765-747- ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
NC19T831008	7/31/2008	Active	116.0%	202/8 NC	21	21	0	21	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***05335766 Oakley Square Apartments, 1835 CHEEK RD APT 13-A, DURHAM, NC 27704, 9196822701 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Raleigh-Durham-Chapel Hill, NC

Owner Info: Oakley Square LP, PO Box 17022, , Raleigh, NC 27619 919-847-8350 Fax: 919-847-4709 ***County Name:*** Durham

Mgmt Info: WAJ Management, LLC, P.O. Box 17022, , Raleigh, NC 27619 919-847-8350 Fax: ***Ownership Type:*** Limited Dividend

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
221(d)(4) Mkt. Rate Mod Inc	5.66	$4,380,715	Yes	Rent Rdct	North Carolina Housing Finance Agency	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
NC19M000191	1/31/2009	Active	86.2%	LMSA	99	100	0	10	40	40	9	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***05335406 ROCHELLE MANOR APARTMENTS, 2819-1 Rochelle ST, DURHAM, NC 27703, 9195981396 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Raleigh-Durham-Chapel Hill, NC

Owner Info: Rochelle Manor, A Limited Partnership, c/o Westminister Company, P O Box 26560, Greensboro, NC 27415 (336) 37[illegible]-1552 Fax: (336) 375-4355 ***County Name:*** Durham

Mgmt Info: Westminster Company, 2720 N. Church Street #200, P. O. Box 26560, Greensboro, NC 27415 336-375-1552 Fax: 3[illegible]6-375-4355 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
221(d)(4) Mkt. Rate Mod Inc	11.05	$2,053,439	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
NC190022023	2/21/2009	Active	102.8%	Sec 8 NC	75	75	0	0	32	43	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***05335834 BAY TREE APARTMENTS, 113 BAY TREE ST, FUQUAY-VARINA, NC 27526, 9195522216 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Raleigh-Durham-Chapel Hill, NC

Owner Info: BAY TREE APARTMENTS, LIMITED, 4700 Homewood Court, Suite 220, RALEIGH, NC 27609 (919) 787-4243 Fax: (919) 787-1894 ***County Name:*** Wake

Mgmt Info: One Management , Inc., 4700 Homewood Ct, , Raleigh, NC 27609 (919) 787-4243 Fax: (919) 787-1894 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	5.60	$542,121	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	The Siegel Group, Inc.	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
NC19H148069	6/30/2008	Active	91.5%	HFDA/8 NC	50	50	0	0	48	2	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***05311223 FUQUAY-VARINA HOMES FOR THE ELDERLY, 1012-10 S MAIN ST, FUQUAY-VARINA, NC 275 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Raleigh-Durham-Chapel Hill, NC

Owner Info: FUQUAY-VARINA HOMES FOR THE ELDERLY, LTD, P.O. Box 2056, , LEXINGTON, NC 27293 (336) 249-7543 Fax: (336) 249-2881 ***County Name:*** Wake

Mgmt Info: Triple S Management, Inc., 220 Weaver Drive, P.O. BOX 2056, Lexington, NC 27293 336 -249 -7543 Fax: 336 -249 -2881 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
NC19H148006	5/2/2008	Active	72.8%	HFDA/8 NC	59	60	0	48	11	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***05335922 GATEWAY VILLAGE, 400 LAKESIDE DR, HILLSBOROUGH, NC 27278, 9197326701 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Raleigh-Durham-Chapel Hill, NC

Owner Info: GATEWAY-OXFORD ASSOCIATES LTD PARTNERSHIP, c/o OXFORD GROUP, 11TH FLOOR, 7200 WISCONSIN AVE., BETHESDA, MD 20814 (301)6 ***County Name:*** Orange

Mgmt Info: EDGEWOOD MANAGEMENT CORPORATION, 8403 Colesville Road, #400, , Silver Spring, MD 20910 301-562-1600 Fax: 301-562-1670 ***Ownership Type:*** Limited Dividend

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	6.25	$889,272	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	RER Solutions, Inc.	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
NC19H148047	3/31/2008	Active	76.9%	HFDA/8 NC	64	64	0	0	56	8	0	0

Totals:

TOTALS FOR ALL OWNERSHIP TYPES:	
Total Properties:	18
Total Contracts:	18
Total Assisted Units:	1,015

Data compiled from several HUD sources and not independently verified. Does not include Section 202 and 811 properties with PAC or PRAC contract
Section 8 Contract information is from March 2008.

NATIONAL HOUSING TRUST

Special Report: Expiring Section 8 Contracts in Texas's 23rd Congressional District

This report includes properties in Rep. Ciro Rodriguez's district in Texas with contracts expiring by the end of fiscal year 2009.

PROJECT: *FHA #:*11544035 Rio Manor, 600 W Cantu Rd, Del Rio, TX 78840, 830 775-8370 — *Metro Area (MSA):*

Owner Info: TG 109, Inc, 301 S Frio St Ste 480, , San Antonio, TX 78207 210 270-4666 Fax: 210 270-4667 — *County Name:* Val Verde

Mgmt Info: Wedge Management, Inc., 301 S Frio St Ste 480, , San Antonio, TX 78207 210 270-4666 Fax: 210 270-4604 — *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
236(j)(1)/ Lower Income Fa	8.50	$187,687	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
TX59M000134	9/30/2008	Active	118.3%	LMSA	27	48	0	6	15	6	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*13335032 STOCKTON VILLAGE, 1101 W SHERER, FORT STOCKTON, TX 79735, 915/336-8893 — *Metro Area (MSA):*

Owner Info: Stockton Village Apartments, Limited Partnership, Post Office Box One, , Everett, WA 98206 (425) 776-1483 Fax: — *County Name:* Pecos

Mgmt Info: Agape Support Services Corp., Inc, 7334 Blanco Rd, , San Antonio, TX 78216 210 599-1408 Fax: 210-599-1348 — *Ownership Type:* Limited Dividend

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			Yes	Rent Rdct	ONTERA, Inc.	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
TX16M000108	3/31/2008	Active	91.8%	LMSA	64	64	0	12	24	20	8	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* Brookhollow Apartments, 612 Travis St, Kerrville, TX 78028, 830 257-6477 — *Metro Area (MSA):*

Owner Info: The Lynd Company, 5710 Northwest Expressway, , San Antonio, TX 78201 210 733-6125 Fax: 210 733-6178 — *County Name:* Kerr

Mgmt Info: The Lynd Company, 5710 Northwest Expressway, , San Antonio, TX 78201 210 733-6125 Fax: 210 733-6178 — *Ownership Type:* Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			Yes	Rent Rdct	ONTERA, Inc.	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
TX59M000069	2/29/2008	Expired	95.9%	LMSA	48	48	0	16	24	8	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*115EH141 Kerrville Oaks Apartments, 850 Clay St, Kerrville, TX 78028, 830 792-3233 — *Metro Area (MSA):*

Owner Info: Kerrville VOA Elderly Housing, Inc, 1660 Duke Street, , Alexandria, VA 22314 703-341-5000 Fax: 703-341-7000 — *County Name:* Kerr

Mgmt Info: VOA National Housing Corporation, 1660 Duke St., , Alexandria, VA 76051 703 341-5088 Fax: 703 341-7001 — *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
TX59T861021	3/16/2009	Active	140.0%	202/8 NC	36	36	8	27	1	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*11544022 Laredo Manor, 300 E Mann Rd, Laredo, TX 78041, 956 723-1412 *Metro Area (MSA):* Laredo, TX
Owner Info: TG 108, Inc., 301 S Frio St Ste 480, , San Antonio, TX 78207 210 270-4666 Fax: 210 270-4667 *County Name:* Webb
Mgmt Info: Wedge Management, Inc., 301 S Frio St Ste 480, , San Antonio, TX 78207 210 270-4666 Fax: 210 270-4604 *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
TX59M000036	8/31/2008	Active	98.1%	LMSA	20	100	0	9	9	2	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*115EH096 Lulac Haven, 4601 Juarez Ave, Laredo, TX 78041, 956 725-8522 *Metro Area (MSA):* Laredo, TX
Owner Info: Lulac Haven, Inc., 4601 Juarez Ave, , Laredo, TX 78041 956 725-8522 Fax: 956 725-0786 *County Name:* Webb
Mgmt Info: Lulac Haven, Inc., 4601 Juarez Ave, , Laredo, TX 78041 956 725-8522 Fax: 956 725-0786 *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
TX59T841002	9/21/2008	Active	130.9%	202/8 NC	48	48	27	21	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*115EH030 Tanis Valdez Village, 300 Allende St. Laredo, TX 78041, 956 727-2020 *Metro Area (MSA):* Laredo, TX
Owner Info: Villa San Luis Charitable Trust, Inc, 201 E Plum St. , Laredo, TX 78040 956 724-3351 Fax: 956 727-3014 *County Name:* Webb
Mgmt Info: Villa San Luis Charitable Trust, 201 E Plum St, , Laredo, TX 78040 956 724-3351 Fax: 956 727-3014 *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
TX59T801002	11/17/2008	Active	123.0%	202/8 NC	72	72	0	69	3	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*11535049 Villa San Luis, 201 E Plum St, Laredo, TX 78040, 956 724-3351 *Metro Area (MSA):* Laredo, TX
Owner Info: Villa San Luis Charitable Trust, 201 E Plum St, , Laredo, TX 78040 956 724-3351 Fax: 956 727-3014 *County Name:* Webb
Mgmt Info: Villa San Luis Charitable Trust, 201 E Plum St, , Laredo, TX 78040 956 724-3351 Fax: 956 727-3014 *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
221(d)(3) Mkt. Rate Modera	8.50	$376,940	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
TX59M000038	9/30/2008	Active	86.8%	LMSA	100	100	0	80	20	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* Woodhill Apartments, 4909 Woodstone Dr # 22, San Antonio, TX 78230, 210 690-1700 *Metro Area (MSA):* San Antonio, TX
Owner Info: Woodhill Public Facilty Corporation, PO Box 1300, , San Antonio, TX 78295 210 220-3210 Fax: 210 227-9307 *County Name:* Bexar
Mgmt Info: San Antonio Housing Authority, PO Box 1300, , San Antonio, TX 78295 210 220-3210 Fax: 210 227-9307 *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
TX59E000038	9/30/2009	Active	63.3%	PD/8 Existing	50	50	0	32	18	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*11535131 La Quinta Apts, 735 Evans St, Uvalde, TX 78801, 830 278-9388 *Metro Area (MSA):*

Owner Info: Agape Uvalde Properties, Inc., 7334 Blanco Rd, , San Antonio, TX 78216 210 599-1408 Fax: 210 599-1348 *County Name:* Uvalde

Mgmt Info: Agape Support Services Corp., Inc. 7334 Blanco Rd, , San Antonio, TX 78216 210 599-1408 Fax: 210-599-1348 *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
221(d)(3) Mkt. Rate Modera	7.00	$278,204	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
TX59M000071	5/31/2008	Active	121.5%	Preservation	56	56	0	12	24	20	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* Leona Apartments, 209 N 1st St, Uvalde, TX 78801, 830 278-9366 *Metro Area (MSA):*

Owner Info: Delcar-S, Ltd., PO Box 1089, 55 Beattie Place, Greenville, SC 29602 864 239-1000 Fax: 864-239-5802 *County Name:* Uvalde

Mgmt Info: OP Property Management, LP, PO Box 1089, , Greenville, SC 29602 (864) 239-1428 Fax: (864) 239-5802 *Ownership Type:* Limited Dividend

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			Yes	Mrtg Rstr	ONTRA, Inc.	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
TX59M000070	4/30/2008	Active	106.9%	LMSA	40	40	0	8	16	16	0	0

Totals:

TOTALS FOR ALL OWNERSHIP TYPES:

Total Properties:	11
Total Contracts:	11
Total Assisted Units:	561

Data compiled from several HUD sources and not independently verified. Does not include Section 202 and 811 properties with PAC or PRAC contract

Section 8 Contract information is from March 2008.

NATIONAL HOUSING TRUST

Special Report: Expiring Section 8 Contracts in California's 34th Congressional District

This report includes properties in Rep. Lucille Roybal-Allard's district in California with contracts expiring by the end of fiscal year 2009.

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** 12244816 BELLFLOWER FRIENDSHIP MANOR, 9550 E OAK ST, BELLFLOWER, CA 90706, (562)867-9550 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA

Owner Info: BELLFLOWER FRIENDSHIP MANOR, 9550 OAK ST, , BELLFLOWER, CA 90706 (562) 867-9550 Fax: ***County Name:*** Los Angeles

Mgmt Info: American Baptist Homes of the West, 6120 Stoneridge Mall Road, Third Floor, Pleasanton, CA 94588 (925)924-0100 Fax: (925)924-7233 ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
236(j)(1)/ 202 Elderly Hsg.	7.00	$1,147,312	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ B.
CA16L000130	2/29/2008	Expired	53.6%	LMSA	72	144	44	28	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** Commerce Family Homes, 6201 EMIL AVE, COMMERCE, CA 90040, ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA

Owner Info: JAMES T. KINSEY, 6520 Platt Ave # 521, , West Hills, CA 91307 (818) 992 6186 Fax: (818) 992 6186 ***County Name:*** Los Angeles

Mgmt Info: JAMES T. KINSEY, 6520 Platt Ave # 521, , West Hills, CA 91307 (818) 992 6186 Fax: (818) 992 6186 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ Br
CA160060019	4/27/2008	Active	89.8%	Sec 8 NC	10	10	0	0	0	9	1	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** 122EH430 TELACU MANOR, 7100 E GAGE AVE, COMMERCE, CA 90040, ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA

Owner Info: TELACU MANOR, INC., 5400 E OLYMPIC BLVD, , LOS ANGELES, CA 90022 2137211655 Fax: ***County Name:*** Los Angeles

Mgmt Info: Telacu Residential Management, 1248 Goodrich Blvd, , Los Angeles, CA 90022 323 838-8556 Fax: 323 838-0548 ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ Br
CA16T861007	6/26/2009	Active	83.6%	202/8 NC	75	75	18	57	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** 12211142 CLARA PARK COMMONS, 4805 CLARA ST, CUDAHY, CA 90201, 3235607628 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA

Owner Info: NATIONAL CHURCH RESIDENCES, 2335 NORTH BANK DRIVE, , COLUMBUS, OH 43220 6144512151 Fax: 6144510351 ***County Name:*** Los Angeles

Mgmt Info: National Church Residences, 2335 N Bank Dr, , Columbus, OH 43220 (614)451-2151 Fax: (614)451-0351 ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
207/ 223(f) Pur/ Refin Hsg.	5.48	$2,996,311	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ Br
CA16T841031	6/20/2008	Active	89.1%	202/8 NC	49	49	13	36	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***12211080 CONCORD HUNTINGTON PARK, 6900 SEVILLE AVE, HUNTINGTON PARK, CA 90255, (323)58 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA
Owner Info: HUNTINGTON CONCORD PARTNERS L.P., 1224 E.WARDLOW RD., , LONG BEACH, CA 90807 (562) 595-7567 Fax: (562) 492-6674 ***County Name:*** Los Angeles
Mgmt Info: LOMCO, 1224 E WARDLOW RD, , LONG BEACH, CA 90807 3105957567 Fax: ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
207/ 223(f) Pur/ Refin Hsg.	5.86	$3,919,006	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
CA16M000329	5/31/2008	Active	95.1%	LMSA	155	162	107	48	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***12244142 Amar Plaza, 15622 AMAR RD, LA PUENTE, CA 91746, ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA
Owner Info: AMAR PLAZA COOP, 15622 Amar Rd, , La Puente, CA 91744 626-660-1074 Fax: ***County Name:*** Los Angeles
Mgmt Info: Rampart Properties, Inc, 2404 Wilshiure Boulevard, Suite 8A, Los Angeles, CA 90057 213 427 1940 Fax: 213 427 1943 ***Ownership Type:*** Other

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
236(j)(1)/ Lower Income Fa	7.00	$783,383	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
CA16M000382	12/31/2007	Expired	34.0%	LMSA	42	96	0	0	8	34	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** LA PUENTE PRK APTS, 14714 E. Pritchard St., LA PUENTE, CA 91744, ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA
Owner Info: La Puente Preservation Limited Partnership, 904 Manhattan Ave Ste 7, , Manhattan Beach, CA 90266 310 802 6670 Fax: 310 802 6680 ***County Name:*** Los Angeles
Mgmt Info: G & K Management Co., Inc., 5150 Overland Ave, , Culver City, CA 90230 (310) 204-2050 Fax: (310) 204-1900 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
CA16L000010	6/30/2008	Active	77.8%	Preservation	132	132	0	8	88	36	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***12235520 Angelus Plaza II, 200 S OLIVE ST, LOS ANGELES, CA 90012, 213 623 4352 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA
Owner Info: RHF BUNKER HILL CORP, 255 SOUTH HILL, STREET, LOS ANGELES, CA 90012 562 257-5100 Fax: ***County Name:*** Los Angeles
Mgmt Info: RHF Management Inc., 8060 Knue Rd, , Indianapolis, IN 46250 (562) 257-5100 Fax: (562) 257-5200 ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
221(d)(3) Mkt. Rate Modera	10.55	$12,338,523	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
CA168023059	5/31/2008	Active	102.2%	Sec 8 NC	330	332	0	330	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***12244376 Boyle Apartments, 427 S BOYLE AVE, LOS ANGELES, CA 90033, ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA
Owner Info: BOYLE APTS A LTD PARTNERSHIP, 3920 Locust Ave, , Long Beach, CA 90807 5629893730 Fax: ***County Name:*** Los Angeles
Mgmt Info: AWFMEX Mgmt Co, LLC aka National United Prop LLC, 3600 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1020, Los Angeles, CA 90010 (213) 736-0441 Fax: (213) 736-046 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
236(j)(1)/ Lower Income Fa	7.00	$131,760	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
CA16L000109	2/29/2008	Expired	64.8%	LMSA	34	35	0	20	14	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***122EH147 Casa Parades, 501 N SOTO ST, LOS ANGELES, CA 90033,

Metro Area (MSA): Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA

Owner Info: Casa Parades Inc., 501 N. Soto Street, , Los Angeles, CA 90033 323 260 7882 Fax:

County Name: Los Angeles

Mgmt Info: Falkenberg/Gilliam & Associates, 1560 W. Colorado Blvd., , Pasadena, CA 91105 (323) 258-3512 Fax:

Ownership Type: Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
CA16T801030	10/15/2008	Active	125.0%	202/8 NC	10	10	0	0	10	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** CASA PICO UNION II, 1420 S Union Ave, Los Angeles, CA 90015, 2137468286

Metro Area (MSA): Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA

Owner Info: Dolores-Frances Affordable Housing, L.P., 1625 Toberman St, , Los Angeles, CA 90015 213/747-2790 Fax: 213/743-3619

County Name: Los Angeles

Mgmt Info: AWFMEX MANAGEMENT INC., 3435 ALMERIA ST, , SAN PEDRO, CA 90731 (310) 519-7892 Fax:

Ownership Type: Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
CA168023052	7/5/2008	Active	69.4%	Sec 8 NC	41	41	0	2	8	25	6	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** CASA WABASH, 3168 WABASH AVE, LOS ANGELES, CA 90063,

Metro Area (MSA): Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA

Owner Info: Dimitri Vitkoff, PO Box 9101, , Marina Del Rey, CA 90295 310-334-5182 Fax: 310-821-4407

County Name: Los Angeles

Mgmt Info: De Miranda Management, P. O. Box 2256, , Gardena, CA 90247 3105326369 Fax:

Ownership Type: Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
CA16E000020	11/29/2007	Expired	28.7%	PD/8 MR	14	14	0	0	10	4	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***122EH117 CATHAY MANOR, 600 N BROADWAY, LOS ANGELES, CA 90012, (213)626-1190

Metro Area (MSA): Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA

Owner Info: C.C.O.A.HOUSING CORP./CATHAY MANOR, 1101 East Orangewood Ave., Suite 200, , ANAHEIM, CA 92805 2136281036 Fax:

County Name: Los Angeles

Mgmt Info: BARKER MANAGEMENT, 1101 E Orangewood Ave, , Anaheim, CA 92805 (213) 628-1036 Fax: (714) 533-8608

Ownership Type: Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
CA16T801004	12/20/2007	Expired	98.1%	202/8 NC	268	270	0	268	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** Delta Apartments, 825 FOREST AVE, LOS ANGELES, CA 90033,

Metro Area (MSA): Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA

Owner Info: LA 78 Partners, LP, 1755 E Martin Luther King Jr Blvd, , Los Angeles, CA 90058 (323)231-4174 Fax: (323)231-0270

County Name: Los Angeles

Mgmt Info: ALPHA PROPERTY MANAGEMENT I, INC., 1755 E Martin Luther King Jr Blvd, , Los Angeles, CA 90058 (323) 231-4174 Fax: (323) 231-3072

Ownership Type: Limited Dividend

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
CA16L000060	10/31/2008	Active	78.9%	LMSA	4	8	0	4	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*12244553 HOLIDAY 102, 427 UNION DR, LOS ANGELES, CA 90017,
Metro Area (MSA): Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA
Owner Info: HOLIDAY 102, CALIFORNIA PARTNERSHIP, 5534 PARKMOR RD., , CALABASAS, CA 91302 213-738-8175 Fax:
County Name: Los Angeles
Mgmt Info: PROLAND MANAGEMENT CO LLC, 2510 W 7th St, , Los Angeles, CA 90057 (213) 738-8175 Fax: (213) 738-8699
Ownership Type: Limited Dividend

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
236(j)(1)/ Lower Income Fa	7.00	$451,371	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
CA16L000087	3/31/2008	Active	66.9%	LMSA	54	90	39	15	0	0	0	0
CA16M000231	3/31/2008	Active	61.4%	LMSA	36	90	18	18	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*12258512 Hubbard Street Arms, 3739 HUBBARD ST, LOS ANGELES, CA 90023,
Metro Area (MSA): Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA
Owner Info: HUBBARD STREET ARMS, c/o American Real Property Mgt. Inc., 3255 Wilshire Boulevard Suite 802, Los Angeles, CA 90010 3108220215 Fax:
County Name: Los Angeles
Mgmt Info: American Real Property Management, Inc., 3255 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1802, Los Angeles, CA 90010 (213) 252-5997 Fax: (213) 252-5298
Ownership Type: Limited Dividend

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
236(j)(1)/ 223(e)/Lower Inco	8.50	$34,808	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
CA16L000020	8/31/2008	Active	44.5%	LMSA	6	6	0	2	3	0	1	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* L.A. PRO I, 1011 N CUMMINGS ST, LOS ANGELES, CA 90033, (323)225-4503
Metro Area (MSA): Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA
Owner Info: Los Angeles Properties Apartments I, L.P., 224 S. Santa Anita Avenue, , Arcada, CA 91006 626-254-9800 Fax: 626-254-9700
County Name: Los Angeles
Mgmt Info: Los Angeles Properties Apartments I, L.P., 224 S. Santa Anita Avenue, , Arcada, CA 91006 626-254-9800 Fax: 626-254-9700
Ownership Type: Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
CA16L000048	11/30/2008	Active	70.0%	LMSA	104	124	72	24	8	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* LA PRO XXXII APTS, 1348 W INGRAHAM ST, LOS ANGELES, CA 90017,
Metro Area (MSA): Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA
Owner Info: L.A. Pro Apartments, Limited Partnership, 1755 E MARTIN LUTHER KING J BLVD, , LOS ANGELES, CA 90058 3232314174 Fax:
County Name: Los Angeles
Mgmt Info: ALPHA PROPERTY MANAGEMENT I, INC., 1755 E Martin Luther King Jr Blvd, , Los Angeles, CA 90058 (323) 231-4174 Fax: (323) 231-3072
Ownership Type: Limited Dividend

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
CA16M000210	2/29/2008	Expired	73.7%	LMSA	113	113	96	17	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* LAKE MEWS DOMINION, 234 N LAKE ST, LOS ANGELES, CA 90026,
Metro Area (MSA): Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA
Owner Info: Ingram Preservation, L.P., 1139 W. 6th Street, Suite B, , Los Angeles, CA 90017 213/ 202-3930 Fax: 213/ 202-3934
County Name: Los Angeles
Mgmt Info: Brackenhoff Management Group, Inc., 1 Civic Plaza Dr Ste 250, , Carson, CA 90745 (310) 522-9191 Fax: (310) 522-0304
Ownership Type: Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
CA160047013	5/9/2008	Active	76.7%	Sec 8 NC	14	14	0	0	12	0	2	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***12235410 LAS PALOMAS APTS, 3025 GLEASON AVE, LOS ANGELES, CA 90063, ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA

Owner Info: Las Palomas Apartments Limited, 1904 Bailey St, Suite B-1, Los Angeles, CA 90033 3232640933 Fax: ***County Name:*** Los Angeles

Mgmt Info: VIDA MANAGEMENT CO., 1904 BAILEY ST,, SUITE B-1, LOS ANGELES,, CA 90033 (323) 264-0933 Fax: (323) 264-1308 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
221(d)(4) Mkt. Rate Mod Inc	7.50	$837,300	Yes	Rent Rdct	RER Solutions, Inc.	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
CA168023015	12/31/2007	Expired	76.8%	Sec 8 NC	47	47	0	47	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***122EH018 LAS TORRES, 944 S GRATTAN ST, LOS ANGELES, CA 90015, ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA

Owner Info: LAS TORRES, 944 S GRATTAN ST, , LOS ANGELES, CA 90015 2133880315 Fax: ***County Name:*** Los Angeles

Mgmt Info: Falkenberg/Gilliam & Associates, 1560 W. Colorado Blvd., , Pasadena, CA 91105 (323) 258-3512 Fax: ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
CA162698201	7/2/2008	Active	83.5%	202/8 NC	104	105	0	104	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***12245028 LITTLE TOKYO TOWERS, 455 E 3RD ST, LOS ANGELES, CA 90013, ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA

Owner Info: LITTLE TOKYO TOWERS INC, 455 E. 3RD ST, , LOS ANGELES, CA 90013 213 622 3076 Fax: ***County Name:*** Los Angeles

Mgmt Info: Falkenberg/Gilliam & Associates, 1560 W. Colorado Blvd., , Pasadena, CA 91105 (323) 258-3512 Fax: ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
236(j)(1)/ Lower Income Fa	7.00	$3,814,327	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
CA16L000082	5/31/2008	Active	42.6%	LMSA	180	301	0	180	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***12244543 MIRAMAR MANOR, 1422 W MIRAMAR ST, LOS ANGELES, CA 90026, ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA

Owner Info: Miramar Apartments Housing Corporation, 1625 Toberman St, , Los Angeles,, CA 90015 213-747-2790 Fax: ***County Name:*** Los Angeles

Mgmt Info: AWFMEX MANAGEMENT INC., 3435 ALMERIA ST, , SAN PEDRO, CA 90731 (310) 519-7892 Fax: ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
236(j)(1)/ Lower Income Fa	7.00	$182,382	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
CA16L000019	6/30/2008	Active	57.0%	LMSA	48	49	44	0	4	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***12235454 MIYAKO GARDEN APTS, 223 S CENTRAL AVE, LOS ANGELES, CA 90012, 310 204 2050 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA

Owner Info: Little Tokyo Gardens DBA Miyako Gardens, 5150 Overland Avenue, , Culver City, CA 90231 3102042050 Fax: 310204 900 ***County Name:*** Los Angeles

Mgmt Info: G & K Management Co., Inc., 5150 Overland Ave, , Culver City, CA 90230 (310) 204-2050 Fax: (310) 204-1900 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
221(d)(4) Mkt. Rate Mod Inc	7.50	$2,936,128	Yes	Rent Rdct	Heskin Signet Partnership	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
CA168023055	2/29/2008	Expired	87.2%	Sec 8 NC	100	100	0	90	10	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** PICO UNION SQUARE 1, 1421 S. Toberman Street, Los Angeles, CA 90015, 213 3827949 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA

Owner Info: Dolores-Frances Affordable Housing, L.P., 1625 Toberman St, , Los Angeles, CA 90015 213/747-2790 Fax: 213/743-3819 ***County Name:*** Los Angeles

Mgmt Info: AWFMEX MANAGEMENT INC., 3435 ALMERIA ST, , SAN PEDRO, CA 90731 (310) 519-7892 Fax: ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
CA16L000124	5/31/2008	Active	53.8%	LMSA	10	10	0	0	0	10	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** PICO UNION SQUARE II, 1430 S. TOBERMAN ST., LOS ANGELES, CA 90015, ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA

Owner Info: Dolores-Frances Affordable Housing, L.P., 1625 Toberman St, , Los Angeles, CA 90015 213/747-2790 Fax: 213/743-3819 ***County Name:*** Los Angeles

Mgmt Info: AWFMEX MANAGEMENT INC., 3435 ALMERIA ST, , SAN PEDRO, CA 90731 (310) 519-7892 Fax: ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
CA16L000125	2/29/2008	Expired	59.8%	LMSA	8	8	0	0	0	8	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** PICO UNION VILLA, 1200 S. UNION AVE., LOS ANGELES, CA 90015, 2137472790 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA

Owner Info: Dolores-Frances Affordable Housing, L.P., 1625 Toberman St, , Los Angeles, CA 90015 213/747-2790 Fax: 213/743-3819 ***County Name:*** Los Angeles

Mgmt Info: AWFMEX MANAGEMENT INC., 3435 ALMERIA ST, , SAN PEDRO, CA 90731 (310) 519-7892 Fax: ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
CA168023019	2/29/2008	Expired	76.3%	Sec 8 NC	115	115	0	115	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** 12235587 PLEASANT HILLS HOME, 1315 PLEASANT AVE, LOS ANGELES, CA 90033, 323 263-9076 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA

Owner Info: Korean Family Homes, 1503 S. Van Ness Avenue, , Los Angeles, CA 90019 2137314064 Fax: ***County Name:*** Los Angeles

Mgmt Info: Community Housing Management Services, 12881 West 166th Street, Suite 150, Cerritos, CA 90703 (562) 802-0740 Fax: (562) 802-0741 ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
221(d)(4) Mkt. Rate Mod Inc	10.15	$1,158,919	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	ONTRA, Inc.	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
CA160088020	1/27/2009	Active	92.0%	Sec 8 NC	24	24	0	4	16	4	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** TWO WORLDS II, 420 S UNION AVE, LOS ANGELES, CA 90017, ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA

Owner Info: TWO WORLDS DEVELOPMENT CO. PHASE 11, 5534 PARKMOR RD., , CALABASAS, CA 91302 310 822 5845 Fax: ***County Name:*** Los Angeles

Mgmt Info: PROLAND MANAGEMENT CO LLC, 2510 W 7th St, , Los Angeles, CA 90057 (213) 738-8175 Fax: (213) 738-8699 ***Ownership Type:*** Limited Dividend

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
CA16L000044	4/30/2008	Active	54.3%	LMSA	60	60	44	13	3	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** Wattswood Relocation, 6415 S. MAKEE ST, LOS ANGELES, CA 90001, ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA

Owner Info: , 737 S. Genesee Ave, , Los Angeles,, CA 90036 555-555-1212 Fax: ***County Name:*** Los Angeles

Mgmt Info: Apartment Rental Assistance, 15025 Califa Street, , Van Nuys, CA 91411 8189898026 Fax: 8187863886 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
CA16E000022	9/30/2008	Active	69.3%	PD/8 MR	51	54	0	17	22	10	2	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** Witmer Apts-LA PRO VII, 1501 MIRAMAR AVE, LOS ANGELES, CA 90026, ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA

Owner Info: Witmer Manor Partners, L. P., 1755 E. Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd., , Los Angeles, CA 90058 (323) 231-4174 Fax: (323) 231-0270 ***County Name:*** Los Angeles

Mgmt Info: ALPHA PROPERTY MANAGEMENT I, INC., 1755 E Martin Luther King Jr Blvd, , Los Angeles, CA 90058 (323) 231-4174 Fax: (323) 231-3072 ***Ownership Type:*** Limited Dividend

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
CA16L000195	6/30/2008	Active	73.8%	LMSA	238	239	142	96	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** Yorkshire Terrace Apts, 1033 S BURLINGTON, LOS ANGELES, CA 90006, 213747-2790 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA

Owner Info: Dolores-Frances Affordable Housing, L.P., 1625 Toberman St, , Los Angeles, CA 90015 213/747-2790 Fax: 213/743-3619 ***County Name:*** Los Angeles

Mgmt Info: AWFMEX MANAGEMENT INC., 3435 ALMERIA ST, , SAN PEDRO, CA 90731 (310) 519-7892 Fax: ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
CA160068034	6/10/2008	Active	104.2%	Sec 8 NC	18	18	0	0	18	0	0	0

Totals:

TOTALS FOR ALL OWNERSHIP TYPES:

Total Properties:	34
Total Contracts:	34
Total Assisted Units:	2,568

Data compiled from several HUD sources and not independently verified. Does not include Section 202 and 811 properties with PAC or PRAC contract

Section 8 Contract information is from March 2008.

NATIONAL HOUSING TRUST

Special Report: Expiring Section 8 Contracts in New York's 25th Congressional District

This report includes properties in Rep. James Walsh's district in New York with contracts expiring by the end of fiscal year 2009.

PROJECT: *FHA #:* Country Club Apartments, 101 Village BLVD S, BALDWINSVILLE, NY 13027, 315 463 5505 *Metro Area (MSA):* Syracuse, NY
Owner Info: Country Club Apartments, 6709 Brooklawn Parkway, , Syracuse, NY 13211 (315) 463-5505 Fax: *County Name:* Onondaga
Mgmt Info: Country Club Apartments, 6709 Brooklawn Parkway, , Syracuse, NY 13211 (315) 463-5505 Fax: *Ownership Type:*

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
NY020032020	4/17/2009	Active	115.5%	Sec 8 NC	38	38	0	38	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*013EH097 LONG MANOR APTS., 5500 MILLER RD, BREWERTON, NY 13029, 315-668-9871 *Metro Area (MSA):* Syracuse, NY
Owner Info: Bartell Road Housing Dev Fund Company, Inc, 1654 W ONONDAGA ST, , SYRACUSE, NY 13204 (315) 424-1821 Fax: *County Name:* Onondaga
Mgmt Info: Christopher Community, Inc., 1654 W. Onondaga Street, , Syracuse, NY 13204 (315) 424-1822 Fax: (315) 424-6048 *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
NY06T831023	10/28/2008	Active	181.6%	202/8 NC	20	20	0	20	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*013EH101 CAMILLUS COURT, 4913 W GENESEE ST, CAMILLUS, NY 13031, 315-488-1932 *Metro Area (MSA):* Syracuse, NY
Owner Info: HSG DEV FUND CONCR OF CAMILLUS, 2335 NORTH BANK DR, , COLUMBUS, OH 43220 (614) 451-2151 Fax: *County Name:* Onondaga
Mgmt Info: National Church Residences, 2335 N Bank Dr, , Columbus, OH 43220 (614)451-2151 Fax: (614)451-0351 *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
NY06T831027	11/7/2008	Active	177.0%	202/8 NC	43	44	11	32	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*013EH009 ONONDAGA COMMUNITY RESIDENCE, 107 WASHBURN DR, EAST SYRACUSE, NY 13057, (3 *Metro Area (MSA):* Syracuse, NY
Owner Info: ONONDAGA COMMUNITY RESIDENCE CORP., 600 S WILBUR AVE, , SYRACUSE, NY 13204 (315) 476-7441 Fax: *County Name:* Onondaga
Mgmt Info: Onondaga Association for Retarded Citizens, 600 S WILBUR AVE, , SYRACUSE, NY 13204 (315) 476-7441 Fax: *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
NY021130201	12/22/2008	Active	131.9%	202/8 NC	15	15	0	15	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*014EH204 ST. DAVID'S HOUSING DEVELOPMENT FUND CO. INC., 99 DEERFIELD RD, EAST SYRACUSE *Metro Area (MSA):* Syracuse, NY

Owner Info: ST. DAVID'S HOUSING DEVE. FUND CO. INC., 1654 W Onondaga ST, , SYRACUSE, NY 13204 (315) 424-1821 Fax: *County Name:* Onondaga

Mgmt Info: Christopher Community, Inc., 1654 W. Onondaga Street, , Syracuse, NY 13204 (315) 424-1822 Fax: (315) 424-6048 *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
NY06T861022	4/30/2009	Active	207.4%	202/8 NC	23	24	0	23	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*013EH115 REDFIELD VILLAGE APARTMENTS, 380 SALT SPRINGS RD, FAYETTEVILLE, NY 13066, 315-6 *Metro Area (MSA):* Syracuse, NY

Owner Info: REDFIELD SOUTH HOUSING DEV. FUND COMP, INC., 1654 W. Onondaga Street, , SYRACUSE, NY 13204 (315) 472-6200 Fax: *County Name:* Onondaga

Mgmt Info: Christopher Community, Inc., 1654 W. Onondaga Street, , Syracuse, NY 13204 (315) 424-1822 Fax: (315) 424-6048 *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
NY06T841006	12/31/2008	Active	121.5%	202/8 SR	50	50	0	50	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*01311004 Limestone Gardens, 7626 HIGHBRIDGE RD, MANLIUS, NY 13104, (315)682-7001 *Metro Area (MSA):* Syracuse, NY

Owner Info: Limestone Gardens/CREEK HSG. CORP., 7626 Highbridge RD, , Manlius, NY 13104 (315) 682-7001 Fax: *County Name:* Onondaga

Mgmt Info: Limestone Gardens/CREEK HSG. CORP., 7626 Highbridge RD, , Manlius, NY 13104 (315) 682-7001 Fax: *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
207/ 223(f) Pur/ Refin Hsg.	8.50	$1,624,065	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
NY06M000031	8/31/2008	Active	59.8%	LMSA	12	120	0	12	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*014EH035 PITCHER HILL APTS, 114 ELBOW RD, NORTH SYRACUSE, NY 13212, (315)454-0697 *Metro Area (MSA):* Syracuse, NY

Owner Info: Pitcher Hill HDFC, Inc., 1654 W Onondaga ST, , Syracuse, NY 13204 (315) 424-1821 Fax: *County Name:* Onondaga

Mgmt Info: Christopher Community, Inc., 1654 W. Onondaga Street, , Syracuse, NY 13204 (315) 424-1822 Fax: (315) 424-6048 *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
NY06T781019	6/25/2008	Active	131.5%	202/8 NC	100	101	0	100	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* BROWN SQUARE APARTMENTS, 2100 Brown Square Road, ONTARIO, NY 14519, 315-524-778 *Metro Area (MSA):* Rochester, NY

Owner Info: Brown Square I Associates, L.P., 183 E. Main Street, Suite 600, Rochester, NY 14604 585-324-0500 Fax: 585-324-0557 *County Name:* Wayne

Mgmt Info: Home Properties Resident Services, Inc., 850 Clinton Square, , Rochester, NY 14604 (585)546-4900 Fax: (585)546-5433 *Ownership Type:*

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
NY06R000013	6/5/2008	Active	135.1%	515/8 NC	60	60	0	60	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* TOWPATH MANOR I, 200 CANAL ST, PALMYRA, NY 14522, 315-597-4047 — *Metro Area (MSA):* Rochester, NY

Owner Info: Conifer Towpath Associates, 183 E. Main Street, Suite 600, Rochester, NY 14604 585-324-0500 Fax: 585-324-0557 — *County Name:* Wayne

Mgmt Info: Home Properties Resident Services, Inc., 850 Clinton Square, , Rochester, NY 14604 (585)546-4900 Fax: (585)546-5833 — *Ownership Type:*

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
NY060003001	3/13/2008	Active	125.7%	Sec 8 NC	64	65	0	64	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* TOWPATH MANOR II, 201 CANAL ST, PALMYRA, NY 14522, 315-597-4047 — *Metro Area (MSA):* Rochester, NY

Owner Info: Towpath II Associates, L.P., 183 E. Main Street, Suite 600, Rochester, NY 14604 585-324-0500 Fax: 585-324-0557 — *County Name:* Wayne

Mgmt Info: Home Properties Resident Services, Inc., 850 Clinton Square, , Rochester, NY 14604 (585)546-4900 Fax: (585)546-5833 — *Ownership Type:*

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
NY06R000011	12/10/2008	Active	140.1%	515/8 NC	32	32	0	32	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* 1605 MIDLAND AVENUE, 1605 Midland AVE, SYRACUSE, NY 13205, (315)633-9643 — *Metro Area (MSA):* Syracuse, NY

Owner Info: Charles RKeppler, 474 N. Shore Drive, , Bridgeport, NY 13030 (315) 633-9643 Fax: — *County Name:* Onondaga

Mgmt Info: Charles RKeppler, 474 N. Shore Drive, , Bridgeport, NY 13030 (315) 633-9643 Fax: — *Ownership Type:*

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
NY06E000004	3/22/2008	Active	115.8%	PD/8 Existing	8	8	0	4	4	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* Ashley Arms, 1818 South Salina ST, SYRACUSE, NY 13205, 315-471-1201 — *Metro Area (MSA):* Syracuse, NY

Owner Info: CNY Affordable Properties Inc., 124 East Jefferson Street, , Syracuse, NY 13202 315 474-4663 Fax: 315 474-0637 — *County Name:* Onondaga

Mgmt Info: CNY Affordable Properties Inc., 124 East Jefferson Street, , Syracuse, NY 13202 315 474-4663 Fax: 315 474-0637 — *Ownership Type:*

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
NY06E000003	4/7/2008	Active	107.5%	PD/8 Existing	12	12	0	6	6	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*01355007 ELJAY APARTMENTS, 1010 James St, SYRACUSE, NY 13203, 315-424-0210 — *Metro Area (MSA):* Syracuse, NY

Owner Info: ELJAY REDEVELOPMENT CO., 1010 JAMES ST, , SYRACUSE, NY 13203 (315) 424-0200 Fax: — *County Name:* Onondaga

Mgmt Info: LONGLEY JONES MANAGEMENT CORPORATION, 1010 James Street, , Syracuse, NY 13203 (315) 424-0200 Fax: (315) 424-0245 — *Ownership Type:* Limited Dividend

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			Yes	Mrtg Rstr	RER Solutions, Inc.	Y	Y

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
NY02L000007	5/31/2008	Active	113.7%	LMSA	253	277	20	108	121	4	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* McCARTHY MANOR, 501 S CROUSE AVE, SYRACUSE, NY 13210, 315-475-6390 *Metro Area (MSA):* Syracuse, NY
Owner Info: EASTHILL ELDERLY ASSOCIATES, 220 Forbes Rd Ste 205, , Braintree, MA 02184 (781) 356-2719 Fax: (781) 356-2770 *County Name:* Onondaga
Mgmt Info: M B Management, 220 Forbes Road, Suite 205, Braintree, MA 02184 (781) 356-2719 Fax: (781) 356-2765 *Ownership Type:*

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
NY068023007	9/26/2009	Active	131.7%	Sec 8 NC	175	175	0	175	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* MOSES DEWITT, 212 NORTHTOWNSEND ST, SYRACUSE, NY 13203, 315-479-8612 *Metro Area (MSA):* Syracuse, NY
Owner Info: Moses Dewitt Associates,LP, 183 E. Main Street, Suite 600, , Rochester, NY 14604 5853240500 Fax: 5853240557 *County Name:* Onondaga
Mgmt Info: Conifer Realty, Inc., 850 Clinton Square, , ROCHESTER, NY 14604 (716) 546-4900 Fax: 716-546-5433 *Ownership Type:*

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
NY020028002	7/2/2008	Active	171.6%	Sec 8 SR	36	37	4	23	9	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:* Mount St. James Apartments, 245 MOORE AVE, SYRACUSE, NY 13210, (315)4[illegible]8-0731 *Metro Area (MSA):* Syracuse, NY
Owner Info: Mount St. James Housing Dev. Fund Co., Inc., 1654 W. Onondaga Street, , Syracuse,, NY 13204 (315)424-1822 Fax: *County Name:* Onondaga
Mgmt Info: Christopher Community, Inc., 1654 W. Onondaga Street, , Syracuse, NY 13204 (315) 424-1822 Fax: (315) 424-6048 *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
NY02L000006	12/31/2008	Active	101.0%	LMSA	69	142	0	37	14	18	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*013EH001 ONONDAGA APARTMENTS, 1700 W ONONDAGA ST, SYRACUSE, NY 13204, 315-471-8427 *Metro Area (MSA):* Syracuse, NY
Owner Info: Onondaga Apartments HDF Co Inc, 1654 W ONONDAGA ST, , SYRACUSE, NY 13204 (315) 424-1821 Fax: *County Name:* Onondaga
Mgmt Info: Christopher Community, Inc., 1654 W. Onondaga Street, , Syracuse, NY 13204 (315) 424-1822 Fax: (315) 424-6048 *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
NY020496201	8/26/2008	Active	128.8%	202/8 NC	100	101	0	100	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*013EH132 POMPEI APARTMENTS, 143 MARY ST, SYRACUSE, NY 13208, 315-472-2614 *Metro Area (MSA):* Syracuse, NY
Owner Info: POMPEI HOUSING DEVELOPMENT FUND COMP, INC., 1654 West Onondaga Street, , SYRACUSE, NY 13204 (315) 472-6200 Fax: *County Name:* Onondaga
Mgmt Info: Christopher Community, Inc., 1654 W. Onondaga Street, , Syracuse, NY 13204 (315) 424-1822 Fax: (315) 424-6048 *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
NY06T851027	2/25/2008	Expired	166.9%	202/8 NC	49	50	0	49	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***01435162 SPRINGFIELD GARDEN APARTMENTS, 76 Caton Dr, Syracuse, NY 13214, (315)446-6140 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Syracuse, NY

Owner Info: Springcrest Apartments Company, 1010 James Street, , Syracuse, NY 13203 315-424-0200 Fax: ***County Name:*** Onondaga

Mgmt Info: LONGLEY JONES MANAGEMENT CORPORATION, 1010 James Street, , Syracuse, NY 13203 (315) 424-0200 Fax: (315) 424-0245 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/221(d)(4) MKT R	5.75	$3,185,940	Yes	Rent Rdct	CPC Resources, Inc.	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
NY020033015	9/30/2008	Active	111.4%	Sec 8 SR	255	310	0	101	123	31	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***01344008 SYRACUSE APARTMENTS, 301 COLUMBUS AVE, SYRACUSE, NY 13210, 315 476 1381 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Syracuse, NY

Owner Info: SYR-HAB, LP, 310 Columbus AVE, , Syracuse, NY 13210 (315) 476-1381 Fax: ***County Name:*** Onondaga

Mgmt Info: SYR-HAB, LP, 310 Columbus AVE, , Syracuse, NY 13210 (315) 476-1381 Fax: ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			Yes	Mrtg Rstr	RER Solutions, Inc.	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
NY02L000013	8/1/2008	Active	114.5%	LMSA	93	93	6	62	23	2	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***01445004 SYRACUSE REHAB V, 1010 James Street, SYRACUSE, NY 13203, 315-424-0210 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Syracuse, NY

Owner Info: CENTRAL NEW YORK REDEVELOPMENT COMPANY, 1010 JAMES ST, , SYRACUSE, NY 13203 (315) 424-0200 Fax: ***County Name:*** Onondaga

Mgmt Info: LONGLEY JONES MANAGEMENT CORPORATION, 1010 James Street, , Syracuse, NY 13203 (315) 424-0200 Fax: (315) 424-0245 ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
223(a)(7)/236(j)(1) Refi/ Lo	10.25	$128,277	Yes	Mrtg Rstr	RER Solutions, Inc.	Y	

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
NY06M000037	5/31/2008	Active	103.3%	LMSA	125	136	24	89	9	1	2	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***014EH043 SYRACUSE YMCA SR CITIZEN APARTMENTS, 340 MONTGOMERY ST, SYRACUSE, NY 13202 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Syracuse, NY

Owner Info: SYRACUSE YMCA SENIOR CITIZEN HDFC., 340 MONTGOMERY ST, , SYRACUSE, NY 13202 (315) 474-6851 Fax: ***County Name:*** Onondaga

Mgmt Info: YMCA OF GREATER SYRACUSE, INC., 340 MONTGOMERY ST, , SYRACUSE, NY 13202 (315) 474-6851 Fax: ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
NY06T781027	10/1/2008	Active	192.4%	202/8 SR	30	30	0	30	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:***013EH007 VILLA SCALABRINI APTS., 825 E WILLOW ST, SYRACUSE, NY 13203, 315-68[illegible]-5632 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Syracuse, NY

Owner Info: St Peter's Italian Ch. Hsg. Deve. Fund Co Inc, 1654 W. Onondaga St, , SYRACUSE, NY 13204 (315)424-1822 Fax: 315-4246048 ***County Name:*** Onondaga

Mgmt Info: Christopher Community, Inc., 1654 W. Onondaga Street, , Syracuse, NY 13204 (315) 424-1822 Fax: (315) 424-6048 ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
NY022536201	5/22/2008	Active	153.4%	202/8 NC	120	121	0	120	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: *FHA #:*013EH114 WALTER J. LUDOVICO APARTMENTS, 340 WINTON ST, SYRACUSE, NY 13203, 315-422-0475 *Metro Area (MSA):* Syracuse, NY

Owner Info: HAWLEY WINTON HSG. DEV. FUND CO., INC., 1654 W. Onondaga St., , SYRACUSE, NY 13204 (315) 424-1822 Fax: *County Name:* Onondaga

Mgmt Info: Christopher Community, Inc., 1654 W. Onondaga Street, , Syracuse, NY 13204 (315) 424-1822 Fax: (315) 424-6046 *Ownership Type:* Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
NY06T841005	9/30/2008	Active	181.4%	202/8 SR	32	33	0	32	0	0	0	0

Totals:

TOTALS FOR ALL OWNERSHIP TYPES:

Total Properties:	25
Total Contracts:	25
Total Assisted Units:	1,814

Data compiled from several HUD sources and not independently verified. Does not include Section 202 and 811 properties with PAC or PRAC contract

Section 8 Contract information is from March 2008.

NATIONAL HOUSING TRUST

Special Report: Expiring Section 8 Contracts in Virginia's 10th Congressional District

This report includes properties in Rep. Frank Wolf's district in Virginia with contracts expiring by the end of fiscal year 2009.

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** ROYAL ARMS APARTMENTS, 401 E CRISER RD, FRONT ROYAL, VA 22630, 540-636-2300 ***Metro Area (MSA):***

Owner Info: Royal Arms Associates, LP, 168 Business Park Drive, Suite 200, Virginia Beach, VA 23462 757-473-3706 Fax: 757-473-3712 ***County Name:*** Warren

Mgmt Info: Hercules Real Estate Services, 168 Business Park Dr #200, , Virginia Beach, VA 23462 757-473-3701 Fax: 757-473-3732 ***Ownership Type:*** Limited Dividend

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
VA36M000086	4/30/2008	Active	80.5%	LMSA	100	144	0	36	47	17	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** 05111175 MARYWOOD I, 10700 Crestwood DR, MANASSAS, VA 22110, 703-631-1518 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Washington, DC-MD-VA-WV

Owner Info: Second St. Mary's Hsg Corp, 1020 Springvale Rd., , Great Falls, VA 22066 (703)759-4350 Fax: (703)759-3753 ***County Name:*** Prince William

Mgmt Info: Quanturn Real Estate Management, LLC, 5101 River Rd, , Bethesda, MD 20816 301-941-8040 Fax: 301-941-8182 ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
207/ 223(f) Pur/ Refin Hsg.	5.63	$2,791,419	No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
VA391064201	5/14/2008	Active	65.7%	202/8 NC	127	129	0	127	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** Lewinsville Retirement Home, 1515 GREAT FALLS ST, MCLEAN, VA 22101, 703-442-8660 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Washington, DC-MD-VA-WV

Owner Info: Lewinsville Retirement Residence, Inc., 1515 Great Falls Street, , McLean, VA 22101 703-356-6656 Fax: 703-356-6657 ***County Name:*** Fairfax

Mgmt Info: Goodwin House Community Services, 4800 Fillmore Avenue, , Alexandria, VA 22311 703-824-1355 Fax: 703-824-1379 ***Ownership Type:*** Non-Profit

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
VA392276201	9/18/2008	Active	99.9%	202/8 NC	143	144	6	132	5	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** Warrenton Manor, 663 HASTINGS LN, WARRENTON, VA 22186, 540-349-1353 ***Metro Area (MSA):*** Washington, DC-MD-VA-WV

Owner Info: Warrenton Manor LLC, 15825 Shady Grove Rd Ste 25, , Rockville, MD 20850 240-683-0300 Fax: 240-683-0727 ***County Name:*** Fauquier

Mgmt Info: Multi-Family Management, Inc., 3720 Farragut Avenue, Suite 305, Kensington, MD 20895 (301) 942-7170 Fax: ***Ownership Type:*** Profit Motivated

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
VA36H027237	7/17/2009	Active	73.1%	HFDA/8 NC	68	68	16	52	0	0	0	0

PROJECT: ***FHA #:*** WINCHESTER HOUSE, 27 S CAMERON ST, WINCHESTER, VA 22601, 540-667-4667 ***Metro Area (MSA):***

Owner Info: SHENANDOAH FELLOWSHIP FOUNDATION, 27 S Cameron St, , Winchester, VA 22601 540-667-4667 Fax: 540-667-6977 ***County Name:*** Winchester City

Mgmt Info: SHENANDOAH FELLOWSHIP FOUNDATION, 27 S Cameron St, , Winchester, VA 22601 540-667-4667 Fax: 540-667-6977 ***Ownership Type:***

Section of Act	Interest Rate	Unpaid Balance	In M2M?	M2M Option	PAE	Completed M2M?	Below Market?
			No				

S8 Contract #	Expiration Date	Contract Status	Rent Category	Program	Assisted Apts	Total Apts	# Effic.	# 1 BR	# 2 BR	# 3 BR	# 4 BR	#5+ BR
VA36H027020	7/15/2009	Active	101.0%	HFDA/8 NC	80	80	0	80	0	0	0	0

Totals:

TOTALS FOR ALL OWNERSHIP TYPES:

Total Properties:	5
Total Contracts:	5
Total Assisted Units:	518

Data compiled from several HUD sources and not independently verified. Does not include Section 202 and 811 properties with PAC or PRAC contract

Section 8 Contract information is from March 2008.

Exhibit 4

Sample HUD Letters Explaining Insufficient Appropriations

#2 MC

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

WASHINGTON, DC 20410-8000

OFFICE OF HOUSING

09-24-07P03:22 RCVD

Date: 9/6/2007

Fredericksburg Affordable Housing Limited Partners
Mr. Norman Azougha, 5380 Homes Run Parkway
Alexandria, VA 22304

Name of Project: HAZEL HILL APTS
Contract Number: VA36M000045
City, State: FREDERICKSBURG , V

Dear Project Owner:

The records of the Department of Housing and Urban Development (" HUD") indicate that the project identified above is subject to a Section 8 Housing Assistance Payments (" HAP") contract that has been renewed under the Multifamily Assisted Housing Reform and Affordability Act of 1997, Pub. L. 105-65, 111 Stat. 1344, 1384, as amended (" MAHRA"), for a multi-year contract term (" Renewal Contract"). Section 2 of the Renewal Contract, captioned " Term of Renewal Contract," states that it is " [s]ubject to the availability of sufficient appropriations to make housing assistance payments for any year in accordance with the Renewal Contract, as determined by HUD."

This letter constitutes notice that HUD has determined pursuant to the foregoing provision that sufficient appropriations are not available at this time to make housing assistance payments under the Renewal Contract for the entirety of the next annual increment.

This letter further serves as notification that HUD is hereby obligating $497,400 , an amount sufficient to provide housing assistance payments for approximately the first 4 months of the next annual increment. HUD will provide additional funding subject to the availability of appropriations. This means that when such appropriations are available, HUD will obligate additional funding and provide you with written notification of (i) the amount of such additional funding, and (ii) the approximate period of time within the remaining portion of the annual increment to which it will be applied.

For questions concerning this letter, please contact, Yolanda Webster, at 804-822-4880.

Sincerely,

Lanier M. Hylton

Lanier M. Hylton
Director, Office of Housing Assistance Contract Administration Oversight - (HACAO)

[Letter to be sent to owners of all section 8 projects subject to a multi-year MAHRA renewal contract on or before its anniversary date, notifying them of insufficiency of appropriations to provide a full twelve months' worth of funding for the next annual increment and of HUD's obligation of funds for the purpose of continuing subsidy payments for a portion of the next annual increment.]

Fax:5182187800 Sep 11 2007 11:1dam P002/002

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

WASHINGTON, DC 20410-8000

OFFICE OF HOUSING

Date: 9/6/2007

PIERREPONT HOUSE FOR THE ELDERLY, INC.
191 JORALEMON Street, 8th Fl.
BROOKLYN , NY 11201

SEP 10 2007
OGI Albany

Name of Project: PIERREPONT HOUSE FOR TH
Contract Number: NY360004031
City, State: BROOKLYN , NY

Dear Project Owner:

The records of the Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD") indicate that the project identified above is subject to a Section 8 Housing Assistance Payments ("HAP") contract that has been renewed under the Multifamily Assisted Housing Reform and Affordability Act of 1997, Pub. L. 105-65, 111 Stat. 1344, 1384, as amended ("MAHRA"), for a multi-year contract term ("Renewal Contract"). Section 2 of the Renewal Contract, captioned "Term of Renewal Contract," states that it is "[s]ubject to the availability of sufficient appropriations to make housing assistance payments for any year in accordance with the Renewal Contract, as determined by HUD."

This letter constitutes notice that HUD has determined pursuant to the foregoing provision that sufficient appropriations are not available at this time to make housing assistance payments under the Renewal Contract for the entirety of the next annual increment.

This letter further serves as notification that HUD is hereby obligating $753,200 , an amount sufficient to provide housing assistance payments for approximately the first 5 months of the next annual increment. HUD will provide additional funding subject to the availability of appropriations. This means that when such appropriations are available, HUD will obligate additional funding and provide you with written notification of (i) the amount of such additional funding, and (ii) the approximate period of time within the remaining portion of the annual increment to which it will be applied.

For questions concerning this letter, please contact, Eric Wolsky, at 212-542-7748.

Sincerely,

Lanier M. Hylton

Lanier M. Hylton
Director, Office of Housing Assistance Contract Administration Oversight - (HACAO)

[Letter to be sent to owners of all section 8 projects subject to a multi-year MAHRA renewal contract on or before its anniversary date, notifying them of insufficiency of appropriations to provide a full twelve months' worth of funding for the next annual increment and of HUD's obligation of funds for the purpose of continuing subsidy payments for a portion of the next annual increment.]

Exhibit 5

Excerpt from Stub Contract for Belton Woods Apartments

IMPORTANT CONTRACT RENEWAL PROCEDURES

The recent changes in HUD funding procedures has made it necessary to replace the previous HAP contract that you executed as part of a recent contract renewal. The old version of the contract must be replaced with the enclosed new revision dated 8-09-2007.

Enclosed are two original copies of the revised HAP contract that have **already been executed** by Richard A. Hutto, as Director of Contract Administration for the S. C. State Housing Finance and Development Authority. Note that this is a change in procedure.

Please have the owner execute both copies and **return only a single copy of the fully executed** contract back to me in the preaddressed and postage paid envelope as soon as possible. The owner **retains the second copy** in his file. If the management agent wants a copy for their files, the owner should provide a copy to them from his original.

Please use only the preaddressed envelope and do not "overnight" the contract back to me. We will be on the alert for this particular envelope and, due to the volume of the contracts that we must process, an overnight mailing would only be a waste of money.

Note that funds have been reserved for this property only for the number of months and in the amount as specified in paragraph 2 of the contract. Additional funding will be provided as it becomes available and the owner will be advised when that occurs.

These changes are designed to eliminate the delays in funding that has been experienced in the past. When the single copy of the contract is returned to us, we must then transmit a copy to HUD/Funding/Ft. Worth as well as forward a copy to the local HUD office. Until the full distribution process is completed, no assistance payments can be made to this property. **I cannot tell you exactly when the property will be paid.**

I anticipate completing distribution of copies to Ft Worth via overnight mail and will hand deliver the contracts to the HUD/Columbia office within one business day of receipt of the fully executed contract. Funds should reach the property a few days after that date.

If you have any questions as to what to do, please contact me, Frank Geiger, Manager, Contract Renewals, at SCSHFDA. My direct phone number is 803-896-8710 and my email address is Frank.Geiger@SCHousing.com.

Before returning the single copy of the fully executed contract to me, please review the instructions regarding the Rent Schedule as shown on the enclosed yellow transmittal form and follow the directions. Thank you.

Property Name: BELTON WOODS

Date of this Transmittal: September 5, 2007

Attachment 11-1

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

Office of Housing

Project-based Section 8

HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS

BASIC RENEWAL CONTRACT

ONE-YEAR TERM

PREPARATION OF CONTRACT

Reference numbers in this form refer to notes at the end of the contract text. These endnotes are instructions for preparation of the Basic Renewal Contract. The instructions are not part of the Renewal Contract

Attachment 11-1

TABLE OF SECTIONS

1 CONTRACT INFORMATION	1
PROJECT	1
TYPE OF RENEWAL	2
2 TERM AND FUNDING OF RENEWAL CONTRACT	2
3 DEFINITIONS	4
4 RENEWAL CONTRACT	5
a Parties	5
b Statutory authority	5
c Expiring Contract	5
d Purpose of Renewal Contract	5
e Contract units	6
5 EXPIRING CONTRACT – PROVISIONS RENEWED	6
6 CONTRACT RENT	7
a Contract rents	7
b No rent adjustments	7
7 OWNER WARRANTIES	7
8 OWNER TERMINATION NOTICE	7
9 HUD REQUIREMENTS	8
10 STATUTORY CHANGES DURING TERM	8
11 PHA DEFAULT	8

12 EXCLUSION OF THIRD-PARTY RIGHTS 8

13 WRITTEN NOTICES 9

SIGNATURES 10

Attachment 11-1

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
Office of Housing

Project-based Section 8

HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS

BASIC RENEWAL CONTRACT[1]

ONE-YEAR TERM

1 CONTRACT INFORMATION[2]

PROJECT

Section 8 Project Number: SC16-M000-055

Section 8 Project Number of Expiring Contract:

FHA Project Number (if applicable): 054-44049 (inactive)

Project Name: Belton Woods (aka Anderson Gardens)

Project Description:[3]

A total of two hundred (200) units in an apartment project known as Belton Woods located at 131 Howard Lane, Anderson, County of Anderson, South Carolina.

TYPE OF RENEWAL

☒ Check this box for a project renewed under Section 524(a) of MAHRA (not including a Mark-Up-To-Market renewal).

☐ Check this box for a project renewed at exception rents under Section 524(b)(1) of MAHRA.

PARTIES TO RENEWAL CONTRACT

Name of Contract Administrator[4]

South Carolina State Housing Finance and Development Authority

Address of Contract Administrator

300-C Outlet Pointe Blvd.
Columbia, South Carolina 29210

Name of Owner[5]

Belton Woods, Limited Partnership

Address of Owner

8531 Six Forks Road, Suite 200

Raleigh, NC 27615

2 TERM AND FUNDING OF RENEWAL CONTRACT

a The Renewal Contract begins on August 1, 2007[6] and shall run for a period of one year.

b Execution of the Renewal Contract by the Contract Administrator is an obligation by HUD of $ 593,800,[7] an amount sufficient to provide housing assistance payments for approximately Five (5)[8] months of the Renewal Contract term.

c HUD will provide additional funding for the remainder of the Renewal Contract term subject to the availability of sufficient appropriations. When such appropriations are available, HUD will obligate additional funding and provide the Owner written notification of (i) the amount of such additional funding, and (ii) the approximate period of time within the Renewal Contract term to which it will be applied.

3 **DEFINITIONS**

ACC. Annual contributions contract.

Contract rent. The total monthly rent to owner for a contract unit, including the tenant rent (the portion of rent to owner paid by the assisted family).

Contract units. The units in the Project which are identified in Exhibit A by size and applicable contract rents.

HAP contract. A housing assistance payments contract between the Contract Administrator and the Owner.

HUD. The United States Department of Housing and Urban Development.

HUD requirements. HUD regulations and other requirements, including changes in HUD regulations and other requirements during the term of the Renewal Contract.

MAHRA. The Multifamily Assisted Housing Reform and Affordability Act of 1997 (Title V of Public Law No.105-65, October 27, 1997, 111 Stat. 1384), as amended.

PHA. Public housing agency (as defined and qualified in accordance with the United States Housing Act of 1937. 42 U.S.C. 1437 et seq.).

Project. The housing described in section 1 of the Renewal Contract.

Renewal Contract. This contract, including applicable provisions of the Expiring Contract (as determined in accordance with section 5 of the Renewal Contract).

Section 8. Section 8 of the United States Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C. 1437f)

Exhibit 6

Support Letter from Members of Congress for Full Funding

Congress of the United States
Washington, DC 20515

March 19, 2008

The Honorable John Olver
Chairman, Transportation, Housing and Urban Development and Related Agencies Appropriations Subcommittee
United States House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515

The Honorable Joe Knollenberg
Ranking Member, Transportation, Housing and Urban Development and Related Agencies Appropriations Subcommittee
United States House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Mr. Chairman and Mr. Ranking Member:

We urge you to fully fund current vouchers within the Section Eight Voucher programs and provide additional funds for new vouchers in the FY 2009 Transportation-HUD Appropriations Act. Both the Tenant-based and Project-based accounts are critically important. Without this level of funding, over 600,000 low-income seniors and families around the country are at serious risk of losing their affordable homes.

Last year, Congress granted the Section Eight programs a level of funding that secured aid for those receiving assistance. Today, the mortgage crisis is putting mounting pressure onto an already strained rental market. Homeowners that lose their homes will have to turn to programs like Section Eight to weather the storm. We must, once again, act on behalf of those that are most vulnerable - too many lives are at stake.

The Section 8 Tenant-based program helps over two million families, seniors and disabled individuals secure safe and affordable homes. Guaranteeing that vouchers in use are fully funded in FY 2009 is critical to the stability of our low-income families. However the need goes beyond active recipients. Waiting lists to enter the program have grown exponentially in the last years. We urge you to provide funds for additional vouchers to meet this unmet demand.

Our affordable housing stock also requires fearless stewards. Recently the Project-based Section Eight program was jeopardized by HUD's continuous late payments and shortfunding of contracts. It is essential that Congress address this threat to critically sought affordable housing units. We must ensure that HUD has sufficient funds for full year contract renewals. Anything less is fiscally irresponsible. The National Housing Trust estimates that the current shortfall threatens the loss of affordable homes for approximately 500,000 low-income families around the country.

Those who are receiving Section Eight aid should continue to do so and additional families should be given the opportunity to find an affordable home. These vouchers provide the foundation for millions of families to maintain employment and achieve economic success. We urge you to fully fund the Tenant-based and Project-based

PRINTED ON RECYCLED PAPER

programs to ensure that our low income families, seniors and disabled can find and retain affordable homes. Thank you for your attention to this matter and your continued support of these important programs.

Sincerely,

Lylee

Stephen F. Lynch

Betty Sutton

Mike H Michaud

Maxine K. Hirono

Tom Allen

Jim McGovern

Madeline Z. Bordallo

Jim McGovern

Carolyn McCarthy

Keith Ellison

Yvette D. Clarke

Steve Cohen

Members of Congress who signed the Nadler/Velazquez letter
in support of the Section 8 for both Tenant-Based and Project-Based Programs

1. Jerrold Nadler
2. Nydia Velázquez
3. Yvette D. Clarke
4. Tom Allen
5. Steve Cohen
6. Stephen F. Lynch
7. Silvestre Reyes
8. Rubén Hinojosa
9. Rosa L. DeLauro
10. Peter Defazio
11. Michael R. McNulty
12. Michael H. Michaud
13. Mazie K. Hirono
14. Maurice Hinchey
15. Madeleine Z. Bordallo
16. Louise McIntosh Slaughter
17. Keith Ellison
18. John D. Dingell
19. Jim McDermott
20. Jay Inslee
21. Janice D. Schakowsky
22. James P. McGovern
23. Henry A. Waxman
24. Gregory W. Meeks
25. Emanuel Cleaver, II
26. Edward J. Markey
27. Earl Blumenauer
28. Donna M.Christensen
29. David Wu
30. Corrine Brown
31. Christopher Shays
32. Chaka Fattah
33. Carolyn McCarthy
34. Bob Filner
35. Betty Sutton
36. Albert Russell Wynn

Exhibit 7

Memorandum from CBPP Regarding Advance Appropriation

MEMORANDUM

To: Interested Parties
From: Center on Budget and Policy Priorities
Date: revised April 4, 2008
Re: Advance Appropriation for Section 8 Project-Based Rental Assistance

---

The Section 8 Project-Based Rental Assistance program faces a multi-billion-dollar shortfall in funding to renew housing assistance for about 1 million low-income families, three-quarters of which are headed by the elderly or people with disabilities. If this shortfall is not addressed, increasing numbers of property owners now participating in the program are likely to terminate their contracts with HUD, resulting in a substantial loss of affordable housing at a time when needs are great.

Fortunately, the Section 8 shortfall can be bridged — and critical affordable housing preserved — through a one-time increase in budget authority that would *neither increase program costs (outlays) nor add to the federal budget deficit in any year.* To do this, Congress should approve a budget resolution that includes $2.8 billion in advance funding for project-based Section 8 for fiscal year 2010, an increase of $2.4 billion above the President's request. *The Senate budget resolution includes a FY 2010 advance that is nearly $2 billion above the House level. We urge Congress to adopt that higher advance in the final budget resolution and, in accord with the House resolution, to designate project-based Section 8 as a purpose for which those funds may be used.*

The Section 8 shortfall was caused by HUD policy changes that were not made public and concealed the true budget needs of the program. Historically, HUD has signed 12-month rental contracts at the annual renewal of every Section 8 contract, thereby reassuring owners that funding will be available for the entire renewal period. In late 2007, however, HUD revealed that it has provided only partial funding for some contracts in recent years, typically enough to cover only the remaining months of the fiscal year. The purpose of this new practice of "short-funding" contracts — which was initially implemented without notifying owners and has been expanded to nearly all contracts in 2008 — has been to cover shortfalls in budget authority by shifting needs from the current fiscal year to the next. In essence, under the leadership of now-resigning Secretary Jackson, HUD employed a massive budget gimmick that resulted in the federal government failing to meet its prior commitments to private owners of affordable housing.

Property owners' confidence in Section 8 has been badly shaken by these developments, raising the risk that thousands of affordable apartments will be lost as owners terminate their participation in the program. In a House hearing in October, owner representatives testified that the new policy of short-funding contracts has made it more difficult and expensive to operate and maintain their properties, and that growing numbers of owners are likely to choose not to renew their contracts. Approximately 150,000 Section 8 rental units are located in markets where already there are significant financial incentives to exit the program.

An additional one-time advance appropriation for FY 2010 of $2.8 billion would preserve affordable housing for thousands of families — and do so without increasing program spending (outlays) or adding to the deficit in any year. By providing a one-time increase in budget authority, Congress would allow HUD to restore the policy of signing 12-month rental contracts at renewal for all existing contracts in 2009 — thereby restoring property owners' confidence in the fiscal stability of Section 8 contracts. This action would not increase program spending, however, as monthly outlays would continue on their current path, and it would not increase the federal budget deficit.

Mr. OLVER. Mr. Pagano, your turn.

MR. PAGANO'S REMARKS

Mr. PAGANO. Thank you, Chairman Olver, for holding this important hearing. Good morning, Ranking Member Knollenberg and members of the Subcommittee. My name is Ken Pagano, and I am honored to be here today to speak on behalf of the National Affordable Housing Management Association (NAHMA). NAHMA represents managing agents and owners involved in Federal rental assistance programs. And I am also president of Essex Plaza Management Company and NAHMA's regional president for New Jersey.

Shortfalls in the Project-Based Section 8 program have two immediate impacts on the day-to-day operations of affordable properties: late subsidy payments to owners and incremental or partial funding of housing assistance payment contracts.

Last summer properties across the country did not receive their HAP payments for 2 or 3 months. To make ends meet, many NAHMA members had to lay off staff, cut services to residents, miss mortgage payments, make late utility payments or miss payments to site vendors, borrow from project reserves for replacement, postpone maintenance and ask owners for loans.

In my own experience as a managing agent, the cost of operating project-based Section 8 properties has increased as a result of last summer's crisis. Despite many years of timely payments, vendors are now asking for up-front deposits. I have lost discounts because I was not able to pay them on time. Banks and vendors are charging late fees. My properties have paid between 12 and 18 percent interest for water or sewer and tax payments in the State of New Jersey because HUD didn't pay me on time. My properties suffered. My tenants were also affected.

I have had to defer work outlined in my Mark-to-Market program because I needed money to make debt service payments. But the funding problem did not end last summer. For example, in late 2007 a NAHMA member operating in the Midwest experienced a 2-month delay in HAP payment, first, because HUD did not have the funding to pay the HAP, and then because HUD added an extra step in the contract renewal process, requiring the contract administrator to sign the new contract. This member was especially frustrated because it had submitted its paperwork 120 days prior to its contract expiration. The contract was to have been renewed prior to November 1, but the owners have not received voucher payments in November or December. At the same time, the owner is expected to pay mortgage and utilities to keep the building lighted and warm in the Nebraska winter.

Another frustrating consequence of last summer's funding crisis is HUD's incremental or short-term funding language in Section 8 renewal contracts. Project-Based Section 8 contracts now obligate funding of owners for a period of time, less than the term of the contract, either 1 year or multiyear. For example, contract language may read, HUD is providing $350,000, which is sufficient to fund HUD's HAP for approximately 4 months. At every anniversary date for multiyear contracts, HUD is notifying owners when it does not have enough funding to pay for the full 12-month an-

nual increment. HUD's letters state how much funding will be provided to cover a specific number of months and that HUD will obligate funding when appropriations are available.

We have had multiple contracts in the D.C. area that have been not funded for several months. In addition to that, we have had members advise us in San Bernardino, California, that they will be opting out of the program. In addition, they are saying properties in Los Angeles and right next to Southern California University will also be opting out because they are able to get better rents without the Section 8 subsidy.

We are requesting that HUD look—that this Subcommittee look at the Administration's requests and fund $2.8 billion in shortfall funding for Section 8 and address whatever regulatory problems there are. I cannot stress enough how important it is to provide a $2.8 billion increase for Project-Based Section 8 as quickly as possible. Many of the industry observers believe funding would finally be stabilized and contracts could be fully funded for their 12 months.

I thank you for this opportunity and I would be happy to answer any questions.

Mr. OLVER. Thank you, Mr. Pagano.

[The information follows:]

400 North Columbus Street
Suite 203
Alexandria, VA 22314
(703) 683-8630
(703) 683-8634 FAX
www.nahma.org

Testimony of J. Kenneth Pagano
House Appropriations Subcommittee on Transportation, Housing and Urban Development and Related Agencies
Challenges of the Project-Based Section 8 Program
April 23, 2008

Thank you, Chairman Olver for holding this important hearing. Good morning, Ranking Member Knollenberg and members of the Subcommittee.

My name is Ken Pagano, and I am honored to be here today to speak on behalf of the National Affordable Housing Management Association (NAHMA). NAHMA represents management agents and owners involved in federal rental assistance programs. I am also President and CEO of Essex Plaza Management Company, of Newark, New Jersey and President of NAHMA's regional chapter, New Jersey Affordable Housing Management Association (JAHMA). My testimony will focus on the harm to affordable properties caused by the shortfalls in project-based Section 8 funding.

Shortfalls in the Section 8 Program Harm Affordable Properties and Tenants

Shortfalls in the project-based Section 8 program have had two immediate impacts on the day-to-day operations of affordable properties: late subsidy payments to owners and "incremental" or partial funding of the housing assistance payment (HAP) subsidy contracts.

Last summer (the 4th quarter of FY 2007), properties across the country did not receive their HAP payments for two to three months. To make ends meet, many NAHMA members had to lay-off staff, cut services to residents, miss mortgage payments, make late utility payments or miss payments to site vendors, borrow from the project's reserves for replacement, postpone maintenance and / or ask owners for loans. In my own experience as a management agent, the cost of operating project-based Section 8 properties has increased as a result of last summer's crisis. Despite many years of timely payments, vendors are now asking for upfront deposits, and I've lost discounts because I was not able to pay them on time. Banks and vendors are charging late fees. My properties have paid between 12 to 18 percent late

fees on water, sewer and tax payments in New Jersey because HUD did not pay us on time. My properties suffered, and my tenants were also affected. For example, I had to cut the hours of the Neighborhood Networks community learning centers. I've also had to defer scheduled work outlined in the mark-to-market process because I needed the money to make debt service payments.

But the funding problems did not end last summer. For example, in late 2007, a NAHMA member operating in the Midwest experienced a two month delay on a HAP payment, first because HUD did not have the funding to pay the HAP, and then because HUD added a an extra step to the contract renewal procedure by requiring the contract administrator's signature. This member was especially frustrated because his company submitted the contract renewal paperwork prior to the HUD's deadline—which is 120 days before the annual contract expires. He wrote,

> "This contract was to have been renewed prior to November 1, 2007, but the owners have not received voucher payments in November and December. At the same time, the owner is expected to pay the mortgage and also utilities to keep the building lighted and warm in this Nebraska winter for the senior residents living there."

Another frustrating consequence of last summer's funding crisis is HUD's "incremental" or short-term funding language in Section 8 renewal contracts. Project-based Section 8 HAP contracts now obligate funding to owners for a period of time (expressed in months) less than the term of the contract (either one-year or multi-year). For example, the contract language might read, "HUD is providing $350,000, which is sufficient to fund HAPs for approximately 4 months of the Renewal Contract term." At every anniversary date for multi-year contracts, HUD is notifying owners when it does not have enough funding to pay for the full 12 month annual increment. HUD's letters state how much funding will be providing to cover a specific number of months and that HUD will obligate additional funding when appropriations are available.

Members of the Subcommittee, "incremental" payments on HAP contracts are ***not*** an acceptable standard operating procedure. Rather than simply request the amount of appropriations *it actually needs to fund the full 12 month terms of Section 8 contracts*, this Administration has made a policy decision to tell owners, "We don't have enough money to pay you." Even worse, we are aware of cases in which the few months allotted on the short-funded contract had already expired by the time the owner or agent finally received the paperwork to receive the payment! According to a NAHMA member operating in the DC area:

"We have multiple contracts that have been short renewed…and several other properties here have been rolled for 3 or 4 months, and it takes longer than that to get the paper to us, and back again. Earlier this year we had 5 jobs that by the time HUD and [the contract administrator] had cleared the paperwork on them we were beyond the renewal time line."

There is simply no substitute for fully funding the 12 month contract terms. When HUD talks about funding contracts for the *fiscal year*, they are referring to payments from October 1 to September 30. HAP contracts are renewed in every month of the calendar year, often overlapping federal fiscal years. NAHMA is firm in our position that Section 8 Project-Based HAP contracts must be fully funded *and* paid on time. This program is at a crossroads. Last summer's severe payment problems, combined with HUD's short-term contract funding, are raising questions throughout the affordable housing industry about whether the federal government is truly committed to the project-based Section 8 program–and whether it is worth the risk of participating in the program.

It is unrealistic to expect owners in desirable neighborhoods to put up with the status quo indefinitely. Stop-and-go contract funding, along with complicated, expensive regulatory requirements, are making it difficult for management agents to convince owners to stay in the program. I am personally feeling pressure to opt-out from the partners on some properties I manage. My owners and limited partners are looking at this situation where their costs are increasing, returns are diminishing and the uncertainty of HAP funding is putting the projects at risk of default on the mortgages. Risks to properties restructured under mark-to-market include default on the first mortgage, as well as inability to make interest payments on the HUD-held second mortgage. The partners are asking me, "Why am I still in this program?" At the same time, they are being approached by investors who would like to convert the properties to condominiums or market rate units.

Some agents have given up altogether on making the argument. One NAHMA member commented, "I have gone over to the dark side and in good conscience can no longer recommend that an owner take the Mark-up-to-Market (MU2M) option.[1] I now find myself usually recommending that the owner prepay and opt-out." This member offered specifics about the consequences of the opt-out decisions:

[1] Properties characterized by below market rents in desirable neighborhoods are at a high risk of opt-out or prepayment. Mark-up-to-Market is a preservation program which increases below-market Section 8 rents to bring them in line with comparable properties.

"We are in the process of opting-out of an 84-unit family building in San Bernardino. The effective date of the opt-out will be June 30, 2008...The owner will realize a...$45 to $95 increase on the section 8 units. He will also save approximately $20,000 a year in bookkeeping expenses, occupancy expenses, office supply costs and HUD audit expenses.

We are also opting out of a 132 unit building with mostly 0-bedroom [efficiency] and 1-bedroom units in Los Angeles, effective November 30, 2008. We are subject to Los Angeles rent control so the increase in rents will be relatively nominal, but we will save over $20,000 a year in bookkeeping expenses, occupancy expenses, office supply costs attributable to HUD verification expenses and also for the HUD audit expenses.

We have four other Title II family buildings that will reach the end of their Use Agreements between 2010 and 2011. Each owner has already advised us that they plan to opt-out at that time and convert their properties to conventional rental. Several other family properties, which previously opted to take the MU2M option will also opt-out at the end of the 5-year contracts. One of these properties is directly across the street from the University of Southern California...In today's market the owner could obtain between $500 to $800 more for each unit as student housing."

Requested Congressional Action

NAHMA calls on Congress and the Administration to take immediate decisive steps to restore confidence in this program before more affordable housing is lost. We respectfully suggest:

1. Stabilizing funding by providing the necessary appropriations to pay the full 12 month increments of HAP contracts;

It is generally agreed that the Administration's FY 09 budget request falls $2.8 billion short of the amount necessary to fully fund the 12 month increments of project-based Section 8 HAP contracts. We urge Congress to provide this additional funding as an emergency supplemental appropriation at the earliest opportunity.

2. Addressing any regulatory issues that affect the timeliness of HAP payments;

The Administration should submit a budget request which reflects the *true cost of funding project-based Section 8 contract renewals for their 12-month terms.* Likewise, HUD should streamline its contract renewal process, and improve the timeliness of Mark-up-to-Market processing.

3. Increasing protections for vulnerable tenants;

Enhanced vouchers (which protect tenants when owners prepay or opt-out) for tenants should be available when HAP payments stop for any reason (especially due to the government's failure to pay owners)--even before the end of the required one-year notice period.

4. Creating disincentives for under-funding the Section 8 program and making late payments to owners by requiring HUD to make interest payments on late HAPs.

We believe HUD should pay interest on late HAPs, just as owners must pay late fees on missed mortgage and / or utility payments which result from the late HAP. A precedent exists in the U.S. Treasury Department's prompt payment rule, which assesses late interest charges against federal agencies that pay vendors after a payment due date. NAHMA supports the legislative proposal in Representative Maxine Waters' Mark-to-Market Extension and Enhancement Act (HR 3965) which requires HUD to pay interest on late HAP payments to owners after 30 days.

Conclusion

In closing, I cannot stress enough how important it is to provide the $2.8 billion increase for project-based Section 8 as quickly as possible. If this increase were added to the Administration's $7 billion request for project-based Section 8, many industry observers believe funding would finally be stabilized, and contracts could be fully funded for their 12 month terms. Affordable properties cannot endure a repeat of last summer. Owners will not continue reaching into their pockets indefinitely to make up for the HAP shortfalls. Residents do not deserve to lose their housing because the federal government does not make timely and full payments on its subsidy contracts. Properties cannot continue deferring maintenance and / or borrowing from maintenance funds until Section 8 monies are received. Section 8 funding shortfalls are frustrating owners, forcing managers to play shell games with project accounts to cover operating costs until the HAP funds are received, and needlessly jeopardizing housing for low-income families. The good news is a solution is possible. Once again, I would like to thank Chairman Olver for allowing me to testify this morning. I would be happy to answer any questions from the Subcommittee members.

PROTECTING THE INTERESTS OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING PROPERTY MANAGERS AND OWNERS

Mr. OLVER. Mr. Minnix.

MR. MINNIX'S REMARKS

Mr. MINNIX. Yes, sir. Thank you, Mr. Chairman and Mr. Ranking Member. The facts and figures that my colleagues have given you are real. I represent somewhere around 2,000 not-for-profit, mostly religious Masonic labor-sponsored not-for-profits. The good news about all these HUD programs is that every community in America now has low-income housing for elderly and disabled people.

Think about that, one of the great things that America has done in the last 40 years. So we have given birth to this great program. And by the way, more recently we are seeing that the service coordination side of this is keeping people out of nursing homes and emergency rooms and hospitals; that the value of these programs is far more than I think any of us realize.

The late payment issue is symptomatic of mismanagement, nonmanagement. In fact, we are encouraged by the new nominee Secretary who has had some experience with small business. These are enormous small businesses. There are just a lot of them, and they run on a shoestring. And the late payments have an effect on employees losing benefits, residents—we have asbestos abatement projects that have to be stopped midstream because they can't pay. You have businesses and contractors that won't work with organizations because they can't get paid.

We did question some of our members. We have a member now where it has been 240 days without being paid. If HUD were a person, it would be called a "deadbeat dad." It is the Deadbeat Dad Agency. Again the irony is, it is a great program.

The other term we use for HUD, and looking at the big picture, it is the zombie agency. It is not dead. It is not alive. And I think Congress needs to look at whether or not this is really an important program for older and disabled low-income Americans. And if it is, how do we rejuvenate it? If, for whatever reason it is not, how do you give it a responsible burial?

But right now the way we are treating people is having a huge effect on the lives of individuals and communities. And we believe very strongly that low-income housing is an investment in people's health, people that construct it, people that work in it, people that live in it. There are 10 people waiting to get in one of these units for every unit occupied. What business wouldn't be thinking about how to expand if you had 10 consumers wanting your product that can't get it? And then we are figuring out how to slow pay them. And then there are games about that.

I hope the new Secretary can solve the administrative games being played around all this.

Long term, I think with whoever is the next President and whatever priorities the next Congress has, if we don't consider the revision of low-income investment in housing of older and disabled people in the community, we are missing a great opportunity to say, what is our commitment to these people? And they are out there. And you can count on just about one hand, figuratively speaking, how many not-for-profits have gone out of business. I mean, they figure out a way to cope, and maybe you can just say, well, they

figured out how to get by. But when you can't accumulate depreciation and you can't pay your people and your vendors question what you are doing, how many businesses—what kind of credibility problems begin to arise in a community where there is a facility in virtually every community in America? And you know what? It has been successful for decades.

And that is why we think you guys need to not only help solve the existing immediate administrative problems that drag on, but you need to step back and say, we have got a great program. How do we invest in the future?

Now I want to separate people from processes. Mr. Montgomery is coming in this afternoon. We have dealt with some very good people at HUD to try to solve these problems, but you can't get an answer. We did a thing in my former organization, once we figured out there was something like 16 levels between us and the Secretary that could tell you "no" on something. And nobody can tell you "yes" definitively. Rescissions. You can take money back because people can't get the money. The processes to go through to try to get approval for something are just onerous.

We have people—we have had members now that say it takes twice as long to construct a facility or renovate as it should because of the unnecessary approval processes to try to get the work done. So therefore, you have architects and contractors that won't deal with people because they can't get answers out of things.

And all of these are fixable problems. There is just absolutely no reason for this thing to be as managed—or mismanaged as it is.

Mr. Montgomery, you need to know, in the midst of Katrina, we had a member call that said that they had 70-something residents stranded in downtown New Orleans who were not on the rescue radar screen of anybody. And between one of our staff members and Mr. Montgomery, who went down there, we got those people rescued.

So we have to remember that there are people in these jobs that mean well and work hard. But somehow or another the decision making, administrative, and the policies need to be reconsidered.

Mr. OLVER. Well, thank you very much for your comments. We will add those to the written documents that you have provided.

[The information follows:]

Subcommittee on Transportation, HUD and Related Agencies
Committee on Appropriations
"Challenges of the Project –Based Section 8 Program"
April 23, 2008

Written Testimony of Larry Minnix, President and CEO
American Association of Homes and Services for the Aging

My name is Larry Minnix and I am the President and CEO of the American Association of Homes and Services for the Aging. I would like to thank you for the opportunity to be here today and share the stories of our members regarding this important problem. I have been in the aging services field for over 35 years and was the CEO of Wesley Woods Center of Emory University. Wesley Woods is a comprehensive aging services organization, with acute, outpatient, outreach, HUD housing, and nursing home care. I began there as an administrative intern and spent 28 years committed to the mission of serving seniors. I am speaking to you today as the leader of an association of providers, and also as someone who has walked in the shoes of these administrators. We are looking to you for leadership.

The members of the American Association of Homes and Services for the Aging (www.aahsa.org) serve as many as two million people every day through mission-driven, not-for-profit organizations dedicated to providing the services people need, when they need them, in the place they call home. Our 5,700 members offer the continuum of aging services: adult day services, home health, community services, senior housing, assisted living residences, continuing care retirement communities, and nursing homes. AAHSA's commitment is to create the future of aging services through quality people can trust.

The majority of AAHSA housing members own and operate affordable senior housing communities, funded under the HUD Section 202 and 236 programs. Because many of our members are dedicated to serving the lowest income seniors, they rely heavily on project-based rental subsidies to operate safe, decent, supportive housing for their residents. In spite of HUD's efforts to "manage" the inadeqate Section 8 appropration to provide housing assistsance payments in a timely manner, the agency has fallen far short of the mark. I cannot stress enough that this is a national problem for providers. We have heard from members in Illinois, Texas, Ohio, Georgia, California, Florida, Michigan, Oklahoma, Missouri, Connecticut and several other states. HUD's administrative actions, funding these contract renewals in three and four month increments, addresses the department's problem, but does not solve the funding shortfalls that providers experience. If these contract renewal policies and procedures are allowed to continue, the future of affordable, senior housing throughout this country is in jeopardy. We are only three months away from the last quarter of the fiscal year and we fully expect that the late payment crisis will reoccur unless Congress acts to provide a suppplemental appropriation for FY 2008 and 12 month funding for FY 2009.

Background

Although the funding gaps and short funding contracts are broad and exceptional in scope, late payments from HUD are not a new problem. A 2005 Government Accountability Office (GAO) study[1] requested by this committee found that payment gaps in rental obligations have occurred

[1] GAO Report "*Project-Based Rental Assistance: HUD Should Streamline Its Processes to Ensure Timely Housing Assistance Payments*" (November 2005)

American Association of Homes and Services for the Aging
2519 Connecticut Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20008-1520 | aahsa.org | 202.783.2242

sporadically on a national level since the onset of expiring long-term Section 8 contracts. According to the report, the primary factors affecting the timeliness of HUD's housing assistance payments were delays in the contract renewals, absence of procedures for funding and monitoring contract balances and inaccurate or incomplete submissions of monthly vouchers by project owners. The report also cites as major causes for repeated delays the bureaucratic contract renewal process, HUD's underestimation of its funding needs and a failure to allocate additional funds when contract balances decline faster than anticipated. Nothing has changed since that report; indeed the problems actually have worsened.

Since Congress mandated that contract renewals be implemented "subject to annual appropriations" under the Multifamily Assisted Housing Reform and Affordablility Act of 1997 (MAHRA), and new rental subsidy vouchering system and payment review processes were put into effect, an increasing number of AAHSA members have detailed lengthy delays. According to the GAO report, between fiscal years 1995 and 2004, and with an average of 26,000 contracts paid per month, only three-fourths of the monthly housing assistance payments were made by the due date. Of the remaining contracts, 8 percent were delayed by 2 to 4 weeks, 6 percent - more than 18,000 per year - were 4 to 8 weeks late and another 10,000 payments per year were 8 or more weeks late. One issue that GAO did not address was the significant problems experienced by providers due to extended delays, although GAO did acknowledge growing problems with PRAC payments. HUD's long standing funding shortfalls and bureaucratic inefficiencies simply exploded last summer and are likely to do so again this summer and beyond.

American Association of Homes and Services for the Aging
2519 Connecticut Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20008-1520 | aahsa.org | 202.783.2242

AAHSA's Understanding of the Reasons for the Late HAP Payments

While the legal basis for the shortfalls and late payments may continue to be debatable, we believe that if HUD refuses to request sufficient funding to renew all the contracts for a full 12 months, providers will continue to have their contracts renewed for only a few months at a time. It is likely as well that the problems of late payments will reoccur this summer without a supplemental appropriation.

HUD has repeatedly requested too little funding for the project-based Section 8 account to meet the existing need. HUD has borrowed from long-term contracts within its project-based Section 8 portfolio – those that received full funding initially – to meet the current funding obligations for contract renewals and now there are no more contracts from which to borrow. So in response to last summer's crisis, HUD has resorted to providing contracts for less that 12 months, significantly adding to the uncertainty among, providers, their vendors and funding entities. While the agency can be admired for its creativity in "managing" the shortfall in funding, the simple fact that it has taken such steps suggests that the amount of funding requested and the amount appropriated by Congress are drastically below the actual program needs. According to varying reports, including from HUD and the Senate budget resolution, HUD will need between $2 and $3 billion dollars to fully fund its FY08 obligations and repay the contracts from which the agency has "borrowed" in the past.

AAHSA members have generally reported the same funding problems, particularly for those contracts expiring in the fourth quarter of the federal fiscal year or the first quarter of the

American Association of Homes and Services for the Aging
2519 Connecticut Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20008-1520 | aahsa.org | 202.783.2242

coming fiscal year. AAHSA has repeatedly met with HUD to discuss these problems and we acknowledge the efforts taken by certain HUD officials trying to improve the data collection and funding policies or helping assign emergency funds to untold numbers of members facing a true crisis as their financial reserves were depleted last summer. However, a long-term fix has never been fully identified or implemented. As members of this subcommittee are aware, whether HUD itself truly knows how much it should have on hand for each apportionment period is questionable. We do not know whether HUD has ever provided your committee with their estimates and analysis of contract renewal needs on a project by project basis.

Impact of Funding Delays and Short Funding on AAHSA Members

The heart of this matter, however, is the impact that the late payments and shortfunded contracts has on providers, residents and communities. Quite simply, it has been disasterous. We are concerned that our members, their business partners and residents will face another crisis in the near future. AAHSA members are not-for-profit organizations, typically faith-based, with limited resources. Oftentimes whatever capital reserves are available are spent down to cover the missing payments, mortgages are delinquent, planned maintenance or property rehabilitation is delayed resulting in increased costs over time, staff may be laid off, and/or utility payments are held off until the last possible moment. The short term contracts do not make managing and operating senior housing any easier as it is perhaps less certain that funds will be available to enter into contracts with vendors or for repairs. I would like to cite a range of examples of the experiences that AAHSA members have had with a plea that they not continue to be faced with the same problems.

American Association of Homes and Services for the Aging
2519 Connecticut Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20008-1520 | aahsa.org | 202.783.2242

Bethany Center Senior Housing, Inc., is stand-alone property based in the Mission District of San Francisco, California. This past summer the property's administrator, who has been with the property for almost 20 years, went two months without a payment from HUD. The community was forced to use its reserves to pay the property's bills, including the mortgage. Ultimately the property had to face the possibility of losing staff because it no longer had the funds to meet its payroll obligations. In addition, the funding crisis hit in the middle of an asbestos abatement project at the site. The administrator was no longer able to continue paying the contractor and the contractor stopped work. He further noted that their vendors, from security companies to pest control, cannot afford to do work for free. Bethany Center was at risk of losing the people that they did business with to "higher priced" vendors who inevitably cost more.

National Church Residences, is a national housing provider with over 200 senior properties, including twelve througout Michigan. In July 2007, NCR reported to us that they had 64 properties that had not received $2.5 million in housing assistance payments. By August 1, they were missing $3.5 million in HAP contract funding. NCR is a leading sponsor of Section 202 properties. The organization has been instrumental in working on the most financially and legally complex deals to preserve existing affordable senior properties from California to New Jersey. If organizations like NCR cannot rely on HUD to meet their obligations on existing property subsidy contracts they will not have the time, expertise and resources to dedicate towards new developments or affordable housing preservation.

American Association of Homes and Services for the Aging
2519 Connecticut Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20008-1520 | aahsa.org | 202.783.2242

King's Daughters and Sons Housing, Inc. in Norwalk, CT is sponsored by an organization that has been active in the commuity for more than 100 years. The property has experienced repeated delays during its lifetime due to process problems with contract renewal delays. In the past, the property has had to borrow money to meet its operational needs, but according to its executive director the situation was never as bad as it was last summer. Like 59 other properties in Connecticut, King's Daughters and Sons did not receive its July and August 2007 payments on time. Its experience is indicative of what small local organizations face when HUD does not meet its obligations. Two of the property's staff members went without paychecks, invoices were not paid and the medical insurance was cancelled. The board, made up of local community leaders, has spent significant amounts of time trying to deal with funding issues rather than focusing on the mission of the organization. Local HUD staff was been extremely responsive and helpful where they were able to be, but the fact is that if HUD headquarters does not have the contract funds there is very little that local staff can do to help providers meet their operational expenses.

AAHSA does not have a count of exactly how many of its members have short-funded contracts, but we do know that every renewal last summer received contract language that provides incremental or short-funding. Throughout this year that scenario has been repeated. Without additional funding, short-funding will be the norm. placing many of these older properties at risk. Our primary concern, given the desperate needs of our members, continues to be that in order to

American Association of Homes and Services for the Aging
2519 Connecticut Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20008-1520 | aahsa.org | 202.783.2242

receive any funding at all providers must sign whatever HUD sends them. HUD has housing providers over a barrel.

One thing that has become clear to us is that HUD's solution to "short funding" contracts is exacerbating the chronic underfunding that properties have experienced over the last several years. King's Daughters and Sons Senior Housing received a short-funded contract to provide five months' worth of funding. Unfortunately, the level of funding that HUD estimated they needed does not take into consideration the operating cost adjustment factor (OCAF) that was requested to meet increased operational expenses. Their funding will not meet the actual expenses for the full five months. We have heard similar stories from other providers that have received notification letters on short-funded contracts.

HUD's short-funding of projects will have a growing effect on the physical properties due to deferred capital improvements, and even routine maintenance. With short-term contract , providers are understandably hesitant to enter into long-term projects and repairs that they may not have the funding to pay for in the near future. HUD insists that sponsors provide safe, decent, sanitary housing, but their shortsighted "solution" to contractual obligations makes it more difficult for providers to live up to those expectations. Senior housing properties typically have exceptional REAC scores on the physical conditions of the properties, scores that now are in jeopardy.

American Association of Homes and Services for the Aging
2519 Connecticut Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20008-1520 | aahsa.org | 202.783.2242

This move to short fund contracts also wreaks havoc on preservation deals that are underway or may be considered in the future. One AAHSA member, who is refinancing an older project with low-income housing tax credits, has reported that investors are becoming leery of the certainty of HUD funding in the long term. This continues to grow as HUD is unable to take the steps necessary to provide long-term commitments to the properties. The LIHTC program is an essential source of capital for new developments, as well as preservation. Without the confidence of those investors, the goal of serving the lowest income seniors will not be possible.

The Future Viability of the Affordable Senior Housing Portfolio

HUD's failure to provide for timely and adequate payments on its contractual obligations to thousands of affordable senior housing providers will have a predictable impact on the future of these valuable programs. Just because AAHSA members are faith- and community-based organizations, they are not novices when it comes to running a business. Their commitment to serving the least among us certainly will not change, but they will have to face the fact that they cannot successfully and responsibly continue to operate communities where the residents, the staff and the soundness of the property depend on an agency that has turned out to be as unreliable as HUD.

Unless there are sufficient appropriations to fund the contracts for the full 12 months, although some providers will remain involved in the Section 8 program, many will recognize the inefficiency and futility of trying to meet their mission without adequate, predictable funding. Some will and are considering serving more moderate-income residents instead of those with

American Association of Homes and Services for the Aging
2519 Connecticut Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20008-1520 | aahsa.org | 202.783.2242

very-low incomes or creating their own funding streams or endowments even if it would mean serving fewer people. Some, who are particularly appalled by HUD's performance, have already discussed redefining their mission to get out of affordable housing altogether. For providers with maturing mortgages, such a step is not inconceivable.

I want to add that this problem of late payments is not limited to Section 8 payments. Section 202 PRAC projects, built since 1990, experience delays in payments as well. AAHSA knows that the funding is in the Section 202 account, so it is unclear why providers are not being paid. Fewer units may ultimately be built because HUD has underestimated the amount of funding required for PRAC renewals and each year must set aside additional dollars to renew contracts, but the funding is available. AAHSA is afraid that PRAC renewals will become the Section 8 renewal crisis of the future.

How HUD Can Resolve the Current Funding Situation

While last summer's crisis may have been staved off, this short-funding of existing contracts will result in annual shortages like the type we saw last summer, this coming summer and every summer going forward. The current method of short-funding contracts is unsustainable in the future. It is AAHSA's understanding that the reason HUD turned to short term renewals is because the agency has exhausted available sources of funding – including borrowing from long-term contracts that were fully funded at the beginning. All of this funding will eventually come due and HUD, like someone who has lived off of credit cards for decades, will have to face the fact that it has nowhere else to "borrow" from to meet its obligations.

American Association of Homes and Services for the Aging
2519 Connecticut Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20008-1520 | aahsa.org | 202.783.2242

Last summer when I testified before the House Financial Services subcommittee I suggested that the Administration must recognize the importance of affordable senior housing in the nation's continuum of care and face its contractual obligations to providers. The Department must address its antiquated method of tracking contracts, expenses and projected funding needs. HUD must also educate and inform its field offices so they can better address provider concerns. Your Committee advised similarly as to their estimates and systems. Most importantly, we urged HUD to reveal the extent of the underfunding of the project-based Section 8 contracts, to provide accurate estimates of the real funding need for the portfolio and to submit a formal supplemental funding request to Congress, as well as a realistic budget request for FY 2009. HUD seems to have submitted a more reasonable request for 2009 although they have not requested enough funding for 12 month contract renewals, nor have they requested supplemental funding. In the interim, however, HUD should develop at the very least a communication system that will allow the agency to alert providers when payments are delayed and when they can expect to receive funding. This small change will allow providers to plan operations accordingly and give them peace of mind.

Congress has the hardest part. On behalf of AAHSA, our member communities and the residents they serve, I call on Congress to provide the necessary funding to allow these properties to remain affordable, safe and secure homes. Federal support for these projects will ensure that dedicated, professional housing providers remain committed to their communities for well into the future. The lives involved cannot survive the patchwork approach to problem solving that this country will face if HUD and Congress continue to address these issues on a temporary, emergency

American Association of Homes and Services for the Aging
2519 Connecticut Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20008-1520 | aahsa.org | 202.783.2242

basis and if HUD continues to "manage" its way out of its funding shortfall. As well, the Section 8 program has been the piggy bank for too long in rescissions demanded from HUD and the piggy bank is empty. It's time for Congress to reject such budget gimmicks and provide sufficient funding for affordable housing.

American Association of Homes and Services for the Aging
2519 Connecticut Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20008-1520 | aahsa.org | 202.783.2242

LATE PAYMENTS

Mr. OLVER. As has been our usual procedure in this subcommittee, I and the Ranking Member will each take 10 minutes here on the first round, and then we will go through 5-minute questioning sessions by each of them in turn, switching from side to side here in the process.

Let me start by asking you, each of the three of you—you Mr. Pagano, you are Essex Plaza Management. But you also are part of a much larger organization.

Mr. PAGANO. That is right.

Mr. OLVER. So you have your own data as CEO for the management. How many units do you manage?

Mr. PAGANO. We have 3,500 units.

Mr. OLVER. 3,500. And what is the territory?

Mr. PAGANO. New York and New Jersey.

Mr. OLVER. New York and New Jersey. Okay. But your organization has a much larger number of units?

Mr. PAGANO. Probably 850,000.

Mr. OLVER. 850,000. I am going to ask each of you the same question. I want to know what you are doing directly and what your organization is doing.

Do you have, either in your own ownerships, do you have long-term contracts now; that are still from the old 20-, 30-, 40-year lease contracts that were created in the early days of this program?

Mr. PAGANO. Yes.

Mr. OLVER. You do. And are you now finding that those long-term contracts are in the same position as the short-term 1-year contracts in that they are being paid to the end of the fiscal year or to some point—well, to the end of the fiscal year?

Mr. PAGANO. Yes.

Mr. OLVER. And those are behind as well? I am hearing two of you say, I think, that people are getting their payments sometimes late. They should be coming on a monthly basis pretty much. Once the contract is signed, and you know what it is, and the long-term ones are there with their adjustments for inflation and so forth year by year—those are very well known well in advance—what would be keeping them from paying those on a timely basis rather than what has been described?

Mr. Minnix. I think I mentioned that someone was behind by something like 240 days in the payments on a contract that was approved. There are a bunch of questions which I will ask each of you to address and each of you can tell me first the numbers of the kinds of housing that you directly and your organization cover. Go ahead.

Mr. MINNIX. We get everything from "Washington is making us do it," "They are holding up payments." HUD blames OMB. The regional people blame HUD and Washington. "The dog ate my homework." You can go right on around—I mean how does——

Mr. OLVER. So why would one contract be paid on time and another one be behind by 60 days, 100 days?

Mr. MINNIX. I hope we will have some management——

Mr. OLVER. But am I correct that some are being paid on time?

Mr. MINNIX. Some are being paid on time.

Mr. OLVER. After the contract has been signed and agreed to. Why would that be?

Mr. MINNIX. Personality kinds of things get involved. Sometimes it is the provider's fault. But these people have been doing this a long time. And they are dependent on this paperwork. But that is part of the administrative mess that needs to be cleaned up. The finger-pointing goes around and around and around, and the facility and the people that live and work there wind up suffering for it. I wish I knew. But I can tell you what they say. They start pointing the fingers upstream back to Washington. Somebody needs to get on top of that. That is just management 101.

Mr. OLVER. All right. Maybe back to you, Mr. Pagano.

Mr. PAGANO. I have a 30-year contract on a senior citizen building in Jersey City. I am in year 28. September, October, November, I had no Section 8 funds from HUD.

Mr. OLVER. From last September, October, November, you have not yet received the Section 8 funds?

Mr. PAGANO. I have received them. I received them mid-December and was caught up. But I had 3 months where I could not pay my mortgage. And the response, when I vouchered those funds, right on HUD's Web, was inadequate funds. And we went to the contract administrator, we went to the HUD office, and they eventually moved funds so that we were able to collect the money. But the response for the 2 months submitted and the third month on our voucher process was inadequate funds. That is what HUD reported to the contract administrator. Didn't have the money to give to them to give to me. And it was a 30-year contract. We are in year 28. And the repercussions of that is, the general partners and the limited partners who I had discussed extending the affordability period at the end of the 30 years to continue it as affordable funding or not, are now looking at me and saying, if that happens again, we are going to default on our mortgage, we are going to have to explore changing this to market-rate housing.

And it is very easy when the rest of the area around the property is being developed as condominiums starting at $350,000. I have 110 units of all senior citizens. They are not going to have a place to go. And the limited partners have now started pressuring the general partners in saying, hey, we are not getting any return, we are not——

Mr. OLVER. Do you have other projects which were suffering that same delay in the payments for several months into the early part of this fiscal year?

Mr. PAGANO. Depending on when the contract renewal was—okay, if I renewed in October, I may not have received—you may not have received funding. In talking to our members, you submit a contract renewal a full 120 days prior to the expiration of the contract. And when HUD starts adding steps after the fact, as they did in our members' Nebraska property, and telling them, you know, I have a contract that was supposed to be renewed October 1, and I didn't get any payments until December, mid-winter in Nebraska is a rough time to supply heat and utility. That is a real problem.

Mr. OLVER. Mr. Bodaken.

Mr. BODAKEN. National Housing Trust owns or operates about 2,000 HUD-insured Section 8 units. We are members of the Stewards for Affordable Housing, which is a national nonprofit organization. And our members operate roughly 56,000 Section 8 units. And part of my testimony is based on both our properties and their properties.

I thought it might be helpful to answer your question to refer you to a couple of exhibits in my testimony which will be very clear. Take a look at exhibit 4, if you would, of my testimony. And it will just take a minute. I think it might be useful.

Exhibit 4 is a typical letter that a HUD owner would receive. These are September letters. And we have underlined the appropriate language. And to clear up the confusion, now what owners are being asked—it is Exhibit 4. Let's make sure that——

Basically HUD now says with the notice that we have, sufficient appropriations are not available at this time to make housing assistance payments under the renewal contract. And later on they say, subject to an annual appropriation we will be able to provide you more funds.

And then Exhibit 5, which is the next exhibit, is the type of thing we would get from the Section 8 contract administrator. And you will notice there he says, "I cannot tell you when your property will be paid at this time." And this was in all of our properties that had expirations between July and December—or, I am sorry, July and November of last year. This occurred in every one of our properties, to answer your question directly. Some of them were 2-month delays, some were 3, some were 1 or 2. In one of the properties, the long-term contract, payment came faster, but it was delayed. But a payment did come faster. And I can't tell you why that occurred. I don't know.

But certainly that is a decent question. Why would HUD delay some contracts and not others? I think it is fair to say that lots and lots of contracts that expired in the last quarter of 2007 and the first quarter of fiscal year 2008 were delayed. HUD would certainly be able to give you that information. Our experience was that all of our contracts were delayed one way or the other. That expired during that time frame.

Mr. OLVER. Now my understanding is that the funding that Congress provided in the fiscal year 2008 Omnibus of $617 million above the President's request would carry the program through the short-funded contracts, should carry all of them through October or November, according to HUD. We may explore that later in the afternoon.

Do you think that would be the case with the funding that is now available as things seem to be happening for you? Or do you have any way of knowing that?

Mr. BODAKEN. I think it is fair to say that HUD's numbers on this have varied from time to time dramatically. We met with HUD officials on this matter in February, and they were candid about saying they did not know exactly how much—what time frame they would be able to go through. We happen to know in Region 10 in Seattle and Portland, HUD is now asking owners who have additional replacement reserves or residual receipts reserves to take

those residual receipts reserves down to a certain level to provide HAP funding. That just happened yesterday.

I think you should be concerned about this issue. I am not saying they don't have funding to go through October or November. But they are doing things now that makes me, as an owner, concerned about their ability to get through that time frame.

Let's assume, arguendo, that they can get through that time frame. What happens then? What happens at that time? And I have not heard from HUD any understanding of how they can possibly—unless they have a continuing resolution that funds an exaggerated amount for all of those that are expiring at that first quarter, whether or not they will be able to handle that situation. So I think it is fair to be concerned about whether they will be able to make the payments through October or November. And I think there is evidence out there that would make a reasonable person concerned about it.

Mr. OLVER. Thank you. Mr. Knollenberg.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Chairman, thank you. To begin with, I would like to ask Mr. Pagano and Mr. Bodaken a question about project owners in general.

Mr. Pagano you are a project owner; that is correct, right?

Mr. PAGANO. Yes.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Tell me, what is the average rate of return, if you can, from the contracts that you have with HUD to provide affordable housing?

Mr. PAGANO. To be frank with you, based on the current way that HUD is calculating the OCAF, I have not seen any return on equity on any of the long-term contracts in over 5 years. On the mark-to-market projects, less than 50 percent of our properties that went through mark-to-market, based on HUD's failure to adjust the OCAF because of—for rising utility costs, pay the refund for the capital recovery payment that the owners invested.

On a mark-to-market process you were asked—HUD recast the mortgage. We were asked to put capital into the deal. The return was supposed to be 7 percent on that capital, and it was projected in each and every deal. The OCAF adjustments or the annual adjustments for rent have not kept pace with the utility increases; therefore, less than 50 percent of the deals where we put in $200,000, $300,000 or $400,000 are paying us anything on that investment.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Mr. Bodaken, can you answer that question for the industry at large?

Mr. BODAKEN. I can't for the industry at large. I can answer for our own experience.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. And if you can't answer it, can you tell us who somebody might be that we could talk to?

Mr. BODAKEN. Well I would think NAHMA, the organization that Mr. Pagano works for, the National Leased Housing Association which represents owners of these properties—and most of the owners of properties are for-profits, not all are—I would think they would have some figures that would help you get at that.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. But you can only relate to your own?

Mr. BODAKEN. I can only tell you what our experience is.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Mr. Pagano, one thing I am curious about, why would property owners stay in the system after, say, 20-, 30-, 40-year contracts, why would they stay with HUD? Because when that expires, what do they do then? In other words, given the huge opportunity, and certainly given the introduction of so many—for so much uncertainty associated with relying on an annual contract—which is what this hearing is really all about—can you tell us why at the end of these long-term contracts with HUD, project owners stay in the system and agree to annual contracts thereafter?

Mr. PAGANO. Well, I think in our case it is a matter of estate planning. There is no real exit strategy for a general partner that has been in for 30 years. Most of the general partners—early deals, the early partners were individuals. Here they have negative capital accounts and are facing huge tax consequences if they opt out of the program.

The individual States are offering incentives under various preservation programs for an owner to restructure the deal and come in and keep the property affordable. And we have several owners, and, you know, here in Washington you may think it is funny, but we have several owners that, once in the affordable industry, have grown attached to their tenants. We have had tenants in our properties for 20, 25 and 30 years; and the owners do come and see it, and they have grown an attachment to those people and don't want to see them put on the street.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. I understand. But doesn't it seem a little odd that these contracts are more lucrative than market rents would be?

Mr. PAGANO. They are no longer more lucrative than market rents.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. But they still continue to stay.

Mr. PAGANO. Because of tax consequences we are staying. And in the name of preservation, the deals are being restructured in such a way that allow some of the——

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Mr. Minnix, can you respond to that as well?

Mr. MINNIX. Yes. I would like to comment on a whole different sort of segment. St. Mary's Court over here near George Washington University, low-income, church-sponsored, they don't necessarily have a return on investment. They got into that as a mission, and they have been successful over the years. So nobody is taking home some kind of return on that. The reimbursement that they get through Section 8 or whatever pays basics.

There are thousands of facilities like that where they are in it for the mission. So when they don't have money, they don't have a pot to draw from or an investment tool to draw from. A question about what happens after 30 or 40 years is part of the policy question, because the housing needs of the people that St. Mary's Court is serving has increased. It is not going away.

And one of the things that you all need to help us do is how you reconceptualize meeting those housing needs. But we can be caught up in return-on-investment issues and the issues really come down to people in communities and how do you preserve and enhance those facilities? And most of these people are not making money. In fact, the way—even the budgeting, there is no profit in there.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. So, really, you are in kind of a unique situation with respect—relative to the other two gentlemen; is that right?

Mr. MINNIX. I represent essentially the not-for-profit faith-based labor Mason. And you tend to forget about those, and they are the ones over time that are standing the test of time in their communities. And we can't make business decisions based on investment. We have to make decisions based on people equally.

Mr. BODAKEN. Just for the record, the Trust is also a nonprofit organization. I join in those questions. We are not earning a return on our profits.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. I have a question for you, Mr. Bodaken. As you are aware, the government long ago got out of creating the long-term contracts with individual project owners in favor of increased mobility and increased reliance on the marketplace through the use of tenant-based vouchers. Vouchers, as you know, now outnumber project-based units by almost 2 to 1.

Mr. BODAKEN. Yes.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Do some or even most project-based owners also agree to provide units under the tenant-based program? And do you believe that most would accept tenant-based vouchers if the project-based program were eliminated? Or would they opt out of the system?

PROJECT-BASED VOUCHERS

Mr. BODAKEN. I can't speak for every owner. But I would tell you we would, of course, accept tenant-based vouchers. They are more expensive than project-based vouchers on a per-unit basis. But absolutely. We have properties where we accept tenant-based vouchers, and have no problem with that.

The nice thing about a project-based voucher for us is that we did know that there was a certainty of income, and we would be able to pay our asset managers overtime. But certainly we have no philosophical objection whatsoever to vouchers. I think it has increased costs, I think it is around a $900-per-unit cost for the government to provide vouchers. And indeed, that is something for the subcommittee to consider. But I think vouchers have a useful—in any housing system, they are indispensable. I think one thing to think about is in tight housing markets, elderly people, large families can't use vouchers. In looser housing markets——

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Let me follow up with another question to you, Mr. Bodaken. I think we would all agree that tenant-based vouchers are far less certain in terms of income and profits to the owner, for a variety of reasons, than the project-based units. Should the project-based system have more certainty than the tenant-based provider who is paid solely on the basis of units actually under lease? And if so, why?

Mr. BODAKEN. The answer is yes. And the answer is because we have chosen to enter this program and provide housing that requires the government and us to put in our own equity, to provide our own services. And that is something the voucher provider doesn't do. We have resident services at every one of our facilities. Internet after-school programs. For the elderly we have services. All of that is paid in part from the project-based income that we

are able to leverage from the Federal Government. If you take that away, you take away that whole community component that project-based housing provides.

LATE PAYMENTS

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Let me go to another final question perhaps in my round here. Mr. Bodaken, again, the issue that we hear most often with respect to this program and the way it is currently funded is that it is late payments to the owners that seems to cry out.

Can you tell me how many owners have opted out as a result of late payments?

Mr. BODAKEN. I can tell you how many owners have opted out. I can't tell you as a result of late payments. There have been 300,000 units that we have lost between 1995 and 2004, and the rate generally is around 25,000 units. We hear a lot of information. HUD does not keep good information about this. But it is——

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. So you don't think there is any information available to tell us?

Mr. BODAKEN. I think HUD should be able to tell you a better idea of that. But it is an issue.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Let me go to the other panelists briefly. Can you offer your views, Mr. Pagano?

Mr. PAGANO. I think that the data that HUD has been touting is old data. It was before the crisis of last summer that the number of people considering opt-out based on what happened last summer has definitely increased. As I said in my testimony, we have three properties—and these are staunch advocates of affordable housing—saying, we are opting out because I can't go through that. And I am getting limited partners and general partners coming to me and saying, why am I still here in here? I can't be guaranteed a payment.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Mr. Minnix, could you just be brief in your response?

Mr. MINNIX. I have people who want to opt in and acquire some of these units, and find it very difficult because of the processes involved and the access to capital. That gets back to the policy issue. We have people that want to do this work, but it is not profitable work in the investment sense, like you build hotels or condos. It is more the service sense. But I got people trying to get into the business.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. OLVER. Ms. Roybal-Allard.

NON-PAYMENT FROM HUD

Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD. Dr. Minnix, I want to talk about what the practical result is for tenants when an owner does not receive payment from HUD for the contract? What is the owner obligated to provide tenants in the instance that payments are late from HUD, or that the payment simply never comes? Are there reporting requirements, for example?

Mr. MINNIX. Well, what happens in the facility is that the organization that owns it does their best to make sure that the resident is okay. It creates a great deal of anxiety. Sometimes if you have to lay off employees or suspend work, you know, thinking in your

own family if you had to report to your creditors that you can't pay your bills, think of what that does to your relationship with your significant other or your children. I mean it is difficult. Work stops and services stop. And then the reputation of the entity is damaged in the business community.

So those are the kinds of things that happen. The subtlety of it, if service delivery, especially service coordinators, have to be laid off, then those services that get brokered through the various other title Older Americans Act programs can stop. And then you have people that face health and other kinds of crises. So it is very real pain that is relatively unquantified, but it is there.

Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD. Mr. Pagano, we are hearing that some owners are giving termination notices to tenants, informing them that the lease may be canceled in the event of a nonpayment from HUD. Is this true? And if so, can you tell us how widespread this is?

Mr. PAGANO. I know we have not done so. Depending on the State and the local law, there is notice that has to go to the tenant advising them that HUD is not funding—if HUD is not funding the contract, that there will be proceedings started to terminate their lease. It is happening. It is not—it depends on funding, the funding of the contract. When you get a contract that is supposed to be 12 months, and HUD tells you you have 3 months' worth of funding, lawyers are advising the owners to advise the tenant, because HUD requires a 1-year opt-out notice to the tenant. HUD is requiring a notice to a tenant saying, in a year we are not going to be in the Section 8 program. And many owners are opting to give that notice as soon as HUD fails to fund their Section 8 responsibility.

Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD. So it is not a notice of termination, it is a notice advising them that after a certain period? Because our understanding is they are actually getting termination notices.

Mr. PAGANO. It should not be. The rule is that if I am going to opt out as an owner, I must give the tenant a full 12 months' notice of my intent to opt out. The trigger time of when that notice goes out is usually tied to the Section 8 contract.

If I get a contract that says it is only funded for 3 months, my owner may direct me and say, Okay I am not renewing next year. Give the 12-month notice to the tenant.

And I think that is what you are referring to. Most States will not stand for a simple "You are out."

Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD. They terminate you.

Mr. BODAKEN. I want to respond to that. We have seen notices that don't evict the tenant, but say their rent is going to go from, say, $100 a month to $1,000 a month. So they are not evicting the tenant but the effect is the same. The owners give the 1-year notice and they say, If HUD doesn't pay me, your rent will go from $1,000 or $1,100 and that is important to know. I think that is what you are referring to. It is not a termination notice. It is a rent-hike notice that would effectually terminate the tenant's residency.

Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD. Thank you.

Mr. OLVER. Mr. Walsh.

Mr. WALSH. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Pagano, you seem to have been in this business for a long time. You said you have one property. I heard you say you are in your 28th year of a 30-year contract.

Mr. PAGANO. That is correct.

Mr. WALSH. It is a 30-year contract, correct?

Mr. PAGANO. Yes.

Mr. WALSH. Has this delay in payments occurred on a regular basis?

Mr. PAGANO. Last year was the first year that we were hit with a 3-month delay in payment.

Mr. WALSH. Ever?

Mr. PAGANO. First time in 28 years, yes. And that was a non-insured mortgage.

Mr. WALSH. Okay. And Mr. Bodaken, you said that you anticipate there will be a glut or a flood of these new renewals coming up this fall.

Mr. BODAKEN. I don't think there is any other conclusion one can reach based on the short-term funding, yes.

SHORT-TERM CONTRACTS

Mr. WALSH. Right. So there are fewer and fewer of these 30-year contracts and more and more of the 1- and 2-year contracts. That is a policy change for HUD. Do you think that that affected your late payment, Mr. Pagano, these contract renewals?

Mr. PAGANO. We have experienced delays on the short-term contracts on almost a—you know, every year I get delayed at least 2 months somewhere. Whether it is New York or New Jersey, we get hit with a delay for a variety of reasons. But the long-term contracts were always considered—you know, we were fine.

Mr. WALSH. This issue of the shorter-term contracts, both of you either own or manage—or I am not sure about the other gentleman—a number of properties and have been doing this for a long time. What is your sense of this 1- to 2-year contracts or 1- to 5-year contracts as opposed to long-term contracts? What does that do to the business? How does it impact on the tenant?

Mr. BODAKEN. You know, I think we have actually adjusted to the 1- to 5-year contracts. There was a time right after HUD changed the policy in the late 1990s where I think it was difficult for the industry to kind of react. But I think people got accustomed to the subject, to appropriations language in the contracts, and we were able to do transactions, we were able to buy properties. As Mr. Pagano said, do them with tax credits. And the industry between, say, 2002 and 2007, I think did fine. I think this latest problem has changed all of that.

Mr. WALSH. I think, Mr. Bodaken, you also said that between 1998 and 2004, somebody said this——

Mr. BODAKEN. I said that.

Mr. WALSH. Something like 330,000 units were lost.

Mr. BODAKEN. That is right.

Mr. WALSH. That was, at the time, a pretty dramatic speculation in upward mobility, upward valuation in the real estate market. So probably a lot of those went market rate?

Mr. BODAKEN. Yes, sir. Yes, sir.

Mr. WALSH. So it wasn't necessarily because of the way HUD was managing the payments and so forth. But you didn't say that.

Mr. BODAKEN. I didn't say that. I just think that there is a very likely possibility that if you look at page—I think it is 8 of my tes-

timony—I have a chart where I show 500,000 HUD units are now under market. And if I were an owner—I am a nonprofit owner—but if I was a for-profit owner of one of those properties and HUD was doing this, I would think that I would consider opting out. We won't do that. But I think for-profit owners will increasingly do that.

Mr. WALSH. Just as a parochial issue, we have a situation in my hometown, Syracuse, where there is one property——

Mr. BODAKEN. I am well aware.

Mr. WALSH. Okay, good. I don't know if it is fair to ask you, is National Housing Trust interested in helping with that problem?

Mr. PASTOR. If they get paid on time.

Mr. BODAKEN. We have told the city of Syracuse we are very interested in trying to help them figure that property out. There are significant issues with that property, not the least of which is HUD's requirement for a payment that is an exorbitant amount, given what the property is worth. But yes, we were interested in helping Syracuse.

Mr. WALSH. In the initial option auction, apparently HUD required that these properties be kept affordable housing for 20 years. No one responded to these options, so hopefully there will be a respondent or two.

Mr. BODAKEN. Yes. I hope so.

Mr. WALSH. Yes. I would like to be able to help with that.

Mr. BODAKEN. Yes. I think it is an important housing resource for the city. And actually I think mixed income would be at an appropriate thing for them.

Mr. WALSH. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Mr. OLVER. Mr. Pastor.

Mr. PASTOR. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Good morning. As I sit here and I have read some of your testimony, at one time the policy was long-term contracts, 20, 30 years. Then they started going to shorter-term contracts, 2- to 3 years, and the industry was able to handle that. Then there was some tenant-based, I guess, vouchers that came into the mix. But it is my assumption that, all along, that at least you were getting paid on time, so that way you could run a business and be able to do this and do that.

Then 2007, I think that is the date that is in my mind, where a shortfall, because of HUD not having the money or mismanagement or whatever it was, that then you were required to do 3-month contracts, or you had to carry yourselves for 3 months. And so now, most recently, it is because of the shortfall at HUD that you are experiencing all these problems.

Mr. PASTOR. The sixteen steps going from one to the other. So basically, as I understand, the situation is the funding. If you were able to be funded according to your contract for a year, a year, that possibly you could continue to provide the housing for senior citizens and disabled citizens. Am I basically correct? This came in the last 2 or 3 years, the last couple of years.

Mr. MINNIX. I think it has been a problem for the last couple of years. The policy issue around stability, if you look at the number of elders that come out of the community to live at these places, they come out of neighborhoods.

Mr. PASTOR. I understand.

Mr. MINNIX. They are selling a house and need a place to live for a long period of time. That is part of the success of the program. It would be my view we ought to stabilize something around long-term contracts, 10 years, 20 years, those work for a lot of, especially project-based housing. The more you decrease it and then you have the unpredictability of the business model as well as people not knowing what is going to happen in their lives, then you create a lot of instability.

And so I think the policy problems around whatever cash flow or budget issues that have arisen in the last couple of years has really created a huge problem.

Mr. PASTOR. So the policy should go back, this is a service that is needed. And I agree with you, that it is more expensive to send somebody to a nursing home.

Mr. MINNIX. That is right.

Mr. PASTOR. That is very expensive. And as you age, there is a continuum of eventually maybe ending up in a nursing home, but independent living, group living, there is a whole cycle. So that in the long run, it would be more beneficial to have a policy to put a senior citizen or a disabled person through this whole spectrum of care and eventually be in a nursing home. So as I understand, you are saying that a policy change should be that the contract should be for a longer term?

Mr. MINNIX. In my view, for many of these facilities, yes.

Mr. PAGANO. We believe that the contract should be funded for the full term that it is stated. If my contract runs from August to August, when HUD signs that contract they should have funding for August to August.

Mr. PASTOR. Do you agree it gives greater stability to say that you will have a 10-year contract and be paid on a yearly basis August to August?

Mr. PAGANO. That would be great because what is happening now with the short-term contracts, we submit a contract renewal 120 days prior to the expiration, and then go through a whole procedure with HUD and the contract administrator to get that contract processed. And that every year we go through that and there is always a paper snafu someplace.

Mr. PASTOR. Mr. Chairman, maybe I am wrong, but I am told that one of the problems we encounter in the subcommittee by having longer term contracts is the way they are scored. And so then it is basically an inside baseball problem, so they are getting affected by it. So the policy is affected by inside baseball knowledge.

Mr. BODAKEN. I think that is essentially correct. Basically, HUD took the 30, and 20 and 10-year contracts down to annual renewals, I think for that reason, for the budget authority purposes. I couldn't agree more that we would do better if we had longer-term contracts that were funded long term, but my guess is as Representative Knollenberg said, you are in a constrained resource environment. What we are saying on a yearly basis, just on a yearly basis.

Mr. PASTOR. Well, this administration has put us in a constraint. And from what I gather from your testimony things had been going good until 2007.

Mr. BODAKEN. I want to be clear. What we are really saying is on a yearly basis, put it back on solid footing and once do you that, I think the program will regain stability because it was operating reasonably well until last summer. And we are very concerned that this will repeat.

Mr. PASTOR. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. OLVER. Ms. Kaptur.

Ms. KAPTUR. Thank you. Welcome gentlemen, and thank you for the great work you do. I can tell you make a difference in Ohio and all across our country. I guess you are all residents in this region of the country? Am I reading that correctly?

Mr. PAGANO. I am from New Jersey.

Ms. KAPTUR. Oh well, that is a short train ride.

Mr. MINNIX. I am a transplanted Georgian to Virginia.

Ms. KAPTUR. Well, I guess those on the east coast have a special responsibility to tether those from the rest of the country like Ohio who might not have permanent presence here in Washington all the time. So we appreciate the work you do with the stakeholders out there in the Buckeye State.

I want to follow on Congressman Pastor's line of questioning here as to why we are facing this so-called shortfall and whether, in fact, it has do more with the way that accounting is handled, either at HUD or here in Congress. And this is what I want to understand a little bit better, versus some sort of policy change that HUD has enacted because there is this new idea or changed idea about how to fund affordable housing.

And let me ask you about the accounting if it is only rearranging the beans for budget purposes, is it due to the fact that we are in the horrendous deficit the way in which the present value of future expenditures are booked at HUD, is this really what this is about? Can you explain this to me versus some sort of change at HUD that says, well, we really didn't like the long-term contracts for some reason. We have a better idea about how to fund affordable housing. Is this a way to put pressure on units at the local level so that they cash out and they go into the private market? Is there an effort to do that or are we caught up in this accounting crunch, and somehow this helps HUD to mask, or our committee to mask the real needs? That is my first question, is this a policy change or an accounting change.

And then secondly, if we don't provide sufficient funding, what could we anticipate over the next year in terms of not-for-profit funders adding to the supply at a time when we are getting all these people who are losing their homes all over the country, there will only be more pressure or getting private sector investors to partner with you? What impact would that have on the supply if we don't do something here?

Mr. MINNIX. Well, I will respond on the policy issue. We believe that it is not a stated policy, but we are drifting into a policy of trying to squeeze people out of these programs. And we don't know why, just look at the behavior.

I had a former HUD official tell me that a former Secretary came by all the regional offices and told them to dust off their resumes and start looking for a job because the government is going to get out of this business. And so we have been drifting ever since.

If there is a policy change, then somebody needs to say so we can plan for it adequately. If there is not a policy change, we need to get the behavior back in line with policy. There is a need for low-income housing, it works and the policy, from our view, is drifting. I think there are short-term accounting problems and the hearings a number of months ago sort of highlighted those. But you see the policy drifting from longer term contracts to shorter.

So what is going on here we view it as passive policy change that is driving people out of the business, making it less attractive to get into the business, and yet the need for the business from a service as well as investment standpoint is increasing and that is what doesn't make sense.

Ms. KAPTUR. And the end yield would be we would have fewer units across the country.

Mr. MINNIX. Exactly. And then people that went into it with certain investment things are getting out of it because they are—why stay in that, I have got real estate here, I will try to sell it or get rid of it.

And all over the country there are situations like Mr. Walsh's where there is a facility in a community that there are people willing to take on and help out if there is a way do it, but that, in itself, is a very complicated process.

Ms. KAPTUR. Dr. Minnix, one thing I don't understand, the longer term contracts give the private market more stability because you can guarantee it. They know what the return is and what they have to anticipate. With this year to year, living hand to mouth, I would think that that would really reduce confidence in the private sector.

Mr. MINNIX. No, no question about it. And with our members, what stands behind the confidence is the reputation. People will say, okay, well, the Masons are not going to kick my mother out or the Lutherans are not going to kick my mother out. So you leave the provider with the instability of how to handle that in a market place. And that is where the not-for-profit sector sort of copes and finds a way. When it comes time that they can't cope anymore, they sell the real estate and we are seeing some more of that and it is just poor policy.

Ms. KAPTUR. I can tell you in my district, I worry about a lot of people because we are in this area of heavy home foreclose and our government is frozen.

Mr. MINNIX. Well, you have some of the best not for profit, faith-based sponsored housing leaders in your State of any State. And you also have the Presbyterians there that are pioneering in how to help people stay in those kind of facilities through coordination of services.

One of the things I hope you all would do is allocate some funds for studies models to keep people out of nursing homes and emergency rooms because it is happening. And then I think we could get a better score however that is done someplace, because these housing facilities are essential to the health of communities they are in.

Ms. KAPTUR. One of the things I just wanted to say Mr. Chairman and members of the Committee, in our district, our LMHA, our Metropolitan Housing Authority, that is just one of our authorities in one of the counties actually has some Section 8s that they

can't assign. One of the subgroups I am truly worried about as I am listening are the mentally ill, because we have struggled so hard. We have only met 10 percent of the need in our area of what is really necessary. But with the homelessness that is increasing as a result of these foreclosures and a host of other economic reasons, they are the ones hanging on at the bottom of the ladder. If something goes wrong somewhere else in the system, this group of people, I think, are particularly vulnerable because it has been so hard to place them anyway. So I am very worried about as this ripples through this system, what will happen to those that have really very little ability to live on their own?

Mr. MINNIX. You might want to hold a hearing in Columbus at the National Church Residences, a facility there for homeless people. It is exemplary for what it is doing around getting people off the streets, helping them get jobs, helping them get their health stabilized. It is successful financially and it is successful programmatically, and that is the best of the investment of these programs over the years. You ought to visit it, you would be proud of it.

Ms. KAPTUR. Thank you for the suggestion.

Mr. OLVER. Thank you. Mr. Bodaken, you mentioned 300,000 units it was it between '95 and '04.

Mr. BODAKEN. Yes, that is correct.

Mr. OLVER. HUD has been using about 15,000 reduction per year.

Mr. BODAKEN. That is a different estimate.

Mr. OLVER. That would be a factor of 2 difference over that period of time.

Mr. BODAKEN. It is a different estimate. They are talking about Section 8s, and we are talking about all HUD-insured properties that are below-market that HUD insures or section 8s.

Mr. OLVER. So you have no objection to the 15,000 opting out of the Project-Based Section 8?

Mr. BODAKEN. No, other than to say that I think that is a recent number. If that is a recent number, I haven't heard it. I think it was over years 25,000 Section 8s comes to my mind.

Mr. OLVER. The implication though is one time we had more than a third, 1.3 million project-based at its maximum.

Mr. BODAKEN. We had 1.65 million. Yes.

Mr. OLVER. I am just trying to clarify in my own mind where we are headed here. Because I think we know we have needs for all of our low-income housing programs.

I think it was you also who mentioned that tenant based vouchers are more expensive by, I think you used the number $900.

Mr. BODAKEN. Yes.

Mr. OLVER. It costs the government more because the income eligibility is a lower income eligibility for it to be tenant-based?

Mr. BODAKEN. No. Well, it could be, but that is not the reason. The reason has do with how you budget vouchers and project-based units. Remember 500,000 units of that 1.3 million are below fair market value rent. So HUD is only paying on the project-based system about, and Laura knows it better than I, but about 6,500 a year or something. And the vouchers for the same property are 7,400, and that is because the vouchers are paid at fair market rent. The project-based in that same community is probably 90 per-

cent of that. So you are paying less for about 500,000 units than you would for a voucher.

Mr. OLVER. I will have to have my staff take me through that one. I was understanding that as a situation where for the tenant program 75 percent have to be below 30 percent of AMI.

Mr. BODAKEN. It could be that is a justification, but it is also related to the fact that vouchers are put at fair market rents, they are budgeted FMRs.

Mr. OLVER. Okay. I think there must be some cost impact to the government because the subsidy is deeper on the tenant vouchers for the reason that a much larger proportion has to be in the lowest of income levels. Whereas for the project based, those in the lowest income levels are a lower percentage under the rule.

Mr. BODAKEN. I understand.

Mr. OLVER. The testimony that Mr. Montgomery gave us earlier this year, I just want to quote something from his testimony. "Historically, what has happened is we have used funds from the previous fiscal year to get us into the first few months of the new fiscal year. And then those new fiscal year funds pick up that contract and carry it through as that contract goes into the following fiscal year. This process, which has been called several things, is short funding and so forth has been used for many years. At least a dozen years."

I am trying hard to understand how we got to the 2007 situation. It seems to me we slipped through that process of rolling some into the next fiscal year for a number of years until finally it got to the point where there was not enough money appropriated to cover the 2007 situation. And so the last quarter was just not being paid.

Mr. BODAKEN. You are exactly right. They had to borrow, I forget the amount, but a significant amount of money from the long-term contracts.

Mr. OLVER. Yes.

Mr. BODAKEN. To make up for that last quarter.

They went and raided the long-term contracts to try to fill the gap for that last quarter.

Mr. OLVER. Well, the long-term contracts still were being paid or were they also being paid?

Mr. BODAKEN. They were taking inflation factors and trying to do a variety of things to try to bring that money over to the short-term contracts and they did that and——

Mr. OLVER. So does that mean that the long-term contracts in late 2007 were also being paid one to 3 months late? Is that what was happening?

Mr. BODAKEN. In some cases, I believe that is the case, but I think it has to do with how they were borrowing the money from those accounts. And I think it is both a policy and an accounting issue. It is not either/or, because if they would have had a policy of trying to protect the stock over the long term and helping neighborhoods and revitalize them. Vouchers are an indispensable part of any housing system, but they are not one-size-fits-all. And what they are doing is they are slowly but surely taking stock away interest this project-based system at a certain amount per year and this is another way to do that. They are also trying to account for

it and they have a problem because they haven't accounted properly, so it is a combination.

Mr. OLVER. However Mr. Montgomery, just to finish that one, and people from HUD have said as I have mentioned at an earlier point that the appropriation for '08 was supposed to they say take us through into the beginning of '09, a month or 2 into '09. So there should not be any reason for the nonpayment. I am not sure that is true. It means a lot of funding is not in place.

Anyway, Mr. Knollenberg. I realize I have gone over again.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Well, I think we do that, both of us occasionally.

Mr. OLVER. I am trying to understand what is going on.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. I am stuck in my chair.

According to HUD's database, only three Section 8 owners have opted out in fiscal years 2007 and 2008 combined. Furthermore, a recent GAO study indicated that as we might suspect that market conditions with the principal determinant of decision to opt out and that there was no apparent link from the funding stream or other administrative problems and late payments and owners' decision to opt out. Do you basically agree with these findings or disagree? I just need a yes or no.

Mr. PAGANO. I disagree.

Mr. BODAKEN. I think three is too small that seems like an inordinately low number.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. That was the data provided by the GAO, I can't argue if it is right or wrong.

Mr. BODAKEN. The GAO provided that data?

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Yes. Let me get into another question or two as well.

I have from HUD the current universe of late payments, here is a number of contracts the Department is behind on in their payments. In January of 2008, it was 74 contracts, February of 2008 it was 123 contracts, March 2008 it was 218 contracts. Now out of nearly 18,000 contracts covering 1 million units, this does not appear to me to be a crisis requiring 2.4 billion in emergency funding. According to HUD's records, the major reason for missed payments is delays in executing contract renewals, not the availability of funds. Under these circumstances, do you really think it is important to infuse $2.4 billion in funds into this program?

Mr. BODAKEN. Yes, because I don't believe that January, February and March are indicative of what is going to happen later on this calendar year. And second, I believe that that is correct, what they are saying is correct for those periods.

I would urge you to look at the previous year, the previous months and let's see what happens the rest of this calendar year. I think this 3-month period is a fair guess. But when we look at the past calendar year, sir, it is not indicative of what has occurred in the system.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. All right.

Mr. MINNIX. I don't know where they would get their data. I know we come back to the concept deadbeat dad slow paid, thought it would slip by. I have got a list of about 20 projects in the Detroit area alone that are slow paid and that is one of the ones that is 240 days.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. You disagree.

Mr. MINNIX. Yes, I disagree.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. I have been giving you what is information provided to us by these folks.

Mr. PAGANO. Mr. Knollenberg, that GAO study was prior to the funding problem last summer. If you check the dates on the study, it doesn't reflect any of the problems that the industry encountered, and that is why——

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. I am just saying the information for January, February and March is data that is available, but that is what we were given.

Mr. PAGANO. But I always question HUD, are they counting those contracts that they are still in process, because they don't count that as a problem.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. That is what we will have to take a look at too.

Mr. Bodaken, this program has been quietly but routinely entering annual contracts and paying owners on a partial payment basis until recently when the Department appears to have decided that a new method is needed, which is probably fair enough. What is, in your opinion and from the point of view of the owners the difference between the old method and the new method, specifically what is wrong with the new method of entering annual contracts and paying owners?

Mr. BODAKEN. The specific thing that is wrong is owners are left to understand that they will have to, every 3 to 4 months, anticipate another renewal. Tenants will be left uncertain. And investors in this program, investors are requiring us to put aside 6 months of what they call transition Section 8 risk reserves. That is the problem. We have never had to do that before, we are now required to do that.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Let me go to Mr. Pagano and Dr. Minnix. Mr. Pagano, what is your opinion of the problem as owners?

Mr. PAGANO. Our problem is, as an owner, if I can't guarantee the cash to the investor, and I cannot guarantee wages, I have a problem, and I therefore have to set up reserves. And that reserve affects the operation of the property.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Dr. Minnix.

Mr. MINNIX. The types of people that our members serve have limited options in the marketplace. They come out of neighborhoods and they need stable funding for long periods of time. And nobody is making money off of that, but you are making sure that the lives of these people stay stable and therefore the related businesses support them will know that they get paid.

So it is not a one-size-fits-all kind of situation. You have to look at the different types of people being served and what their options are. We have got a lot of people that just can't pick up and go somewhere else in winter in Orlando because they have the money to do it. These are limited income people.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. OLVER. Thank you. Mr. Pastor.

Mr. PASTOR. Doctor, you could send them to Phoenix, we probably have better weather.

Following up on Mr. Knollenberg's questions, you responded that the new way of being paid causes you now to have to set up a fund and then that takes money that you can use to maintain the facility or upgrading it or providing more services.

Knowing that there is a short-term fix and then hopefully a longer term fix, because we go year to year and knowing some of the accounting problems and deficit problems, what would be a recommended policy that you would recommend to us to say in a short term this is something that would be a good policy, and then as you go further out, and from that short term, this would be a better policy knowing that affordable housing is still going to be needed, baby boomers are going to grow in number, et cetera. So I will ask the question, all three of you can respond.

Mr. BODAKEN. Well, I am cognizant of the subcommittee's concerns about budget, the situation, we are in a constrained environment. And I would suggest that you have a one-time fix for this program. The emergency supplemental of $2.4 billion that will put the program back on solid fiscal footing. It doesn't produce a dollar outlay, it is a timing issue. Once you do that, we are back on an annual appropriations basis. We sign 12-month contract, HUD has 12 months subsidy. Once you do that, we are done. And I think that is the answer. It is not really a policy change, it is making sure that HUD has a year's worth of funding when it signs a year contract.

Mr. PASTOR. As I understand your comments that there is a HUD rent policy that is creeping into the way we are behaving, and so obviously, there has to be an announced policy in the long term. What would be the better policy long term?

Mr. BODAKEN. I'll let Mr. Minnix——

Mr. MINNIX. I think the short-term policy is for HUD to pay its debts on time like everybody else is expected to. And I think that you all need to give some guidance to HUD around 5- 10-year kinds of contracts for types of people that are going to need these facilities for years to come. And that gives stability to individuals as well as to businesses.

If the policy is year-to-year, somebody ought to say that, but in my view with the kinds of populations I remember serving, it ought to be much longer term contracts and that deserves policy deliberation.

Mr. BODAKEN. The current policy is month-to-month. I want to be clear about that. We don't have a year-to-year policy anymore. We did have that.

Mr. PAGANO. In refinancing the deals, I think a longer term contract would be looked for favorably by the financial institutions. They rate everything. It is just like when you go out and finance a car, they are looking at the car and they are looking at your financial picture. If I have a long-term contract, whether it is insured or uninsured, I will probably be able to get a better rate on my mortgage which affects the long-term operation of the property.

Mr. OLVER. Ms. Kaptur.

Ms. KAPTUR. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Gentlemen, let me ask you in fiscal year 2008, let me see the administration requested $5.5 billion to renew project-based vouchers, and that was an amount below what Congress appropriated in the prior fiscal year.

Then for '08, our conference report included $6.139 billion, which was a pretty substantial increase for Section 8 renewals. The Administration in its budget submission to us this year sent up a budget asking for $6.7 billion. So that was an increase, quite a substantial increase over their prior thinking for renewing vouchers. It was a $624 million increase over the renewal level. They also requested a $400 million advance for '09.

Mr. BODAKEN. Yes.

Ms. KAPTUR. The first time an advance has been proposed for that account. Let me ask, from everything that you know why you think those numbers are either adequate or inadequate, and what you think the shortfall actually is? As we proceed forward here with legislation, what should our target be and why?

Mr. BODAKEN. Well, HUD has agreed, we don't need to ask this question. The answer is $2.6 billion according to HUD of what is needed to fund on a 12-month basis all the Section 8 contracts. And the reason you are confused about this is because they are saying, and it is also true, it is simultaneously true that HUD has sufficient money to go through a number of months.

Ms. KAPTUR. Are you saying $2.6 billion? Are you saying the number is adequate including the 400 million?

Mr. BODAKEN. Not for yearly funding, for 12 months on a yearly basis for all contracts. I am saying that is inadequate by $2.6 billion.

Ms. KAPTUR. By $2.6 billion.

Mr. BODAKEN. And the reason is because HUD has moved to these short-term contracts, 3 to 5 months. But HUD has agreed, they answered questions to the House Financial Services Subcommittee, and I urge you to look at it. The CFO has agreed that to fund all the contracts on a 12-month basis is $2.6 billion more than the Administration has requested.

Ms. KAPTUR. If that is true, you are saying that what they have asked us for then—is the amount they have asked us for through the first quarter of '09 sufficient and that $2.6 billion is needed for the other quarters of '09, January through September?

Mr. BODAKEN. No. I am sorry. I am just saying that whatever they have asked for is inadequate and they agree that to fund all the contracts on a long-term basis for one year is another $2.6 billion and that is in writing.

Ms. KAPTUR. On top of the advance.

Mr. BODAKEN. That is right. No, I think if you include the advance, it would be $2.2 billion including the advance. Because that answer was made prior to the time that the advance was proposed. So I am assuming that they didn't answer it that way.

Ms. KAPTUR. Okay.

Mr. BODAKEN. So I am assuming that it is $2.2 billion.

Ms. KAPTUR. Knowing what HUD is doing, everything is on a short fuse now, they are borrowing from long-term contracts and trying to bring money up front which means that ultimately that will be short——

Mr. BODAKEN. It is coincident with the timing of the next administration. That is the concern, that the administration is going to inherit this iceberg. It is going to be a significant problem in this calendar year. There is no question.

Ms. KAPTUR. Have the number of units in your associations around the country stayed level or have they been going down? And has this impacted the number of units yet?

Mr. BODAKEN. No, I agree with HUD, generally speaking, that we have not seen a significant departure of units yet. That is a fair statement.

Ms. KAPTUR. So everything you know about HUD, do you think they just don't like project-based housing? Do they really think that whoever is in charge over there or thinking about this, that they just want to just go voucher? What is in their thinking? Do they believe in HUD? You know, what is going on over there? What are they trying to achieve?

Mr. MINNIX. I wish we knew. All I know is that we have a program that has worked well for a long period of time. The need, the demand exceeds the need. And increasingly, the availability of these units and the financing around them has become increasingly problematic. And that is why I say we are drifting into unstated policy that we don't know where it is going.

Now we have very few opt-outs in our group. Again, we are trying to find people that will opt-in. I think there is a lack of clarity about what the policy is. And what is the future of housing in this country for low- and modest-income elderly and disabled people. And now we find ourselves essentially kiting dollars to try to make ends meet. It is just nuts, it is just nuts.

Ms. KAPTUR. It will be very interesting to observe the new secretary of HUD. He used to be a CEO over at Lehman Brothers; is that correct? The new nominee over there at HUD, he is a Wall Street fellow, Lehman Brothers investment banker at Lehman Brothers. I said okay, what does this mean for HUD's portfolio?

Mr. MINNIX. I hope he understands something about what the Lutherans, and the Masons, and the Jews and the Catholics are doing, because it does not necessarily wind up at Lehman Brothers radar screen. That is what gets lost in all of this is the community-based commitment to these people.

Ms. KAPTUR. What has been happening all over our country is that public assets are being privatized and sold to foreign bidders because we are cashing out our assets. I wonder if there is a thought going through somebody in the administration's mind about housing being an asset? This would be a great asset to put on the table. Wall Street, as far as I am concerned, has robbed the average homeowner of this country of the most important form of savings.

Mr. MINNIX. The day the Episcopalians get a done notice from the Chinese, I will call you. We didn't know what is happening with it, that is the problem. The policy is drifting.

Ms. KAPTUR. Yes. Yes, Mr. Pagano.

Mr. PAGANO. The $2.8 billion came from Senator Dodd and his Committee, they came up with that figure. When speaking to HUD, they all have a script. And they will state their script to suit their number. If the simple question is if you have contracts for 12 months and had to fund them, how much money would you need. And then you'll come up with the $2.8 billion shortfall. They all have the script, no matter what coast you are on or what HUD official is there, we have plenty of funds. But you ask them privately

or in a session how much money do you need to fund all the contracts that you have signed for the next 12 months, they have that number, that is where the shortfall is.

Mr. OLVER. They have been borrowing from the long-term contracts, the ones that are left to get through a fiscal year. I think we have sort of agreed on that. But there was an old accounting method being used for a number of years before we hit the train wreck of 2007.

Mr. BODAKEN. That is right, that is fair.

Mr. OLVER. Before we ran into a problem. But that was because their own counsel indicated that that left them in violation of the Antideficiency Act. And so then they changed the accounting method to the new method, which I don't know the details enough of the old one or the new one and they think that that has avoided the Antideficiency Act, I believe.

Mr. BODAKEN. I think that is fair.

Mr. OLVER. They believe that has avoided the Antideficiency Act, but that has caused the situation we are in.

Mr. BODAKEN. Correct.

Mr. OLVER. Now, it doesn't really matter whether it was a lack of funds or a lack of staff to get the contracts through that caused what was going on at the end of 2007. If it was lack of funds, then it really is substantially the Congress's problem if we can figure out exactly what the number is. And clearly, to come up with $2.8 billion on an emergency basis would allow them to go back to none of the games that have been going on for quite a number of years. And the change in method of accounting and so forth that move monies from one fiscal year to another fiscal year and took money away from the long-term contracts. But that would fund all of those, you say, without any scoring problem——

Mr. BODAKEN. Correct.

Mr. OLVER [continuing]. And without any implication for debt, something along those lines.

Mr. BODAKEN. There is a memo.

Mr. OLVER. I am getting vaguely an idea of what is going on without really understanding how we got here.

Mr. BODAKEN. Well, you are getting very close, you are getting really warm. And it has to do with how these are scored over time. It is a timing issue.

Budget authority, in and of itself, does not produce outlays, and because these contracts come at different times in the calendar year, if we are going to fund them on an annual basis as opposed to a fiscal year basis, we need a one-time fix for HUD to do that budget authority wise.

Mr. OLVER. But you can live with the new accounting method if they paid it on time?

Mr. BODAKEN. I want to be clear.

Mr. OLVER. If we appropriated enough money to get them through by this new accounting method.

Mr. BODAKEN. On an annual basis.

Mr. OLVER. Yes, an annual basis.

Mr. BODAKEN. I don't believe—we will, we will. But I believe that once the renewals come up at the same time that you have a half

million units where owners are below market and they have options and they are not—they don't have to live——

Mr. OLVER. But that serves the policy, not our policy.

Mr. BODAKEN. That is right. But I really think that the elephant in the room is that concern for the Subcommittee and for the housing stock and for the community.

Mr. OLVER. For the reduction in affordable housing.

Mr. BODAKEN. Absolutely, absolutely.

Mr. OLVER. So I guess there are those who argue, and as we talked about it a bit, owners and tenants have not really been harmed in this process.

Mr. BODAKEN. No. Well, that is just not true.

Mr. OLVER. Let me give you a chance to answer that.

Mr. BODAKEN. Well, I think very quickly I will say we had to take $800,000 out of reserves. We also had a mechanic's lean put on the property in Florida. We took vendors off of four properties and reduced maintenance on those four properties. In my testimony in October, I didn't even tell you what happened in November and December to tenants at our properties—we have had to reduce services in all of our properties.

Now, it is true today that has been restored. But I am disputing that, but I am disputing the fact that this will not occur again. And I do believe that the Subcommittee needs to take that into consideration.

Mr. OLVER. But that will drive people, particularly profit owners. Although, are the incentives and disincentives, incentives for profit owners versus nonprofit owners similar?

Mr. BODAKEN. No, they are different.

Mr. OLVER. So we have nonprofits to go back in and try to pick up.

Mr. BODAKEN. There are tax consequences for profit owners that keep them trapped essentially in these investments. There are mission-related reasons why nonprofit owners will continue to do this.

Mr. OLVER. Will continue?

Mr. BODAKEN. That is part of what is going on here. But it is the case that I can refinance and opt out and not have that tax consequence. That is, in fact, what I think you are going to look at, what you are going to see.

Mr. PAGANO. If I pay a bill late, I am paying a late charge.

Mr. BODAKEN. You bet.

Mr. PAGANO. My mortgage company, they don't care to hear about HUD's woes. They don't want to know about whether it was a funding appropriations problem or a snafu in Kansas City with the payment. If I don't pay it on time, I get a $1,500 notice saying your mortgage is late. Send your mortgage payment before the end of the month, otherwise I am declaring default, plus $1,500. It is a very simple thing. If I don't do that, they notify the local HUD office that they are going to call me in default.

That $1,500 on a tight budget has got to come from somewhere. I have already given up my return, I am not taking in in most instances. That means services have to be reduced. The municipalities have all been cut, one way or another; they raised water, sewer and taxes. They too don't get their check on time, tack on a hefty penalty. And all I am looking for is HUD says well, better luck

next time or borrow your own money. But even the time it takes to borrow the money from the reserves, I am incurring loss of interest on the reserve investment. And then on top of that, I am paying penalties for the payments I am making . I am cutting back on security. I am cutting back on neighborhood networks. I am stalling work that should be done that was planned to be done on a 15-year projected basis, because I know if I do it all, at the end of the day, there will be no emergency fund for me to meet the next time HUD is late.

Mr. OLVER. I think—I think there is harm to everybody in the system. But the end result is fewer units of affordable housing ultimately through this process. Mr. Knollenberg.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Mr. Bodaken, I have a question. If we added $2.4 billion, why would HUD simply not use it to meet the 2008 rescission?

Mr. BODAKEN. Well, I don't know, and I really don't know, but I assume it would be to do exactly what we are talking about, which is you would instruct them to use it, to restore annual contracts on an annual basis. And that would be the means by which the money would be used.

I can't imagine that that would be the rationale the administration would use to take $2.4 billion and use it for a rescission.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Let me go to Mr. Pagano and Dr. Minnix on this next question. As it is explained to me the new system that HUD is using simply makes explicit what had been the current operating procedure in the past. Let me pose you the following example: There are now sufficient funds to fund all of your contracts with HUD through to October 1st of this year. If you would, let's just say that covers 9 months of your contracts. If the 2009 Appropriations Act, or I mentioned this in my opening remarks, if the continuing resolution had sufficient funding, to complete the remaining 3 months, would it matter to you that funds came from two fiscal years? I'll start with you, Mr. Pagano.

Mr. PAGANO. It wouldn't matter to me if it came from two fiscal years except I haven't seen a budget passed on time in a long time.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG [continuing]. This year too.

Mr. PAGANO. I hope. My concern is that HUD's on a continuing resolution, HUD tells me we don't have adequate funds to fund those last 3 months.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. But the CRs for the purpose, it can be designed to do a number of things if, in fact, it is taken into consideration. So a CR can be the answer if we do it right.

Dr. Minnix.

Mr. MINNIX. I think the people that live and work in these facilities, they don't care where the money comes from as long as it is there and it is stable, and that HUD is fulfilling its obligations. What you don't want to be told is the money may not be there and oh, by the way, we know we owe you that last quarter, but there is a dispute about that. We know we are 90 days behind and that puts everybody at risk. I think the tenants and the workers there don't care where the money comes from as long as HUD pays its obligation on time.

Mr. BODAKEN. I do object to that notion in that if I have a contract that has expired.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. To what notion?

Mr. BODAKEN. To the notion of 3 months. As I understand your question, I have a 9-month contract and there is 3 months and they tack it on at the end, is that fair? I object to that if I understand you correctly. What if I renew in July or August or September and I am not getting a 12-month contract, but a 2- or 3-month? I think this is the key. It is going to be so big to fund those contracts that we have to take that into consideration. If it is that amount, sure.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. So you don't believe that a CR can be constructed to do the job?

Mr. BODAKEN. Not without the supplement, the budget authority that we are talking about, no.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. I think that concludes my questions, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. OLVER. I want to give at least a quick couple of minutes to each of the members who have been very patient here and then we will end this morning's hearing. Mr. Pastor.

Mr. PASTOR. As I understand the situation, enough money to pay to October so that would be 2008, then 3 months into 2009 is what——

Mr. BODAKEN. No, they basically said some time in October or November.

Mr. PASTOR. Well, okay, let's say 3 months in 2009, the first 3 months of 2009 would be paid. So as I understand Knollenberg saying, there was enough money to pay from today to the end of fiscal year 2008, and there is a commitment to pay the first 3 months of 2009 fiscal year.

Mr. OLVER. I haven't heard that they made that——

Mr. PASTOR. Well, that seems to be what I am gathering. That is the unwritten policy that people are talking about.

Mr. PAGANO. At issue would be if I signed a contract in August——

Mr. PASTOR. I understand.

So if we say all right we can take you to the remainder of 2008 and in the year, and in fiscal year 2009, we will pay you or do a 12-month contract. So you know that for 12 months, you are going to get paid. It gives you that stability of 12 months. You know what your life is going to look like for 2009. Now that is a better option than what the unwritten policy is right now.

Mr. BODAKEN. You bet, absolutely.

Mr. MINNIX. That is a good short-term fix. The money is appropriated and HUD actually pays.

Mr. PASTOR. The second scenario, the 12 months in 2009 would be the $2.4 billion.

Mr. BODAKEN. Yes.

Mr. PASTOR. That is where the $2.4 billion would bring you to so that you get some stability for a year.

Mr. BODAKEN. Yes.

Mr. PASTOR. HUD would have the money to pay you.

Mr. BODAKEN. And it would restore, the program would operate after at the levels that the Administration is proposing this year. That level would be the appropriate level.

Mr. PASTOR. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. OLVER. Ms. Kaptur.

Ms. KAPTUR. Thank you, Mr. Chairman for giving me just an extra minute here. Let me ask these gentlemen how many total units were able to provide the funding that Congressman Pastor suggested? How many units does that actually keep under contract?

Mr. BODAKEN. 1.3 million.

PROJECT-BASED HOUSING

Ms. KAPTUR. 1.3 million, okay. And as you look to the future and it is really hard do this in the housing market now because we are in such an implosion it is probably hard to answer this, but do you see it likely that we would go back to a 40-year contract, or is the mortgage market changing such that as you look at what you are financing, as you look to the future over the next 10 years, in terms of project-based housing, what type of private sector environment are you anticipating? What length of mortgage? What does the market look like out there for project-based housing? What would give the private sector confidence to partner with you?

Mr. MINNIX. I think you have to look at what gives them confidence to invest either through philanthropy, government or private sector. When you build a building you expect it to last 30 years, give or take. And so how do you provide for the capital to build a facility that is going to be in a community for the next generation. That is the kind of planning you have to do. You can't build buildings that you have to tear down in 5 years if the government's policy changes. So this is where we are back to. What we had was a really good program that was born in the mid to late '60s and it served a good purpose for a long time. Now it needs to be rethought on a longer-term basis.

And the people that a lot of these facilities are serving are people that do not have the wherewithal to make choices, buy condos, do other things. Many come out of modest houses in neighborhoods. And some have not ever had the luxury of owning a home, but they mostly happen to be mostly 80-year-old women, or homeless people or mentally ill people that society either provides for them or it doesn't. But you have to think long term here.

Ms. KAPTUR. Dr. Minnix, I am hearing you, so you prefer longer instrument.

Mr. MINNIX. Yes.

Ms. KAPTUR. We were told back in the '80s, and I fought against this and didn't win, that we were told we didn't need the thrifts or long-term mortgages and home ownership because we are going to move into this whole new world. Interstate banking and mortgaged-backed securities. And the great thing about that is we wouldn't have any more savings and loan implosions, that what this would do is break up the mortgage instrument into all these little pieces, we would sell it on Wall Street. And by golly, we would have a variety of instruments, and have more choice and never have to worry about market implosion.

Now we have the largest real estate washout in American history. And the first time in American history is negative net savings in the mortgage market, so we have more owed than the units are worth.

So now I look at your housing. And your housing has a revenue stream which is guaranteed by the people of the United States. You look like a juicy little apple out there right now to those who have done this to our home mortgage market. I say to myself in the mortgage market, in the future, after we get through this crisis, what is that going to look like? And I hear you, Mr. Chairman——

Mr. OLVER. We all know where we are at the moment on what you described. I think I ought to, on that note, close.

Mr. MINNIX. You are right.

Mr. BODAKEN. You are right. There is $11 billion worth of FHA insured mortgages on this property also, don't forget that.

Mr. MINNIX. By the way our people pay their debts.

Mr. PAGANO. And you have second mortgages that HUD has taken on all of these properties that if the first default, there are HUD-held mortgages on the second and thirds.

Mr. OLVER. I thank you all very much for trying to educate us. Thank you very much. The hearing is closed.

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 23, 2008.

STATUS OF THE PROJECT-BASED SECTION 8 PROGRAM

WITNESS

HON. BRIAN MONTGOMERY, ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR HOUSING AND FHA COMMISSIONER

CHAIRMAN'S OPENING STATEMENT

Mr. OLVER. The Subcommittee will come to order.

Let me welcome HUD Assistant Secretary for Housing and the Federal Housing Administration Commissioner, FHA administration—is that what that means?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. I have two titles, sir. Only the Government does that. But yes, sir.

Mr. OLVER. Okay. That is what FHA is—Federal Housing Administration Commissioner. So you serve on that board as—or whatever being Commissioner does to that. Are you the CEO for that?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Two job titles, but only one paycheck. [Laughter.]

Mr. OLVER. Okay. All right. Let me welcome you back to the Subcommittee, in any case. I know you have been very busy with the on-going mortgage crisis and FHA modernization and things like that. And I appreciate your spending a little time with us to help us on our problem with the Section 8 program.

This afternoon's hearing is going to focus on the controversy, crisis, whatever you want to put to it, in the Project-Based Section 8 Program. During this discussion, we are going to talk about housing assistance payment contracts, Anti-Deficiency Act violations, short-funding, budget shortfalls and the like.

With such mind-numbing and arcane terms, it is easy to lose sight of the primary reason for the hearing. And that is, over a million affordable housing units are at stake, and we must ensure that the families that live in these units, many of whom are elderly or disabled, are not forced out of them.

This morning we heard from owners and tenant groups who testified that the current uncertainty in the Section 8 program has created a crisis of confidence that may lead to a significant reduction in the number of affordable units. That is that owners will opt out when their contracts come up. At any time, whenever the contract comes up, they can opt out. Our collective actions on dealing with this loss of confidence in Section 8 will determine whether these units are protected or lost.

So let me begin by just a couple of minutes of comment as to how we got here.

When the initial long-term Section 8 contracts from the 1970s began to expire in the 1990s, HUD replaced these contracts with

shorter contracts subject to annual appropriations. Currently, close to 80 percent of Section 8 units, or 14,000, my understanding, of the contracts are funded by 1-year contracts through annual appropriations, and roughly 20 percent, something like 4,000, contracts are still longer-term contracts that are in their first 20- or 30- or 40-year, toward the end, often, of those long-term contract periods were the typical kinds of contracts that started out in the 1970s.

It is my understanding that for initial contract renewals, HUD obligated 12 months of funding. Year-long contracts maintain confidence in the program by assuring owners and tenants that the contract would be fully funded throughout the year. It is also my understanding that some time in the 1990s, late 1990s I think, HUD modified this policy of obligating 12 months of funding without it becoming common knowledge, without notifying property owners or tenants that it had done so. If that is unfair, you will have a chance to rebut.

Instead of obligating a full year of funding and renewal, HUD increasingly provided only a few months for some contracts, typically just enough to cover the remaining months of a fiscal year. The net effect of this policy change shifted the funding needs of the Section 8 program from one fiscal year to the next, thereby masking the program shortfalls.

In fiscal year 2007, HUD's legal office determined that the short-funding of contracts, as I have just described, constituted a potential violation of the Anti-Deficiency Act (ADA), yet it was the fully inadequate response to this legal opinion by both HUD and OMB that created the crisis in the Section 8 program in the latter part of 2007 and the beginning of 2008. Instead of requesting additional funding from Congress to cover the shortfall, HUD began to issue 3- to 5-month contracts to landlords, instilling a crisis of confidence in the long-term viability of this program.

In last year's budget, our Subcommittee grappled mightily with this problem. We repeatedly requested from the Department the actual dollar amount necessary to cover the shortfall, but our request went unanswered. Officially we were told that the $5.522 billion requested in the President's budget was enough to fund the program, even though this figure, $5.522 billion, was $300-plus million below the amount that we had provided in fiscal year 2007.

In the end, we provided $6.139 billion for Project-Based Section 8 renewals, a full $600 million above the President's initial request. More importantly, the Subcommittee required HUD and OMB to determine by January 15, 2008, whether an ADA violation had actually occurred when HUD was short-funding contracts. If no ADA violation occurred, HUD was ordered to issue 12-month contracts. If there was such a violation, HUD and OMB would be legally required to report the shortfall immediately so that Congress could adequately address the issue.

As you know, Mr. Secretary, the deadline that we set of January 15, 2008, has come and gone without a full response. And it appears that the Administration is prepared to run out the clock and leave this problem for the next President.

While HUD and OMB have refused to answer the questions about the potential ADA violation, there does appear to be some recognition in the President's fiscal year 2009 budget that there is

a shortfall that could jeopardize the program. The Administration has proposed $6.7 billion for contract renewals, which is over $600 million more than we provided last year—that is, in the 2008 Omnibus—as well as an advance has been asked for appropriation of $400 million to help extend contracts into the new fiscal year.

This is clearly a step in the right direction, but with an estimated funding gap, coming from earlier testimony before the Financial Services Committee, of at least $2.4 billion there, we still have a long way to go to stabilize and restore our confidence in the program.

Mr. Secretary, we would like to explore with you today how we can stabilize this Project-Based Section 8 Program to at least maintain and not lose some of the 1.3 million units that are under the project-based system. I hope that we can identify the true financial needs of the project-based program.

Before we allow you your time on this one, I would like to recognize my ranking member, Mr. Knollenberg, for any comments that he would like to make.

MR. KNOLLENBERG'S OPENING REMARKS

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I look forward to completing this hearing process this afternoon.

And welcome, Mr. Montgomery. Glad to have you here. I had hoped to work closely with you in developing the 2009 appropriations act. And so I am welcoming you back and your staff back as well.

And I am not sure if you view this hearing as more of a distraction from the urgent business of helping families out of their subprime troubles—and there are plenty of those—or more as a reprieve from the pressures that you must be under to accomplish what may be the impossible thing to do. But nevertheless, welcome back to address the issue of Project-Based Section 8 funding.

We learned a fair amount from this morning's hearing about the impact that funding decisions may have on the long-term viability of the program, defined as the willingness of owners to stay in the system. In the end, it appears to me that the hearing boiled down to confidence in the future funding. And of that we on this Subcommittee can provide some assurance, based on our actions in the past, to always fund both the Project- and the Tenant-Based Section 8 programs. But we cannot provide the kind of guarantees that come with a mandatory program. And in the end, that is what I think the industry really wants.

So, in my opinion, it comes down to certainty as defined by the following question: Does this program need to include enough funding—this is not a question for you now—does this program need to include enough funding to ensure that all contracts are fully funded up front for 12 months? Or can the program continue to operate, as it has in the past, with sufficient funds being provided in each appropriations act to fully fund contracts during the fiscal year?

The answer, I think, lies in the ability of Congress to complete its appropriations process on time or to ensure that any continuing resolution includes the funds needed to operate as if the act had been completed on time.

Does that make sense? I hope.

And if you do not trust that to happen, then I think it is fair to argue for a major infusion of cash to lock in those funds. But then we are faced with a multi-billion-dollar appropriation to provide that infusion of funds, and the Subcommittee does not have those funds, given all the other perils that face us in funding HUD and especially in the transportation programs.

I fear that many will be tempted to look for a budgetary gimmick, such as declaring an emergency. But if, on October 1st of this year, the 2009 appropriation act has passed or a properly constructed CR is put forth, then I do not see any basis for emergency spending.

I would like to hear your views on that, Mr. Montgomery, and we will be following up with questions to that effect.

In addition, there has been much discussion and many demands made over completing an internal investigation of the process that was used in allocating funds for the past several years. I am not sure why. As I understand the issue, it is a matter of which prevails, appropriations law or contract law. I am not a lawyer; this is over my head. But I can tell you that there is much disagreement on this issue.

But Congress has every means available to require that you administer the program in any matter it so chooses, regardless of your internal deliberations or the final answer at which you arrive. Whatever the outcome of that internal debate, in my opinion it certainly does not provide an appropriate basis for adding billions to the program. Many other very large programs would have to be cut or eliminated just to satisfy a deficiency of funds that have already been spent. I see no gain or purpose in any proposal for that purpose.

Again, I would like to hear your views on the issue. And since it has been floating around for the past few months, perhaps you can clear the air a little.

Finally, I hope, and I know you hope, that this may be your last appearance before the Subcommittee. I want to wish you the very, very best in your future endeavors, whatever that may be.

I am especially grateful for all the work you have done on the subprime lending issue. And, as you know, Michigan has been one of those States that was the hardest hit by the mortgage resets. And HUD's efforts and your personal involvement have made a difference on this issue, and that is to be noted and appreciated.

And, with that, I yield back the balance of my time and look forward to your testimony. Thank you.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. OLVER. Thank you, Mr. Knollenberg.

Mr. Montgomery, your shot.

MR. MONTGOMERY'S OPENING REMARKS

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, thank you, Ranking Member Knollenberg and other distinguished subcommittee members who may join us. I appreciate the opportunity to appear before you this afternoon to address some concerns you are hearing about our ability to fund and pay the annual renewals on our Project-Based Section 8 Housing Assistance Payments (HAP) contracts.

HOUSING ASSISTANCE GRANTS

In my testimony today, I want to reassure you that the Administration's policy remains in support of funding all HAP contract renewals as a much-needed source of affordable rental housing for close to 1.25 million low-income families. I will also outline what this Administration is doing to resolve the issues regarding this very important and essential housing program.

First, let me say that I realize some of our housing program partners have experienced hardships based on our delay in making HAP payments. I have done it before but I will do it again today and offer my apologies to them for that process.

The Administration understands the importance to many low-income families of the Project-Based Section 8 HAP program. We know that delayed payments from HUD means that owners are often forced to make late mortgage payments, late utility or even insurance payments. And we understand the industry is concerned, and we are facing these challenges head on.

Well, back in the fall of 2005—this was very early in my tenure—I recognized that there were problems in the Administration of the Project-Based program. And I took several steps to improve the process of reviewing and funding these contracts.

First, I requested an independent review of the portfolio, which began in early 2006. I have been told that that was the first time since the early 1990s that our portfolio had been reviewed and audited to that level of detail. The review was completed late last year, and as a result of this analysis we were able to correct the deficiencies and significantly improve the accuracy and reliability of our budget estimates.

I have also expanded the tracking of monthly voucher submissions and have improved my staff's capacity to respond to unexpected shortfalls. Nonetheless, I believe the current procedures for funding Section 8 contract renewals are still too cumbersome, they are still too time-consuming, and further improvements must be made.

CONTRACT RENEWAL FUNDING

With the enactment of the MAHRA Act in 1997, the Department's duty is to fund a renewal contract for any given year, regardless of the contract term. It is explicitly subject to the condition that Congress make sufficient amounts of funding available to the Department in our appropriations act.

As you know, there are currently 14,000 contracts, covering 1 million housing units, that are subject to annual funding renewals. Well, the practice of incremental funding of contracts commenced in 1997, and HUD was often forced to partially fund some annual contract renewal terms for fewer than 12 months.

Now, we are all very aware of the problems that have arisen due to the incremental funding of the contracts over the last several years, which resulted in payments not being made on a monthly basis. In order to attempt to address these problems in fiscal year 2007, we executed a strategy that remedied the situation without terminating any contractual relationships or necessitating addi-

tional appropriations late in the fiscal year, and in a constrained budget environment, I might add.

However, during this time, many owners experienced significant contract payment delays. While we took steps to provide as much relief as possible, such as allowing owners to borrow against project reserves or requesting special mortgage forbearance, many 2007 payments were not made on time.

The Department was successful in providing funding for the renewal of all HAP contracts into fiscal year 2008 and avoid the need for additional appropriations or the unintended rescission of unobligated funds in other HUD programs.

Now, I am pleased to report that for fiscal year 2008 the Department's current analysis indicates it can meet the 2008 HAP contract renewal funding needs with the funding level in the 2008 budget. The President's budget request of $7 billion for Project-Based assistance in 2009 is more than $1 billion over the amount provided in 2007.

In addition to this request, the Administration is also proposing an advanced appropriation of $400 million to support continued and timely subsidy payments during the first quarter of fiscal year 2010. Our ability to preserve affordable housing is at least partly dependent on our success in eliminating the anxiety over untimely payments.

I am determined to continue to push for improvements in the way we manage the Project-Based Section 8 Program. Project owners and investors and especially the tenants living in Section 8 housing deserve better service, and certainly better service than they received last year, and I am committed to making sure that they see real improvement.

Lastly, thank you for this opportunity to testify before you today.

[The information follows:]

STATEMENT OF BRIAN D. MONTGOMERY

Assistant Secretary for Housing – Federal Housing Commissioner
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

Hearing before the Transportation, Housing and Urban Development,
and Related Agencies Subcommittee

Committee on Appropriations
United States House of Representatives

"Status of the Project-Based Section 8 Program"

April 23, 2008

Chairman Olver, Ranking Member Knollenberg and other distinguished Subcommittee Members, thank you for the opportunity to appear before you today to address concerns raised over HUD's ability to fund and pay the annual renewals of project-based Section 8 housing assistance payment (HAP) contracts. In my testimony today, I want to reassure you that the Administration's policy remains in support of funding all HAP contract renewals as a needed source of affordable rental housing for nearly 1.25 million low-income families. I will also outline what this Administration is doing to resolve the issues plaguing this very important and essential housing program.

This Administration understands the importance to many low-income families of the project-based Section 8 HAP program, which provides rental assistance to nearly 1.25 million low-income households. Likewise, the Department realizes that it is essential that the subsidy payments to the project owners are made in a timely manner to ensure that the owners continue in the program and the units remain affordable for the long term.

The Department acknowledges that there have been challenges in the administration of this program. We know and truly appreciate the issues that owners face when payments are not made. Delayed payments from HUD mean that owners will be forced to borrow against project reserves and/or make late mortgage, utility or insurance payments. We understand the industry's concerns and are addressing these challenges head-on.

Early on in my tenure, I recognized that there were problems in the administration of the project-based Section 8 HAP program and took several steps to improve the process of renewing and funding these contracts. First, I requested an independent audit of the portfolio to verify how many contracts were actually in the program, what type of contracts and various other pertinent data. There had not been such an audit completed since the early 1990s. The audit was completed late last year and, as a result of this analysis, we were able to correct the deficiencies and the completion of this data clean up will significantly improve the accuracy and reliability of our budget estimates and enhance our ability to monitor project expenses on a monthly basis.

In addition, I have expanded the tracking of monthly voucher submissions and have improved my staff's capacity to respond to unexpected shortfalls more quickly. Also, we have assigned additional staff to support our Section 8 funding operations and have seen major improvements. I am pleased to report that we have received support from our industry partners regarding these improvements. Nonetheless, I believe that the current procedures for funding Section 8 contract renewals are still too cumbersome and time consuming. We are currently analyzing the project-based Section 8 HAP payment process, with a goal of making it more efficient and more transparent.

In regard to funding, as the initial 20- to 40-year HAP contracts began to expire during the 1990s, each successive Congress and Administration made preserving this important source of low-income housing a top priority.

This goal led to the enactment of the Multifamily Assisted Housing Reform and Affordability Act of 1997 (MAHRA). In MAHRA, Congress eschewed the original Section 8 approach of appropriating funding in an amount sufficient to provide payments for a 20-, 30-, or 40 year term and instead permitted the Department to renew the contract for specific renewal terms. In all cases, however, the Department's duty under MAHRA to fund a renewal contract for any given year, regardless of the contract term, is explicitly subject to the condition that Congress makes sufficient amounts of funding available each year in the Department's appropriation Acts. In general, HUD reduced the subsequent renewal to a 1- or 5-year term, with funding increments renewed annually, subject to appropriations. There are currently 14,000 contracts, covering over 1,000,000 housing units that are subject to annual funding renewals.

We have also been working to streamline and automate the contract renewal process. The past, paper-intensive process of contract renewals was a common complaint amongst property owners and often contributed to payment delays. However, this requires the development of new systems. That is why the 2009 President's Budget includes a $5 million request for additional Working Capital Fund money, just for this program. It is critical that the Department receive the full 2009 Working Capital Fund request, in order that these system upgrades can be implemented.

It is important to note that the practice of incremental funding contracts commenced in 1997 and HUD was often forced to partially fund some annual contract renewal terms for fewer than 12 months. This partial or "incremental" funding practice became more frequent in ensuing years and was an acceptable practice by both HUD and the owners. In the event that current appropriations and recaptured funding is insufficient to both renew all HAP contracts for a full 12-month term and to meet the Department's rescission mandate for the current fiscal year, the practice can shift some funding needs to the next fiscal year. The effect was to shorten how deep this fiscal year's funding took these contracts into the next fiscal year. At a minimum, all contracts were fully funded through the current fiscal year.

We are all aware of the problems that have arisen over the last several years which resulted in some payments not being made on a monthly basis. In order to address these problems, in FY 2007, the Department developed and executed a strategy to remedy the situation without terminating any contractual relationships or necessitating additional appropriations late in the fiscal year. Through many hours of staff time, the Department successfully executed this strategy to provide funding for the renewal of all HAP contracts into FY 2008 and avoided the need for additional appropriations or the unintended rescission of unobligated funds in other HUD programs. However, some owners experienced contract payment delays as we developed and executed this strategy. While we took steps to provide as much relief as possible – such as allowing owners to borrow against project reserves or requesting mortgage forbearance – many FY 2007 payments were not paid on time. I realize that some of our housing program partners may have experienced hardships during this transition period and I apologize on behalf of the Department.

In resolving the FY 2007 HAP contract funding issues, HUD performed considerable additional analysis on its budget needs for FY 2008. HUD's current analysis indicates it can meet the FY 2008 HAP contract renewal funding needs with the funding level provided in the FY 2008 appropriation.

The President's budget requests $7.0 billion for Project-Based Rental Assistance programs in FY 2009 – more than a billion dollars over the amount provided in FY 2007, and roughly $600 million more than in FY 2008. In addition to this record breaking request, the Administration is also proposing an advance appropriation of $400 million to support continued timely subsidy payments during the first quarter of FY 2010.

This year's budget request reflects a continuation of a decade long trend – away from the original practice of funding the entire cost of each project-based Section 8 HAP contract up front. Thus, our estimate for renewal and amendment funding addresses the amounts needed to cover subsidy payments coming due during FY 2009 and the first few months of the next year. With the amounts now available in FY 2008, and the request for FY 2009, we are confident that HUD will be able to avoid the unfortunate disruptions in project-based Section 8 HAP payments that occurred during 2007.

Our ability to preserve affordable housing is at least in part dependent on our success in eliminating anxiety over timely payments and I am determined to push for improvements in the way we manage the project-based Section 8 HAP program. Project owners, investors, and tenants living in Section 8 housing deserve better service than they received last year and I am committed to making certain that they see real improvement.

In closing, I would like to thank you for the opportunity to testify before this Subcommittee.

Mr. OLVER. Well, thank you very much for that.

We heard this morning from groups that manage and administer Project-Based Section 8 units. They all indicated that there was a serious problem of confidence and uncertainty in the program that grew out of that latter quarter of 2007 and the first quarter of 2008.

With substantial numbers of late payments, I tried to find out whether they were across all accounts. I was never quite sure whether they were in all cases late payments or whether there was some extra circumstances that made some of them late.

In any case, between the late payments and the short contracts, they have been forced to create reserves and pay their mortgages and reduce services and maintenance and so forth. Analysts believe that with the uncertainty created, a number of for-profit companies will get out of the program because it has become too cumbersome.

My fear is that we will wait until it is too late to respond to this. We will wait until owners have gotten out en masse before we recognize the problem. And maybe, from what you are saying, you have done much to improve it.

Do you agree that uncertainty has been created or was rampant there in that period of time?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I would say there has probably been uncertainty since the beginning of the program, since it was always subject to appropriations.

But just to rewind the clock a little, remember, we have had one series of continuing resolutions after another. And even going back to October of 2006, we barely had enough funding to get us through into the second quarter of fiscal year 2007. And also recall, we did not get the appropriation that year of some $5.8 billion or so until late February of 2007, a little more than a year ago.

We are all very familiar with what happened from our appropriations attorney; I won't go into that level of detail again. But that is a pretty hard one-two punch for anybody.

And when you now have to go take a practice that had been working for at least 10 years and now, at the 11th hour, basically rework all these contracts, I know it caused a lot of people some angst, but at the same time I want to commend the staff at HUD, who worked many, many long hours, some of them sitting behind me, to do an almost near-impossible task, and that is rework some 14,000 contracts to fix the problem going forward.

And it certainly caused a lot of people some angst, sir, and I won't deny that.

Mr. OLVER. Well, I will get back to that a little bit later.

My understanding is that the extra funding that Congress provided in fiscal year 2008 Omnibus, which was $617 million above the President's request, will carry the program through short-funded contracts to October or November of 2008. That looks as if it is reaching a little bit into the 2009 fiscal year.

Do you agree with that assessment?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Well, I will agree with the assessment that we have enough to pay all of the contracts through this fiscal year and on into the next fiscal year.

Mr. OLVER. How long into the next fiscal year, would you say?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Well, because of the advance appropriation for 2010, we will not only pay them all the way through fiscal year 2008, all the way into fiscal year 2009, and hopefully the first 3 or 4 months into 2010.

Mr. OLVER. All right. But you, in testimony before this Subcommittee back a couple of months ago, had agreed that we were in a process of using 1 year's fiscal monies to begin the process in the next year, and that was rolling on and the number being pushed into the next fiscal year was growing. But it is my understanding that that process was growing, that more was being pushed into the next fiscal year.

But this number that we have for 2008 you think finishes 2008. And in agreement with that process that I have just described, that you had testified to earlier, it would appear that that may cover some portion of the next fiscal year.

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Yes, that is correct.

Mr. OLVER. You think that is correct. Okay.

Well, if that is true and the fiscal year 2008 appropriations—you get the program to—a ways into the 2009 year, what happens in the event that the program is under a continuing resolution again at that point?

As you point out, we have had a number of continuing resolutions. What happens, in particular, if it is subjected to some kind of a long continuing resolution?

EXPIRING SECTION 8 CONTRACTS

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Well, we have been subject to many continuing resolutions for some time, but we have been able to make due with those appropriations. Remember, the program does get a little more expensive each year, because every year from now until 2025 you will have contracts rolling off their initial term, and they need to be renewed every year. So that number will continue to grow regardless.

Mr. OLVER. Are there contracts that are long-range contracts that reach all the way to 2025?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. That is correct. We will continue to have renewals until the year 2025. Or you will continue to have contracts coming off their initial term into the year 2025. Remember, some of these originally were 20, 30, 40 years.

Mr. OLVER. So that would have to be a 40-year contract that was issued in 1985?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. The last year that we were in the production business of Section 8 was, I think, fiscal year 1984.

Mr. OLVER. Okay. All right. So you have lived with continuing resolutions from year to year, and this may be another one. We have had quite a few of them; that is quite true.

A CR, particularly a long one, further throws these problems, it seems to me, dealing with expiring contracts, with rents that rise every year, does not seem easy with a long-term CR that provides only the rate of services used in fiscal year 2008 that has been agreed to, especially for these 1-year renewals.

How do you handle that problem when there is a CR, that the numbers that you are allowed to use depend upon, usually, previous years' services?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Well, we also have recaptures from previous years that average somewhere around $400 million to $600 million a year, which—we normally don't get those toward the end of a fiscal year. But that is also what has been done for many years. They use the recaptures to help get them into the next fiscal year.

Mr. OLVER. So you rewrite contracts? The long-range ones have an escalator. But the short-term contracts, you rewrite them with a new—whatever the inflation rate is. But then you think you would be able to cover the beginnings of those with these kind of recaptures that you are talking about?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Well, and we have been able to cover them, dating back to the previous Administration.

But remember, the contracts coming off the initial term get a 5-year renewal, but the funding is only guaranteed for 1 year. So even though it is a 5-year renewal, the funding has to be done every year. And that has been back to—well, with the MAHRA Act in 1997.

Mr. OLVER. These were the ones coming off their first long-term return contract, 20-, 30-, 40-year?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Correct.

Mr. OLVER. Then they get 5-year renewal?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. 5-year renewals but only 1-year appropriation. That is correct.

Mr. OLVER. But you also have a whole bunch shorter than that, 1-year contracts, don't you?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Well, yes. They are all 1-year funding. But as they come off their long-term, it is a 5-year renewal, but again, subject year by year to appropriations.

Mr. OLVER. Every time I go through this, I learn some other little quirk about this system. I am happy that it is you that is dealing with it.

Mr. MONTGOMERY. It is full of quirks, sir. I am sorry.

Mr. OLVER. Yes, okay. Well, I will pass on to Mr. Knollenberg for the time being.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Montgomery, let's begin with 2007 and try and figure out what caused this crisis of confidence which people are beginning to relate to in the Project-Based Section 8 Program.

What was your funding level in 2007, and why wasn't it adequate?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. I think fiscal year 2007 was about $5.6 billion with the recaptures that I referenced earlier. And with amendments, it ended up being close to about $6.7 billion.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. So what was the real problem then in 2007?

INCREMENTAL FUNDING

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Well, there were a lot of problems, as I articulated a minute ago. But, clearly, the biggest one was the long-term practice of the incremental funding, being told that we can no longer do that and having to go modify all those contracts. So that, by far, was the biggest hindrance.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. So that was a challenge that put you in between a couple of rocks, I guess?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Absolutely, sir.

And, again, the groups that are behind me, I speak to them frequently, I meet with them frequently. I hate this as much as they do. But I also, at the same time, know that our staff worked very, very hard to fix this problem, and I think we have going forward.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Uh-huh. Would it be fair to say that if Congress had enacted a 2007 budget or provided sufficient funding via a CR through the exceptions process that the crisis of confidence may not have happened?

Please give us, if you can, as close as you can to a yes-or-no answer, and then you can add caveats or any more information if you need it. But can you respond to that?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. I will say, in my opinion, I will give you a "yes" to your previous question.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. The Administration is continually accused of requesting less funding than necessary to ensure the renewal of the Section 8 contracts, and I believe you disagree with that. Is that correct?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Well, again, since these contracts do split fiscal years, we request enough to get us through that fiscal year. And then, of course, over the next fiscal year, the contract gets picked up again.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Then what is the source for this difference of opinion? Is there something you can put a finger on, just one thing? Is it just that?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. I would say it is just that. But I asked my staff, and while there were some differences of opinion, everybody seemed to coalesce around that it had been since the early 1990s since the last time these contracts had been fully funded, some time during the last days of the Kemp administration.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. What about 2008 and 2009? Do you have enough to meet the requirements of those two?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Yes, sir.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. You do. What level of funding is needed in fiscal year 2009 to put all contracts back on a 12-month funding cycle?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Well, for 2008, I believe it was about $1.8 billion. For fiscal year 2009, I believe that was increased to about $2.6 billion. But, again, that is to encumber those funds and to obligate those funds for a contract that runs right now into the next fiscal year, again, which is something, according to our long-term career staff, that probably hasn't been done in close to 17 years.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. In the fiscal year 2008 Conference Report, the Subcommittee asked the Department to complete its inquiry to determine if the partial or incremental implementation of contracts violated appropriations law.

Has OMB, for example, has the Department reached a decision relative to this inquiry?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. No, sir, they have not. But, again, there was a problem that was outside of our purview. It spanned two Administrations, and I can probably think of no bigger waste of time than for everybody to try to go back and figure out the hundreds of people that were involved in this problem over 10 or 12 years, who is to blame. And I will say, the last group I want to blame are the career staff, who worked very hard to fix this problem.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. The last you want to blame?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. I am sorry, sir?

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Could you repeat that again?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. I don't want to sound like a bureaucrat here, but for a situation that spanned two Presidential Administrations of two different political parties and then, well, all of a sudden, 10 years in the future, it is determined that that practice can no longer be done, everybody worked very long hours to fix it, to me it just doesn't make sense to blame mostly the career staff who worked so hard to fix it, now somehow blame them and slap them with an ADA violation.

But I will take my lumps if that is the case. But it just doesn't make any sense to me.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Has HUD had a long-standing problem with making timely payments to owners?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Well, beyond some of our systems issues and on-going personnel needs, we have never had anything like we experienced, you know, this time last year.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Specifically, has any of the problem been associated with or due to lack of funds? And has that been corrected?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Well, we, again, believe for fiscal year 2008 and for fiscal year 2009 and a little bit into 2010 that the funding issue should be behind us.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Can you tell us how many owners have opted out as a result of late payments?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. I believe about three over the last 2 years have opted out. And GAO did a study of this and determined that owners were more likely to opt-out for economic reasons than for late payments.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. So it has been negligible.

Mr. MONTGOMERY. It has been negligible the last 2 to 3 years.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. And at this moment, how far behind is the Department on their payments?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Payments for January—and this is out of about 14,000 contracts. There are 74 that are still late, 123 for February, and 218 for March.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Those are the figures for this calendar year thus far. So that is the universe of current payment problems; that is the entirety of it?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. That is correct.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Can you tell me how the Department has modified the contracts to allow for this incremental funding?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Well, again, this is part of what our appropriations attorney had said that we had to fix, that the language in the old contracts didn't match the funding that had now been going on for some 10 years. So we essentially said, the owners of this new contract, you would get 3 months of funding, and you will get X amount up until November.

They wouldn't all be done in November because, remember, these are staggered out over the fiscal year. So again, that was the staff that worked many long hours to get all those contracts done.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Thank you.

I am going to relinquish at this time, Mr. Chairman, and yield to you. Thank you.

Mr. OLVER. Mr. Pastor.

3-MONTH CONTRACTS

Mr. PASTOR. So it was because of the attorney's opinion that you changed the way of doing business and you went to the 3-month?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Well, yes, sir. We didn't have a choice in the matter. The appropriations attorney, while in the employ of our Chief Financial Officer, actually reports to Congress. And it was his opinion.

Mr. PASTOR. And because of that opinion, then, you overturned 10 years of practice, and so that—I have to tell you, in your comment I think I heard a couple minutes back, you and your staff didn't like what happened. I think the operators, the people you contract, even hated it more. So that was a feeling I got from this morning.

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Yes, sir.

Mr. PASTOR. And as you were told by staff that attended that hearing—and I agree with you, it is hard to blame people for something that happened in the past, and people worked at it. And so I will tell you that I am not looking for a place to put blame. It is done, and now I am looking, what do we do going forward?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Yes, sir.

Mr. PASTOR. Because people are affected, people throughout this country, mainly senior citizens. People who have a disability are affected. And, obviously, I think you and I don't want to cause pain or suffering to these people.

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Yes, sir.

Mr. PASTOR. And you will probably correct me, but, as I understand, with the funding that you have requested, that it would take us to the end of fiscal year 2008 and then probably, at best, pay 3 months into 2009.

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Well, again, we have enough funding for 2008, and we are requesting an advanced appropriation, but that is for 2010.

Mr. PASTOR. What you have today will get us to the end of fiscal 2008 and, at best, be able to fund 3 months into 2009?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Well, and one of the problems at the first of the fiscal year has a lot to do with our old systems, our IT systems, that they cannot begin processing the new fiscal year until they close out the old fiscal year. So a lot of it is to avoid that backlog between the system changeover, which takes about a month. So that has always been a slow time for HUD, the beginning of the fiscal year.

Mr. PASTOR. Let me go back again. Due to the funding that you will receive, hopefully, in a bill or a CR and the problems you have with the system that you have in place, that the scenario probably is that you will have monies to the end of fiscal year 2008 and probably, at best, put people under a 3-month in 2009.

Mr. MONTGOMERY. And, more likely, be under another continuing resolution.

Mr. PASTOR. Well, but you think I am correct in that if I was to talk to a number of your operators, say the best I think HUD can do is finish 2008, you can rely on that, and at best, you probably

can rely on 3 months in 2009. Due to the system, due to the money——

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Well, that has always been the way the program works. As we go into a new fiscal year or do a continuing resolution, we get the funds ultimately to now carry the program through that fiscal year. And that practice has been going on for many years.

Mr. PASTOR. Sure.

Mr. MONTGOMERY. It is just, of late, now we all just have continuing resolutions.

Mr. PASTOR. Knowing the system at the end of fiscal year 2008, you know, causes problems—today I heard that probably an infusion of a supplemental, I think it was $2.6 billion, to HUD, that this would correct the errors of 2007 and create stability in this market, and people could go forward with their lives with longer-term contracts than what they have today.

Would you agree with that or disagree with that?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Well, I would say that if you want to fully fund the contracts and encumber 12 months of funding today on into the next fiscal year, the number is somewhere between $2.4 billion and $2.6 billion. But, again, that has not been done in some 15, 16, 17 years, now dating back two additional Administrations.

So the issue is, in this tight budget environment, do you want to encumber $2.6 billion more, knowing that into the next fiscal year, as is always the case, those contracts get picked up? And I think that is where the difference in the philosophy lies.

Mr. PASTOR. Well, we will have a new Administration, for sure. There will be some other changes, that maybe additional revenues may be forthcoming due to changes. So it may be a hypothetical, as we talk today, but in a matter of months, you know, we will work at the situation a little differently.

But is that a solution then, the $2.4, $2.6—bring stability to the program and probably not cause any discomfort to our elderly or disabled?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Well, we certainly share in that goal, Congressman, I can assure you.

But, look, I don't blame the industry stakeholders or non-profits, you know, for wanting to get them fully funded. Again, we just think, in our tight budget environment, doing it the way that it has been done for a number of years is the best use of limited resources in this environment.

Mr. PASTOR. Thank you very much.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Mr. OLVER. Ms. Roybal-Allard.

Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Montgomery, thank you for joining us this afternoon.

Owners of Section 8 properties in California, as you know, have expressed their concern about HUD's new policy of incrementally or short-funding Section 8 contracts. And many owners have indicated that if this policy were to continue, that they may not renew their contracts.

As you know, it is absolutely critical that we get accurate information about the funding needs of these programs, because it is

the only way that we would be able to fully fund Section 8 contracts and restore confidence in the program.

Do you still agree that short-funded contracts have a harmful impact on property owners and may discourage some continuing to participate in the Section 8 program?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Well, I would say, again, given the fact that only three have opted out in the last 2 years, most of them do stay in the program.

Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD. Okay. In spite of what they are saying, is what you are saying?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Yes.

Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD. And do you agree that providing the 12-month funding at each contract renewal is the better policy? Do you have a way of comparing that?

12-MONTH FUNDING

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Well, again, as I told Congressman Pastor, our process, which dates back, you know, again, some 10 years, accomplishes the same thing without encumbering and obligating budget dollars in a very tight budget environment.

Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD. Okay. I just want to go back to the first part of my question and make sure that I understand.

You are saying that, even though people are complaining, property owners are complaining, that right now there is no indication that they are going to opt out of the program, that you have only had three out of the entire program, is that it?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Out of 14,000 or so, yes.

Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD. Out of 14,000. And are all these people who have had their contract—the term of the contract has been completed? And so you are saying that out of the 14—I am sorry—thousand did you say?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. 14,000 that are—more than 14,000 that are renewed.

Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD. That the other thousands, minus these three, are operating without their long-term contract or a yearly contract?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. The reason we heard so much dissent last year, again, has more to do with the opinion of our appropriations attorney that said, "The practice that you have been doing for 10 years you can no longer do." That is when, as we all painfully know, the funding stopped for about 3 months. I don't blame them for being mad, and I have apologized to them, and I will continue to do so.

But, you know, again, now that we have rewritten the contracts to satisfy the attorneys, the practice will continue, and we are very confident it will take us out into the next fiscal year.

Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD. Okay. Now, if it turns out that HUD's current estimates are mistaken and Section 8 funds begin to run out in late 2008, what actions will HUD then take to ensure that property owners will receive all the payments that are due them on time?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Well, again, the program has always been subject to appropriation, but near the end of each fiscal year we also use recapture funds to make up the difference going forward.

Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD. Okay. And do you notify the Subcommittee of the shortness of funds? Will the Subcommittee be notified?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. I assume we will do whatever the current practice is, we would do.

Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD. Okay. And one final question: What other steps is HUD taking to ensure the problems of last summer are not repeated? And what infrastructure and human capital advances are you making to better estimate funding needs and execute the contracts?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Well, certainly, going forward with new contracts that satisfied that concern in our attorney's mind, but also the contract-by-contract review that was complete, you know, that now says, look what you have been estimating and logging these many years, you were pretty darn accurate. And, again, that hadn't been done in almost 17 years.

So we think, going forward, reference to the next administration, whoever that may be, we have a good model, a fully funded one, going forward to at least the first quarter of 2010.

Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD. Okay.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. OLVER. Thank you.

Let me go back and follow. Let's assume for the moment that you are right, that the $600 million that the President added for renewals and the $400 million advance will get this program through until September 30, 2009, and the $400 million is advanced appropriation, essentially, for 2010. That $400 million would not be nearly enough to do as much as a whole quarter of that new fiscal year, but you would have some recaptures, you would think.

I am not sure how you can depend upon the numbers of recaptures. I think there is reason to believe that the amount of recaptures could not be as much as $400 million, let's say.

But that would give you—$400 million for contracts into 2010 couldn't be more than a month or two, at most, with all the recaptures that could be there, could they?

ADVANCED APPROPRIATIONS

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Well, we would also kick into the new fiscal year, which, of course, we don't have a new 2010 budget yet.

Mr. OLVER. It, by itself, wouldn't get you very far.

Mr. MONTGOMERY. But the 2010 budget would, which—you won't see me around here for the 2010 budget.

Mr. OLVER. All right. All right. Okay.

My understanding is that that means that every single contract, all 14,000 of them, would be pushed to that specific date of the 30th of September of 2009.

Mr. MONTGOMERY. No. No, sir. Remember, those contracts—they would have to be done by that time. Those contracts renew every month. So that is the deadline. That is not when they all come due, though. They all are renewed every month. That is actually part of the problem we have.

Mr. OLVER. So there is nothing happening here that would require all of those contracts to be renewed on that particular date?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. No, sir, not at this time.

Mr. OLVER. There is always going to be—whatever process you have, you are always expecting to have a phasing of the contracts over the year, a thousand per month or whatever the number may happen to be.

Mr. MONTGOMERY. That is correct.

Mr. OLVER. It is just that the payment will be good only for up to the end of that fiscal year.

Mr. MONTGOMERY. That is correct.

Mr. OLVER. And then carries on into the next year because of recaptures and so forth, so be it. By, sort of, going back to your comments in February, at the hearing in February, that these monies have been—that whatever excess has been not used over into the next fiscal year.

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Yes, sir.

Mr. OLVER. I see. So you are saying that there will be no problem of any kind of renewal jamming going on at that particular point?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Well, we have not had an advanced appropriation in—I don't know if we ever have. So——

Mr. OLVER. That is quite an unusual one, I guess.

Mr. MONTGOMERY. It is a very good thing to have, sir.

Mr. OLVER. They have all been short-funded, though, to reach an end-of-fiscal-year date. But the contracts, as written, are all going beyond and just waiting for the additional appropriation.

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Well, there are very, very few—I don't have the exact number; we can get it—that expire at the end of the fiscal year. This is different from what you have in public housing. Ours are staggered throughout the entire year.

Mr. OLVER. What is the amount that is necessary—assuming that the President's request is enacted, what is the dollar amount necessary to renew all contracts for 2010?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. For 2010?

Mr. OLVER. To renew all contracts—to renew the contracts for that fiscal year.

Mr. MONTGOMERY. 2010, I don't know, sir. For 2009, I believe it is somewhere around $6.7 billion. Because, remember, every year you have long-term contracts come off that initial term, and now they go on to the renewal pot. Those long-term contracts are paid from the housing certificate fund. Those are obligated funds going back 20, 30 years. That is why the number always goes up.

Mr. OLVER. I know you have obligated funds from the old law.

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Correct.

Mr. OLVER. But as soon as they come off that, then they go onto a 5-year contract, if I remember what you told me about in the previous round, and then it is subject to appropriation.

Mr. MONTGOMERY. That is correct.

Mr. OLVER. And then it will be funded only to the end of the fiscal year. And if you are late on the funding, then they are—except by maybe some carryover and recapture and so forth, then you are stopped there, depending upon whatever the continuing resolution may say.

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Yes, sir.

Mr. OLVER. Let me just point out that the GAO study that you mentioned earlier in conversation with Mr. Knollenberg was a study from several years ago which did not take into account what

happened in the last quarter of 2007 and the first quarter of 2008, which seems to be the period of time that has everybody all excited. And the change in the accounting process that went on and the delays in the payment that seems to have happened in a substantial way, that you are saying you think you have pretty well corrected.

And even then your comment that there have been three over a 2-year period, of course that doesn't really reflect results of those same two quarters and the resulting lack of confidence that grows up out of those two quarters. That would only reflect, perhaps, those people who decided right at that moment not to renew, which is their choice anytime it comes up for a new contract.

OPTING-OUT

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Again, it is not a mandatory program. It has always been subject to appropriations. But I am putting myself on the owner's place for a minute. I don't blame them for being very concerned. For the first time in I don't know when, all of a sudden they are not getting paid over about a 3-month gap. But, remember, the situation wasn't of our doing. We were dealt a bad hand too. We fixed it.

So I don't blame them for 1 minute, sir, for being upset, and I have apologized to them. But now, going forward, you know, we are getting the new contracts signed, the new renewals. And, again, going forward, on into the next Administration, sir, this program should be fixed.

Mr. OLVER. What would the—well, Mr. Knollenberg. I will come back.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. We did discuss that this morning, that issue of the three. And the grouping told us that there must be some mistake and you should update your background on this.

Anyhow, that is not my question. That is just one of the things we went through. They were very strong in their view about that, that there must be some mistake with the GAO report.

The project owners also tell us that the new contracts which fund the projects incrementally has resulted in reduced ability for them to obtain credit and other financial problems. What do you discern from that? Can you make a comment on that?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Well, almost since its inception, this program has been subject to appropriations since it is a discretionary program. So, I mean, one could say that that concern has been there for the last 30-some-odd years. But, again, just to reference my previous comments, I am sure they were immensely concerned last year during that funding gap.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Yeah. Have any owners notified you of their intent to leave the system recently?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Beyond the ones who have actually left, no, sir, I am not aware of any.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Okay. Will the Department use Project-Based Section 8 funding to make the Department's $1.25 billion rescission?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. I will say, going forward, based on what we all have had to do over the last 15 months, my personal opinion is I hope not. But I would say that that is not my decision.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. If additional funds were provided as emergency funds in the supplemental coming up, would HUD then simply use previously appropriated Section 8 funds to meet the rescission?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Again, that is a decision a little out of my purview, as to what ends up happening on the rescission.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Yeah. I guess it is going to have to be within our purview, when it comes down to making a decision on that.

And then, why do you think—and I presume you do think this—that an advanced appropriation will help mitigate this late-payment problem? Isn't that like a budgetary gimmick?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Well, it is that and the fact that we have had continuing resolutions, as long as I can remember. So that, and along with that it has historically been a slow time for HUD anyway, as we change over from one fiscal year to another. Again, going into the next administration, we think we can alleviate a lot of that logjam.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. It is a gimmick to avoid being scored, too, is it not?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. I have been told that, too, sir.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Thank you. That is the end of my questioning.

Mr. OLVER. Mr. Goode.

Mr. GOODE. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

And you may have said this earlier. What is the total cost of your Section 8 housing program right now?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Well, as I referenced earlier, because contracts in their long-term initial term come off every year, right now somewhere between $6.4 billion to $6.9 billion.

REQUIREMENTS FOR SECTION 8 ASSISTANCE

Mr. GOODE. Let me ask you this. How many persons get Section 8 assistance, just ballpark, that are seniors? And I will define that as being 65 and older.

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Sir, there are currently about 1.25 million units which, of course, are occupied by however many numbers of persons, but about three-quarters we estimate are either seniors or persons with disabilities.

Mr. GOODE. So non-seniors, nondisability is probably what, 300,000 then?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. About 25 percent of that figure I believe, yes sir.

Mr. GOODE. Let me ask you this. Of that 300,000, how would you categorize their ages?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Sir, I would have to follow up.

Mr. GOODE. Ballpark.

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Their ages?

Mr. GOODE. Yes.

Mr. MONTGOMERY. That I don't know. I would hate to hazard a guess.

Mr. GOODE. Do you do any check of the immigration status of Section 8 applicants?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Sir, I am not 100 percent with the eligibility process at the local level, but legal resident status, things of that nature are looked at. Yes, sir.

Mr. GOODE. Do you ask to see a birth certificate?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. That level of knowledge I don't know off the top of my head.

Mr. GOODE. Does anyone sitting there know? Do you ask to see a naturalization certificate?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Sir, I don't know. I will have to find out for you.

Mr. GOODE. So you couldn't tell me if I asked you what percentage of the 300,000 that weren't seniors may be here illegally?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Sir, I couldn't tell you, but I suspect it is a very, very small number.

Mr. GOODE. All right. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

LONG-TERM CONTRACTS

Mr. OLVER. Thank you. You made a comment that again leads me to think I have lost something along the way. Long-term contracts that are still there that have never reached their first renewal, the 20s, 35s, 40s, whatever they happen to be, that are still out there, you said funds were obligated for those?

Our testifiers this morning said that those also fell into the delays on payments in this time period of the fourth quarter of '07 and the first quarter of '08. Am I misunderstanding something you have said? It sounds as if they had funding obligated to them for the whole of those contracts when they were laid out as contracts. Then wouldn't those have been paid and wouldn't that money have been saved to pay them throughout the whole of that fiscal year?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. We have operating costs, adjustment factors, annual adjustment factors that we call amendments, that add additional funds each fiscal year, even to those in the long-term contracts. I am not sure if those were impacted by this.

Mr. MONTGOMERY. They were; yes, sir.

Mr. OLVER. So they were then in that particular period as we went into fiscal '08, whenever these processes were there, those—also the long-term contracts, there were 4,000 or so of on-going; 20 percent, whatever, of residual long-term contracts were also not getting paid on time.

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Well, only relative to the portion that was the annual adjustment factor, inflation factor.

Mr. OLVER. Only with regard to the adjustment factor?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Yes. Yes, I was correct.

Mr. OLVER. Only with regard to the adjustment factor. Well, that is certainly new. I think some of the people, or maybe staff for some of the people who were there this morning—and maybe I misunderstood what was being said there this morning.

As a last thing that I want to ask you, if we were to fund the President's budget for '09 as it has been requested, but not do the forward funding, $400 million, to do something into '10, thereby essentially ending the funding except for whatever excess that provided, if any, as of the 30th of September of '09, what would be the cost of 1 year of the project-based program for 1 whole fiscal year? One million dollars, $300,000——

Mr. MONTGOMERY. I will have to get you that number.

ANNUAL COST OF A VOUCHER

Mr. OLVER. You will have to get me that number. Do you know what roughly the average cost of a voucher is?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Well, as far as what we pay the owners on a monthly basis, it could vary $10,000 a month, $20,000 a month, even more than that. These units are of various size. We make up the difference between the 30 percent and the cost of the unit, certainly with some inflation factors. Ours are not vouchers, public housing.

Mr. OLVER. Well, I am told that in answer to the questions asked in the Omnibus—is that in the document or the letter that we have from the Government Affairs Office—that the number for a full 12-month needs for all of fiscal year '09 would be $7,448,000,000.

Mr. MONTGOMERY. That sounds correct.

Mr. OLVER. I was asking for 2010.

Mr. MONTGOMERY. That I don't know.

Mr. OLVER. Well, it gets ramped up a little bit.

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Yes, sir, and will continue until 2025.

Mr. OLVER. Okay, I have nothing further.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. I am fine.

TENANT OCCUPANCY STANDARDS

Mr. GOODE. I will follow up a little bit.

Let me ask you this. In your housing that you provide the assistance for, do the individual owners of the units, do they have any residence capacity? Can you have eight in the facility if you want, or that is individually set? You all don't have a rule on it, HUD doesn't?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. I am sorry, sir, I don't know if I understand the question.

Mr. GOODE. If I was getting rental assistance from you and I owned a facility, do I have any rules and regulations about—because of what is good habitation standards. Do you all have a habitation standard?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Oh, yes, sir, absolutely.

Mr. GOODE. What is the max you can have in a unit?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. I am not sure of the sliding scale. It is something we called a REAC score. I know in the past you have to have a 60. I believe it is on a 100 scale. My colleagues are nodding yes.

Mr. GOODE. Let me ask you this, then. If the person that gets your voucher, or if it is paid assistance, if let's say they are here legally, that you all don't check who else stays in the unit with them, do you? I am assuming you did check.

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Yes, there are checks to make sure the person is not here illegally. There are very strict rules.

Mr. GOODE. If I got the voucher, do you check who I let into my unit?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Well, certainly there is 24-hour monitoring. But many times a lot of complaints we hear from the tenant groups is the very problem you were talking about; is that they are the ones who many times turn in another tenant for having too many occupants in there. They don't like it either.

Mr. GOODE. Right. But the status of the persons that are in those units, you all don't have any rules on checking that out, do you?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Well, given there are so many people in there obviously we don't——

Mr. GOODE. You have the number, but you don't have a rule on the status of the persons in the units.

Mr. MONTGOMERY. With friends and guests and others that shouldn't be staying there. It is specific who should be in the unit. If they have persons living there who are not supposed to, they can be subject to eviction from their unit.

Mr. GOODE. Let me ask you this. Suppose somebody had someone that was a parole violator there? Is that a violation of your rules?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. If they are violating their parole?

Mr. GOODE. Is that your rules? Violation of parole is one rule, but do you have a rule?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. If someone is a fugitive from justice or—I am assuming they probably shouldn't be living in one of our units.

Mr. GOODE. Okay. So if someone who came into the country illegally, would you classify them as a fugitive?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Sir, immigration is not my area, sir.

Mr. GOODE. What you are saying, it is not okay to have a parole violator, but it would be okay to have somebody that violates immigration laws living there. Are you saying that?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. What I am saying is that the tenants have rules they have to play by. A vast majority of them play by them. It is their home. For those who don't, who have folks living there illegally who should not be—be it parole or whatever—it is a violation of their agreement and they could be evicted for that.

Mr. GOODE. So you are saying most of the agreements, to your knowledge, then say that you should not have someone who is a lawbreaker in the facility.

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Sir, I will double-check that, but I am fairly certain that someone who is a fugitive from justice. Someone who was previously incarcerated, that is different.

Mr. GOODE. If you say you were guilty of a misdemeanor, is that a violation of most of the tenant agreements?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. You mean like a class A misdemeanor, sir? Like a class A misdemeanor or class B?

Mr. GOODE. Well, it depends on the State. In Virginia let's just say class 1, assault and battery.

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Sir, I don't know, I don't know, but I can find out for you.

Mr. GOODE. Can you check then on misdemeanors, illegally in the country? If you could check on those two things.

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Yes, sir, we will do so.

Mr. GOODE. Thank you, sir.

ADA VIOLATION

Mr. OLVER. I just have one last question, Mr. Secretary. In the Omnibus we had passed, the HUD and OMB made a final determination as to whether or not there had been somewhere during that period of time before you changed the accounting procedure, whether there had been an ADA, an Antideficiency Act violation; because that is what essentially your counsel in HUD had said, you

could not continue doing what you had been doing because that would constitute an ADA violation. And you did change the accounting process, the way you were processing these things at that point.

And the Congress had asked in the Omnibus that you answer that by the 15th of January of '08.

On the 15th of January we did get a letter which said in effect that you would not be able to meet the January 15th deadline for responding with a final determination on the appropriations concern about whether there had been an ADA violation. Can you now give us any idea when that determination will be made?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Sir, I will find out when I confer with my staff to get an update on what that is. Again I strenuously object that it was an ADA violation.

Mr. OLVER. You strenuously object?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Yes, sir. You heard my opinion on it before.

Mr. OLVER. Is the counsel still on staff? Who made that?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. He reports to you, sir.

Mr. OLVER. The counsel reports to us?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. The appropriations attorney does.

Mr. OLVER. No, I thought it was one of your attorneys.

Mr. MONTGOMERY. No, sir, it is an appropriations attorney that Congress previously put in HUD. And it was his opinion which we disagreed with, but that was the opinion and we fixed it.

Mr. OLVER. But he is on the payroll of HUD?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Yes, sir. He is on Mr. Cox's payroll, but he does not report to him.

Mr. OLVER. Okay, you are going to give us some kind of an answer soon.

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Yes, sir.

Mr. OLVER. As to when we can expect an answer?

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Yes, sir.

Mr. OLVER. Thank you very much. Mr. Knollenberg.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. I have nothing more to say other than thank you very much, Mr. Montgomery.

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Thank you.

Mr. OLVER. Have a good day.

Mr. MONTGOMERY. Thank you, Congressman.

WITNESSES

	Page
Bodaken, Michael	663
Garvin, John	597
Jackson, Hon. Alphonso	1
Johnston, Mark	597
Minnix, W.L., Jr	663
Montgomery, Brian	837
Pagano, J.K	663

INDEX

Department of Housing and Urban Development

12-Month Funding Contracts 18
Affordable Housing Loss 27
Affordable Rental Housing 6
Asset Management 6, 100
Capital Fund 93
Capital Needs Assessment 28
CDBG 32, 109, 582
CDBG and EDI Grants 572
Contract Employees 91
Contracting 85, 90
Disaster Voucher Program 67
Fair Housing 102
FHA and Subprime Lending 566
FHA Loan Limits 36
FHA Modernization 35
FHA Reform 34, 590
FHA Secure 20
FHA Standards 20
FHA Structure 5
Foreclosure Assistance 26
Foreclosures 20
Funding 60
Homeless 6, 25
Homelessness in Small Towns and Rural Areas 596
Hope Now Alliance 21
HOPE VI 79, 115, 576, 578
Hope VI Reform 31
Housing Counseling 5
Housing for Special Needs 25
Housing Vouchers 584
HUD Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing 595
HUD/FTA Working Group 129
HUD's Grants in 2006 and 2007 132
IT Modernization 35
Kin-GAP 585
Lead Abatement 114
Manufactured Housing 34
Moving to Work 123
Opening Statement by Alphonso Jackson, Secretary, Department of Housing and Urban Development 5
Opening Statement by Chairman John W. Olver 1
Opening Statement by Ranking Member Joe Knollenberg 3

Page
Operating Fund 97
Product Service Codes 88
Project Lifeline 593
Project-Based Section 8 ..... 17, 588
Proposed Program Cuts 24
Public Housing 575
Public Housing Reserves 33
Questions for the Record from Chairman John W. Olver 38
Questions for the Record from Congressman David E. Price 578
Questions for the Record from Congressman Robert B. Aderholt 590
Questions for the Record from Congresswoman Lucille Roybal-Allard 585
Questions for the Record from Ranking Member Joe Knollenberg 557
Rescission 84
RESPA Reform 5
Rural Housing and Economic Development 113, 594
Section 8 ..... 18, 19, 106, 73, 570
Section 811 583
Section 901 70
Seller Funded Downpayments 23
Staffing 38, 92
Subprime 21, 29, 591
Tenant Protection Vouchers 61
The FHA Fund 569
Violence Against Women Act 578
Working Capital Fund 58
Written Statement by Alphonso Jackson, Secretary, Department of Housing and Urban Development 8

Housing Needs of Special Populations (Elderly; Disabled; Homeless; Housing for Persons with AIDS)

Apprentice Programs 622
Assistance for the Homeless 599, 615, 641, 648
Chronically Homeless 616, 618, 651
Continuum of Care 620, 623
Demo Project 627
Elderly Population 612
Grant Program 650
Homelessness 624
Housing for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA) 599, 600, 640, 659
Housing for Persons with Disabilities 658
Housing for the Elderly 597
Housing for the Elderly and Disabled 654, 662
Money Follows the Person Rebalancing Initiative 614
Opening Statement by Chairman John W. Olver 597
Opening Statement by John L. Garvin, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Multifamily Housing Programs, Senior Advisor to the Federal Housing Commissioner, Department of Housing and Urban Development 607
Opening Statement by Mark Johnston, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Special Needs Assistance Programs, Department of Housing and Urban Development 601
Opening Statement by Ranking Member Joe Knollenberg 599
Operating Subsidy 627
Questions for the Record from Chairman John W. Olver 630
Questions for the Record from Congresswoman Lucille Roybal-Allard 662

Page
Questions for the Record from Ranking Member Joe Knollenberg 648
Renewals 600
Reverse Mortgages 625
Section 202 ..... 598, 612, 625, 631
Section 811..... 598, 632
Sections 202/811 607
State Housing Trust Funds 626
Tax Credits 607
Underfunding Sections 202 ..... 622, 662
Violence Against Women Act 622, 662
Written Statement by John L. Garvin, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Multifamily Housing Programs, Senior Advisor to the Federal Housing Commissioner, Department of Housing and Urban Development 609
Written Statement by Mark Johnston, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Special Needs Assistance Programs, Department of Housing and Urban Development 603

Challenges of the Project-Based Section 8 Program

Late Payments..... 810, 816
Non-Payment from HUD 816
Opening Statement by Chairman John W. Olver 663
Opening Statement by Kenneth J. Pagano, President and CEO, Essex Plaza Management, on behalf of the National Affordable Housing Management Association 789
Opening Statement by Larry Minnix, President and CEO, American Association of the Homes and Services for the Aging 796
Opening Statement by Michael Bodaken, President, National Housing Trust .. 666
Opening Statement by Ranking Member Joe Knollenberg 664
Project-Based Housing..... 815, 834
Short-Term Contracts 818
Written Statement by Kenneth J. Pagano, President and CEO, Essex PlazaManagement, on behalf of the National Affordable Housing Management Association 791
Written Statement by Larry Minnix, President and CEO, American Association of the Homes and Services for the Aging 798
Written Statement by Michael Bodaken, President, National Housing Trust ... 668

Status of the Project-Based Section 8 Program

12-Month Funding 854
3-Month Contracts 852
ADA Violation 861
Advanced Appropriations 855
Annual Cost of a Voucher 860
Contract Renewal Funding 841
Expiring Section 8 Contracts 848
Housing Assistance Grants 841
Incremental Funding 849
Long-Term Contracts 859
Opening Statement by Brian Montgomery, Assistant Secretary for Housing and FHA Commissioner, Department of Housing and Urban Development .. 840
Opening Statement by Chairman John W. Olver 837
Opening Statement by Ranking Member Joe Knollenberg 839
Opting-Out 857
Requirements for Section 8 Assistance 858

Page

Tenant Occupancy Standards 860

Written Statement by Brian Montgomery, Assistant Secretary for Housing and FHA Commissioner, Department of Housing and Urban Development .. 843

○